

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Existence and stability results for non-hybrid single-valued and fully hybrid multi-valued problems with multipoint-multistrip conditions

Shahram Rezapour<sup>1,2</sup>, Bashir Ahmad<sup>3</sup>, Abdellatif Boutiara<sup>4</sup>, Kamsing Nonlaopon<sup>5\*</sup>  and Sina Etemad<sup>1</sup>

\*Correspondence: [nkamsi@kku.ac.th](mailto:nkamsi@kku.ac.th)

<sup>5</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen  
University, Khon Kaen 40002,  
Thailand

Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we study a new class of non-hybrid single-valued fractional boundary value problems equipped with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip conditions and a fully hybrid integro-multi-valued fractional boundary value problem by some new methods including the Kuratowski measures based on Sadovskii's theorem, Krasnoselskii–Zabreiko criterion, and Dhage's technique. We generalize the Gronwall inequality in relation to a non-hybrid single-valued fractional boundary value problem, and then we investigate the stability notions in two versions. To examine the correctness of the results, we provide some examples.

**MSC:** 34A08; 34A12

**Keywords:** Fully hybrid equation; Gronwall inequality; Stability; Multi-strip; Multi-valued boundary problem; Condensing map

## 1 Introduction

Fractional differential equations (FDEs) and fractional differential inclusions (FDIs) in the context of a more general field of mathematics, entitled fractional calculus, have attracted the attention of scientific community in recent decades. The scientists and researchers have applied a diversity of new mathematical tools and methods for studying FDEs and FDIs. Some significant books in this field were published in the early years, in which the basic concepts of this field were defined and introduced well for everyone. Some instances are the books of Podlubny [1], Miller *et al.* [2], Deimling [3], Aubin *et al.* [4], and Kilbas *et al.* [5].

The conducted research in different scientific fields can be found in some areas like biomathematics, biophysics, mechanics, biology, control theory, engineering, economics, morphology, rheology, etc. [6, 7]. In the investigation of solutions of different FDEs and FDIs, we can find many valuable scientific articles in which the existence, uniqueness, attractivity and stability, positivity and multiplicity, approximation, and other analytical properties of solutions are studied in the framework of different nonlinear fractional

© The Author(s) 2022. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

boundary value problems (FBVPs). A large number of mathematicians have constructive contributions in this regard, and in all of them, a trace of fixed point theory and the relevant techniques is observed. Some of their published works can be found in [8–16].

Fractional hybrid differential inclusions (FHDIs) and fractional hybrid differential equations (FHDEs) of the first and second types are the generalizations of standard FDIs and FDEs which were introduced by Dhage *et al.* [17] in 2010 and also by Zhao *et al.* [18] in 2011. Immediately, these hybrid FBVPs found their place in various computations and mathematical modelings so that we highlight some of the strong works in this regard by naming the papers from Baleanu *et al.* [19], Nagajothi *et al.* [20], Matar *et al.* [21], Khan *et al.* [22], Mohammadi *et al.* [23], etc. Along with these, an extended type of boundary conditions (BCs) entitled multi-point and multi-strip conditions were introduced in some models. In these FBVPs equipped with such generalized conditions, the sum of respective multi-strip multi-point values is proportional to the value of unknown function and its derivatives in some points. Examples of these BCs and FBVPs can be seen in some newly-published works. In [24], Alam *et al.* investigated the Caputo multi-point strip FDE with the help of the Laplace transform and Bielecki norm, given as

$$\begin{cases} u^{(2)}(t) + {}^c D_0^q u(t) = h(t, u(t)), \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-2} \varepsilon_j u(\sigma_j) = u(0), \quad I_0^\theta \phi(b, u(b)) = u(1), \end{cases}$$

where  $q \in (1, 2)$ ,  $\theta > 1$ ,  $b \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\varepsilon_j, \sigma_j \geq 0$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In [25], Ahmad *et al.* generalized a category of FBVPs equipped with the boundary conditions of the multi-point multi-strip non-separated type given by

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^q u(t) = h(t, u(t), {}^c D_0^p u(t)), \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-2} \varepsilon_j u(\sigma_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-2} \epsilon_k \int_{b_k}^{d_j} u(s) ds = l_1 u(0) + l_2 u(1), \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-2} \rho_j u'(\sigma_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-2} \varrho_k \int_{b_k}^{d_j} u'(s) ds = l_3 u'(0) + l_4 u'(1), \end{cases}$$

where  $q \in (1, 2]$ ,  $p \in (0, 1)$ ,  $0 < \sigma_j < b_k < d_j < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_j, \epsilon_k, \rho_j, \varrho_k, l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 > 0$ . We see other similar examples in articles by Lv *et al.* [26], by Salem *et al.* [27], by Ahmad *et al.* [28], etc.

Inspired by the above ideas and by [29], in this paper, we investigate the existence of solutions of non-hybrid single-valued FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^q u(t) = h(t, u(t)) \quad (q \in (2, 3], t \in \mathbb{I} := [0, 1]), \\ u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad u'(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \\ \int_0^1 u(s) ds = \sum_{\ell=1}^r a_\ell u(t)|_{t=\delta_\ell} + \sum_{i=2}^p b_{i-1} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} u(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j I_0^{\theta_j} u(t)|_{t=\eta_j}. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,  $\theta_j \geq 0$ ,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{p-1}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$  are constants and  $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \dots \leq \delta_r < m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_p < \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \dots \leq \eta_k < 1$ . Also,  $h: \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  ${}^c D_0^q$  and  $I_0^{\theta_1}, \dots, I_0^{\theta_k}$  denote the fractional operators of Caputo and Riemann–Liouville types.

As a second problem, by applying some notions of functional analysis, we study the existence of solutions for a fully hybrid integro-multi-valued FBVP with integro-hybrid-

multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions given by

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^q \left( \frac{u(t)}{y(t, u(t))} \right) \in \mathcal{G}(t, u(t), \int_0^1 u(s) ds) & (q \in (2, 3], t \in \mathbb{I} := [0, 1]), \\ \left( \frac{u(t)}{y(t, u(t))} \right)|_{t=0} = 0, & \left( \frac{u(t)}{y(t, u(t))} \right)'|_{t=0} = 0, \\ \int_0^1 \left( \frac{u(s)}{y(s, u(s))} \right) ds = \sum_{\ell=1}^r a_\ell \left( \frac{u(t)}{y(t, u(t))} \right)|_{t=\delta_\ell} \\ \quad + \sum_{i=2}^p b_{i-1} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \left( \frac{u(s)}{y(s, u(s))} \right) ds + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j I_0^{\theta_j} \left( \frac{u(t)}{y(t, u(t))} \right)|_{t=\eta_j}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $y: \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  is continuous and  $\mathcal{G}: \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R})$  is a multi-valued map with some specific properties.

The main contribution of this work is that we combine some well-known fractional structures in the framework of two generalized FBVPs. In fact, a combination of the non-hybrid equations, fully hybrid equations, integro-differentials, multistrip conditions in multipoint positions, and a generalized inclusion is investigated in the supposed FBVPs (1.1) and (1.2) in this manuscript. Regarding the first novelty of this work, to establish results in relation to the existence criteria for this new abstract model, some pure methods arising in functional analysis will help us in this direction. In other words, with the help of some properties of the Kuratowski measure and by defining the condensing selfmaps on a convex and closed set, we prove our first result by Sadovskii's theorem on FBVP (1.1). We even have tried to derive the required conditions confirming the dependence of solutions via the generalized inequality of Gronwall type. The second novelty of this study is to apply the inclusion type of Dhage's method for generalized fully hybrid integro-multi-valued FBVP with the integro-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.2).

We organize the paper as follows: some preliminaries in relation to our methods and techniques are recollected in Sect. 2. We consider a non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1) in Sect. 3, and with the help of Sadovskii's fixed point, we prove our result, and by applying the generalized inequality of Gronwall type, the dependence of solutions is investigated. Also, the Krasnoselskii–Zabreiko criterion gives another existence result for the non-hybrid single-valued case. The stability property in some versions is proved in Sect. 4. For the fully hybrid-multi-valued FBVP (1.2), some results are established in Sect. 5 via Dhage's method. Section 6 is devoted to preparing some examples in the direction of our results. We end our study in Sect. 7 by giving conclusions.

## 2 Basic preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic notions which are used in the next sections of the paper. Let  $q > 0$ . The fractional Riemann–Liouville integral (RL-integral) for a real-valued function  $u$  on  $[0, \infty)$  is defined by

$$I_0^q u(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} u(s) ds,$$

such that the integral exists [1, 5]. Further, let  $n-1 < q < n$ . The fractional Caputo derivative (C-derivative) of  $u \in C^{(n)}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$${}^c D_0^q u(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-q-1}}{\Gamma(n-q)} u^{(n)}(s) ds,$$

so that the integral exists [1, 5]. In [2], it was proved that the solution of  ${}^c D_0^q u(t) = 0$  is  $u(t) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \alpha_2 t^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} t^{n-1}$  and

$$I_0^q({}^c D_0^q u(t)) = u(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k t^k = u(t) + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \alpha_2 t^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} t^{n-1},$$

where  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n = [q] + 1$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{Y}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}})$  be a normed space.  $u \in \mathcal{Y}$  is a fixed point of the set-valued map  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y})$  if  $u \in \mathcal{G}(u)$ , where  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y})$  is the set of all nonempty subsets of  $\mathcal{Y}$  [3]. The Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric  $H_{d_{\mathcal{Y}}} : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  is defined by

$$H_{d_{\mathcal{Y}}}(U_1, U_2) = \max \left\{ \sup_{u_1 \in U_1} d_{\mathcal{Y}}(u_1, U_2), \sup_{u_2 \in U_2} d_{\mathcal{Y}}(U_1, u_2) \right\},$$

where  $d_{\mathcal{Y}}(U_1, u_2) = \inf_{u_1 \in U_1} d_{\mathcal{Y}}(u_1, u_2)$  and  $d_{\mathcal{Y}}(u_1, U_2) = \inf_{u_2 \in U_2} d_{\mathcal{Y}}(u_1, u_2)$  [3].

All selections of the multi-function  $\mathcal{G}$  at  $u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  are denoted by

$$S_{\mathcal{G}, u} := \{ \kappa \in \mathcal{L}^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : \kappa(t) \in \mathcal{G}(t, u(t)) \}$$

for almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$  [3, 4]. Also,  $S_{\mathcal{G}, u} \neq \emptyset$  if  $\dim \mathcal{Y} < \infty$  [3].

**Theorem 2.1** ([30]) *Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a separable Banach space,  $\mathcal{G} : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{cp,cv}(\mathcal{Y})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}^1$ -Carathéodory, and  $F : \mathcal{L}^1([0, 1], \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow C([0, 1], \mathcal{Y})$  be a continuous linear mapping. Then  $F \circ S_{\mathcal{G}} : C([0, 1], \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{cp,cv}(C([0, 1], \mathcal{Y}))$  via the action  $u \mapsto (F \circ S_{\mathcal{G}})(u) = F(S_{\mathcal{G}, u})$  has a closed graph. Here,  $\mathbb{P}_{cp,cv}(\mathcal{Y})$  is all compact convex subsets.*

**Theorem 2.2** ([31]) *Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{F}_1 : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{cp,cv}(\mathcal{Y})$  be such that*

- (i)  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is Lipschitz with the constant  $L^* > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is upper semi-continuous and compact,
- (iii)  $2L^*V < 1$  such that  $V = \|\mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{Y})\|$ .

*Then either*

- (a) *there is a solution for  $u \in (\mathcal{F}_1 u)(\mathcal{F}_2 u)$ ; or*
- (b)  $\mathcal{Q} = \{u^* \in \mathcal{Y} | cu^* \in (\mathcal{F}_1 u^*)(\mathcal{F}_2 u^*), c > 1\}$  *is unbounded.*

The Kuratowski measure of noncompactness (denoted by  $\omega(W)$ ) is defined by

$$\omega(W) := \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : W = \bigcup_{k=1}^n W_k \text{ and } \text{diam}(W_k) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } k \in N_1^n \right\},$$

where  $\text{diam}(W_k) = \sup\{|u - u'| : u, u' \in W_k\}$  and  $W$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and  $0 \leq \omega(W) \leq \text{diam}(W) < +\infty$  [32].

**Lemma 2.3** ([32]) *Consider  $\mathcal{Y}$  as a Banach space and  $W, W_1, W_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$  as three bounded subsets belonging to  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Then*

- (1)  *$W$  is precompact if and only if  $\omega(W) = 0$ ;*

*Also, for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

- (2) if  $W_1 \subseteq W_2$ , then  $\omega(W_1) \leq \omega(W_2)$ ;
- (3)  $\omega(a + W) \leq \omega(W)$ ;
- (4)  $\omega(aW) = |a|\omega(W)$ ;
- (5)  $\omega(W_1 + W_2) \leq \omega(W_1) + \omega(W_2)$ ;
- (6)  $\omega(W_1 \cup W_2) \leq \max\{\omega(W_1), \omega(W_2)\}$ .

In the following lemmas, we consider  $\mathcal{Y}$  as a Banach space.

**Lemma 2.4** ([32]) *For every bounded set  $W \subset \mathcal{Y}$ , there is a countable set  $W_0 \subset W$  with  $\omega(W) \leq 2\omega(W_0)$ .*

**Lemma 2.5** ([32]) *For a bounded and equi-continuous set  $W \subseteq C([a, b], \mathcal{Y})$ ,  $\omega(W(t))$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $\omega(W) = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \omega(W(t))$ .*

**Lemma 2.6** ([32]) *If  $W = \{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq C([a, b], \mathcal{Y})$  is countable bounded, then  $\omega(W(t))$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $[a, b]$ , and*

$$\omega\left(\left\{\int_0^t u_n(s) ds\right\}_{n \geq 1}\right) \leq 2 \int_0^t \omega(\{u_n(s)\}_{n \geq 1}) ds.$$

**Definition 2.7** ([32]) Let  $h: \mathbb{D} \subset \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be bounded and continuous. Then  $h$  is condensing if  $\omega(h(W)) < \omega(W)$  for each bounded closed set  $W \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ .

We recall Sadovskii's fixed point theorem by assuming the same hypothesis on  $\mathcal{Y}$  given above.

**Theorem 2.8** ([32]) *Let  $W \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$  be bounded, convex, closed and  $h: W \rightarrow W$  be condensing. Then there is  $u \in W$  such that  $hu = u$ .*

**Theorem 2.9** ([33]) *Consider  $\phi$  as a nonnegative locally integrable map on  $[0, T] \leq \infty$  and  $\psi$  as a nondecreasing nonnegative continuous map on  $[0, T]$  along with  $\psi(t) \leq M$  so that  $M$  is assumed to be a nonzero constant. In addition, let  $\check{u} \geq 0$  be locally integrable on  $[0, T]$  with*

$$\check{u}(t) \leq \phi(t) + \psi(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \check{u}(s) ds,$$

so that  $q > 0$ . Then

$$\check{u}(t) \leq \phi(t) + \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(\psi(t)\Gamma(q))^j}{\Gamma(jq)} (t-s)^{jq-1} \phi(s) \right] ds \quad (t \in [0, T]).$$

Note that the above inequality is known as the generalized Gronwall inequality.

**Theorem 2.10** ([34], Krasnoselskii–Zabreiko) *Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be completely continuous on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . If there is a bounded linear function  $\mathbb{L}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}$  so that 1 is not its eigenvalue and*

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathbb{K}(u) - \mathbb{L}(u)\|}{\|u\|} = 0,$$

then there is  $u^* \in \mathcal{Y}$  such that  $\mathbb{K}u^* = u^*$ .

### 3 Results for non-hybrid FBVP (1.1)

In this section, a non-hybrid version of the given FBVP, defined by (1.1), is studied. In other words, in the first step, we aim to investigate the existence of solution for the given non-hybrid single-valued FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.1).

**Lemma 3.1** *Let, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,  $\theta_j \geq 0$ ,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{p-1}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$  be constants and  $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \dots \leq \delta_r < m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_p < \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \dots \leq \eta_k < 1$  and  $f \in C(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $u^*$  satisfies the linear non-hybrid FBVP with integro-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions:*

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^q u(t) = f(t), & q \in (2, 3], \\ u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, & u'(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \\ \int_0^1 u(s) ds = \sum_{\ell=1}^r a_\ell u(t)|_{t=\delta_\ell} + \sum_{i=2}^p b_{i-1} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} u(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j I_0^{\theta_j} u(t)|_{t=\eta_j} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j-s)^{q+\theta_j-1} f(s) ds \right) \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\mu$  is a constant given as follows:

$$\mu := -\frac{1}{3} + \sum_{\ell=1}^r a_\ell \delta_\ell^2 + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}(m_i^3 - m_{i-1}^3)}{3} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{2c_j}{\Gamma(\theta_j+3)} \eta_j^{\theta_j+2} \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof* Let the function  $u^*$  be a solution of the linear non-hybrid FDE (3.1). Then

$$({}^c D_0^q u^*)(t) = f(t). \quad (3.4)$$

By applying  $I_0^q$  on both sides of the non-hybrid differential equation (3.4), we get

$$u^*(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \alpha_2 t^2 \quad (3.5)$$

for some  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In the first step, the first given initial condition  $u^*(t)|_{t=0} = 0$  yields  $\alpha_0 = 0$ . So

$$u^*(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds + \alpha_1 t + \alpha_2 t^2.$$

Further, since

$$(u^*)'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-2} f(s) ds + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 t,$$

thus the second given condition  $(u^*)'(t)|_{t=0} = 0$  gives  $\alpha_1 = 0$  immediately. In consequence,

$$u^*(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds + \alpha_2 t^2. \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_0^1 u^*(s) ds = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds + \frac{1}{3} \alpha_2,$$

and for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$(I_0^{\theta_j} u^*)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q+\theta_j-1} f(s) ds + \alpha_2 \frac{2}{\Gamma(3 + \theta_j)} t^{2+\theta_j},$$

and for  $i = 2, \dots, p$ ,

$$\int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} u^*(s) ds = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds + \alpha_2 \left( \frac{m_i^3 - m_{i-1}^3}{3} \right).$$

By considering the nonzero constant  $\mu$  defined in (3.3) and by the third boundary condition, we obtain the following coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 = & \frac{1}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} f(s) ds \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} f(s) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

By substituting the above value  $\alpha_2$  in (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^*(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} f(s) ds - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} f(s) ds \right) \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{I}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies (3.2) and it is the solution of the mentioned integral equation, and so the proof is completed.  $\square$

Before starting our theorems, we introduce the Banach space  $\mathcal{Y} = \{u(t) : u(t) \in C(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})\}$  under the norm  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{I}} |u(t)|$ .

The Kuratowski measure of noncompactness will help us to continue our research on the non-hybrid FBVP (1.1).

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $h$  be a continuous function with the real values defined on  $\mathbb{I} \times \mathcal{Y}$ . Moreover, assume that there is a continuous function  $\varrho : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that an inequality*

$$|h(t, u(t))| \leq \varrho(t) \quad (3.7)$$

*holds for any  $t \in \mathbb{I}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Furthermore, assume that there is a function  $n_h : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that*

$$\omega(h(t, W)) \leq n_h(t)\omega(W) \quad (3.8)$$

*for each bounded set  $W \subset \mathcal{Y}$ . Then the non-hybrid FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip conditions (1.1) has at least one solution on  $\mathbb{I}$  if*

$$n_h^* \hat{\Psi} < \frac{1}{4}, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Psi} = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{1}{|\mu|} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+2)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell \delta_\ell^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}(m_i^{q+1} - m_{i-1}^{q+1})}{\Gamma(q+2)} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j+1)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and  $n_h^* = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{I}} |n_h(t)|$ .

*Proof* In relation to the non-hybrid-FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.1), by Lemma 3.1, we define  $\mathfrak{P} : \overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P}(u)(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} h(s, u(s)) \, ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} h(\tau, u(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} h(s, u(s)) \, ds \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} h(\tau, u(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} h(s, u(s)) \, ds \right) \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where  $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon} := \{u \in \mathcal{Y} : \|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ .

To hold Theorem 2.8, we prove the continuity of  $\mathfrak{P}$  on  $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$ . Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  for all  $u \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$ . For the sake of the continuity of the function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{I} \times \mathcal{Y}$ , we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(t, u_n(t)) = h(t, u(t))$ . By the dominated convergence theorem attributed to Lebesgue, it gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathfrak{P}u_n)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(s, u_n(s)) \, ds$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\tau, u_n(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
& - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(s, u_n(s)) \, ds \\
& - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\tau, u_n(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \\
& \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(s, u_n(s)) \, ds \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} h(s, u(s)) \, ds \\
& + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} h(\tau, u(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
& - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} h(s, u(s)) \, ds \\
& - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} h(\tau, u(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \\
& \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} h(s, u(s)) \, ds \right) \\
& = (\mathfrak{P}u)(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathfrak{P}u_n)(t) = (\mathfrak{P}u)(t)$ . Now, consider the member  $u \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$ . By (3.7), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathfrak{P}(u)|(t) & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} |h(s, u(s))| \, ds \\
& + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |h(\tau, u(\tau))| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} |h(s, u(s))| \, ds \\
& + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |h(\tau, u(\tau))| \, d\tau \, ds \\
& \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |h(s, u(s))| \, ds \right) \\
& \leq \frac{t^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} \varrho(t) + \frac{t^2 \varrho(t)}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \, ds + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \, d\tau \, ds \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} ds \Big) \\
& \leq \frac{\varrho^*}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{\varrho^*}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+2)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell \delta_\ell^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}(m_i^{q+1} - m_{i-1}^{q+1})}{\Gamma(q+2)} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j+1)} \right) \\
& = \hat{\Psi}_{\varrho^*},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\Psi}$  is introduced in (3.10). In consequence, the above estimate becomes  $\|\mathfrak{P}u\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \hat{\Psi}_{\varrho^*} < \infty$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{P}(\overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon})$  is uniformly bounded. Let  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{I}$  with  $t_1 < t_2$  and  $u \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$ . Then, by assuming  $\sup_{(t,u) \in \mathbb{I} \times \overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}} |h(t, u)| = \tilde{h}_* > 0$ , it gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\mathfrak{P}u)(t_2) - (\mathfrak{P}u)(t_1)| & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}] |h(s, u(s))| ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} |h(s, u(s))| ds \\
& \quad + \frac{(t_2^2 - t_1^2)}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} |h(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau ds \right. \\
& \quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} |h(s, u(s))| ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} |h(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau ds \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |h(s, u(s))| ds \right) \\
& \leq \frac{\tilde{h}_*}{\Gamma(q+1)} [(t_2 - t_1)^q + 2(t_2^q - t_1^q)] \\
& \quad + \frac{(t_2^2 - t_1^2)\tilde{h}_*}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+2)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell \delta_\ell^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}(m_i^{q+1} - m_{i-1}^{q+1})}{\Gamma(q+2)} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j+1)} \right) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as  $t_1$  tends to  $t_2$  (independent of  $u \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$ ). Hence  $\mathfrak{P}$  is equi-continuous. It follows that  $\mathfrak{P}$  is completely continuous by the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, and it is compact on  $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$ .

We show that  $\mathfrak{P}$  is condensing on  $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$ . Lemma 2.4 gives this fact that there is a countable set  $W_0 = \{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset W$  for each bounded set  $W \subset \overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$  such that  $\omega(\mathfrak{P}(W)) \leq 2\omega(\mathfrak{P}(W_0))$ . Hence, by Lemmas 2.3, 2.5, and 2.6, we get the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(\mathfrak{P}(W(t))) & \leq 2\omega(\mathfrak{P}(\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1})) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} \omega(h(s, \{u_n(s)\}_{n \geq 1})) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \omega(h(\tau, \{u_n(\tau)\}_{n \geq 1})) d\tau ds \right. \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \omega(h(s, \{u_n(s)\}_{n \geq 1})) ds \\
& + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \omega(h(\tau, \{u_n(\tau)\}_{n \geq 1})) d\tau ds \\
& \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \omega(h(s, \{u_n(s)\}_{n \geq 1})) ds \right) \\
& \leq \frac{4}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} n_h(s) \omega(\{u_n(s)\}_{n \geq 1}) ds \\
& + \frac{4t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} n_h(\tau) \omega(\{u_n(\tau)\}_{n \geq 1}) d\tau ds \right. \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} n_h(s) \omega(\{u_n(s)\}_{n \geq 1}) ds \\
& + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} n_h(\tau) \omega(\{u_n(\tau)\}_{n \geq 1}) d\tau ds \\
& \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} n_h(s) \omega(\{u_n(s)\}_{n \geq 1}) ds \right) \\
& \leq \frac{4n_h^* \omega(W)}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} ds + \frac{4n_h^* \omega(W)}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} d\tau ds \right. \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} ds + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} d\tau ds \\
& \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} ds \right) \\
& \leq \frac{4n_h^* \omega(W)}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{4n_h^* \omega(W)}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+2)} \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell \delta_\ell^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1} (m_i^{q+1} - m_{i-1}^{q+1})}{\Gamma(q+2)} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j+1)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\omega(\mathfrak{P}(W)) \leq 4n_h^* \hat{\Psi} \omega(W),$$

where  $\hat{\Psi}$  is defined in (3.10). Accordingly, by (3.9), we get  $\omega(\mathfrak{P}(W)) < \omega(W)$ . So  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a condensing map on  $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$ . By Theorem 2.8,  $\mathfrak{P}$  has at least one fixed point in  $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon}$ , and accordingly, there is a solution for the given non-hybrid single-valued-FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.1).  $\square$

In the present step, we aim to investigate the dependence of solutions for the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.1). Indeed, this part of the paper states that the solution of the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1) depends on some parameters so that the nonlinear map  $\mathfrak{h}$  satisfies Theorem 3.2, which ensures the existence of solutions, and the continuous dependence of solutions on the coefficients and orders gives the stability in relation to the solutions of (1.1). We act on the solutions of the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.1) by changing the order of the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1) to a small value. The generalized Gronwall inequality will be useful for our purpose.

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $q > 0$  such that  $2 < q - \alpha < q < 3$ . Moreover, assume that  $\mathfrak{h} : \mathbb{I} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is continuous and there is  $\beta > 0$  so that*

$$|\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, u(\mathfrak{t})) - \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, u'(\mathfrak{t}))| \leq \beta |u(\mathfrak{t}) - u'(\mathfrak{t})| \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $u, u' \in \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{I}$ . Moreover, let  $u$  be the solution of the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.1) and  $v$  be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^{q-\alpha} v(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{t}, v(\mathfrak{t})), \\ v(\mathfrak{t})|_{\mathfrak{t}=0} = 0, \quad v'(\mathfrak{t})|_{\mathfrak{t}=0} = 0, \\ \int_0^1 v(\mathfrak{s}) \, d\mathfrak{s} = \sum_{\ell=1}^r a_\ell v(\mathfrak{t})|_{\mathfrak{t}=\delta_\ell} + \sum_{i=2}^p b_{i-1} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} v(\mathfrak{s}) \, d\mathfrak{s} + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j I_0^{\theta_j} v(\mathfrak{t})|_{\mathfrak{t}=\eta_j}. \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Then the following inequality is valid:

$$\|u - v\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^j}{\Gamma(j(q-\alpha)+1)}}{1 - B - B \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^j}{\Gamma(j(q-\alpha)+1)}}, \quad (3.14)$$

provided that  $B + B \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^j}{\Gamma(j(q-\alpha)+1)} < 1$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} = & \|\mathfrak{h}\| \sup_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{I}} \left| \frac{\mathfrak{t}^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} - \frac{\mathfrak{t}^q}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+1)} \right| \\ & + \sup_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{I}} \frac{\mathfrak{t}^2}{\mu} \left( \|\mathfrak{h}\| \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+2)} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+2)} \right| \right. \\ & + \|\mathfrak{h}\| \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell \delta_\ell^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell \delta_\ell^{q-\alpha}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+1)} \right| \\ & + \|\mathfrak{h}\| \left| \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1} (m_i^{q+1} - m_{i-1}^{q+1})}{\Gamma(q+2)} - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1} (m_i^{q-\alpha+1} - m_{i-1}^{q-\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+2)} \right| \\ & \left. + \|\mathfrak{h}\| \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j+1)} - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q-\alpha+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+\theta_j+1)} \right| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$B = \frac{1}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+2)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}^{q-\alpha}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+1)} + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1} (m_i^{q-\alpha+1} - m_{i-1}^{q-\alpha+1})}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+2)} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q-\alpha+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+\theta_j+1)} \right) \beta, \quad (3.16)$$

and  $\|h\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{I}} |h(t, u(t))|$ .

*Proof* Prior to proceeding to derive inequality (3.14), we know that the existence of solution for two non-hybrid single-valued-FBVPs (1.1) and (3.13) is guaranteed by the same proof done above, and so the solutions of these two non-hybrid-single-valued-FBVPs are obtained by (3.2) and

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-\alpha-1} h(s, v(s)) ds \\ & + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-\alpha-1} h(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_{\ell}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} \int_0^{\delta_{\ell}} (\delta_{\ell}-s)^{q-\alpha-1} h(s, v(s)) ds \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-\alpha-1} h(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j-s)^{q-\alpha+\theta_j-1} h(s, v(s)) ds \right) \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

respectively. Then, the following estimate for  $u - v$  is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t) - v(t)| \leq & \left| \int_0^t \left( \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} - \frac{(t-s)^{q-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} \right) h(s, u(s)) ds \right| \\ & + \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} |h(s, u(s)) - h(s, v(s))| ds \\ & + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^s \left( \frac{(s-\tau)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} - \frac{(s-\tau)^{q-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} \right) h(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau ds \right| \right. \\ & + \int_0^1 \int_0^s \frac{(s-\tau)^{q-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} |h(\tau, u(\tau)) - h(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau ds \\ & + \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^r \int_0^{\delta_{\ell}} \left( \frac{a_{\ell} (\delta_{\ell}-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} - \frac{a_{\ell} (\delta_{\ell}-s)^{q-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} \right) h(s, u(s)) ds \right| \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \int_0^{\delta_{\ell}} \frac{a_{\ell} (\delta_{\ell}-s)^{q-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} |h(s, u(s)) - h(s, v(s))| ds \\ & \left. + \left| \sum_{i=2}^p \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s \left( \frac{b_{i-1} (s-\tau)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} - \frac{b_{i-1} (s-\tau)^{q-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} \right) h(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau ds \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=2}^p \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s \frac{b_{i-1}(s-\tau)^{q-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} |\mathfrak{h}(\tau, u(\tau)) - \mathfrak{h}(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau ds \\
& + \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^{\eta_j} \left( \frac{c_j(\eta_j-s)^{q+\theta_j-1}}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} - \frac{c_j(\eta_j-s)^{q-\alpha+\theta_j-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+\theta_j)} \right) \mathfrak{h}(s, u(s)) ds \right| \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^{\eta_j} \frac{c_j(\eta_j-s)^{q-\alpha+\theta_j-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha+\theta_j)} |\mathfrak{h}(s, u(s)) - \mathfrak{h}(s, v(s))| ds \\
& \leq \mathcal{F} + B\|u - v\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)} \beta |u(s) - v(s)| ds,
\end{aligned}$$

so that  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $B$  are introduced by (3.15) and (3.16). Thus, by the generalized Gronwall inequality presented in Theorem 2.9 and by assuming  $\mathfrak{u}(t) = |u(t) - v(t)|$ ,  $\phi(t) = \mathcal{F} + B\|u - v\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$  and  $\psi(t) = \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(q-\alpha)}$ , we obtain

$$|u(t) - v(t)| \leq \mathcal{F} + B\|u - v\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\beta^j (t-s)^{j(q-\alpha)-1}}{\Gamma(j(q-\alpha))} (\mathcal{F} + B\|u - v\|_{\mathcal{Y}}) \right] ds.$$

Hence,

$$\|u - v\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^j}{\Gamma(j(q-\alpha)+1)}}{1 - B - B \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^j}{\Gamma(j(q-\alpha)+1)}},$$

and the latter inequality completes the proof.  $\square$

The next fixed point theorem is due to Krasnoselskii and Zabreiko, and we prove our existence result with the help of it for the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1).

**Theorem 3.4** *Let*

(J5)  $\mathfrak{h} : \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  *be continuous and for some*  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}(t, 0) \neq 0$  *and*

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathfrak{h}(t, u)}{u} = \rho(t); \quad (3.18)$$

(J6) *there be*  $A \in \mathbb{R}_+$  *such that*

$$|\mathfrak{h}(t, u)| \leq A|u(t)|.$$

*Then there exists at least one solution for the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1) on*  $\mathbb{I}$  *such that*

$$\rho_{\max} := \max_{t \in \mathbb{I}} |\rho(t)| < \frac{1}{\hat{\Psi}}, \quad (3.19)$$

where  $\hat{\Psi}$  is given by (3.10).

*Proof* Assume that  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  tends to  $u$ . We know that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is continuous. As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$|\mathfrak{h}(t, u_n) - \mathfrak{h}(t, u)| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, for  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ , and by defining  $\mathfrak{P} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  given by (3.11), we write

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathfrak{P}u_n(t) - \mathfrak{P}u(t)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} |\mathfrak{h}(s, u_n(s)) - \mathfrak{h}(s, u(s))| \, ds \\ & \quad + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathfrak{h}(\tau, u_n(\tau)) - \mathfrak{h}(\tau, u(\tau))| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} |\mathfrak{h}(s, u_n(s)) - \mathfrak{h}(s, u(s))| \, ds \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathfrak{h}(\tau, u_n(\tau)) - \mathfrak{h}(\tau, u(\tau))| \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |\mathfrak{h}(s, u_n(s)) - \mathfrak{h}(s, u(s))| \, ds \right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Thus (3.20) tends to zero. This yields the continuity of  $\mathfrak{P}$ . For  $r > 0$ , we set

$$N = \{u \in C(J, \mathbb{R}); \|u\| \leq r\}$$

and  $\|\mathfrak{h}\| = \sup_{(t,u) \in \mathbb{I} \times N} |\mathfrak{h}(s, u(s))|$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{P}(u)|(t) & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} |\mathfrak{h}(s, u(s))| \, ds \\ & \quad + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathfrak{h}(\tau, u(\tau))| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} |\mathfrak{h}(s, u(s))| \, ds \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathfrak{h}(\tau, u(\tau))| \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |\mathfrak{h}(s, u(s))| \, ds \right) \\ & \leq \frac{Ar}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{Ar}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \, ds + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \, ds \right) \\ & \leq A\hat{\Psi}r, \end{aligned}$$

which yields  $\|\mathfrak{P}u\| \leq A\hat{\Psi}r$ , where  $\hat{\Psi}$  is given by (3.10). This gives the uniform boundedness of  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

The equicontinuity of  $\mathfrak{P}$  is established similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. Immediately, the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem confirms the compactness of  $\mathfrak{P}$  on  $N$ .

Now, by considering the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1), and by taking

$$\mathfrak{h}(t, u(t)) = \rho(t)u(t),$$

the operator  $\mathbb{L}$ , by Lemma 3.1, is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}u(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \rho(s)u(s) \, ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \rho(\tau)u(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \rho(s)u(s) \, ds \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \rho(\tau)u(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \rho(s)u(s) \, ds \right) \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{I}). \end{aligned}$$

We further claim that 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $\mathbb{L}$ . If it is so, then by (3.19) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| = & \sup_{t \in \mathbb{I}} |\mathbb{L}u(t)| \\ \leq & \sup_{t \in \mathbb{I}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} |\rho(s)| |u(s)| \, ds \right. \\ & + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\rho(\tau)| |u(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} |\rho(s)| |u(s)| \, ds \\ & + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\rho(\tau)| |u(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |\rho(s)| |u(s)| \, ds \right) \Big\} \\ \leq & \rho_{\max} \hat{\Psi} \|u\| < \|u\| \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{I}). \end{aligned}$$

This is invalid. Hence our claim is correct. To conclude the proof, we claim that  $\|\mathfrak{P}(u) - \mathbb{L}(u)\|/\|u\|$  vanishes when  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ , one may write

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{P}u(t) - \mathbb{L}u(t)| \leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} |\mathfrak{h}(s, u(s)) - \rho(s)u(s)| \, ds \\ & + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathfrak{h}(\tau, u(\tau)) - \rho(\tau)u(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_{\ell}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_{\ell}} (\delta_{\ell} - s)^{q-1} |h(s, u(s)) - \rho(s)u(s)| \, ds \\
& + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} |h(\tau, u(\tau)) - \rho(\tau)u(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |h(s, u(s)) - \rho(s)u(s)| \, ds \Bigg) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} \left| \frac{h(s, u(s))}{u(s)} - \rho(s) \right| |u(s)| \, ds \\
& + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} \left| \frac{h(\tau, u(\tau))}{u(\tau)} - \rho(\tau) \right| |u(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_{\ell}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_{\ell}} (\delta_{\ell} - s)^{q-1} \left| \frac{h(s, u(s))}{u(s)} - \rho(s) \right| |u(s)| \, ds \\
& + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} \left| \frac{h(\tau, u(\tau))}{u(\tau)} - \rho(\tau) \right| |u(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \\
& \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \left| \frac{h(s, u(s))}{u(s)} - \rho(s) \right| |u(s)| \, ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|\mathfrak{P}u - \mathbb{L}u\|}{\|u\|} & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} \left| \frac{h(s, u(s))}{u(s)} - \rho(s) \right| \, ds \\
& + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} \left| \frac{h(\tau, u(\tau))}{u(\tau)} - \rho(\tau) \right| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_{\ell}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_{\ell}} (\delta_{\ell} - s)^{q-1} \left| \frac{h(s, u(s))}{u(s)} - \rho(s) \right| \, ds \\
& + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} \left| \frac{h(\tau, u(\tau))}{u(\tau)} - \rho(\tau) \right| \, d\tau \, ds \\
& \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \left| \frac{h(s, u(s))}{u(s)} - \rho(s) \right| \, ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.18) and letting  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ , it is concluded that  $\left| \frac{h(s, u(s))}{u(s)} - \rho(s) \right| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus we obtain

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathfrak{P}(u) - \mathbb{L}(u)\|}{\|u\|} = 0.$$

Consequently, by Theorem 2.10, the supposed non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1) has a solution in  $\mathcal{V}$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

#### 4 Stability criteria

We investigate the stability property in the sense of Ulam–Hyers and its generalized version for solutions of the non-hybrid-single-valued-FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-

multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.1). For simplicity, let  $C(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}) := \mathfrak{Q}$ . For more details, see [35–40].

**Definition 4.1** The non-hybrid single-valued FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip conditions (1.1) is Ulam–Hyers stable if there is  $0 < \sigma_h \in \mathbb{R}$  such that, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each  $u^*(t) \in \mathfrak{Q}$  satisfying

$$|{}^c D_0^q u^*(t) - h(t, u^*(t))| < \epsilon, \quad (4.1)$$

there is  $u(t) \in \mathfrak{Q}$  satisfying the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1) with

$$|u^*(t) - u(t)| \leq \epsilon \sigma_h, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{I}.$$

**Definition 4.2** The non-hybrid single-valued FBVP via integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip conditions (1.1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers stable if there is  $\sigma_h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$  with  $\sigma_h(0) = 0$  such that, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each  $u^*(t) \in \mathfrak{Q}$  satisfying the inequality

$$|{}^c D_0^q u^*(t) - h(t, u^*(t))| < \epsilon,$$

there is  $u(t) \in \mathfrak{Q}$  satisfying the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1) with

$$|u^*(t) - u(t)| \leq \sigma_h(\epsilon).$$

**Remark 4.3** We have Def. 4.1  $\Rightarrow$  Def. 4.2.

**Remark 4.4** Notice that  $u^*(t) \in \mathfrak{Q}$  is a solution for (4.1) if and only if there is  $z \in \mathfrak{Q}$  depending on  $u^*$  such that, for each  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ ,

- (i)  $|z(t)| < \epsilon$ ;
- (ii)  ${}^c D_0^q u^*(t) = h(t, u^*(t)) + z(t)$ .

The Ulam–Hyers stability is discussed here for the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1).

**Theorem 4.5** Suppose that there is a constant  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$|h(t, u(t)) - h(t, u'(t))| \leq \beta |u(t) - u'(t)| \quad (4.2)$$

for each  $u, u' \in \mathcal{Y}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ . Then the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip conditions (1.1) is Ulam–Hyers stable on  $\mathbb{I}$  and is the generalized Ulam–Hyers stable provided that  $\beta \hat{\Psi} < 1$ , where  $\hat{\Psi}$  is given by (3.10).

**Proof** For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each  $u^*(t) \in C(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying

$$|{}^c D_0^q u(t) - h(t, u(t))| < \epsilon,$$

we can find a function  $z(t)$  satisfying

$${}^c D_0^q u(t) = h(t, u(t)) + z(t)$$

with  $|z(t)| \leq \epsilon$ . It yields

$$\begin{aligned} u^*(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} h(s, u^*(s)) \, ds \\ & + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} h(\tau, u^*(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} h(s, u^*(s)) \, ds \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} h(\tau, u^*(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} h(s, u^*(s)) \, ds \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} z(s) \, ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} z(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} z(s) \, ds - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} z(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} z(s) \, ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $u$  is the unique solution of the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (1.1), then  $u(t)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} h(s, u(s)) \, ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} h(\tau, u(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} h(s, u(s)) \, ds \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} h(\tau, u(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} h(s, u(s)) \, ds \right) \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{I}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |u^*(t) - u(t)| \leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} |h(s, u^*(s)) - h(s, u(s))| \, ds \\ & + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |h(\tau, u^*(\tau)) - h(\tau, u(\tau))| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} |h(s, u^*(s)) - h(s, u(s))| \, ds \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |h(\tau, u^*(\tau)) - h(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau ds \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |h(s, u^*(s)) - h(s, u(s))| ds \Bigg) \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} z(s) ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} z(\tau) d\tau ds \right. \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} z(s) ds \\
& + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} z(\tau) d\tau ds \\
& \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} z(s) ds \right) \\
& \leq \hat{\Psi} \epsilon + \beta \hat{\Psi} \|u^* - u\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|u^* - u\| \leq \hat{\Psi} \epsilon + \beta \hat{\Psi} \|u^* - u\|,$$

where  $\hat{\Psi}$  is defined in (3.10). In consequence, it follows that

$$\|u^* - u\| \leq \frac{\hat{\Psi} \epsilon}{1 - \beta \hat{\Psi}}.$$

If we let  $\sigma_h = \frac{\hat{\Psi}}{1 - \beta \hat{\Psi}}$ , then its Ulam–Hyers stability is proved. Further, for

$$\sigma_h(\epsilon) = \frac{\hat{\Psi}}{1 - \beta \hat{\Psi}} \epsilon,$$

with  $\sigma_h(0) = 0$ , the generalized Ulam–Hyers stability will be proved.  $\square$

## 5 Results for fully hybrid multi-valued FBVP (1.2)

Now, in the present step, we aim to investigate the existence of solution for the given fully hybrid integro-multi-valued FBVP with integro-hybrid-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.2). To reach this purpose, we provide an auxiliary lemma. Before starting it, we introduce the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{Y} = \{u(t) : u(t) \in C(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})\}$  under the norm  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{I}} |u(t)|$  and with the multiplication  $(u \cdot u')(t) = u(t)u'(t)$  for each  $u, u' \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

**Lemma 5.1** *Let, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,  $\theta_j \geq 0$ ,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{p-1}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$  be constants and  $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \dots \leq \delta_r < m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_p < \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \dots \leq \eta_k < 1$  and  $f \in C(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies the given linear hybrid-FBVP with integro-hybrid-multiterm-*

multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^q \left( \frac{u(t)}{y(t, u(t))} \right) = f(t) & (q \in (2, 3], t \in \mathbb{I} := [0, 1]), \\ \left( \frac{u(t)}{y(t, u(t))} \right)|_{t=0} = 0, & \left( \frac{u(t)}{y(t, u(t))} \right)'|_{t=0} = 0, \\ \int_0^1 \left( \frac{u(s)}{y(s, u(s))} \right) ds = \sum_{\ell=1}^r a_\ell \left( \frac{u(t)}{y(t, u(t))} \right)|_{t=\delta_\ell} + \sum_{i=2}^p b_{i-1} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \left( \frac{u(s)}{y(s, u(s))} \right) ds \\ \quad + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j I_0^{\theta_j} \left( \frac{u(t)}{y(t, u(t))} \right)|_{t=\eta_j}, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

which is given as

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = y(t, u(t)) & \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds \right. \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} f(s) ds - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds \\ & \left. \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} f(s) ds \right) \right] \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{I}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\mu$  is a constant given by (3.3).

*Proof* Let the function  $\tilde{u}$  be the solution of the linear hybrid-FDE (5.1). Then

$${}^c D_0^q \left( \frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{y(t, \tilde{u}(t))} \right) = f(t). \quad (5.3)$$

By utilizing  $I_0^q$  on both sides of the hybrid differential equation (5.3), we get

$$\frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{y(t, \tilde{u}(t))} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \alpha_2 t^2 \quad (5.4)$$

for some  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In the first step, the first given initial condition  $\left( \frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{y(t, \tilde{u}(t))} \right)|_{t=0} = 0$  yields  $\alpha_0 = 0$ . So

$$\frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{y(t, \tilde{u}(t))} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds + \alpha_1 t + \alpha_2 t^2.$$

Further, since

$$\left( \frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{y(t, \tilde{u}(t))} \right)' = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-2} f(s) ds + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 t,$$

thus the second given condition  $\left( \frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{y(t, \tilde{u}(t))} \right)'|_{t=0} = 0$  gives  $\alpha_1 = 0$ . In consequence,

$$\frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{y(t, \tilde{u}(t))} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds + \alpha_2 t^2. \quad (5.5)$$

On the other side, we have

$$\int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tilde{u}(s)}{y(s, \tilde{u}(s))} \right) ds = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds + \frac{1}{3} \alpha_2,$$

and for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$I_0^{\theta_j} \left( \frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{y(t, \tilde{u}(t))} \right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q + \theta_j - 1} f(s) ds + \alpha_2 \frac{2}{\Gamma(3 + \theta_j)} t^{2 + \theta_j},$$

and for  $i = 2, \dots, p$ ,

$$\int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \left( \frac{\tilde{u}(s)}{y(s, \tilde{u}(s))} \right) ds = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds + \alpha_2 \left( \frac{m_i^3 - m_{i-1}^3}{3} \right).$$

By assuming the nonzero constant  $\mu$  given by (3.3) and by the third condition, we obtain the following coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 = & \frac{1}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} f(s) ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q + \theta_j - 1} f(s) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

By substituting the above value  $\alpha_2$  in (5.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{u}(t)}{y(t, \tilde{u}(t))} = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} f(s) ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} f(s) ds \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) d\tau ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q + \theta_j - 1} f(s) ds \right) \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{I}), \end{aligned}$$

from which we see that  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies (5.2) and it is the solution of the mentioned integral equation, and so the proof is completed.  $\square$

Based on the above lemma, we aim to define the solution of supposed fully hybrid integro-multi-valued FBVP (1.2).

**Definition 5.2** The absolutely continuous map  $u : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a solution to the fully hybrid integro-multi-valued FBVP (1.2) if an integrable mapping  $\varkappa \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})$  with

$$\varkappa(t) \in \mathcal{G} \left( t, u(t), \int_0^1 u(s) ds \right)$$

for almost all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$  satisfies integro-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} (\frac{u(t)}{y(t,u(t))})|_{t=0} = 0, & (\frac{u(t)}{y(t,u(t))})'|_{t=0} = 0, \\ \int_0^1 (\frac{u(s)}{y(s,u(s))}) ds \\ = \sum_{\ell=1}^r a_{\ell} (\frac{u(t)}{y(t,u(t))})|_{t=\delta_{\ell}} + \sum_{i=2}^p b_{i-1} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} (\frac{u(s)}{y(s,u(s))}) ds + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j I_0^{\theta_j} (\frac{u(t)}{y(t,u(t))})|_{t=\eta_j}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = y(t, u(t)) & \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(s) ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau) d\tau ds \right. \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_{\ell}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_{\ell}} (\delta_{\ell}-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(s) ds - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau) d\tau ds \\ & \left. \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j-s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \mathcal{K}(s) ds \right) \right] \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{I}). \end{aligned}$$

The first theorem in relation to the inclusion problem (1.2) is proved here.

**Theorem 5.3** Let  $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{cp,cv}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $y : \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  be continuous and:

(J1) There is  $M : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  (it is bounded) so that for each  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ , we have

$$|y(t, u(t)) - y(t, v(t))| \leq M(t) |u(t) - v(t)|;$$

(J2)  $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{cp,cv}(\mathbb{R})$  is  $\mathcal{L}^1$ -Caratheodory;

(J3) There is  $\Lambda(t) \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}^+)$  with

$$\|\mathcal{G}(t, u)\| = \sup \left\{ |\mathcal{K}| : \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{G} \left( t, u(t), \int_0^1 u(s) ds \right) \right\} \leq \Lambda(t)$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and for almost all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ ;

(J4) There is  $\tilde{a} \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\tilde{a} > \frac{y^* \hat{\Psi} \|\Lambda\|_{L^1}}{1 - M^* \hat{\Psi} \|\Lambda\|_{L^1}}, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Psi} = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{1}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+2)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1} (m_i^{q+1} - m_{i-1}^{q+1})}{\Gamma(q+2)} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j+1)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

and  $\|\Lambda\|_{L^1} = \int_0^1 |\Lambda(s)| ds$ ,  $y^* = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{I}} |y(t, 0)|$ ,  $M^* = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |M(t)|$ . If

$$M^* \hat{\Psi} \|\Lambda\|_{L^1} < \frac{1}{2},$$

then the fully hybrid integro-multi-valued FBVP with integro-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.2) has a solution.

*Proof* For each  $u \in \mathcal{Y}$ , we define

$$S_{\mathcal{G},u} = \left\{ \kappa \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{I}) : \kappa(t) \in \mathcal{G} \left( t, u(t), \int_0^1 u(s) ds \right) \right\},$$

as the selections of  $\mathcal{G}$  for almost all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ , and define  $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y})$  by

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \left\{ h(t) = \begin{cases} y(t, u(t)) \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \kappa(s) ds \right. \\ \quad + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \kappa(\tau) d\tau ds \right. \\ \quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \kappa(s) ds \\ \quad - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \kappa(\tau) d\tau ds \\ \quad \left. \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \kappa(s) ds \right) \right], \quad \kappa \in S_{\mathcal{G},u} \end{cases} \right\}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ . By this structure,  $h_0$  satisfies the fully hybrid integro-multi-valued FBVP (1.2) if and only if  $\mathcal{E}h_0 = h_0$ . Further, define  $\mathcal{F}_1 : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  by  $(\mathcal{F}_1 u)(t) = y(t, u(t))$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y})$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_2(u)(t) = \left\{ \tilde{h}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \kappa(s) ds \\ \quad + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \kappa(\tau) d\tau ds \right. \\ \quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \kappa(s) ds \\ \quad - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \kappa(\tau) d\tau ds \\ \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \kappa(s) ds \right), \quad \kappa \in S_{\mathcal{G},u} \end{cases} \right\}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ . Then, we obtain  $\mathcal{E}(u) = (\mathcal{F}_1 u)(\mathcal{F}_2 u)$ . We show that both operators  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  satisfy Theorem 2.2. We show that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is Lipschitz. Let  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Thus assumption (J1) implies that

$$|(\mathcal{F}_1 u_1)(t) - (\mathcal{F}_1 u_2)(t)| = |y(t, u_1(t)) - y(t, u_2(t))| \leq M(t) |u_1(t) - u_2(t)|$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ . Hence, we get

$$\|\mathcal{F}_1 u_1 - \mathcal{F}_1 u_2\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq M^* \|u_1 - u_2\|_X$$

for all  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is Lipschitz with the constant  $M^* > 0$ . Further, we claim that  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is convex-valued. Let  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2 u$ . Choose  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in S_{\mathcal{G},u}$  such that

$$u_l(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \kappa_l(s) ds \\ + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \kappa_l(\tau) d\tau ds \right.$$



$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_{\ell}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_{\ell}} (\delta_{\ell} - s)^{q-1} \varkappa_{\ell}(s) \, ds \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} \varkappa_{\ell}(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \varkappa_{\ell}(s) \, ds \Big) \quad (l = 1, 2) \end{aligned}$$

for almost all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ . Let  $c \in (0, 1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} cu_1(t) + (1 - c)u_2(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} [c\varkappa_1(s) + (1 - c)\varkappa_2(s)] \, ds \\ &+ \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} [c\varkappa_1(\tau) + (1 - c)\varkappa_2(\tau)] \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ &- \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_{\ell}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_{\ell}} (\delta_{\ell} - s)^{q-1} [c\varkappa_1(s) + (1 - c)\varkappa_2(s)] \, ds \\ &- \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} [c\varkappa_1(\tau) + (1 - c)\varkappa_2(\tau)] \, d\tau \, ds \\ &\left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} [c\varkappa_1(s) + (1 - c)\varkappa_2(s)] \, ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\mathcal{G}$  is convex-valued,  $S_{\mathcal{G},u}$  is too, and this gives  $c\varkappa_1(t) + (1 - c)\varkappa_2(t) \in S_{\mathcal{G},u}$ , and so  $\mathcal{F}_2 u$  is convex for each  $u \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

We investigate the complete continuity of  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . For  $\varepsilon^* \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , set

$$\mathbb{V}_{\varepsilon^*} = \{u \in \mathcal{Y} : \|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \varepsilon^*\}.$$

For every  $u \in \mathbb{V}_{\varepsilon^*}$  and  $h \in \mathcal{F}_2 u$ , there is  $\varkappa \in S_{\mathcal{G},u}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} \varkappa(s) \, ds \\ &+ \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} \varkappa(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ &- \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_{\ell}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_{\ell}} (\delta_{\ell} - s)^{q-1} \varkappa(s) \, ds \\ &- \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} \varkappa(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\ &\left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \varkappa(s) \, ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|h(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \Lambda(s) \, ds + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \Lambda(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \Lambda(s) \, ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \Lambda(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \Lambda(s) \, ds \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\|\Lambda\|_{L^1}}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{\|\Lambda\|_{L^1}}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+2)} \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell \delta_\ell^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1} (m_i^{q+1} - m_{i-1}^{q+1})}{\Gamma(q+2)} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j+1)} \Big) \\
&\leq \hat{\Psi} \|\Lambda\|_{L^1},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\Psi}$  is given in (5.7). Thus,  $\|h\| \leq \hat{\Psi} \|\Lambda\|_{L^1}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{V})$  is uniformly bounded. Let  $u \in V_{\varepsilon^*}$  and  $h \in \mathcal{F}_2 u$ . Choose  $\mathcal{K} \in S_{\mathcal{G},u}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
h(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(s) \, ds \\
&\quad + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
&\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(s) \, ds \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \mathcal{K}(s) \, ds \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ . Assume that  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{I}$  with  $t_1 < t_2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hbar(t_2) - \hbar(t_1)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}] |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{(t_2^2 - t_1^2)}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\|\Lambda\|_{L^1}}{\Gamma(q+1)} [(t_2 - t_1)^q + 2(t_2^q - t_1^q)] \\ &\quad + \frac{(t_2^2 - t_1^2)\|\Lambda\|_{L^1}}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+2)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell \delta_\ell^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}(m_i^{q+1} - m_{i-1}^{q+1})}{\Gamma(q+2)} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j + 1)} \right) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$  (independent of  $u \in \mathbb{V}_\varepsilon^*$ ). The Arzela–Ascoli theorem gives the complete continuity of  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Assume that  $u_n \in \mathbb{V}_\varepsilon$  and  $\hbar_n \in (\mathcal{F}_2 u_n)$  with  $u_n \rightarrow u^*$  and  $\hbar_n \rightarrow \hbar^*$ . We claim that  $\hbar^* \in (\mathcal{F}_2 u^*)$ . For every  $n \geq 1$  and  $\hbar_n \in (\mathcal{F}_2 u_n)$ , choose  $\mathcal{K}_n \in S_{\mathcal{G}, u_n}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar_n(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}_n(s) \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}_n(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}_n(s) \, ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}_n(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q + \theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \mathcal{K}_n(s) \, ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that there is  $\mathcal{K}^* \in S_{\mathcal{G}, u^*}$  such that

$$\hbar^*(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}^*(s) \, ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}^*(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
& - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}^*(s) \, ds \\
& - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}^*(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
& \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \mathcal{K}^*(s) \, ds \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ . Define  $F : \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} = C(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R})$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\mathcal{K}(t)) &= u(t) \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(s) \, ds \\
&+ \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
&- \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(s) \, ds \\
&- \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
&\left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \mathcal{K}(s) \, ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It is linear and continuous. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \hat{h}_n(t) - \hat{h}^*(t) \| &= \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} (\mathcal{K}_n(s) - \mathcal{K}^*(s)) \, ds \right. \\
&+ \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} (\mathcal{K}_n(\tau) - \mathcal{K}^*(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
&- \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} (\mathcal{K}_n(s) - \mathcal{K}^*(s)) \, ds \\
&- \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} (\mathcal{K}_n(\tau) - \mathcal{K}^*(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \\
&\left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} (\mathcal{K}_n(s) - \mathcal{K}^*(s)) \, ds \right) \Big\| \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1 implies that  $F \circ S_G$  has a closed graph. On the other hand,  $\tilde{h}_n \in F(S_{G,u_n})$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u^*$ . So there exists  $\mathcal{K}^* \in S_{G,u^*}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}^*(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}^*(s) \, ds \\ & + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}^*(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}^*(s) \, ds \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}^*(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \mathcal{K}^*(s) \, ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ . Hence,  $\tilde{h}^* \in (\mathcal{F}_2 u^*)$  and so  $\mathcal{F}_2$  has a closed graph, and it is upper semi-continuous. Therefore  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is compact and upper semi-continuous. By (J1),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Sigma} &= \|\mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{Y})\| \\ &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{I}} \{|\mathcal{F}_2 u| : u \in \mathcal{Y}\} \\ &\leq \frac{\|\Lambda\|_{L^1}}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{\|\Lambda\|_{L^1}}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q+2)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell \delta_\ell^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}(m_i^{q+1} - m_{i-1}^{q+1})}{\Gamma(q+2)} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j \eta_j^{q+\theta_j}}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j+1)} \right) \\ &\leq \hat{\Psi} \|\Lambda\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\hat{\Sigma} M^* < \frac{1}{2}$ . By Theorem 2.2 in relation to  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , one of (a) or (b) will be held. By (J4), let  $u \in \mathcal{Q}^*$  be such that  $\|u\| = \tilde{a}$ . Then  $\rho_* u(t) \in (\mathcal{F}_1 u)(t)(\mathcal{F}_2 u)(t)$  for all  $\rho_* > 1$ . Choose  $\mathcal{K} \in S_{G,u}$ . Then, for each  $\rho_* > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & \frac{1}{\rho_*} y(t, u(t)) \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(s) \, ds \right. \\ & + \frac{t^2}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(s) \, ds \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\ & \left. \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \mathcal{K}(s) \, ds \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one can write

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(t)| &= \frac{1}{\rho_*} |y(t, u(t))| \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{t^2}{|\mu|} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho_*} [|y(t, u(t)) - y(t, 0)| + |y(t, 0)|] \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{t^2}{|\mu|} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau)| \, d\tau \, ds \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} |\mathcal{K}(s)| \, ds \right) \right] \\
&\leq [M^* \|u\| + y^*] \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \Lambda(s) \, ds \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{t^2}{|\mu|} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \Lambda(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{a_\ell}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{\delta_\ell} (\delta_\ell - s)^{q-1} \Lambda(s) \, ds + \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{b_{i-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{m_{i-1}}^{m_i} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{q-1} \Lambda(\tau) \, d\tau \, ds \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_j}{\Gamma(q+\theta_j)} \int_0^{\eta_j} (\eta_j - s)^{q+\theta_j-1} \Lambda(s) \, ds \right) \right] \\
&\leq [M^* \tilde{a} + y^*] \hat{\Psi} \|\Lambda\|_{L^1}
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ . Hence, we get

$$\tilde{a} \leq \frac{y^* \hat{\Psi} \|\Lambda\|_{L^1}}{1 - M^* \hat{\Psi} \|\Lambda\|_{L^1}}.$$

According to condition (5.6), we find that (b) is not possible, and so  $u \in (\mathcal{F}_1 u)(\mathcal{F}_2 u)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{E}$  has a fixed point and the fully hybrid integro-multi-valued FBVP (1.2) has a solution.  $\square$

## 6 Examples

**Example 6.1** In view of the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.1), let

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_0^{2.25} u(t) = \frac{\exp(-t)+9}{21008} \sin(u(t)) & (t \in \mathbb{I} := [0, 1]), \\ u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, & u'(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \\ \int_0^1 u(s) ds = 0.01u(0.5) + 0.08u(0.75) + 0.09 \int_{0.76}^{0.78} u(s) ds + 0.05 \int_{0.78}^{0.80} u(s) ds \\ \quad + 0.2I_0^{0.71} u(0.85) + 0.3I_0^{0.62} u(0.87), \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

where  $q = 2.25$ ,  $r = k = 2$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $a_1 = 0.01$ ,  $a_2 = 0.08$ ,  $\delta_1 = 0.5$ ,  $\delta_2 = 0.75$ ,  $b_1 = 0.09$ ,  $b_2 = 0.05$ ,  $m_1 = 0.76$ ,  $m_2 = 0.78$ ,  $m_3 = 0.80$ ,  $c_1 = 0.2$ ,  $c_2 = 0.3$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.71$ ,  $\theta_2 = 0.62$ ,  $\eta_1 = 0.85$  and  $\eta_2 = 0.87$ . Also, the function

$$h(t, u(t)) = \frac{\exp(-t) + 9}{21008} \sin(u(t))$$

is a real-valued continuous map on  $\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R}$ . For each  $u, u^* \in \mathbb{R}$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t, u(t)) - h(t, u^*(t))| &\leq \frac{\exp(-t) + 9}{21008} |\sin(u(t)) - \sin(u^*(t))| \\ &\leq \frac{\exp(-t) + 9}{21008} |u(t) - u^*(t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Further,

$$|h(t, u(t))| \leq \frac{\exp(-t) + 9}{21008} |\sin(u(t))| \leq \frac{\exp(-t) + 9}{21008} = \varrho(t),$$

where  $\varrho : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuous function defined by  $\varrho(t) = \frac{\exp(-t)+9}{21008}$ .

If  $W \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an arbitrary bounded set, then

$$\omega(h(t, W)) \leq \frac{\exp(-t) + 9}{21008} \omega(W).$$

By taking  $n_h(t) := \frac{\exp(-t)+9}{21008}$ , we get

$$\omega(h(t, W)) \leq n_h(t) \omega(W).$$

Clearly,  $n_h^* = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{I}} |n_h(t)| = 0.004743$ . By the above given values for parameters, we find  $\hat{\Psi} \simeq 3.89692$ . In this case,  $n_h^* \hat{\Psi} \simeq 0.018 < \frac{1}{4}$ . By Theorem 3.2, the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP with integro-non-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (6.1) has a solution on  $\mathbb{I}$ . On the other hand, by (6.2), and assuming  $\beta = 0.004743$ , we get  $\beta \hat{\Psi} \simeq 0.018 < 1$ . Then, by taking  $\sigma_h = \frac{\hat{\Psi}}{1-\beta \hat{\Psi}} = 3.96835 > 0$ , the conclusion of Theorem 4.5 implies that the non-hybrid single-valued FBVP (6.1) is Ulam–Hyers stable and generalized Ulam–Hyers stable.

**Example 6.2** In view of the fully hybrid-FBVP inclusion with integro-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (1.2), we consider the following system:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & {}^c D_0^{2.25} \left( \frac{u(t)}{\frac{\exp(-t)}{5072} \arctan(u(t)) + 0.0003} \right) \in [-2, \exp(t) \cos u(t) + 3 \int_0^1 \cos u(s) ds + \frac{7}{4}], \\ & \left( \frac{u(t)}{\frac{\exp(-t)}{5072} \arctan(u(t)) + 0.0003} \right) \Big|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \left( \frac{u(t)}{\frac{\exp(-t)}{5072} \arctan(u(t)) + 0.0003} \right)' \Big|_{t=0} = 0, \\ & \int_0^1 \left( \frac{u(s)}{\frac{\exp(-s)}{5072} \arctan(u(s)) + 0.0003} \right) ds \\ & \quad = 0.01 \left( \frac{u(0.5)}{\frac{\exp(-0.5)}{5072} \arctan(u(0.5)) + 0.0003} \right) \\ & \quad + 0.08 \left( \frac{u(0.75)}{\frac{\exp(-0.75)}{5072} \arctan(u(0.75)) + 0.0003} \right) \\ & \quad + 0.09 \int_{0.76}^{0.78} \left( \frac{u(s)}{\frac{\exp(-s)}{5072} \arctan(u(s)) + 0.0003} \right) ds \\ & \quad + 0.05 \int_{0.78}^{0.80} \left( \frac{u(s)}{\frac{\exp(-s)}{5072} \arctan(u(s)) + 0.0003} \right) ds \\ & \quad + 0.2 I_0^{0.71} \left( \frac{u(0.85)}{\frac{\exp(-0.85)}{5072} \arctan(u(0.85)) + 0.0003} \right) \\ & \quad + 0.3 I_0^{0.62} \left( \frac{u(0.87)}{\frac{\exp(-0.87)}{5072} \arctan(u(0.87)) + 0.0003} \right), \end{aligned} \right. \quad (6.3)$$

where  $q = 2.25$ ,  $r = k = 2$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $a_1 = 0.01$ ,  $a_2 = 0.08$ ,  $\delta_1 = 0.5$ ,  $\delta_2 = 0.75$ ,  $b_1 = 0.09$ ,  $b_2 = 0.05$ ,  $m_1 = 0.76$ ,  $m_2 = 0.78$ ,  $m_3 = 0.80$ ,  $c_1 = 0.2$ ,  $c_2 = 0.3$ ,  $\theta_1 = 0.71$ ,  $\theta_2 = 0.62$ ,  $\eta_1 = 0.85$ ,  $\eta_2 = 0.87$ , and  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ . The continuous function  $y : \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  is defined by

$$y(t, u(t)) = \frac{\exp(-t)}{5072} \arctan(u(t)) + 0.0003.$$

Further,  $y^* = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{I}} |y(t, 0)| = 0.0003$ .  $y$  is Lipschitz for  $u, u^* \in \mathbb{R}$ , and we write

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t, u(t)) - y(t, u^*(t))| &\leq \frac{\exp(-t)}{5072} |\arctan(u(t)) - \arctan(u^*(t))| \\ &\leq \frac{\exp(-t)}{5072} |u(t) - u^*(t)| \\ &:= M(t) |u(t) - u^*(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently,  $M^* = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{I}} |M(t)| \simeq 0.0001971$ . We define  $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\mathcal{G} \left( t, u(t), \int_0^1 u(s) ds \right) = \left[ -2, \exp(t) \cos u(t) + 3 \int_0^1 \cos u(s) ds + \frac{7}{4} \right].$$

For  $h \in \mathcal{G}(t, u(t), \int_0^1 u(s) ds)$ , we have

$$|h| \leq \max \left[ -2, \exp(t) \cos u(t) + 3 \int_0^1 \cos u(s) ds + \frac{7}{4} \right] \leq \exp(t) + \frac{19}{4}.$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \mathcal{G} \left( t, u(t), \int_0^1 u(s) ds \right) \right\| = \sup \left\{ |\varkappa| : \varkappa \in \mathcal{G} \left( t, u(t), \int_0^1 u(s) ds \right) \right\} \leq \exp(t) + \frac{19}{4}.$$



Set  $\Lambda(t) := \exp(t) + \frac{19}{4}$ . Thus

$$\|\Lambda\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} = \int_0^1 |\Lambda(s)| \, ds = \int_0^1 \left( \exp(s) + \frac{19}{4} \right) ds = e - 1 \simeq 1.71.$$

We select  $\tilde{a} > 0$  such that  $\tilde{a} > 0.0020017$ . Also, by the above given values for the parameters, we find  $\hat{\Psi} \simeq 3.89692$ . Therefore

$$M^* \hat{\Psi} \|\Lambda\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} \simeq 0.0013134 < \frac{1}{2}.$$

The conclusion of Theorem 5.3 gives this fact that there exists a solution for the fully hybrid-FBVP inclusion with integro-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions (6.3).

## 7 Conclusions

In the present manuscript, two novel generalized non-hybrid single-valued FBVP and fully hybrid integro-multi-valued FBVP with integro-hybrid-multiterm-multipoint-multistrip boundary conditions were considered and the qualitative results were proved in relation to its solutions. Precisely, on the non-hybrid-multi-valued FBVP (1.1), we established an existence theorem based on Sadovskii's method, and in the sequel, the Krasnoselskii–Zabreiko theorem was utilized for the second existence result. We got help from the Gronwall inequality in its generalized version to investigate the dependence of solutions of the non-hybrid multi-valued FBVP (1.1). Stability analysis was implemented in the sense of Ulam–Hyers. Further, on the fully hybrid multi-valued FBVP (1.2), we derived the corresponding multipoint integral equation and used Dhage's techniques to establish the third existence theorem. Two numerical examples have been designed to examine the correctness of theorems. Our boundary conditions are general and cover different simple forms defined in numerous FBVPs. We will continue our study in the context of newly-defined notions of  $q$ -calculus and  $(p, q)$ -calculus.

## Acknowledgements

This research received funding support from the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF), Thailand. The first and fifth authors would like to thank Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University. Also, the authors would like to thank dear reviewers for their constructive comments to improve the quality of the paper.

## Funding

Not applicable.

## Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

## Declarations

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

SR performed the formal analysis, conceptualization, methodology, investigation, validation, and supervision. BA dealt with the formal analysis, validation, and supervision. AB dealt with the methodology, investigation, formal analysis, and validation. KN performed the formal analysis, funding acquisition, validation, edition, original draft preparation, and writing a revised version. SE performed conceptualization, formal analysis, and writing a revised version. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan. <sup>3</sup>Nonlinear Analysis and Applied Mathematics (NAAM)-Research Group, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia. <sup>4</sup>Laboratory of Mathematics and Applied Sciences, University of Ghardaia, Metlili, 47000, Algeria. <sup>5</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand.

**Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 18 January 2022 Accepted: 30 May 2022 Published online: 13 June 2022

**References**

1. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York (1999)
2. Miller, K.S., Ross, B.: An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations. Wiley, New York (1993)
3. Deimling, K.: Multi-Valued Differential Equations. de Gruyter, Berlin (1992)
4. Aubin, J., Cellina, A.: Differential Inclusions: Set-Valued Maps and Viability Theory. Springer, Berlin (1984)
5. Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: Theory and Applications of the Fractional Differential Equations, vol. 204. North-Holland, Amsterdam (2006)
6. Lakshmikantham, V., Leela, S., Devi, J.V.: Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems. Cambridge Academic, Cambridge (2009)
7. Diethelm, K.: The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations. Lecture Notes in Mathematics Series. Springer, Berlin (2010)
8. Boutiara, A., Etemad, S., Hussain, A., Rezapour, S.: The generalized U-H and U-H stability and existence analysis of a coupled hybrid system of integro-differential IVPs involving  $\varphi$ -Caputo fractional operators. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2021**, 95 (2021)
9. Wattanakejorn, V., Ntouyas, S.K., Sitthiwiratham, T.: On a boundary value problem for fractional Hahn integro-difference equations with four-point fractional integral boundary conditions. AIMS Math. **7**(1), 632–650 (2022)
10. Amara, A., Etemad, S., Rezapour, S.: Topological degree theory and Caputo-Hadamard fractional boundary value problems. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2020**, 369 (2020)
11. Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K.: Hilfer-Hadamard fractional boundary value problems with nonlocal mixed boundary conditions. Fractal Fract. **5**(4), 195 (2021)
12. Boutiara, A., Matar, M.M., Kaabar, M.K.A., Martinez, F., Etemad, S., Rezapour, S.: Some qualitative analyses of neutral functional delay differential equation with generalized Caputo operator. J. Funct. Spaces **2021**, Article ID 9993177 (2021)
13. Srivastava, H.M., Ntouyas, S.K., Alsulami, M., Alsaedi, A., Ahmad, B.: A self-adjoint coupled system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations with nonlocal multi-point boundary conditions on an arbitrary domain. Appl. Sci. **11**(11), 4798 (2021)
14. Alsaedi, A., Ahmad, B., Alghamdi, B., Ntouyas, S.K.: On a nonlinear system of Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with semi-coupled integro-multipoint boundary conditions. Open Math. **19**(1), 760–772 (2021)
15. Etemad, S., Rezapour, S., Samei, M.E.:  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractions and solutions of a  $q$ -fractional differential inclusion with three-point boundary value conditions via computational results. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2020**, 218 (2020)
16. Almalahi, M.A., Panchal, S.K., Jarad, F.: Stability results of positive solutions for a system of  $\psi$ -Hilfer fractional differential equations. Chaos Solitons Fractals **147**, 110931 (2021)
17. Dhage, B.C., Lakshmikantham, V.: Basic results on hybrid differential equations. Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst. **4**(3), 414–424 (2010)
18. Zhao, Y., Sun, S., Han, Z., Li, Q.: Theory of fractional hybrid differential equations. Comput. Math. Appl. **62**(3), 1312–1324 (2011)
19. Baleanu, D., Etemad, S., Rezapour, S.: A hybrid Caputo fractional modeling for thermostat with hybrid boundary value conditions. Bound. Value Probl. **2020**, 64 (2020)
20. Nagajothi, N., Sadhasivam, V., Bazighifan, O., El-Nabulsi, R.A.: Existence of the class of nonlinear hybrid fractional Langevin quantum differential equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Fractal Fract. **5**(4), 156 (2021)
21. Matar, M.M., Abu Jarad, M., Ahmad, M., Zada, A., Etemad, S., Rezapour, S.: On the existence and stability of two positive solutions of a hybrid differential system of arbitrary fractional order via Avery-Anderson-Henderson criterion on cones. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2021**, 423 (2021)
22. Khan, H., Tunc, C., Chen, W., Khan, A.: Existence theorems and Hyers-Ulam stability for a class of hybrid fractional differential equations with  $p$ -Laplacian operator. J. Appl. Anal. Comput. **8**(4), 1211–1226 (2018)
23. Mohammad, H., Rezapour, S., Etemad, S., Baleanu, D.: Two sequential fractional hybrid differential inclusions. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2020**, 385 (2020)
24. Alam, M., Zada, A., Popa, I.L., Kheiryan, A., Rezapour, S., Kaabar, M.K.A.: A fractional differential equation with multi-point strip boundary condition involving the Caputo fractional derivative and its Hyers-Ulam stability. Bound. Value Probl. **2021**, 73 (2021)
25. Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K., Alsaedi, A., Shammakh, W., Agarwal, R.P.: Existence theory for fractional differential equations with non-separated type nonlocal multi-point and multi-strip boundary conditions. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2018**, 89 (2018)
26. Lv, T., Pang, H., Cao, L.: Existence results for fractional differential equations with multistrip Riemann-Stieltjes integral boundary conditions. Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. **2018**, Article ID 2352789 (2018)
27. Salem, A., Alghamdi, B.: Multi-strip and multi-point boundary conditions for fractional Langevin equation. Fractal Fract. **4**, 18 (2020)
28. Ahmad, B., Alghamdi, N., Alsaedi, A., Ntouyas, S.K.: Existence theory for a system of coupled multi-term fractional differential equations with integral multi-strip coupled boundary conditions. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **44**(3), 2325–2342 (2021)

29. Ntouyas, S.K., Ahmad, B., Alsaedi, A.: Existence results for fractional order single-valued and multi-valued problems with integro-multistrip-multipoint boundary conditions. *Fractal Fract.* **4**, 31 (2019)
30. Lasota, A., Opial, Z.: An application of the Kakutani-KY-Fan theorem in the theory of ordinary differential equations. *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Set. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **13**, 781–786 (1965)
31. Dhage, B.C.: Existence results for neutral functional differential inclusions in Banach algebras. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **64**(6), 1290–1306 (2006)
32. Guo, D., Lakshmikantham, V., Liu, X.: *Nonlinear Integral Equations in Abstract Spaces*. Springer, Boston (1996)
33. Ye, H., Gao, J., Ding, Y.: A generalized Gronwall inequality and its application to a fractional differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **328**(2), 1075–1081 (2007)
34. Krasnoselskii, M.A., Zabreiko, P.P.: *Geometrical Methods of Nonlinear Analysis*. Springer, New York (1984)
35. Chen, C., Bohner, M., Jia, B.: Ulam-Hyers stability of Caputo fractional difference equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **42**(18), 7461–7470 (2019)
36. Phung, N.N., Ta, B.Q., Vu, H.: Ulam-Hyers stability and Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability for fuzzy integrodifferential equation. *Complexity* **2019**, Article ID 8275979 (2019)
37. Abdo, M.S., Shah, K., Panchal, S.K., Wahash, H.A.: Existence and Ulam stability results of a coupled system for terminal value problems involving  $\psi$ -Hilfer fractional operator. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, 316 (2020)
38. Devi, A., Kumar, A., Abdeljawad, T., Khan, A.: Stability analysis of solutions and existence theory of fractional Langevin equation. *Alex. Eng. J.* **60**(4), 3641–3647 (2021)
39. Asma, A., Gomez-Aguilar, J.F., Ur Rahman, G., Javed, M.: Stability analysis for fractional order implicit  $\psi$ -Hilfer differential equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* To appear. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.7948>
40. Asma, A., Shabbir, S., Shah, K., Abdeljawad, T.: Stability analysis for a class of implicit fractional differential equations involving Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, 395 (2021)

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)