


RESEARCH

Open Access



Positive solutions for a system of $2n$ th-order boundary value problems involving semipositone nonlinearities

Xinan Hao^{1*} , Donal O'Regan² and Jiafa Xu¹

*Correspondence:

haoxinan2004@163.com

¹School of Mathematical Sciences,
Qufu Normal University, Qufu,
P.R. China

Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper we use the fixed point index to study the existence of positive solutions for a system of $2n$ th-order boundary value problems involving semipositone nonlinearities.

MSC: 34B18; 45J05; 47H11

Keywords: $2n$ th-order boundary value problems; Fixed point index; Positive solution

1 Introduction

In this paper we investigate the existence of positive solutions for the following system of $2n$ th-order boundary value problems involving semipositone nonlinearities:

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^n x^{(2n)} = f_1(t, x, x', \dots, (-1)^{n-2} x^{(2n-4)}, (-1)^{n-2} x^{(2n-3)}, (-1)^{n-1} x^{(2n-2)}, \\ \quad y, y', \dots, (-1)^{n-2} y^{(2n-4)}, (-1)^{n-2} y^{(2n-3)}, (-1)^{n-1} y^{(2n-2)}), \\ (-1)^n y^{(2n)} = f_2(t, x, x', \dots, (-1)^{n-2} x^{(2n-4)}, (-1)^{n-2} x^{(2n-3)}, (-1)^{n-1} x^{(2n-2)}, \\ \quad y, y', \dots, (-1)^{n-2} y^{(2n-4)}, (-1)^{n-2} y^{(2n-3)}, (-1)^{n-1} y^{(2n-2)}), \\ x^{(2i)}(0) = x^{(2i+1)}(1) = 0, \quad y^{(2i)}(0) = y^{(2i+1)}(1) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 1$, and $f_j \in C([0, 1] \times R_+^{4n-2}, R)$ ($R_+ := [0, \infty)$, $R := (-\infty, +\infty)$), $j = 1, 2$) satisfy the semipositone condition:

(H0) there is a positive constant M such that

$$f_j(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{4n-2}) \geq -M, \quad t \in [0, 1], z_i \in R_+, i = 1, 2, \dots, 4n-2, j = 1, 2.$$

In recent years, coupled systems of boundary value problems have been investigated by many authors since such systems appear naturally in many real-world situations. Some recent results on the topic can be found in a series of papers [1–26] and the references therein. In [1], Yang used nonnegative matrix theory to study the existence of positive

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

solutions for the system of generalized Lidstone problems,

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^m u^{(2m)} = f_1(t, u, -u'', \dots, (-1)^{m-1} u^{(2m-2)}, v, -v'', \dots, (-1)^{n-1} v^{(2n-2)}), \\ (-1)^n v^{(2n)} = f_2(t, u, -u'', \dots, (-1)^{m-1} u^{(2m-2)}, v, -v'', \dots, (-1)^{n-1} v^{(2n-2)}), \\ \alpha_0 u^{(2i)}(0) - \beta_0 u^{(2i+1)}(0) = \alpha_1 u^{(2i)}(1) + \beta_1 u^{(2i+1)}(1) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \\ \alpha_0 v^{(2j)}(0) - \beta_0 v^{(2j+1)}(0) = \alpha_1 v^{(2j)}(1) + \beta_1 v^{(2j+1)}(1) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $f_1, f_2 \in C([0, 1] \times R_+^{m+n}, R_+)$, and in [2] Xu and Yang used some concave functions to depict the coupling behaviors for the nonlinearities f_i ($i = 1, 2$), and they established the existence of positive solutions for (1.2). In [3], Wang and Yang used similar methods as in [1] to study the existence of positive solutions for the system of higher-order boundary value problems involving all derivatives of odd orders

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^m w^{(2m)} = f(t, w, w', -w''', \dots, (-1)^{m-1} w^{(2m-1)}, z, z', -z''', \dots, (-1)^{n-1} z^{(2n-1)}), \\ (-1)^n z^{(2n)} = g(t, w, w', -w''', \dots, (-1)^{m-1} w^{(2m-1)}, z, z', -z''', \dots, (-1)^{n-1} z^{(2n-1)}), \\ w^{(2i)}(0) = w^{(2i+1)}(1) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \\ z^{(2j)}(0) = z^{(2j+1)}(1) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \end{cases}$$

where $f, g \in C([0, 1] \times R_+^{m+n+2}, R_+)$. Moreover, they used a condition of Bernstein–Nagumo type to obtain a priori estimates for $w^{(2m-1)}$ and $z^{(2n-1)}$. For related papers, we refer the reader to [27–33]. In [27] the authors used topological degree theory to study the existence of nontrivial solutions for the higher-order nonlinear fractional boundary value problem involving Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) = -f(t, u(t), D_{0+}^{\beta_1} u(t), D_{0+}^{\beta_2} u(t), \dots, D_{0+}^{\beta_{n-1}} u(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = \dots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = D_{0+}^\beta u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $D_{0+}^\alpha, D_{0+}^\beta, D_{0+}^{\beta_i}$ are the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives, and $f \in C([0, 1] \times R^n, R)$.

Motivated by the above work, in this paper we investigate the positive solutions for the system of $2n$ th-order boundary value problems (1.1) involving semipositone nonlinearities. We first use the method of order reduction to transform (1.1) into an equivalent system of integro-integral equations, and then we establish a system of nonnegative operator equations. Using the fixed point index and nonnegative matrix theory, we study the existence of positive fixed points for the operator equations, and obtain positive solutions for (1.1).

2 Preliminaries

Let $E = C[0, 1]$, $\|z\| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |z(t)|$, $P = \{t \in [0, 1] : z(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [0, 1]\}$. Then $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, and P a cone on E . Let

$$k_1(t, s) := \min\{t, s\}, \quad k_i(t, s) := \int_0^1 k_{i-1}(t, \tau) k_1(\tau, s) d\tau, \quad t, s \in [0, 1], i = 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

and

$$(B_i z)(t) := \int_0^1 k_i(t, s) z(s) ds, \quad h_i(t, s) := \partial k_i(t, s) / \partial t, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Note

$$((B_i z)(t))' := \int_0^1 h_i(t, s) z(s) ds, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and $B_i, B_i' : E \rightarrow E$ are completely continuous linear operators, B_i, B_i' are also positive operators, i.e., they will map P into P .

Lemma 2.1 ([28]) *Let $\kappa_\psi = 1 - 2/e$, and $\psi(t) = te^t$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Then we have*

$$\kappa_\psi \psi(s) \leq \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) \psi(t) dt \leq \psi(s).$$

Lemma 2.2 ([28]) *Let $z \in P$. Then we have*

$$\int_0^1 \left[(B_{n-1} z)(t) + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} ((B_{n-1-i} z)(t))' \right] \psi(t) dt = \int_0^1 z(t) \psi(t) dt.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([34]) *Let E be a real Banach space and P a cone on E . Suppose that $\Omega \subset E$ is a bounded open set and that $A : \overline{\Omega} \cap P \rightarrow P$ is a continuous compact operator. If there exists a $\omega_0 \in P \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$\omega - A\omega \neq \lambda \omega_0, \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0, \omega \in \partial \Omega \cap P,$$

then $i(A, \Omega \cap P, P) = 0$, where i denotes the fixed point index on P .

Lemma 2.4 ([34]) *Let E be a real Banach space and P a cone on E . Suppose that $\Omega \subset E$ is a bounded open set with $0 \in \Omega$ and that $A : \overline{\Omega} \cap P \rightarrow P$ is a continuous compact operator. If*

$$\omega - \lambda A\omega \neq 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \omega \in \partial \Omega \cap P,$$

then $i(A, \Omega \cap P, P) = 1$.

Now, we consider the following auxiliary problem associated with (1.1):

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^n x^{(2n)} = f(t, x, x', \dots, (-1)^{n-2} x^{(2n-4)}, (-1)^{n-2} x^{(2n-3)}, (-1)^{n-1} x^{(2n-2)}), \\ x^{(2i)}(0) = x^{(2i+1)}(1) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in C([0, 1] \times R_+^{2n-1}, R)$ satisfies the condition:

(H0)' there is a positive constant M such that

$$f(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-1}) \geq -M, \quad t \in [0, 1], z_i \in R_+, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1.$$

Let $(-1)^{n-1}x^{(2n-2)}(t) = z(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} -z''(t) = f(t, (B_{n-1}z)(t), ((B_{n-1}z)(t))', \dots, (B_1z)(t), ((B_1z)(t))', z(t)), \\ z(0) = z'(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

which can be expressed in the integral form

$$z(t) = \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) f(s, (B_{n-1}z)(s), ((B_{n-1}z)(s))', \dots, (B_1z)(s), ((B_1z)(s))', z(s)) ds. \quad (2.2)$$

For convenience, let

$$(A_i z)(t) = ((B_i z)(t))', \quad t \in [0, 1], i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

As a result, we can also write (2.2) in the form

$$z(t) = \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) f(s, (B_{n-1}z)(s), (A_{n-1}z)(s), \dots, (B_1z)(s), (A_1z)(s), z(s)) ds.$$

Let $w(t) = M \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) ds = M(t - t^2/2)$. We need to consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -z''(t) = \tilde{f}(t, (B_{n-1}(z-w))(t), (A_{n-1}(z-w))(t), \dots, \\ \quad (B_1(z-w))(t), (A_1(z-w))(t), (z-w)(t)), \\ z(0) = z'(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(t, z_1, \dots, z_{2n-1}) = \begin{cases} f(t, z_1, \dots, z_{2n-1}) + M, & t \in [0, 1], z_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1, \\ f(t, 0, \dots, 0) + M, & t \in [0, 1], \text{ for else cases.} \end{cases}$$

Note that (2.3) can be expressed in the integral form

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) = \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) \tilde{f}(s, (B_{n-1}(z-w))(s), (A_{n-1}(z-w))(s), \dots, \\ (B_1(z-w))(s), (A_1(z-w))(s), (z-w)(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using (H0)', we see that $\tilde{f} \in C([0, 1] \times R_+^{2n-1}, R_+)$.

Lemma 2.5

- (i) If z^* is a positive solution of (2.1), then $z^* + w$ is a positive solution of (2.3).
- (ii) If z^{**} is a positive solution of (2.3), and greater than w , then $z^{**} - w$ is a positive solution of (2.1).

Proof Substituting $z^* + w$ into (2.3), we have

$$\begin{cases} -z^{**}(t) - w''(t) = \tilde{f}(t, (B_{n-1}(z^* + w - w))(t), (A_{n-1}(z^* + w - w))(t), \dots, \\ \quad (B_1(z^* + w - w))(t), (A_1(z^* + w - w))(t), (z^* + w - w)(t)), \\ (z^* + w)(0) = (z^* + w)'(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Note that w satisfies the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -z''(t) = M, \\ z(0) = z'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By virtue of (2.4), we have

$$\begin{cases} -z^{**''}(t) - w''(t) = f(t, (B_{n-1}z^*)(t), (A_{n-1}z^*)(t), \dots, (B_1z^*)(t), (A_1z^*)(t), z^*(t)) + M, \\ z^*(0) = z^*(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

which is (2.1).

On the other hand, we substitute $z^{**} - w$ into (2.1), and obtain

$$\begin{cases} -z^{**''}(t) + w''(t) = f(t, (B_{n-1}(z^{**} - w))(t), (A_{n-1}(z^{**} - w))(t), \dots, \\ \quad (B_1(z^{**} - w))(t), (A_1(z^{**} - w))(t), (z^{**} - w)(t)), \\ (z^{**} - w)(0) = (z^{**} - w)'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that, from the definitions of w and \tilde{f} , we have

$$\begin{cases} -z^{**''}(t) = \tilde{f}(t, (B_{n-1}(z^{**} - w))(t), (A_{n-1}(z^{**} - w))(t), \dots, \\ \quad (B_1(z^{**} - w))(t), (A_1(z^{**} - w))(t), (z^{**} - w)(t)), \\ z^{**}(0) = z^{**'}(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

which is (2.3). This completes the proof. \square

From Lemma 2.5, if we wish to seek the positive solutions for (2.1), we only need to study the positive solutions for (2.3), which are greater than w . Consequently, we define an operator $T : P \rightarrow E$ as follows:

$$(Tz)(t) = \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) \tilde{f}(s, (B_{n-1}(z - w))(s), (A_{n-1}(z - w))(s), \dots, (B_1(z - w))(s), (A_1(z - w))(s), (z - w)(s)) ds.$$

Then T is a completely continuous operator, and if there exists a $\bar{z} \in P$ with $\bar{z} \geq w$ such that $T\bar{z} = \bar{z}$, we see that $\bar{z} - w$ is a positive solution of (2.1).

Let

$$P_0 = \{z \in P : z(t) \geq t\|z\|, \forall t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Then P_0 is also a cone on E , and we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6 $T(P) \subset P_0$.

Note that, for $t, s \in [0, 1]$, $tk_1(s, s) \leq k_1(t, s) \leq k_1(s, s)$ and $k_1(s, s) = s$, so we can easily obtain this lemma (the details are omitted).

From Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, we have $\bar{z} \in P_0$ if \bar{z} is a fixed point of T . Consequently, if $\|\bar{z}\| \geq M$ we have

$$\bar{z}(t) - w(t) \geq t\|\bar{z}\| - M(t - t^2/2) \geq t\|\bar{z}\| - tM \geq 0.$$

Hence, we only need to seek T 's positive fixed point \bar{z} with $\|\bar{z}\| \geq M$, and then $\bar{z} - w$ is a positive solution of (2.1).

3 Main results

In (1.1), let $(-1)^{n-1}x^{(2n-2)} = u$ and $(-1)^{n-1}y^{(2n-2)} = v$, then we obtain the following system of boundary value problems:

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) = f_1(t, (B_{n-1}u)(t), (A_{n-1}u)(t), \dots, (B_1u)(t), (A_1u)(t), u(t), \\ \quad (B_{n-1}v)(t), (A_{n-1}v)(t), \dots, (B_1v)(t), (A_1v)(t), v(t)), \\ -v''(t) = f_2(t, (B_{n-1}u)(t), (A_{n-1}u)(t), \dots, (B_1u)(t), (A_1u)(t), u(t), \\ \quad (B_{n-1}v)(t), (A_{n-1}v)(t), \dots, (B_1v)(t), (A_1v)(t), v(t)), \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0, \quad v(0) = v'(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

which has the integral form

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) f_1(s, (B_{n-1}u)(s), (A_{n-1}u)(s), \dots, (B_1u)(s), (A_1u)(s), u(s), \\ \quad (B_{n-1}v)(s), (A_{n-1}v)(s), \dots, (B_1v)(s), (A_1v)(s), v(s)) ds, \\ v(t) = \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) f_2(s, (B_{n-1}u)(s), (A_{n-1}u)(s), \dots, (B_1u)(s), (A_1u)(s), u(s), \\ \quad (B_{n-1}v)(s), (A_{n-1}v)(s), \dots, (B_1v)(s), (A_1v)(s), v(s)) ds. \end{cases}$$

For $j = 1, 2$, let

$$\begin{aligned} F_j(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{4n-2}) \\ = \begin{cases} f_j(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{4n-2}) + M, & t \in [0, 1], z_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, 4n-2, \\ f_j(t, 0, 0, \dots, 0) + M, & t \in [0, 1], \text{ for other cases.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then we can define the operators T_j ($j = 1, 2$): $P^{4n-2} \rightarrow P$ and $T : P^2 \rightarrow P^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_j(u, v)(t) = \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) F_j(s, (B_{n-1}(u-w))(s), (A_{n-1}(u-w))(s), \dots, \\ (B_1(u-w))(s), (A_1(u-w))(s), (u-w)(s), \\ (B_{n-1}(v-w))(s), (A_{n-1}(v-w))(s), \dots, \\ (B_1(v-w))(s), (A_1(v-w))(s), (v-w)(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T(u, v)(t) = (T_1, T_2)(u, v)(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then, if we find the positive fixed point (u^*, v^*) of T with $u^*, v^* \geq w$, then $(u^* - w, v^* - w)$ is a positive solution for (3.1). Let

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 k_{n-1}(t, s)(u^*(s) - w(s)) ds, \quad y(t) = \int_0^1 k_{n-1}(t, s)(v^*(s) - w(s)) ds, \quad (3.2)$$

and we will obtain the positive solution for (1.1) (note from the discussion in Sect. 2, we need the norms of u^*, v^* to be greater than M).

Now, we list our assumptions for F_j ($j = 1, 2$):

(H1) There exist $a_{j1}, b_{j1}, c_{j1}, d_{j1}, l_j > 0$ ($j = 1, 2$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\psi(b_{11} + a_{11}(n-1)) &< 1, & \kappa_\psi(d_{21} + c_{21}(n-1)) &< 1, \\ \Delta_{11} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_\psi(d_{11} + c_{11}(n-1)) & \kappa_\psi(b_{11} + a_{11}(n-1)) - 1 \\ \kappa_\psi(d_{21} + c_{21}(n-1)) - 1 & \kappa_\psi(b_{21} + a_{21}(n-1)) \end{pmatrix} &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

and, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in R_+$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \\ \geq a_{11}(z_1 + z_3 + \dots + z_{2n-3}) + a_{11}(2z_2 + 4z_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)z_{2n-2}) + b_{11}z_{2n-1} \\ + c_{11}(\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_3 + \dots + \tilde{z}_{2n-3}) + c_{11}(2\tilde{z}_2 + 4\tilde{z}_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)\tilde{z}_{2n-2}) + d_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1} - l_1, \\ F_2(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \\ \geq a_{21}(z_1 + z_3 + \dots + z_{2n-3}) + a_{21}(2z_2 + 4z_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)z_{2n-2}) + b_{21}z_{2n-1} \\ + c_{21}(\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_3 + \dots + \tilde{z}_{2n-3}) + c_{21}(2\tilde{z}_2 + 4\tilde{z}_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)\tilde{z}_{2n-2}) + d_{21}\tilde{z}_{2n-1} - l_2. \end{aligned}$$

(H2) There exist Q_j ($j = 1, 2$): $[0, 1] \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\int_0^1 k_1(s, s)Q_j(s) ds < M$$

and

$$F_j(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \leq Q_j(t),$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in [0, M]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$, $j = 1, 2$.

(H3) There exist $\tilde{a}_{j1}, \tilde{b}_{j1}, \tilde{c}_{j1}, \tilde{d}_{j1}, \tilde{l}_j > 0$ ($j = 1, 2$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}_{11} + \tilde{a}_{11}(n-1) &< 1, & \tilde{d}_{21} + \tilde{c}_{21}(n-1) &< 1, \\ \Delta_{22} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [\tilde{b}_{11} + \tilde{a}_{11}(n-1)] & -[\tilde{d}_{11} + \tilde{c}_{11}(n-1)] \\ -[\tilde{b}_{21} + \tilde{a}_{21}(n-1)] & 1 - [\tilde{d}_{21} + \tilde{c}_{21}(n-1)] \end{pmatrix} &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

and, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in R_+$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \\ \leq \tilde{a}_{11}(z_1 + z_3 + \dots + z_{2n-3}) + \tilde{a}_{11}(2z_2 + 4z_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)z_{2n-2}) + \tilde{b}_{11}z_{2n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \tilde{c}_{11}(\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_3 + \cdots + \tilde{z}_{2n-3}) + \tilde{c}_{11}(2\tilde{z}_2 + 4\tilde{z}_4 + \cdots + 2(n-1)\tilde{z}_{2n-2}) + \tilde{d}_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1} + \tilde{l}_1, \\
F_2(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \\
& \leq \tilde{a}_{21}(z_1 + z_3 + \cdots + z_{2n-3}) + \tilde{a}_{21}(2z_2 + 4z_4 + \cdots + 2(n-1)z_{2n-2}) + \tilde{b}_{21}z_{2n-1} \\
& + \tilde{c}_{21}(\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_3 + \cdots + \tilde{z}_{2n-3}) + \tilde{c}_{21}(2\tilde{z}_2 + 4\tilde{z}_4 + \cdots + 2(n-1)\tilde{z}_{2n-2}) + \tilde{d}_{21}\tilde{z}_{2n-1} + \tilde{l}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

(H4) There exist \tilde{Q}_j ($j = 1, 2$): $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\int_0^1 k_1(t_j, s) \tilde{Q}_j(s) ds > M$$

and

$$F_j(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \geq \tilde{Q}_j(t),$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in [0, M]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$, $j = 1, 2$.

Let $B_\rho = \{u \in P : \|u\| < \rho\}$ for $\rho > 0$ in the sequel. Then we easily have $\partial B_\rho = \{u \in P : \|u\| = \rho\}$, $\bar{B}_\rho = \{u \in P : \|u\| \leq \rho\}$.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that (H0)–(H2) hold. Then (1.1) has at least one positive solution.*

Proof We first prove that there exists $R_1 > M$ such that

$$(u, v) \neq T(u, v) + \lambda(\phi_1, \phi_2), \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in \partial B_{R_1} \cap (P \times P), \lambda \geq 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where ϕ_i ($i = 1, 2$) are given elements in the cone P_0 . We argue by contradiction. Suppose there exist $(u, v) \in \partial B_{R_1} \cap (P \times P)$ and $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ with

$$(u, v) = T(u, v) + \lambda_0(\phi_1, \phi_2). \quad (3.4)$$

This, together with Lemma 2.6, implies that $u, v \in P_0$. Moreover, from (H1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \begin{pmatrix} T_1(u, v)(t) + \lambda_0 \phi_1(t) \\ T_2(u, v)(t) + \lambda_0 \phi_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \geq \begin{pmatrix} T_1(u, v)(t) \\ T_2(u, v)(t) \end{pmatrix} \\
& \geq \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) (a_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(u-w))(s) + 2(n-i)(A_i(u-w))(s)] + b_{11}(u-w)(s)) ds \\ \quad + \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) (c_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(v-w))(s) + 2(n-i)(A_i(v-w))(s)] + d_{11}(v-w)(s)) ds \\ \quad - l_1 \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) ds \\ \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) (a_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(u-w))(s) + 2(n-i)(A_i(u-w))(s)] + b_{21}(u-w)(s)) ds \\ \quad + \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) (c_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(v-w))(s) + 2(n-i)(A_i(v-w))(s)] + d_{21}(v-w)(s)) ds \\ \quad - l_2 \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) ds \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiply by $\psi(t)$ on both sides, integrate over $[0, 1]$, and use Lemma 2.1, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt}{\int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt} \right) \\ & \geq \left(\frac{\begin{aligned} & \kappa_\psi \int_0^1 \psi(t)(a_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(u-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(A_i(u-w))(t)] + b_{11}(u-w)(t)) dt \\ & + \kappa_\psi \int_0^1 \psi(t)(c_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(v-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(A_i(v-w))(t)] + d_{11}(v-w)(t)) dt \\ & - l_1 \int_0^1 \psi(t) dt \end{aligned}}{\begin{aligned} & \kappa_\psi \int_0^1 \psi(t)(a_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(u-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(A_i(u-w))(t)] + b_{21}(u-w)(t)) dt \\ & + \kappa_\psi \int_0^1 \psi(t)(c_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(v-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(A_i(v-w))(t)] + d_{21}(v-w)(t)) dt \\ & - l_2 \int_0^1 \psi(t) dt \end{aligned}} \right) \\ & = \left(\frac{\begin{aligned} & \kappa_\psi \int_0^1 \psi(t)(a_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(u-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(B_i(u-w))'(t)] + b_{11}(u-w)(t)) dt \\ & + \kappa_\psi \int_0^1 \psi(t)(c_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(v-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(B_i(v-w))'(t)] + d_{11}(v-w)(t)) dt - l_1 \end{aligned}}{\begin{aligned} & \kappa_\psi \int_0^1 \psi(t)(a_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(u-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(B_i(u-w))'(t)] + b_{21}(u-w)(t)) dt \\ & + \kappa_\psi \int_0^1 \psi(t)(c_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(v-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(B_i(v-w))'(t)] + d_{21}(v-w)(t)) dt - l_2 \end{aligned}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt}{\int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt} \right) \\ & \geq \left(\frac{\kappa_\psi (b_{11} + a_{11}(n-1)) \int_0^1 (u-w)(t)\psi(t) dt + \kappa_\psi (d_{11} + c_{11}(n-1)) \int_0^1 (v-w)(t)\psi(t) dt - l_1}{\kappa_\psi (b_{21} + a_{21}(n-1)) \int_0^1 (u-w)(t)\psi(t) dt + \kappa_\psi (d_{21} + c_{21}(n-1)) \int_0^1 (v-w)(t)\psi(t) dt - l_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_1 &= \kappa_\psi [(b_{11} + a_{11}(n-1)) + (d_{11} + c_{11}(n-1))] \int_0^1 w(t)\psi(t) dt + l_1 \\ &= \kappa_\psi [(b_{11} + a_{11}(n-1)) + (d_{11} + c_{11}(n-1))](2e-5)M + l_1, \\ \mathcal{N}_2 &= \kappa_\psi [(b_{21} + a_{21}(n-1)) + (d_{21} + c_{21}(n-1))] \int_0^1 w(t)\psi(t) dt + l_2 \\ &= \kappa_\psi [(b_{21} + a_{21}(n-1)) + (d_{21} + c_{21}(n-1))](2e-5)M + l_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{[\kappa_\psi (b_{11} + a_{11}(n-1)) - 1] \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt + \kappa_\psi (d_{11} + c_{11}(n-1)) \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt}{\kappa_\psi (b_{21} + a_{21}(n-1)) \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt + [\kappa_\psi (d_{21} + c_{21}(n-1)) - 1] \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt} \right) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}_1}{\mathcal{N}_2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\kappa_\psi (d_{11} + c_{11}(n-1))}{\kappa_\psi (d_{21} + c_{21}(n-1)) - 1} \quad \frac{\kappa_\psi (b_{11} + a_{11}(n-1)) - 1}{\kappa_\psi (b_{21} + a_{21}(n-1))} \right) \left(\frac{\int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt}{\int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt} \right) \leq \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}_1}{\mathcal{N}_2} \right).$$

Solving this matrix inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt \\ \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt \end{pmatrix} \leq \frac{1}{\Delta_{11}} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_\psi(b_{21} + a_{21}(n-1)) & 1 - \kappa_\psi(b_{11} + a_{11}(n-1)) \\ 1 - \kappa_\psi(d_{21} + c_{21}(n-1)) & \kappa_\psi(d_{11} + c_{11}(n-1)) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}_1 \\ \mathcal{N}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, there exist $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt \\ \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_1 \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $u, v \in P_0$, and we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \|v\| \\ \|u\| \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_1}{e-2} \\ \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2}{e-2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we can choose $R_1 > \max\{M, \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_1}{e-2}, \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_2}{e-2}\}$ such that (3.4) is false, and thus (3.3) holds. From Lemma 2.3 we have

$$i(T, B_{R_1} \cap (P \times P), P \times P) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Next we prove that

$$(u, v) \neq \lambda T(u, v), \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in \partial B_M \cap (P \times P), \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.6)$$

If not, there exist $(u, v) \in \partial B_M \cap (P \times P)$ and $\lambda_1 \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$(u, v) = \lambda_1 T(u, v).$$

This, combining with (H2), implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} M \\ M \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \|u\| \\ \|v\| \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \|T_1(u, v)\| \\ \|T_2(u, v)\| \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 k_1(s, s) Q_1(s) ds \\ \int_0^1 k_1(s, s) Q_2(s) ds \end{pmatrix} < \begin{pmatrix} M \\ M \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is a contradiction, and thus (3.6) is true. From Lemma 2.4 we have

$$i(T, B_M \cap (P \times P), P \times P) = 1. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.5) and (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i(T, (B_{R_1} \setminus \overline{B}_M) \cap (P \times P), P \times P) \\ &= i(T, B_{R_1} \cap (P \times P), P \times P) - i(T, B_M \cap (P \times P), P \times P) = 0 - 1 = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the operator T has at least one fixed point (u^*, v^*) on $(B_{R_1} \setminus \overline{B}_M) \cap (P \times P)$ with $\|u^*\| \geq M, \|v^*\| \geq M$, and note from (3.2) we see that (1.1) has at least one positive solution. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2 Suppose that (H0), and (H3)–(H4) hold. Then (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

Proof We first prove that

$$(u, v) \neq T(u, v) + \lambda(\tilde{\phi}_1, \tilde{\phi}_2), \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in \partial B_M \cap (P \times P), \lambda \geq 0, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) $\in P$ are fixed elements. If this claim is false, there exist $(u, v) \in \partial B_M \cap (P \times P)$ and $\lambda_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$(u, v) = T(u, v) + \lambda_2(\tilde{\phi}_1, \tilde{\phi}_2).$$

This, together with (H4), gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \|u\| \\ \|v\| \end{pmatrix} \geq \begin{pmatrix} u(t_1) \\ v(t_2) \end{pmatrix} \geq \begin{pmatrix} T_1(u, v)(t_1) \\ T_2(u, v)(t_2) \end{pmatrix} \geq \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 k_1(t_1, s) \tilde{Q}_1(s) ds \\ \int_0^1 k_1(t_2, s) \tilde{Q}_2(s) ds \end{pmatrix} > \begin{pmatrix} M \\ M \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is a contradiction, and thus (3.8) holds. From Lemma 2.3 we have

$$i(T, B_M \cap (P \times P), P \times P) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Next we show that there is a large number $R_2 > M$ such that

$$(u, v) \neq \lambda T(u, v), \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in \partial B_{R_2} \cap (P \times P), \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.10)$$

We argue by contradiction, so we assume there exist $(u, v) \in \partial B_{R_2} \cap (P \times P)$ and $\lambda_3 \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$(u, v) = \lambda_3 T(u, v).$$

Lemma 2.6 implies that $u, v \in P_0$, and from (H3) we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} T_1(u, v)(t) \\ T_2(u, v)(t) \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) (\tilde{a}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(u-w))(s) + 2(n-i)(A_i(u-w))(s)] + \tilde{b}_{11}(u-w)(s)) ds \\ + \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) (\tilde{c}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(v-w))(s) + 2(n-i)(A_i(v-w))(s)] + \tilde{d}_{11}(v-w)(s)) ds \\ + \tilde{l}_1 \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) ds \\ \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) (\tilde{a}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(u-w))(s) + 2(n-i)(A_i(u-w))(s)] + \tilde{b}_{21}(u-w)(s)) ds \\ + \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) (\tilde{c}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(v-w))(s) + 2(n-i)(A_i(v-w))(s)] + \tilde{d}_{21}(v-w)(s)) ds \\ + \tilde{l}_2 \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) ds \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiply by $\psi(t)$ on both sides, integrate over $[0, 1]$, and use Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt \\ \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \psi(t) (\tilde{a}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(u-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(A_i(u-w))(t)] + \tilde{b}_{11}(u-w)(t)) dt \\ + \int_0^1 \psi(t) (\tilde{c}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(v-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(A_i(v-w))(t)] + \tilde{d}_{11}(v-w)(t)) dt + \tilde{l}_1 \\ \int_0^1 \psi(t) (\tilde{a}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(u-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(A_i(u-w))(t)] + \tilde{b}_{21}(u-w)(t)) dt \\ + \int_0^1 \psi(t) (\tilde{c}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i(v-w))(t) + 2(n-i)(A_i(v-w))(t)] + \tilde{d}_{21}(v-w)(t)) dt + \tilde{l}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This, combining with Lemma 2.2, implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt \\ \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 \psi(t) (\tilde{a}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i u)(t) + 2(n-i)(B_i u)'(t)] + \tilde{b}_{11} u(t)) dt \\ + \int_0^1 \psi(t) (\tilde{c}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i v)(t) + 2(n-i)(B_i v)'(t)] + \tilde{d}_{11} v(t)) dt + \tilde{l}_1 \\ \int_0^1 \psi(t) (\tilde{a}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i u)(t) + 2(n-i)(B_i u)'(t)] + \tilde{b}_{21} u(t)) dt \\ + \int_0^1 \psi(t) (\tilde{c}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [(B_i v)(t) + 2(n-i)(B_i v)'(t)] + \tilde{d}_{21} v(t)) dt + \tilde{l}_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} [\tilde{b}_{11} + \tilde{a}_{11}(n-1)] \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt + [\tilde{d}_{11} + \tilde{c}_{11}(n-1)] \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt + \tilde{l}_1 \\ [\tilde{b}_{21} + \tilde{a}_{21}(n-1)] \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt + [\tilde{d}_{21} + \tilde{c}_{21}(n-1)] \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt + \tilde{l}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - [\tilde{b}_{11} + \tilde{a}_{11}(n-1)] & -[\tilde{d}_{11} + \tilde{c}_{11}(n-1)] \\ -[\tilde{b}_{21} + \tilde{a}_{21}(n-1)] & 1 - [\tilde{d}_{21} + \tilde{c}_{21}(n-1)] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt \\ \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{l}_1 \\ \tilde{l}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solving this matrix inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt \\ \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt \end{pmatrix} \leq \frac{1}{\Delta_{22}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - [\tilde{d}_{21} + \tilde{c}_{21}(n-1)] & \tilde{d}_{11} + \tilde{c}_{11}(n-1) \\ \tilde{b}_{21} + \tilde{a}_{21}(n-1) & 1 - [\tilde{b}_{11} + \tilde{a}_{11}(n-1)] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{l}_1 \\ \tilde{l}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, there exist $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_3, \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_4 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 u(t)\psi(t) dt \\ \int_0^1 v(t)\psi(t) dt \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_3 \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $u, v \in P_0$, and then we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \|u\| \\ \|v\| \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_3}{e-2} \\ \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_4}{e-2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we choose $R_2 > \max\{M, \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_3}{e-2}, \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_4}{e-2}\}$ then (3.10) holds. From Lemma 2.4 we have

$$i(T, B_{R_2} \cap (P \times P), P \times P) = 1. \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.9) and (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i(T, (B_{R_2} \setminus \bar{B}_M) \cap (P \times P), P \times P) \\ &= i(T, B_{R_2} \cap (P \times P), P \times P) - i(T, B_M \cap (P \times P), P \times P) = 1 - 0 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the operator T has at least one fixed point (u^*, v^*) on $(B_{R_2} \setminus \bar{B}_M) \cap (P \times P)$ with $\|u^*\| \geq M$, $\|v^*\| \geq M$, and note from (3.2) we see that (1.1) has at least one positive solution. This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.3 Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= \frac{e}{4(e-2)(n-1)}, & b_{11} &= \frac{e}{2(e-2)}, \\ c_{11} &= \frac{e}{(e-2)(n-1)}, & d_{11} &= \frac{e}{e-2}, \\ a_{21} &= \frac{e}{2(e-2)(n-1)}, & b_{21} &= \frac{e}{5(e-2)}, \\ c_{21} &= \frac{e}{3(e-2)(n-1)}, & d_{21} &= \frac{e}{2(e-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\psi(b_{11} + a_{11}(n-1)) &= \frac{e-2}{e} \left(\frac{e}{2(e-2)} + \frac{e}{4(e-2)(n-1)}(n-1) \right) = \frac{3}{4} < 1, \\ \kappa_\psi(d_{21} + c_{21}(n-1)) &= \frac{e-2}{e} \left(\frac{e}{2(e-2)} + \frac{e}{3(e-2)(n-1)}(n-1) \right) = \frac{5}{6} < 1, \\ \Delta_{11} &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{e-2}{e} \left(\frac{e}{e-2} + \frac{e}{(e-2)(n-1)}(n-1) \right) & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{e-2}{e} \left(\frac{e}{5(e-2)} + \frac{e}{2(e-2)(n-1)}(n-1) \right) \end{array} \right| = \frac{163}{120} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & F_1(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \\ &= \frac{9}{5} M \left[\frac{eM}{e-2} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{5}{4}(1+n) \right) \right]^{-\delta_1} \\ &\quad \times [a_{11}(z_1 + z_3 + \dots + z_{2n-3}) + a_{11}(2z_2 + 4z_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)z_{2n-2}) \\ &\quad + b_{11}z_{2n-1} + c_{11}(\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_3 + \dots + \tilde{z}_{2n-3}) \\ &\quad + c_{11}(2\tilde{z}_2 + 4\tilde{z}_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)\tilde{z}_{2n-2}) + d_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}]^{\delta_1}, \\ & F_2(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \\ &= \frac{19}{10} M \left[\frac{eM}{e-2} \left(\frac{7}{10} + \frac{5}{6}(1+n) \right) \right]^{-\delta_2} \\ &\quad \times [a_{21}(z_1 + z_3 + \dots + z_{2n-3}) + a_{21}(2z_2 + 4z_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)z_{2n-2}) \\ &\quad + b_{21}z_{2n-1} + c_{21}(\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_3 + \dots + \tilde{z}_{2n-3}) \\ &\quad + c_{21}(2\tilde{z}_2 + 4\tilde{z}_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)\tilde{z}_{2n-2}) + d_{21}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}]^{\delta_2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in R_+$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$, and $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 1$.

For all $t \in [0, 1]$, $z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in [0, M]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$, $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$F_1(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \leq \frac{9}{5}M,$$

$$F_2(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \leq \frac{19}{10}M.$$

Consequently, if let $Q_1(t) \equiv \frac{9}{5}M$, $Q_2(t) \equiv \frac{19}{10}M$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, then (H2) holds.

On the other hand, for all $t \in [0, 1]$ we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{a_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + b_{11}z_{2n-1} + c_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + d_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1} \rightarrow +\infty} \\ & \frac{F_1(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1})}{a_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + b_{11}z_{2n-1} + c_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + d_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}} \\ & = \liminf_{a_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + b_{11}z_{2n-1} + c_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + d_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1} \rightarrow +\infty} \\ & \frac{\frac{9}{5}M \left[\frac{eM}{e-2} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{5}{4}(1+n) \right) \right]^{-\delta_1} [a_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + b_{11}z_{2n-1} + c_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + d_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}]^{\delta_1}}{a_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + b_{11}z_{2n-1} + c_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + d_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}} \\ & = +\infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{a_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + b_{21}z_{2n-1} + c_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + d_{21}\tilde{z}_{2n-1} \rightarrow +\infty} \\ & \frac{F_2(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1})}{a_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + b_{21}z_{2n-1} + c_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + d_{21}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}} \\ & = \liminf_{a_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + b_{21}z_{2n-1} + c_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + d_{21}\tilde{z}_{2n-1} \rightarrow +\infty} \\ & \frac{\frac{19}{10}M \left[\frac{eM}{e-2} \left(\frac{7}{10} + \frac{5}{6}(1+n) \right) \right]^{-\delta_2} [a_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + b_{21}z_{2n-1} + c_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + d_{21}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}]^{\delta_2}}{a_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + b_{21}z_{2n-1} + c_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + d_{21}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}} \\ & = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (H1) holds.

Example 3.4 Let $t_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $t_2 = 1$, and note that $\int_0^1 k_1(t, s) ds = t - \frac{1}{2}t^2$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, and if we consider the case $\tilde{Q}_j \equiv \text{constant}$, we have

$$\int_0^1 k_1(t_1, s) \tilde{Q}_1(s) ds = \frac{3}{8} \tilde{Q}_1, \quad \int_0^1 k_1(t_2, s) \tilde{Q}_2(s) ds = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{Q}_2.$$

To obtain the first inequality in (H4), we can take $\tilde{Q}_1 = 3M$, $\tilde{Q}_2 = \frac{5}{2}M$.

Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11} & \tilde{b}_{11} & \tilde{c}_{11} & \tilde{d}_{11} \\ \tilde{a}_{21} & \tilde{b}_{21} & \tilde{c}_{21} & \tilde{d}_{21} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{20(n-1)} & \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{80(n-1)} & \frac{1}{40} \\ \frac{1}{40(n-1)} & \frac{1}{20} & \frac{1}{100(n-1)} & \frac{1}{50} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{b}_{11} + \tilde{a}_{11}(n-1) &= \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{20} < 1, & \tilde{d}_{21} + \tilde{c}_{21}(n-1) &= \frac{1}{50} + \frac{1}{100} < 1, \\ \Delta_{22} &= \begin{vmatrix} 0.85 & -[\frac{1}{40} + \frac{1}{80}] \\ -[\frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{40}] & 0.97 \end{vmatrix} \approx 0.82 > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}F_1(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \\ = 3M \exp\{M(0.125 + 0.0625(1+n))\} \\ \times \exp\{-\tilde{a}_{11}(z_1 + z_3 + \dots + z_{2n-3}) - \tilde{a}_{11}(2z_2 + 4z_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)z_{2n-2}) \\ - \tilde{b}_{11}z_{2n-1} - \tilde{c}_{11}(\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_3 + \dots + \tilde{z}_{2n-3}) \\ - \tilde{c}_{11}(2\tilde{z}_2 + 4\tilde{z}_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)\tilde{z}_{2n-2}) - \tilde{d}_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}\}, \\ F_2(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) \\ = 2.5M \exp\{M(0.07 + 0.035(1+n))\} \\ \times \exp\{-\tilde{a}_{21}(z_1 + z_3 + \dots + z_{2n-3}) - \tilde{a}_{21}(2z_2 + 4z_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)z_{2n-2}) \\ - \tilde{b}_{21}z_{2n-1} - \tilde{c}_{21}(\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_3 + \dots + \tilde{z}_{2n-3}) \\ - \tilde{c}_{21}(2\tilde{z}_2 + 4\tilde{z}_4 + \dots + 2(n-1)\tilde{z}_{2n-2}) - \tilde{d}_{21}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}\},\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in R_+$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$.

For all $t \in [0, 1]$, $z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in [0, M]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$, $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}F_1(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) &\geq 3M, \\ F_2(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1}) &\geq 2.5M,\end{aligned}$$

and thus (H4) holds. On the other hand, for all $t \in [0, 1]$ we also have

$$\begin{aligned}& \limsup_{\tilde{a}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + \tilde{b}_{11}z_{2n-1} + \tilde{c}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + \tilde{d}_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1} \rightarrow +\infty} \\ & \frac{F_1(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1})}{\tilde{a}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + \tilde{b}_{11}z_{2n-1} + \tilde{c}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + \tilde{d}_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}} \\ & = \limsup_{\tilde{a}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + \tilde{b}_{11}z_{2n-1} + \tilde{c}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + \tilde{d}_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1} \rightarrow +\infty} \\ & \left(3M \exp\{M(0.125 + 0.0625(1+n))\} \right. \\ & \times \exp\left\{-\left[\tilde{a}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + \tilde{b}_{11}z_{2n-1} + \tilde{c}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + \tilde{d}_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}\right]\right\} \\ & \left. / \left(\tilde{a}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + \tilde{b}_{11}z_{2n-1} + \tilde{c}_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + \tilde{d}_{11}\tilde{z}_{2n-1}\right) \right) \\ & = 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{\tilde{a}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + \tilde{b}_{21} z_{2n-1} + \tilde{c}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + \tilde{d}_{21} \tilde{z}_{2n-1} \rightarrow +\infty} \\
 & \frac{F_2(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n-3}, z_{2n-2}, z_{2n-1}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_{2n-3}, \tilde{z}_{2n-2}, \tilde{z}_{2n-1})}{\tilde{a}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + \tilde{b}_{21} z_{2n-1} + \tilde{c}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + \tilde{d}_{21} \tilde{z}_{2n-1}} \\
 & = \limsup_{\tilde{a}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + \tilde{b}_{21} z_{2n-1} + \tilde{c}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + \tilde{d}_{21} \tilde{z}_{2n-1} \rightarrow +\infty} \\
 & \left(2.5M \exp\{M(0.07 + 0.035(1+n))\} \right. \\
 & \times \exp \left\{ - \left[\tilde{a}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + \tilde{b}_{21} z_{2n-1} + \tilde{c}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + \tilde{d}_{21} \tilde{z}_{2n-1} \right] \right\} \\
 & \left. / \left(\tilde{a}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_{2i-1} + 2iz_{2i}) + \tilde{b}_{21} z_{2n-1} + \tilde{c}_{21} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{z}_{2i-1} + 2i\tilde{z}_{2i}) + \tilde{d}_{21} \tilde{z}_{2n-1} \right) \right) \\
 & = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (H3) holds.

4 Conclusion

In this paper we use the fixed point index to study the existence of positive solutions for the system of $2n$ th-order boundary value problems (1.1) involving semipositone nonlinearities. Our nonlinearities not only depend on all derivatives of unknown functions, but they also grow superlinearly and sublinearly at infinity.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for their pertinent comments and valuable suggestions.

Funding

This work is supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant Nos. 2017M612230, 2019M652348), and the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing Normal University (Grant No. 16XYY24).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, P.R. China. ²School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 8 November 2019 Accepted: 21 January 2020 Published online: 30 January 2020

References

1. Yang, Z.: Existence of positive solutions for a system of generalized Lidstone problems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **60**, 501–510 (2010)

2. Xu, J., Yang, Z.: Positive solutions for a system of generalized Lidstone problems. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **37**, 13–35 (2011)
3. Wang, K., Yang, Z.: Positive solutions for a system of higher order boundary-value problems involving all derivatives of odd orders. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, 52 (2012)
4. Cheng, W., Xu, J., Cui, Y.: Positive solutions for a system of nonlinear semipositone fractional q -difference equations with q -integral boundary conditions. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10**, 4430–4440 (2017)
5. Xu, J., Goodrich, C., Cui, Y.: Positive solutions for a system of first-order discrete fractional boundary value problems with semipositone nonlinearities. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* **113**, 1343–1358 (2019)
6. Qiu, X., Xu, J., O'Regan, D., Cui, Y.: Positive solutions for a system of nonlinear semipositone boundary value problems with Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2018**, Article ID 7351653 (2018)
7. Chen, C., Xu, J., O'Regan, D., Fu, Z.: Positive solutions for a system of semipositone fractional difference boundary value problems. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2018**, Article ID 6835028 (2018)
8. Jiang, J., O'Regan, D., Xu, J., Fu, Z.: Positive solutions for a system of nonlinear Hadamard fractional differential equations involving coupled integral boundary conditions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2019**, 204 (2019)
9. Zhang, X., Liu, L., Wu, Y., Zou, Y.: Existence and uniqueness of solutions for systems of fractional differential equations with Riemann–Stieltjes integral boundary condition. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, 204 (2018)
10. Zhang, X., Liu, L., Zou, Y.: Fixed-point theorems for systems of operator equations and their applications to the fractional differential equations. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2018**, Article ID 7469868 (2018)
11. Hao, X., Wang, H., Liu, L., Cui, Y.: Positive solutions for a system of nonlinear fractional nonlocal boundary value problems with parameters and p -Laplacian operator. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2017**, 182 (2017)
12. Hao, X., Wang, H.: Positive solutions of semipositone singular fractional differential systems with a parameter and integral boundary conditions. *Open Math.* **16**, 581–596 (2018)
13. Qi, T., Liu, Y., Cui, Y.: Existence of solutions for a class of coupled fractional differential systems with nonlocal boundary conditions. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2017**, Article ID 6703860 (2017)
14. Hao, X., Zhang, L., Liu, L.: Positive solutions of higher order fractional integral boundary value problem with a parameter. *Nonlinear Anal., Model. Control* **24**, 210–223 (2019)
15. Li, H., Zhang, J.: Positive solutions for a system of fractional differential equations with two parameters. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2018**, Article ID 1462505 (2018)
16. Zhai, C., Wang, W., Li, H.: A uniqueness method to a new Hadamard fractional differential system with four-point boundary conditions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2018**, 207 (2018)
17. Cheng, W., Xu, J., Cui, Y., Ge, Q.: Positive solutions for a class of fractional difference systems with coupled boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 249 (2019)
18. Wang, F., Cui, Y., Zhou, H.: Solvability for an infinite system of fractional order boundary value problems. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **10**, 395–411 (2019)
19. Wang, F., Cui, Y.: Positive solutions for an infinite system of fractional order boundary value problems. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 169 (2019)
20. Hao, X., Zuo, M., Liu, L.: Multiple positive solutions for a system of impulsive integral boundary value problems with sign-changing nonlinearities. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **82**, 24–31 (2018)
21. Riaz, U., Zada, A., Ali, Z., Ahmad, M., Xu, J., Fu, Z.: Analysis of nonlinear coupled systems of impulsive fractional differential equations with Hadamard derivatives. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2019**, Article ID 5093572 (2019)
22. Riaz, U., Zada, A., Ali, Z., Cui, Y., Xu, J.: Analysis of coupled systems of implicit impulsive fractional differential equations involving Hadamard derivatives. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 226 (2019)
23. Zada, A., Waheed, H., Alzabut, J., Wang, X.: Existence and stability of impulsive coupled system of fractional integrodifferential equations. *Demonstr. Math.* **52**, 296–335 (2019)
24. Zada, A., Fatima, S., Ali, Z., Xu, J., Cui, Y.: Stability results for a coupled system of impulsive fractional differential equations. *Mathematics* **7**, 927 (2019)
25. Wang, J., Zada, A., Waheed, H.: Stability analysis of a coupled system of nonlinear implicit fractional anti-periodic boundary value problem. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **42**, 6706–6732 (2019)
26. Ali, Z., Zada, A., Shah, K.: On Ulam's stability for a coupled systems of nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **42**, 2681–2699 (2019)
27. Zhang, K., O'Regan, D., Xu, J., Fu, Z.: Nontrivial solutions for a higher order nonlinear fractional boundary value problem involving Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2019**, Article ID 2381530 (2019)
28. Ding, Y., Xu, J., Zhang, X.: Positive solutions for a $2n$ th-order p -Laplacian boundary value problem involving all derivatives. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, 36 (2013)
29. Xu, J., Wei, Z., Ding, Y.: Positive solutions for a $2n$ th-order p -Laplacian boundary value problem involving all even derivatives. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **39**, 23–36 (2012)
30. Hao, X., Sun, H., Liu, L., Wang, D.: Positive solutions for semipositone fractional integral boundary value problem on the half-line. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* **113**, 3055–3067 (2019)
31. Hao, X., Zhang, L.: Positive solutions of a fractional thermostat model with a parameter. *Symmetry* **11**, 122 (2019)
32. Yang, Z.: Positive solutions of a $2n$ th-order boundary value problem involving all derivatives via the order reduction. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61**, 822–831 (2011)
33. Yang, Z., O'Regan, D.: Positive solutions for a $2n$ th-order boundary value problem involving all derivatives of odd orders. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **37**, 87–101 (2011)
34. Guo, D., Lakshmikantham, V.: *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*. Academic Press, New York (1988)