

RESEARCH

Open Access

A positive solution of a p -Laplace-like equation with critical growth

Zhou Yang^{1*}, Di Geng¹ and Huiwen Yan²

*Correspondence:

yangzhou1975@yahoo.com.cn

¹School of Mathematics Science,
South China Normal University,
Guangzhou, 510631, China

Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

The existence of a positive solution of a p -Laplace-like equation with critical growth is established by the generalization to the concentration-compactness principle and the Sobolev inequality under some proper assumptions. Moreover, we achieve some regularity results of the solution.

MSC: 35J65

Keywords: p -Laplace-like operator; critical growth; concentration-compactness principle; weakly continuity

1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to the existence of a positive solution of the following p -Laplace-like problem with critical growth:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(\nabla u)) = f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N , $1 < p < N$, and the functions a, f satisfy some proper conditions, the details of which are described later.

There were many papers about the existence of the solution of p -Laplacian problems involving critical growth such as [1–6]. In them, $a(\xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}\xi$ and f are some concrete functions with critical growth, which means that $f(x, u)|u|^{1-p^*}$ does not converge to zero as $u \rightarrow \infty$, where p^* is the critical exponent, *i.e.*, $p^* = Np/(N - p)$. The concentration-compactness principle, which was built by Lions in [7, 8], plays an important role in achieving the existence of a nontrivial solution of the problems in them.

The authors proved the existence of a nonnegative and nontrivial solution for a Dirichlet problem for p -mean curvature operator with critical growth in [9], where $a(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\xi$, $p \geq 2$, and f is some concrete function involving a critical exponent. Since the function a has an explicit form, the authors can use the concentration-compactness principle to achieve their results, too. But if a is an abstract function in problem (1.1), then the problem becomes more complicated and interesting, and Lions' C-C principle cannot be directly applied to it. Thanks to the generalization of the C-C principle in [10], we can establish the existence of a nonnegative and nontrivial solution of equation (1.1) if we impose some proper conditions on the functions a and f and make more careful estimates. Moreover, we achieve some regularity result of the solution and prove the solution is positive under some proper assumptions. The results can be easily extended to a more general

p -Laplace-like equation with critical growth and singular weights by the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality and the method in [11].

Recently, there have been some articles on stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) involving p -Laplace operator; see [12, 13]. Some estimates and properties of the solution of the corresponding elliptic equations are important to the research on SPDEs. So, the results in this paper may be useful in the study of p -Laplace SPDEs with critical growth.

In this paper, we suppose that the potential $a : \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N$ satisfies the following assumptions.

Let $A = A(\xi) : \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be of continuous derivative with respect to ξ with $a = \nabla_\xi A$ and satisfy the following conditions:

(A1) $A(0) = 0$,

(A2) there are $p > 1$ and $m \in [1, p]$, three positive constants a_1, a_2 and a_3 such that

$$a_1|\xi|^p \leq |A(\xi)| \leq a_2|\xi|^p + a_3|\xi|^m \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^N,$$

(A3) $A(\xi)$ is strictly convex in ξ , that is, $2A(\xi + \eta) < A(2\xi) + A(2\eta)$ for any $\xi \neq \eta \in \mathbf{R}^N$,

(A4) there exists a positive number a_4 such that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} a(\xi) \cdot \xi |\xi|^{-p} = a_4$.

We impose some assumptions on the critical nonlinear term $f(x, u) : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, which is continuous, as follows:

(B1) $f(x, 0) = 0$,

(B2) there is a function $b(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \Omega} (f(x, u)u) |u|^{-p} \leq b(x),$$

(B3) there are two positive numbers c_1 and c_2 such that

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \in \Omega} (f(x, u)u) |u|^{-p} \geq c_1, \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} (f(x, u)u) |u|^{-p} \leq c_2,$$

(B4) denote $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds$, which satisfies

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \in \Omega} (f(x, u)u - p^* F(x, u)) |u|^{-p} \geq 0.$$

Moreover, we suppose a and f satisfy the next correlation.

(C1) there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (pA(\nabla v) - b(x)|v|^p) dx \geq \beta \int_{\Omega} A(\nabla v) dx \quad \text{for any } v \in X,$$

where X is $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, i.e., the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|u\|_X = (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)^{1/p}$.

It is not difficult to see that both $a(\xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}\xi$ and $a(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\xi$ with $p \geq 2$ satisfy (A1)-(A4), and the problems in [9] and [6] are concrete examples of problem (1.1). Moreover, we can consider some more generalized problem with a singular nonlinear term $f(x, u)$ with critical growth by the similar method in this paper and [11]. Then we can achieve more generalized results than those in [2] and [5], which will be considered

in another paper. Since $a(z)$ is not an explicit function, we need to use the generalization of the C-C principle in [10] and more subtle estimates to study problem (1.1).

It is clear that the solution of problem (1.1) is the critical point of the variational functional

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(\nabla u) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \, dx. \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, $I(u)$ is continuous differentiable in X , and its Fréchet derivation is

$$\langle I'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in X. \tag{1.3}$$

The first main result in this paper is

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose problem (1.1) satisfies assumptions (A1)-(A4), (B1)-(B4) and (C1).*

Moreover, there exists a nontrivial $v_0 \in X$ such that $v_0 \geq 0$ and

$$(C2) \sup_{t \geq 0} I(tv_0) < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right)(p\bar{S})^{\frac{p^*}{p-p}} c_2^{\frac{p}{p-p}}, \text{ where } \bar{S} = \inf_{v \in X \setminus \{0\}} \|A(\nabla v)\|_1 \|v\|_p^{-p}, \text{ with } \|v\|_q^q = \int_{\Omega} |v|^q \, dx \ (q \geq 1).$$

Then problem (1.1) has a nonnegative and nontrivial solution.

Since the condition (C2) is difficult to check, we give another easily checked theorem.

Theorem 1.2 *Assume conditions (A1)-(A4), (B1)-(B4) and (C1) are satisfied and*

(A5) $pA(\xi) \geq a_4|\xi|^p$ for any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^N$,

(B5) *there exists a nonempty set $W \subset \Omega$ such that $F(x, u) - \frac{c_2}{p^*}|u|^{p^*} \geq 0$ for any $x \in W, u \in \mathbf{R}$,*

(C3) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-p)m}{(p-1)p} - N} + K_2(\phi))(K_1(\psi))^{-1} = 0$, where $K_1(\psi) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \Psi(r) \, dr$, $K_2(\phi) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \Phi(r) \, dr$ with

$$\Psi(r) = \psi \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{1 + r^{p/(p-1)}} \right)^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \right] r^{N-1}, \quad \psi(r) = \min_{u \geq r, x \in W} \left(F(x, u) - \frac{c_2}{p^*}|u|^{p^*} \right),$$

$$\Phi(r) = \phi \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{1 + r^{p/(p-1)}} \right)^{\frac{N}{p}} r^{1/(p-1)} \right] r^{N-1}, \quad \phi(r) = \max_{0 \leq |\xi| \leq r} (pA(\xi) - a_4|\xi|^p).$$

Then problem (1.1) has a nonnegative and nontrivial solution in X .

To establish the regularity of the solution u and prove $u > 0$ in Ω , we need to impose stronger assumptions on the potential a and the nonlinear term f , which are as follows:

(D1) $A(\xi) \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ with $a(0) = \mathbf{0}$ and there exist positive numbers c and C , $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ such that for any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^N$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j} \eta_i \eta_j \geq c(\kappa + |\xi|)^{p-2} |\eta|^2, \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j} \right| \leq C(\kappa + |\xi|)^{p-2},$$

(D2) a admits the form $a(\xi) = g(|\xi|)\xi$. Moreover, $f(x, u) \geq 0$ for any $u \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.3 *Assume the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 or those in Theorem 1.2 hold, then there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that the solution $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ if assumption (D1) holds. Moreover, if assumption (D2) is satisfied, then $u > 0$ in Ω .*

In Section 2, we will prove the main results. Some corollaries and examples are shown in Section 3.

2 The proof of the main results

First, we present the main tool in this paper - the generalized concentration-compactness principle, which is easily deduced from Theorem 2.1 in [10].

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose assumptions (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold, u_n weakly converges to u in X and $\mu_n = A(\nabla u_n) dx$, $\nu_n = |u_n|^{p^*} dx$ converge to μ, ν weakly in the sense of measures, respectively.*

Then there exist some at most countable set J , a family $\{x_j; j \in J\}$ of distinct points in Ω , and two families $\{\nu_j; j \in J\}$, $\{\mu_j; j \in J\}$ of positive numbers such that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= |u|^{p^*} dx + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, & \mu &\geq A(\nabla u) dx + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j \delta_{x_j}, \\ \mu_j &\geq \bar{S}(\nu_j)^{p/p^*} \quad (\forall j \in J), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where δ_{x_j} denotes the Dirac measure at the point x_j .

Second, we deduce some properties of a and f by the similar method as in [11] or [6]. According to assumptions (A1)-(A4) and (B1)-(B4), we conclude that for any $\sigma > 0$, $p \leq k \leq p^*$, there exist some constants $C_{k,\sigma}, C_\sigma, C$ such that for any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n, x \in \Omega, u \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$|pA(\xi) - a_4|\xi|^{p^*}| + |a(\xi) \cdot \xi - a_4|\xi|^{p^*}| \leq \sigma|\xi|^{p^*} + C_\sigma, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{c_1}{2p^*}|u|^{p^*} - C \leq F(x, u) \leq \frac{b(x) + \sigma}{p}|u|^p + \frac{c_2 + \sigma}{p^*}|u|^{p^*} + C_{k,\sigma}|u|^k, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{c_1}{2}|u|^{p^*} - C|u| \leq f(x, u)u \leq (b(x) + \sigma)|u|^p + (c_2 + \sigma)|u|^{p^*} + C_{k,\sigma}|u|^k, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, u)u - p^*F(x, u) &\geq -\sigma|u|^{p^*} - C_\sigma, \\ \left(1 + \frac{2\sigma}{c_1}\right)f(x, u)u - p^*F(x, u) &\geq -C_\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

To obtain a nonnegative solution, we first consider the following variational functional and its Fréchet derivation:

$$\tilde{I}(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(\nabla u) dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u^+) dx; \tag{2.6}$$

$$\langle \tilde{I}'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u^+)v dx, \quad \forall v \in X. \tag{2.7}$$

It is clear that $\tilde{I}(u) = I(u)$ if $u \geq 0$. Next, we deduce $\tilde{I}(u)$ satisfies the geometrical result of the mountain pass theorem without the (PS) condition, i.e.,

Lemma 2.2 $\tilde{I}(0) = 0$ and there exist two constants α_0, ρ_0 and a function $u_1 \in X$ such that

$$\tilde{I}(u)|_{\partial B_{\rho_0}(0)} \geq \alpha_0 > 0, \quad \|u_1\|_X > \rho_0, \quad \tilde{I}(u_1) \leq 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where $B_{\rho_0}(0) = \{u \in X, \|u\|_X \leq \rho_0\}$, $\partial B_{\rho_0}(0)$ denotes the boundary of $B_{\rho_0}(0)$.

Proof Let $u = 0$ in (2.6), and we have $\tilde{I}(0) = 0$.

Choosing $k = p^*$, $\sigma = a_1\beta S/2$ in (2.3) (where $S = \inf_{v \in X \setminus \{0\}} \|v\|_X^p \|v\|_{p^*}^{-p}$ is the best embedding constant from X to $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$), and combining assumptions (C1), (A2), we have

$$\tilde{I}(u) \geq \int_{\Omega} A(\nabla u) dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{2b(x) + a_1\beta S}{2p} |u^+|^p + C|u^+|^{p^*} dx \geq \frac{a_1\beta}{2p} \|u\|_X^p - \bar{C} \|u\|_X^{p^*}.$$

Hence, $\tilde{I}(u) > 0$ if $\|u\|_X$ is small enough. So, we have showed the existence of α_0 and ρ_0 in (2.8).

Next, we construct u_1 satisfying (2.8). In fact, fixing a nonnegative and nontrivial function u_0 , recalling assumption (A2) and (2.3), we deduce that there are positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}(tu_0) &\leq \int_{\Omega} (a_2 t^p |\nabla u_0|^p + a_3 t^m |\nabla u_0|^m) dx - \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{c_1 t^{p^*}}{2p^*} |u^+|^{p^*} + C \right) dx \\ &\leq C_1 t^p - C_2 t^{p^*} + C_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if t is large enough, then we can set $u_1 = tu_0$ satisfying (2.8). □

According to the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz mountain pass theorem without the (PS) condition, there exists a function sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\tilde{I}(u_n) \rightarrow c_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{I}'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } X^*, \quad \text{where } c_0 = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma} \tilde{I}(u) \geq \alpha_0 > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

Γ denotes the class of continuous paths joining 0 to u_1 in X , X^* denotes the dual space of X .

Lemma 2.3 *The sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in X .*

Proof Let $v = u_n$ in (2.7) and combine (2.6), (2.9). We see that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 + o(1) &= \tilde{I}(u_n) - \delta \langle \tilde{I}'(u_n), u_n \rangle = (1 - p\delta) \int_{\Omega} A(\nabla u_n) dx \\ &\quad + \delta \int_{\Omega} [pA(\nabla u_n) - a(\nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n] + \left[f(x, u_n^+) u_n - \frac{1}{\delta} F(x, u_n^+) \right] dx \\ &\geq (a_1(1 - p\delta) - 2\delta\sigma) \|u_n\|_X^p - \bar{C}_{\sigma}, \quad \text{where } \delta = \frac{1}{p^*} \left(1 + \frac{2\sigma}{c_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we have used (2.2) and (2.5). If we fix a small enough σ such that $a_1(1 - p\delta) - 2\delta\sigma > 0$ in the above inequality, then the conclusion in this lemma is obvious. □

As a result of the above preparations, we can prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Since $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded in X , it is easy to see there are a $u \in X$ and a subsequence of $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, still denoted by itself, such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } X, \tag{2.10}$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \text{ and strongly in } L^q(\Omega) \quad (1 \leq q < p^*),$$

$$f(x, u_n^+) \rightharpoonup f(x, u^+) \quad \text{weakly in } X^*. \tag{2.11}$$

By the Helly theorem, there exist a subsequence, still denoted by itself, and two nonnegative measures μ and ν such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$A(\nabla u_n) dx \xrightarrow{w} \mu, \quad |u_n|^{p^*} dx \xrightarrow{w} \nu \quad \text{weakly in the sense of measures.} \tag{2.12}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we have the corresponding conclusions of Lemma 2.1.

Next, we establish the lower-bound of ν_j and μ_j

$$\nu_j \geq (pS)^{p^*/(p^*-p)} c_2^{p^*/(p-p)^*} > 0, \quad \mu_j \geq p^{p/(p^*-p)} S^{p^*/(p^*-p)} c_2^{p/(p-p)^*}. \tag{2.13}$$

Denote φ as the cutoff function of the ball $B_2(0)$ in \mathbf{R}^N , i.e., which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &\in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N), \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, \\ \varphi(x) &= 1 \quad \text{if } x \in B_1(0), \quad \varphi(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } x \in \mathbf{R}^N \setminus B_2(0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Define $\varphi_{\varepsilon,j} = \varphi((x - x_j)/\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_j \in \mathbf{R}^N$ for any $j \in J$. Recalling Lemma 4 in [6], we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_n \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}|^p dx &\leq S^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |\nabla \varphi|^{\frac{p^* p}{p^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p^*-p}{p^*}} \int_{B(x_j,\varepsilon)} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \\ &\leq C \int_{B(x_j,\varepsilon)} |\nabla u_n|^p dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Hence, $\{u_n \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is still bounded in X and the boundary is independent of ε, j .

Let $u = u_n, v = u_n \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}$ in (2.6) and combine (2.2), (2.4), (2.10), (2.15). Then we obtain as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle \tilde{I}'(u_n), u_n \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(\nabla u_n) \cdot (\nabla u_n \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} + u_n \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}) - f(x, u_n^+) \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} u_n dx \\ &\geq p \int_{B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_j)} A(\nabla u_n) dx - 2\sigma \|u_n\|_X^p - C_\sigma \text{mes}(B_\varepsilon(0)) \\ &\quad - \int_{B_\varepsilon(x_j)} ((c_2 + \sigma)|u_n|^{p^*} + C_\sigma) dx \\ &\quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} |a(\nabla u_n)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(C \int_{B(x_j,\varepsilon)} |\nabla u_n|^p dx + o(1) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

In the above inequality, first letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, then taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, and finally taking $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$, we deduce $p\mu_j \leq c_2\nu_j$. So, (2.1) implies (2.13). Since ν is a bounded measure, J is at most finite.

In the following, we prove that $u \geq 0$, and it is the solution of problem (1.1). If J is empty, then the proof is similar to that when J is nonempty, which we omit. Next, we suppose J is nonempty and denote $J = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{dist}(x, x_j) > \varepsilon, \forall j \in J\}$. Fix a large enough R and a sufficiently small ε_0 so that

$$\bar{\Omega} \subset B_R(0), \quad B_{\varepsilon_0}(x_i) \cap B_{\varepsilon_0}(x_j) = \emptyset \quad \text{while } i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_{\varepsilon_0}(x_j) \subset B_R(0).$$

Define $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi(x/R) - \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}(x)$ with $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. It is not difficult to deduce that $\{\psi_\varepsilon u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded in X and the bound is independent of ε from (2.15). According to (2.9) and (2.10), it is clear that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle \tilde{I}'(u_n) - \tilde{I}'(u), (u_n - u)\psi_\varepsilon \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} J_1(u_n, u)\psi_\varepsilon + J_2(u_n, u) + J_3(u_n, u) \, dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where $J_1(u_n, u) = (a(\nabla u_n) - a(\nabla u)) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla u)$, $J_2(u_n, u) = (a(\nabla u_n) - a(\nabla u)) \cdot \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(u_n - u)$ and $J_3(u_n, u) = (f(x, u_n^+) - f(x, u^+))\psi_\varepsilon(u - u_n)$. Applying the method as in (2.16), we see that $\left| \int_{\Omega} J_2(u_n, u) \, dx \right|$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the definition of ψ_ε and (2.12) imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n \psi_\varepsilon|^p \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\psi_\varepsilon|^p \, dv = \int_{\Omega} |u \psi_\varepsilon|^p \, dx.$$

Recalling (2.10), we see $u_n \psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow u \psi_\varepsilon$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. In view of (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Omega} J_3(u_n, u) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} (|f(x, u_n^+)| + |f(x, u^+)|)^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-1}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p^*-1}{p^*}} \| \psi_\varepsilon(u_n - u) \|_{p^*} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

According to (2.17), (2.18), we deduce that $J_1(u_n, u)$ converges to 0 a.e. in Ω as $n \rightarrow \infty$, maybe extracting a subsequence. Since $A(\xi)$ is strictly convex, by the same method as [14], we claim $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u$ a.e. in Ω , and there exists a subsequence, still denoted by itself, such that $a(\nabla u_n)$ weakly converges to $a(\nabla u)$ in X^* . Hence, (2.7), (2.9) and (2.11) imply that $\tilde{I}'(u_n)$ weakly converges to $\tilde{I}'(u)$ in X^* and $\tilde{I}'(u) = 0$. So, it is not difficult to see that $u \geq 0$ and $I'(u) = \tilde{I}'(u) = 0$, which means that u is a weakly solution of equation (1.1).

Next, we prove u is nontrivial, i.e., $u \neq 0$ if assumption (C2) holds. According to the definition of $\tilde{I}(u)$ and the properties of $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, we conclude that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(o(1) + c_0 - \tilde{I}(u)) - \frac{1}{p^*} \sum_{j \in J} \langle \tilde{I}'(u_n), u_n \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (A(\nabla u_n) - A(\nabla u)) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (F(x, u_n^+) - F(x, u^+)) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{p^*} \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\Omega} a(\nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla (u_n \varphi_{\varepsilon,j}) - f(x, u_n^+) \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} u_n \, dx \\
 & = K_1 + K_2 + \frac{1}{p^*} \sum_{j \in J} \left(p^* K_{3,j} + (p^* - p) \int_{\Omega} A(\nabla u_n) \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} \, dx + K_{4,j} - K_{5,j} + K_{6,j} \right), \quad (2.19)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{3,j} = \int_{\Omega} (F(x, u^+) - A(\nabla u)) \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} \, dx$ converges to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, and it has been proved in (2.16) that $K_{5,j} = \int_{\Omega} a(\nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} u_n \, dx$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_1 &= \int_{\Omega} [A(\nabla u_n) - A(\nabla u)] \psi_{\varepsilon} \, dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\varepsilon} \, d\mu - \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\varepsilon} A(\nabla u) \, dx + o(1) \geq o(1) \quad (\text{by (2.1)}), \\
 K_2 &= \int_{\Omega} [F(x, u_n^+) - F(x, u^+)] \psi_{\varepsilon} \, dx = o(1) \\
 & \quad (\text{by the method similar to that in (2.18)}), \\
 K_{4,j} &= \int_{\Omega} [pA(\nabla u_n) - a(\nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n] \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} \, dx \\
 & \geq -2\sigma \|u_n\|_X^p - C_{\sigma} \text{mes}(B_{\varepsilon}(0)) \quad (\text{by (2.2)}), \\
 K_{6,j} &= \int_{\Omega} [f(x, u_n^+) u_n - p^* F(x, u_n^+)] \varphi_{\varepsilon,j} \, dx \\
 & \geq -\sigma \|u_n\|_{p^*}^p - C_{\sigma} \text{mes}(B_{\varepsilon}(0)) \quad (\text{by (2.5)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.12), (2.13) and the above inequalities and equalities, firstly taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, then taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, and finally taking $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$ in (2.19), we have

$$c_0 - I(u) = c_0 - \tilde{I}(u) \geq \frac{p^* - p}{p^*} \mu_j \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) (p\bar{S})^{\frac{p^*}{p^* - p}} c_2^{\frac{p}{p^* - p}}.$$

According to the definition of c_0 and assumption (C2), we have $I(u) < 0$, which means $u \neq 0$. □

Before proving Theorem 1.2, we need to introduce the function family $\{v_{\varepsilon}\}$ which approximates the best embedding constant S from X to $L^p(\Omega)$. Without loss of generalization, we suppose $B_2(0) \subset \Omega$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Denote

$$U = \frac{1}{(1 + |x|^{p/(p-1)})^{(N-p)/p}}, \quad U_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\frac{p-N}{p}} U\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad u_{\varepsilon} = U_{\varepsilon} \varphi, \quad v_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{p^*}^{-1},$$

where φ is defined in (2.14), and U is the extremal function reaching S . It is easy to check that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1(0)} |U_{\varepsilon}|^p \, dx = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{p-1}}), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1(0)} |\nabla U_{\varepsilon}|^p \, dx = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}), \quad (2.20)$$

$$\int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} |U_{\varepsilon}|^m \, dx = \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} |\nabla U_{\varepsilon}|^m \, dx = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-p)m}{(p-1)p}}), \quad (2.21)$$

$$\|v_{\varepsilon}\|_{p^*}^p = 1, \quad \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_p^p = o(1), \quad \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_X^p = S + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/(p-1)}). \quad (2.22)$$

As a result of the preparations, we can prove Theorem 1.2 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Without loss of generalization, we suppose $B_2(0) \subset W$. For convenience, let A and B denote some positive constants which may be different in different places.

Applying the method in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we deduce that $I(t v_\varepsilon) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, which implies there exists a $t_\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that $I(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) = \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t v_\varepsilon)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt} I(t v_\varepsilon)|_{t=t_\varepsilon} = \langle I'(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon), v_\varepsilon \rangle = \int_\Omega a(t_\varepsilon \nabla v_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon \, dx - \int_\Omega f(x, t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) v_\varepsilon \, dx = 0. \tag{2.23}$$

Let $\sigma = 1$ and $k = p$ in (2.4), combining (2.23), (A1), (A3) and (A5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_4 t_\varepsilon^p \|v_\varepsilon\|_X^p &\leq \int_\Omega A(t_\varepsilon \nabla v_\varepsilon) \, dx \leq t_\varepsilon \int_\Omega a(t_\varepsilon \nabla v_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon \, dx \\ &\leq C(t_\varepsilon^p \|v_\varepsilon\|_p^p + t_\varepsilon^{p^*} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{p^*}^p). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (2.22), we see that t_ε is positive and bounded away from zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Moreover, according to assumption (A2) and (2.4), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} C(t_\varepsilon^p \|v_\varepsilon\|_X^p + 1) &\geq t_\varepsilon \int_\Omega a(t_\varepsilon \nabla v_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon \, dx = \int_\Omega f(x, t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \, dx \\ &\geq \frac{c_1}{2} t_\varepsilon^{p^*} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{p^*}^p - C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (2.22) implies t_ε is bounded, and the bound is independent of ε .

Set

$$h_0(t) = \frac{a_4}{p} t^p \|v_\varepsilon\|_X^p - \frac{c_2}{p^*} t^{p^*} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{p^*}^p.$$

In view of (2.22), we have

$$\max_{t \geq 0} h_0(t) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \left(\frac{a_4 \|v_\varepsilon\|_X^p}{\|v_\varepsilon\|_{p^*}^p}\right)^{\frac{p^*}{p-p}} c_2^{\frac{p}{p-p^*}} = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (a_4 S)^{\frac{p^*}{p-p}} c_2^{\frac{p}{p-p^*}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}).$$

It is noted that $\phi(r)$ is increasing with $\phi(0) = 0$ according to assumption (C3). Hence, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I(t v_\varepsilon) &= I(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) \leq h_0(t_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega \phi(t_\varepsilon |\nabla v_\varepsilon|) \, dx - \int_\Omega \psi(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) \, dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (a_4 S)^{\frac{p^*}{p-p}} c_2^{\frac{p}{p-p^*}} \\ &\quad + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) + \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega \phi(t_\varepsilon |\nabla v_\varepsilon|) \, dx - \int_\Omega \psi(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Next, we handle the last two terms on the right-hand side of (2.24). Since $\phi(r)$ is nonnegative and increasing, we can utilize the properties of v_ε and t_ε to calculate

$$0 \leq \int_\Omega \phi(t_\varepsilon |\nabla v_\varepsilon|) \, dx \leq \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(A |\nabla U_\varepsilon|) \, dx + \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} \phi(A |\nabla u_\varepsilon|) \, dx, \tag{2.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(A|\nabla U_\varepsilon|) dx &\leq \int_{B_{1/\varepsilon}(0)} \varepsilon^N \phi(A\varepsilon^{\frac{-N}{p}}|\nabla U|) dx \\ &\leq B\varepsilon^N \left(\int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1}} + \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}}^{A\varepsilon^{-1}} \right) \Phi(r) dr, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where $\Phi(r)$ is defined in assumption (C3). Without loss of generalization, suppose $A > 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. According to the definition of ϕ and assumption (A2), it is clear that $0 \leq \phi(r) \leq a_2r^p + a_3r^m$, then we have

$$B\varepsilon^N \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}}^{A\varepsilon^{-1}} \Phi(r) dr = \int_{B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)} \phi(A|\nabla u_\varepsilon|) dx = O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-p)m}{(p-1)p}}\right). \tag{2.27}$$

We have used (2.20) and (2.21) in the last equality. Furthermore, (2.3) and the definition of $\psi(u)$ imply that $\psi(u) \leq B(|u|^p + |u|^p)$. Repeating the above argument, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) dx \geq B\varepsilon^N \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \Psi(r) dr + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}\right). \tag{2.28}$$

Remembering assumption (A5), we see $p\bar{S} \geq a_4S$. Combining (2.24)-(2.28), we obtain

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I(tv_\varepsilon) \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) (p\bar{S})^{\frac{p^*}{p-p}} c_2^{\frac{p}{p-p}} - \varepsilon^N (BK_1(\psi) - \bar{BK}_2(\phi)) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-p)m}{(p-1)p}}\right).$$

So, assumption (C3) implies that assumption (C2) holds if we choose ε small enough, and the conclusion in Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 We firstly prove $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ by the Moser iteration. Since the problem involves critical growth, we need some preparation before making the Moser iteration. Set $\eta(t) \in C(\mathbf{R})$ and

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} \text{sgn}(t)|t|^k & \text{if } |t| \leq M, \\ \text{linear} & \text{if } |t| \geq M, \end{cases} \quad \text{where } k > 1, M > 1, \quad \xi(t) = \int_0^t (\eta'(s))^p ds.$$

It is not difficult to check that $\eta'(t) > 0$ and $\xi(u) \in X$ if $u \in X$, and for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi(t)| &\leq k|\eta(t)|(\eta'(t))^{p-1}, \quad \eta'(t) \leq k(1 + |\eta(t)|), \\ |\xi(t)||t|^{p-1} &\leq k^p|\eta(t)|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Let $v(x) = \xi(u(x))\psi^p(x)$ in (1.3), where $\psi(x) = \varphi((x - x_0)/R_0)$ and φ is defined in (2.14), $x_0 \subset \Omega$, $R_0 > 0$. Denote $D = B_{2R_0}(x_0) \cap \Omega$, $E = B_{R_0}(x_0) \cap \Omega$, then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} a(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \xi'(u) \psi^p dx + p \int_{\Omega} a(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla \psi \xi(u) \psi^{p-1} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \xi(u) \psi^p dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Denote the first term and the second term on the left-hand side and on the right-hand side of (2.30) as J_1 and J_2, J_3 , respectively. Now, we estimate them as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\geq a_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \psi^p \xi'(u) \, dx = a_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta(u)|^p \psi^p \, dx \\ &= a_1 \int_D (|\nabla(\eta(u)\psi)|^p - |\eta(u)\nabla\psi|^p) \, dx, \\ J_2 &\geq -pC \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p-1} + |\nabla u|^{m-1}) |\xi(u)| |\nabla\psi| \psi^{p-1} \, dx \\ &\geq -pkC \int_D (|\eta'(u)\nabla u|^{p-1} + |\eta'(u)|^{p-1} |\nabla u|^{m-1}) |\eta(u)| |\nabla\psi| \psi^{p-1} \, dx \quad (\text{by (2.29)}) \\ &\geq -\sigma \int_D |\nabla \eta(u)|^p \psi^p \, dx - \sigma J_4 - C_{\sigma} k^p \int_D |\eta(u)|^p |\nabla\psi|^p \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where σ is a positive number defined later and

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 &= \int_D |\eta'(u)|^p |\nabla u|^{\frac{m-1}{p-1}p} \psi^p \, dx \leq k^p \int_D (1 + |\eta(u)|)^p (1 + |\nabla u|^p) \psi^p \, dx \quad (\text{by (2.29)}) \\ &\leq \int_D |\nabla \eta(u)|^p \psi^p \, dx + k^p \int_D |\eta(u)|^p \psi^p \, dx + Ck^p, \\ J_3 &\leq C \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{p^*-1} + 1) \xi(u) \psi^p \, dx \\ &\leq Ck^p \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*-p} |\eta(u)|^p \psi^p + |\eta(u)| (1 + |\eta(u)|)^{p-1} \psi^p \, dx \quad (\text{by (2.29)}) \\ &\leq Ck^p \left(\int_D |\eta(u)\psi|^{p^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \left(\left(\int_D |u|^{p^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p^*-p}{p^*}} + \text{mes}(D)^{\frac{p^*-p}{p^*}} \right) + Ck^p. \end{aligned}$$

Set $k = k_0 = p^*/p$ in view of (2.30) and the above equalities. If we firstly fix a small enough σ , then a small enough R_0 , then we can obtain

$$\left(\int_E |\eta(u)|^{p^*} \, dx \right)^{p/p^*} \leq C \int_E |\nabla \eta(u)|^p \, dx \leq C \int_D |\eta(u)|^p \, dx + C,$$

where C is a constant independent of M . Taking $M \rightarrow +\infty$, then we deduce $u \in L^{k_0 p^*}(E)$. Applying a simple covering argument, we achieve that $u \in L^{k_0 p^*}(\Omega)$. Finally, repeating the same argument, we derive that $u \in L^{p^*+p}(\Omega)$. As a result of the preparations, we can use the Moser iteration to prove $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Let $v(x) = \xi(u(x))$ in (1.3), and we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta(u)|^p \, dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} a(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \xi'(u) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \xi(u) \, dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{p^*-1} + 1) \xi(u) \, dx \\ &\leq Ck^p \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{p^*-p} + 1) |\eta(u)|^p + 1 \, dx \quad (\text{by (2.29)}) \\ &\leq Ck^p \left(\int_{\Omega} |\eta(u)|^{(p^*+p)/2} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2p}{p^*+p}} \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*+p} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p^*-p}{p^*+p}} + 1 \right) + Ck^p, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant independent of M and k . If we set $\lambda = (p^* + p)/(2p^*)$, then the above inequality implies

$$\|u\|_{k p^*} \leq C^{1/k p} K^{1/k} (1 + \|u\|_{\lambda k p^*}^{k p})^{1/(k p)}.$$

Thus, $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ follows from the standard Moser iteration method.

Applying Theorem 1 in [15], we see that there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$. If we rewrite $f(x, u)$ as $(f(x, u)/u)u$, then $f(x, u)/u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $f(x, u)/u \geq 0$. Employing Theorem 6 in [16], it is obvious that $u > 0$ in Ω . \square

3 Some corollaries and examples

In this section, we firstly consider when (C3) is true through analyzing $K_1(\psi)$ and $K_2(\phi)$, then we give some concrete examples and corollaries.

Firstly, we analyze the effect of $a(z)$ to $K_2(\phi)$:

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose $a(z)$ satisfies assumptions (A1)-(A5) and*

(A6) *There exist positive numbers m_1, m_2, A, B such that $\phi(r) \leq Br^{m_1}$ for any $0 \leq r \leq A$ and $\phi(r) \leq Br^{m_2}$ for any $r \geq A$.*

Then $K_2(\phi) = O(\lambda_2(\varepsilon) + \lambda_3(\varepsilon))$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, where $K_2(\phi)$ is defined in Theorem 1.2 and

$$\lambda_2(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-p)m_1}{(p-1)p} - N} & \text{if } m_1 < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}, \\ \varepsilon^{\frac{-m_1 N}{p}} |\ln \varepsilon| & \text{if } m_1 = \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}, \\ \varepsilon^{\frac{N^2(1-p)}{(N-1)p}} & \text{if } m_1 > \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}, \end{cases} \quad \lambda_3(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\frac{N^2(1-p)}{(N-1)p}} & \text{if } m_2 < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}, \\ \varepsilon^{\frac{-m_2 N}{p}} |\ln \varepsilon| & \text{if } m_2 = \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}, \\ \varepsilon^{\frac{-m_2 N}{p}} & \text{if } m_2 > \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}. \end{cases}$$

Proof Repeating the argument similar to (2.26), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq K_2(\phi) &\leq B \int_0^{A\varepsilon^{(p-1)N/p}} \varepsilon^{\frac{-m_1 N}{p}} r^{N+\frac{m_1}{p-1}-1} dr + B \int_{A\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \varepsilon^{\frac{-m_1 N}{p}} r^{N-\frac{N-1}{p-1}m_1-1} dr \\ &+ B \int_{A\varepsilon^{(p-1)N/p}}^1 \varepsilon^{\frac{-m_2 N}{p}} r^{N+\frac{m_2}{p-1}-1} dr + B \int_1^{A\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{-m_2 N}{p}} r^{N-\frac{N-1}{p-1}m_2-1} dr \\ &= \lambda_2(\varepsilon) + \lambda_3(\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Secondly, we analyze how $\psi(u)$ affects $K_1(\psi)$. The proof is similar to the above, and we omit it.

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose $\psi(u)$ defined in Theorem 1.2 satisfies*

(B6) *There are positive numbers A, B and $q \geq p$ such that $\psi(u) \geq B|u|^q$ when $0 \leq u \leq A$. Then we have $K_1(\psi) \geq \lambda_4(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, where*

$$\lambda_4(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-p)q}{(p-1)p} - N} & \text{if } q < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \\ C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(1-p)}{p}} |\ln \varepsilon| & \text{if } q = \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \\ C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(1-p)}{p}} & \text{if } q > \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \end{cases} \quad C \text{ is a positive constant.}$$

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose $\psi(u)$ defined in Theorem 1.2 satisfies*

(B7) There exist positive numbers A, B and $q < p^*$ such that $\psi(u) \geq B|u|^q$ if $u \geq A$.
 Then $K_1(\psi) \geq \lambda_5(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, where

$$\lambda_5(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(1-p)}{p}} & \text{if } q < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \\ C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(1-p)}{p}} |\ln \varepsilon| & \text{if } q = \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \\ C\varepsilon^{\frac{(p-N)q}{p}} & \text{if } q > \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \end{cases} \quad C \text{ is a positive constant.}$$

In the following, we can utilize Theorem 1.2 and Lemmas 3.1-3.3 to prove the following results about some concrete problems. The proof is trivial and we omit it.

Corollary 3.4 Assume $a(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \xi$, $p \geq 2$ in problem (1.1), and assumptions (B1)-(B5), (C1) hold, $\psi(r)$ defined in assumption (B5) satisfies

$$(B8) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K_1(\psi)}{\lambda_6(\varepsilon)} = +\infty, \quad \text{where } \lambda_6(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-N + \frac{2(N-p)}{p(p-1)}} & \text{if } p > 3 - \frac{2}{N}, \\ \varepsilon^{-N + \frac{2(N-p)}{p(p-1)}} |\ln \varepsilon| & \text{if } p = 3 - \frac{2}{N}, \\ \varepsilon^{-\frac{N^2(p-1)}{(N-1)p}} & \text{if } p < 3 - \frac{2}{N}. \end{cases}$$

Then problem (1.1) possesses a nontrivial solution.

Proof Take $m_1 = 2$ and $m_2 = p - 2$ in Lemma 3.1, and we can deduce the conclusion. \square

Example 3.1 Next, we consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}((1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u) = c|u|^{p^*-2}u + k(x)|u|^{q-2}u + g(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Corollary 3.5 Suppose the parameters $2 \leq p < q < p^*$ and $c > 0$, the functions $k(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $k(x) \geq k^* > 0$, and $g(x, u) \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R})$ with $g(x, 0) = 0$, $g(x, u)u \geq 0$. Moreover,

$$q > \begin{cases} p^* - \frac{N}{N-1} & \text{if } 2 \leq p \leq 3 - \frac{2}{N}, \\ p^* - \frac{2}{p-1} & \text{if } p \geq 3 - \frac{2}{N}, \end{cases} \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{|g(x, u)|}{|u|^{p^*-1}} = 0,$$

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{g(x, u)}{|u|^{p-2}u} < S.$$

Then problem (3.1) possesses a positive solution in $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$).

Example 3.2 Next, we consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + \frac{|\nabla u|^{\alpha+p-2}}{1+|\nabla u|^p} \nabla u) = c|u|^{p^*-2}u + k(x)|u|^{q-2}u + g(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Corollary 3.6 *Suppose the parameters $1 \leq \alpha < p$ and $q < p^*$, $c > 0$, the functions $k(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $k(x) \geq k^* > 0$, and $g(x, u) \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R})$ with $g(x, 0) = 0$, $g(x, u)u \geq 0$. Moreover,*

$$q > \begin{cases} p^* - \frac{N}{N-1} & \text{if } \alpha \leq \frac{N(p-1)}{(N-1)}, \\ \frac{N\alpha}{N-p} & \text{if } \alpha \geq \frac{N(p-1)}{(N-1)}, \end{cases} \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{|g(x, u)|}{|u|^{p^*-1}} = 0, \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{g(x, u)}{|u|^{p-2}u} < S.$$

Then problem (3.2) possesses a positive solution in $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$).

Proof Letting $m_1 = 0$ and $m_2 = \alpha$ in Lemma 3.1, and combining Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 1.3, we can derive the conclusion. \square

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

ZY brought out the problem and gave the proof of the existence of a nontrivial solution. GD suggested that the generalized C-C principle could be applied to this problem and proved the non-trivial solution could be a positive solution. HY improved the regularity of the solution and checked all of the proof.

Author details

¹School of Mathematics Science, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, 510631, China. ²School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Guangdong University of Business Studies, Guangzhou, 510320, China.

Acknowledgements

The project is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271143, 10901060, 10971073), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (No. Y6110775, Y6110789).

Received: 14 May 2012 Accepted: 13 September 2012 Published: 2 October 2012

References

1. Brezis, H, Louis, N: Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical sobolev exponents. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **36**, 437-477 (1983)
2. Chen, Z-H, Shen, Y-T: Some existence results of solutions for p -Laplacian. *Acta Math. Sci., Ser. B Engl. Ed.* **23**, 487-496 (2003)
3. Halidias, N: Existence theorems for nonlinear elliptic problems. *Arch. Inequal. Appl.* **6**(3), 305-323 (2001)
4. Song, C-X, Wen, P-X: Eigenvalue problems for p -Laplacian functional dynamic equations on time scales. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2008**, Article ID 879140 (2008)
5. Xuan, B-J: The solvability of quasilinear Brezis-Nirenberg-type problems with singular weights. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **62**, 703-725 (2005)
6. Zhu, X-P: Nontrivial solution of quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent. *Sci. Sin., Ser. A* (3) **16**, 225-237 (1988)
7. Lion, P: The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variation, the limit case, part 1. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **1**(1), 145-201 (1985)
8. Lion, P: The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variation, the limit case, part 2. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **1**(2), 45-121 (1985)
9. Fu, H-Z, Shen, Y-T, Yang, J: p -mean curvature operator with critical exponent. *Chin. Q. J. Math.* **21**, 511-521 (2006)
10. Yang, Z, Geng, D, Yan, H-W: Some generalizations to the concentration-compactness principle. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **33**, 597-606 (2009)
11. Yang, Z, Geng, D, Yan, H-W: Three solutions for singular p -Laplacian type equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2008**, 61 (2008)
12. Du, K, Meng, Q-X: A revisit to theory of super-parabolic backward stochastic partial differential equations. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **120**(10), 1996-2015 (2010)
13. Du, K, Zhang, Q: Semi-linear degenerate backward stochastic partial differential equations and associated forward backward stochastic differential equations. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0672> (2011)
14. Geng, D, Yang, Z: On the weakly continuity of p -Laplace operator in quasilinear elliptic equations with critical growth. *J. South China Norm. Univ., Nat. Sci. Ed.*, **2003**(3), 10-13 (2003)
15. Peter, T: Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **51**, 126-150 (1984)
16. Marcelo, M: Strong maximum principles for supersolutions of quasilinear elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **37**, 431-448 (1999)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-218

Cite this article as: Yang et al.: A positive solution of a p -Laplace-like equation with critical growth. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2012 2012:218.