

RESEARCH

Open Access



The convergence rate of truncated hypersingular integrals generated by the modified Poisson semigroup

Melih Eryiğit¹, Sinem Sezer Evcan^{2*}  and Selim Çobanoğlu³

*Correspondence:

sinemsezer@akdeniz.edu.tr

²Faculty of Education, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Hypersingular integrals have appeared as effective tools for inversion of multidimensional potential-type operators such as Riesz, Bessel, Flett, parabolic potentials, etc. They represent (at least formally) fractional powers of suitable differential operators. In this paper the family of the so-called “truncated hypersingular integral operators” $\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha f$ is introduced, that is generated by the modified Poisson semigroup and associated with the Flett potentials $\mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi = (E + \sqrt{-\Delta})^{-\alpha} \varphi$ ($0 < \alpha < \infty$, $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$). Then the relationship between the order of “ L_p -smoothness” of a function f and the “rate of L_p -convergence” of the families $\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha f$ to the function f as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ is also obtained.

MSC: 26A33; 41A35; 44A35

Keywords: Truncated hypersingular integrals; Flett potentials; Poisson semigroup; Rate of convergence

1 Introduction

For a sufficiently “good function” f on \mathbb{R}^n , the Riesz and Bessel potentials of order α are defined by

$$(I^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma_n(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^{\alpha-n} f(x-y) dy, \quad 0 < \alpha < n, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\gamma_n(\alpha) = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha/2) / \Gamma((n-\alpha)/2), \quad \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \alpha \neq n, n+2, n+4, \dots,$$

and

$$(J^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\beta_n(\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_\alpha(y) f(x-y) dy, \quad \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \quad (2)$$

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

with the kernel

$$G_\alpha(y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi - \frac{|y|^2}{4\xi}} \xi^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}-1} d\xi, \quad \beta_n(\alpha) = 2^n \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha/2),$$

respectively.

These operators can be regarded (in a certain sense) as negative “fractional powers” of $-\Delta$ and $(E - \Delta)$, i.e.,

$$I^\alpha = (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}, \quad J^\alpha = (E - \Delta)^{-\alpha/2}, \quad \Delta = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2}, \quad \text{and}$$

E is the identity operator.

If $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the integral (1) converges a.e. for $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{\text{Re } \alpha}$, and the integral (2) converges for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and the conditions are sharp. The references [10, 12, 19, 20, 22, 28] can be recommended for further reading on these potentials.

There are also “one-dimensional” integral representations of the Riesz and Bessel potentials via Poisson integral (see [18], [19, pp. 224 and 262]).

$$(I^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} (P_t f)(x) dt, \tag{3}$$

$$(J^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-1)} J_{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-1)}(t) (P_t f)(x) dt \tag{4}$$

(J_ν is the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν).

As seen from (3) and (4), the Riesz potentials are better suited to Poisson integral than the Bessel potentials. There is, however, another kind of fractional integral operators which are compatible with Poisson integral and whose kernels behavior roughly takes place between the behaviors of the kernels of the Bessel and Riesz potentials. These potentials, called the Flett potentials, were first introduced by T.M. Flett in [11] (see also [23, pp. 541–542]).

The Flett potentials $\mathcal{F}^\alpha f$ of a function f are defined in Fourier terms as follows:

$$(\mathcal{F}^\alpha f)\widehat{f}(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-\alpha} \widehat{f}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha > 0. \tag{5}$$

These potentials are considered as the negative fractional powers of the operator $(E + \Lambda)$, where $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$ and Δ is the Laplacian, and have the integral representation

$$(\mathcal{F}^\alpha f)(x) = (\phi_\alpha(y) * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_\alpha(y) f(x - y) dy. \tag{6}$$

The kernel $\phi_\alpha(y)$ is of the form

$$\phi_\alpha(y) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n(\alpha)} |y|^{\alpha-n} \int_0^\infty \frac{s^\alpha e^{-s|y|}}{(1 + s^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} ds \quad (\alpha > 0), \tag{7}$$

where $\lambda_n(\alpha) = \pi^{(n+1)/2} \Gamma(\alpha) / \Gamma((n + 1)/2)$.

The potential-type operators take important place in analysis and its applications, see, for example, E. Stein [26, pp. 121–141], E. Stein and G. Weiss [27], E. Stein [25],

S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, and O.I. Marichev [23, pp. 538–554]. Many researchers from different areas have studied characterizations, modifications, and several properties of these potentials, see P. Lizorkin [13], R. Wheeden [28], M. Fisher [10], V. Balakrishnan [8], S. Samko [21–23], B. Rubin [16–19], V.A. Nogin [14, 15]. The wavelet approach to these potentials is given and developed by B. Rubin [19, 20], I.A. Aliev and B. Rubin [6] and I.A. Aliev [2]; see also [4, 7, 24].

In [17] B. Rubin introduced “truncated hypersingular” integrals $D_\varepsilon^\alpha f$ and $\mathfrak{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha f$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) generated by the Poisson semigroup and metaharmonic semigroup, respectively. It has been also proved that under some conditions on function $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and parameter $\alpha > 0$, the expressions $D_\varepsilon^\alpha I^\alpha \varphi$ and $\mathfrak{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha J^\alpha \varphi$ converge to φ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, pointwise (a.e.) and in the L_p -norm.

In this work, in a similar way to [17], we first define the families of the truncated hypersingular integral operators associated with Flett potentials and generated by finite difference and modified Poisson semigroup $e^{-t}(P_t f)$,

$$(\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\chi_l(\alpha)} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \left[\sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k e^{-k\tau} (P_{k\tau} f)(x) \right] \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1+\alpha}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \tag{8}$$

secondly, we find a relationship between the “order of L_p -smoothness” of function φ and the “rate of L_p -convergence” of the families $\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi$ to φ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

We note that an analogous problem for the Bessel and Riesz potentials has been investigated in [3, 5], and [9].

2 Notions and auxiliary lemmas

We denote by $L_p \equiv L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the standard space of measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty; \quad \|f\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|.$$

The Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are defined by

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \cdot \xi = x_1 \xi_1 + \dots + x_n \xi_n; \quad f^\vee(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \widehat{f}(-\xi).$$

The Flett potentials, defined in (6), have another (one-dimensional) integral representation via modified Poisson semigroup:

$$(\mathcal{F}^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} (P_t f)(x) dt, \quad f \in L_p \ (1 \leq p \leq \infty). \tag{9}$$

Here the Poisson semigroup $P_t f$ is defined as

$$(P_t f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(y; t) f(x - y) dy \quad (t > 0), \tag{10}$$

where

$$p(y; t) = (e^{-t|\cdot|})^\vee(y) = \frac{a_n t}{(t^2 + |y|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}, \quad a_n = \pi^{-\frac{(n+1)}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \tag{11}$$

is the Poisson kernel.

We would like to note that the expression in (9) has the same nature of classical Balakrishnan’s formulas for fractional powers of operators (see Samko et al. [23, p. 121]).

For the sake of convenience of the reader, let us give some important properties of the Poisson’s semigroup $P_t\varphi$ ($t > 0$) and its kernel $p(y; t)$.

Lemma 2.1 (cf. B. Rubin [19, p. 217]) *Let $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $P_t f$ be the Poisson integral with the kernel $p(y; t)$ defined as in (11). Then*

$$(a) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(y; t) dy = 1, \quad (p(\cdot; t))(y) = e^{-t|y|}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0; \tag{12}$$

$$(b) \|P_t f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p; \tag{13}$$

$$(c) \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(P_t f)(x)| \leq ct^{-\frac{n}{p}} \|f\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, c = c(n, p); \tag{14}$$

$$(d) \sup_{t > 0} |(P_t f)(x)| \leq (Mf)(x), \tag{15}$$

where (Mf) is the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function;

$$(e) P_\alpha [P_\beta f(\cdot)](x) = (P_{\alpha+\beta} f)(x), \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta > 0; \tag{16}$$

$$(f) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (P_t f)(x) = f(x), \tag{17}$$

where the limit is understood in L_p -norm or pointwise a.e. Moreover, if $f \in C^0$ then convergence is uniform on \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 2.2 Let $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and Poisson integral $P_t f$ be as in (10). The modified Poisson semigroup is defined as

$$(S_t f)(x) = e^{-t}(P_t f)(x), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty. \tag{18}$$

It is evident that the semigroup property

$$(S_\alpha(S_\beta f))(x) = (S_{\alpha+\beta} f)(x)$$

holds, and, according to Lemma 2.1(f), it is assumed that

$$(e^{-t} P_t f)(x)|_{t=0} = f(x) = S_0 f.$$

Definition 2.3 The finite difference of order $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and step $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^1$ of the function $g(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$ is defined by

$$\Delta_\tau^l [g](t) = \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k g(t + k\tau). \tag{19}$$

In the special case, for $t = 0$,

$$\Delta_\tau^l [g](0) = \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k g(k\tau). \tag{20}$$

Using the modified Poisson semigroup $S_t f$ and finite difference of order $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the following truncated integral operators (cf. [19, p. 261]).

Definition 2.4 Let $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\alpha > 0$ and $l > \alpha$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}$). The constructions

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha f)(x) &= \frac{1}{\chi_l(\alpha)} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \Delta_\tau^l [(S_t f)(x)](0) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1+\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\chi_l(\alpha)} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \left[\sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k e^{-k\tau} (P_{k\tau} f)(x) \right] \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1+\alpha}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

will be called truncated hypersingular integrals or, briefly, truncated integrals with parameter $\varepsilon > 0$. Here the normalized coefficient $\chi_l(\alpha)$ is defined by

$$\chi_l(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t})^l t^{-1-\alpha} dt. \tag{22}$$

By applying Minkowski integral inequality, it is easy to see that $\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Lemma 2.5 (cf. Rubin [19, p. 224]) *Let $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), $0 < \alpha < \infty$, and truncated integral operators $\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha$ be defined as in (21). If $\mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi$ are the Flett potentials of $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $P_t \varphi$, ($t > 0$) is the Poisson integral of φ , then the following equation holds in pointwise (a.e.) sense:*

$$(\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi)(x) = \int_0^\infty K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta) e^{-\varepsilon \eta} (P_{\varepsilon \eta} \varphi)(x) d\eta, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \tag{23}$$

Here the function $K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)$ is defined as

$$K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta) = [\Gamma(1 + \alpha) \chi_l(\alpha)]^{-1} \eta^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k (\eta - k)_+^\alpha, \quad l > \alpha,$$

with $a_+^\alpha = \begin{cases} a^\alpha, & \text{if } a > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \leq 0. \end{cases}$

Proof For a function $h(t)$ ($0 < t < \infty$), let

$$I_-^\alpha h(t) = (\Gamma(\alpha))^{-1} \int_t^\infty \frac{h(r)}{(r-t)^{1-\alpha}} dr = (\Gamma(\alpha))^{-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h(r+t)}{r^{1-\alpha}} dr, \quad \alpha > 0. \tag{24}$$

Then by making use of Rubin’s method [19, p. 224], it can be shown that

$$S_t [\mathcal{F}^\alpha f](x) = I_-^\alpha [(S_t f)(x)](t) \tag{25}$$

holds for all $t > 0$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now, by using (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi)(x) &= \frac{1}{\chi_l(\alpha)} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \left[\sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k e^{-k\tau} (S_{k\tau} \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi)(x) \right] \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1+\alpha}} \\ &\stackrel{(25)}{=} \frac{1}{\chi_l(\alpha)} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \left[\sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k I_-^\alpha [(S_\tau \varphi)(x)](k\tau) \right] \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1+\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k I_-^\alpha [(S_r \varphi)(x)](k\tau) \\ & \stackrel{(24)}{=} \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{k\tau}^\infty (r - k\tau)^{\alpha-1} (S_r \varphi)(x) \, dr \\ & = \int_0^\infty h_\tau(r) (S_r \varphi)(x) \, dr, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where

$$h_\tau(r) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k (r - k\tau)_+^{\alpha-1} \tag{28}$$

with

$$(r - k\tau)_+^{\alpha-1} = \begin{cases} (r - k\tau)^{\alpha-1}, & \text{if } r > k\tau, \\ 0, & \text{if } r \leq k\tau. \end{cases}$$

Now, by taking into account (27) in (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi)(x) \\ & = \frac{1}{\chi_l(\alpha)} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \frac{1}{\tau^{1+\alpha}} \left(\int_0^\infty h_\tau(r) (S_r \varphi)(x) \, dr \right) d\tau \\ & = \frac{1}{\chi_l(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty (S_r \varphi)(x) \left(\int_\varepsilon^\infty \frac{1}{\tau^{1+\alpha}} h_\tau(r) \, d\tau \right) dr \\ & \quad (\text{change of variables } r = \varepsilon\eta, 0 < \eta < \infty) \\ & = \frac{\varepsilon}{\chi_l(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty (S_{\varepsilon\eta} \varphi)(x) \left(\int_\varepsilon^\infty \frac{1}{\tau^{1+\alpha}} h_\tau(\varepsilon\eta) \, d\tau \right) d\eta \\ & \stackrel{(28)}{=} \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(\alpha)\chi_l(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty (S_{\varepsilon\eta} \varphi)(x) \left(\sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (-1)^k \int_\varepsilon^\infty \frac{1}{\tau^{1+\alpha}} (\varepsilon\eta - k\tau)_+^{\alpha-1} \, d\tau \right) d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

In (29), using the equality (see [5, p. 355])

$$\int_\varepsilon^\infty \tau^{-(1+\alpha)} (\varepsilon\eta - k\tau)_+^{\alpha-1} \, d\tau = \frac{1}{\varepsilon\eta\alpha} (\eta - k)_+^\alpha, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, l, \tag{30}$$

we obtain

$$(\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi)(x) = \int_0^\infty K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta) e^{-\varepsilon\eta} (P_{\varepsilon\eta} \varphi)(x) \, d\eta,$$

as desired. □

The following lemma shows that the function $K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)$ is an “averaging kernel”.

Lemma 2.6 (see [23, p. 125], [19, p. 158]) *The following is true:*

- (i) $K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta) \in L_1(0, \infty)$ and $\int_0^\infty K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta) d\eta = 1$;
- (ii) $K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta) = \begin{cases} O(\eta^{\alpha-1}), & \text{if } \eta \rightarrow 0^+, \\ O(\eta^{\alpha-l-1}), & \text{if } \eta \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$

Definition 2.7 (cf. [1]) Let $\rho \in (0, 1)$ be a fixed parameter and a function $\mu(r)$ ($0 \leq r \leq \rho$) be continuous on $[0, \rho]$, positive on $(0, \rho]$, and $\mu(0) = 0$. We say that a function $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) has “ μ -smoothness property in L_p -sense” if

$$\mathcal{M}_\mu \equiv \sup_{0 < r \leq \rho} \frac{1}{r^n \mu(r)} \int_{|x| \leq r} \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p dx < \infty. \tag{31}$$

Note that if $\mu_\varphi(r)$ is the L_p -modulus of continuity of φ , i.e.,

$$\mu_\varphi(r) = \sup_{|x| \leq r} \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p \quad \left(|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2} \right),$$

then condition (31) is satisfied for $\mu(r) = \mu_\varphi(r)$. Also, it is clear that if the L_p -modulus of continuity of φ satisfies $\mu_\varphi(r) \leq \mu(r)$ ($0 \leq r \leq \rho$) then the expression \mathcal{M}_μ in (31) is finite.

Remark 2.8 From now on it will be assumed that $\mu(t) \geq at$ ($0 \leq t \leq \rho$), for some $a > 0$ and $\mu(t) = \mu(\rho)$ for $\rho \leq t < \infty$.

Lemma 2.9 (cf. [5]; see also [9]) *Let a function $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) have μ -smoothness property in L_p -sense, and the function $\psi(r)$ ($0 \leq r \leq \rho$) be decreasing, nonnegative, and continuously differentiable on $[0, \rho]$. Then*

$$\int_{|x| \leq \rho} \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p \psi(|x|) dx \leq \mathcal{M}_\mu \left[\rho^n \mu(\rho) \psi(\rho) + \int_0^\rho r^n \mu(r) (-\psi'(r)) dr \right]. \tag{32}$$

Proof Set $g(x) = \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p$ and $x = r\theta$; $r = |x|$, $\theta \in \Sigma^{n-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I &\equiv \int_{|x| \leq \rho} \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p \psi(|x|) dx = \int_{|x| \leq \rho} g(x) \psi(|x|) dx \\ &= \int_0^\rho r^{n-1} \psi(r) \left(\int_{|\theta|=1} g(r\theta) d\sigma(\theta) \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the functions

$$\lambda(r) = \int_{|\theta|=1} g(r\theta) d\sigma(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(r) = \int_0^r \lambda(t) t^{n-1} dt.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &\equiv \int_0^\rho \psi(r)\lambda(r)r^{n-1} dr = \int_0^\rho \psi(r) d\Omega(r) = \psi(r)\Omega(r)|_0^\rho - \int_0^\rho \Omega(r)\psi'(r) dr \\
 &= \psi(\rho)\Omega(\rho) + \int_0^\rho \Omega(r)(-\psi'(r)) dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using condition (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega(r) &= \int_0^r \lambda(t)t^{n-1} dt = \int_{|x|\leq r} g(x) dx = \int_{|x|\leq r} \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p dx \\
 &\leq r^n \mu(r) \mathcal{M}_\mu,
 \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$I \leq \mathcal{M}_\mu \left[\rho^n \mu(\rho) \psi(\rho) + \int_0^\rho r^n \mu(r) (-\psi'(r)) dr \right]. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.10 *Let $p(x; \varepsilon)$ be the Poisson kernel, defined as in (11), i.e.,*

$$p(x; \varepsilon) = \frac{a_n \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}, \quad a_n = \pi^{-\frac{(n+1)}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right).$$

Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_{|x|\leq \rho} \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p p(x; \varepsilon) dx \leq c \mathcal{M}_\mu \left[\varepsilon + \int_0^\infty \mu(\varepsilon t) \frac{dt}{1+t^2} \right]. \quad (33)$$

Proof By setting $\psi(|x|) = p(x; \varepsilon) \equiv a_n \varepsilon (\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$ in equality (32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{|x|\leq \rho} \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p p(x; \varepsilon) dx \\
 &\leq \mathcal{M}_\mu \left[\rho^n \mu(\rho) \frac{a_n \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon^2 + \rho^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} + \int_0^\rho r^n \mu(r) \left(-\frac{a_n \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \right)' dr \right]. \quad (34)
 \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation yields

$$\rho^n \mu(\rho) \frac{a_n \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon^2 + \rho^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \leq c_1 \varepsilon \quad \left(c_1 = a_n \frac{\mu(\rho)}{\rho} \right),$$

and

$$\left(-\frac{a_n \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \right)' = c_2 \frac{\varepsilon r}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \quad (c_2 = a_n(n+1)).$$

Using of these calculations in (34) and denoting $c = \max\{c_1, c_2\}$, we have

$$\int_{|x|\leq \rho} \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p p(x; \varepsilon) dx \leq c \mathcal{M}_\mu \left[\varepsilon + \int_0^\rho \frac{\varepsilon r^{n+1}}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \mu(r) dr \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= c\mathcal{M}_\mu \left[\varepsilon + \int_0^{\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon}} \frac{t^{n+1}}{(1+t^2)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \mu(\varepsilon t) dt \right] \\
 &\leq c\mathcal{M}_\mu \left[\varepsilon + \int_0^\infty \frac{\mu(\varepsilon t)}{1+t^2} dt \right]. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.11 *Let the function $\mu(r)$ ($0 \leq r \leq \rho < 1$) be continuous on $[0, \rho]$, positive on $(0, \rho]$, and $\mu(0) = 0$. Let, further, $\mu(t) \geq at$, $0 \leq t \leq \rho$ for some $a > 0$ and $\mu(t) = \mu(\rho)$ for $\rho \leq t < \infty$. If there exists a locally bounded function $\omega(t) > 0$ such that*

$$\mu(\varepsilon t) \leq \mu(\varepsilon)\omega(t), \quad \varepsilon \in (0, \rho), t \in (0, \infty), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega(t)}{1+t^2} dt < \infty, \quad (35)$$

then there exists $A > 0$, which does not depend on $\varepsilon \in (0, \rho)$ and satisfies

$$\int_{|x| \leq \rho} \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p p(x; \varepsilon) dx \leq A\mu(\varepsilon), \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in (0, \rho). \quad (36)$$

Proof By taking into account (35) in (33) and using the condition $\mu(\varepsilon) \geq a\varepsilon$ ($0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \rho$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{|x| \leq \rho} \|\varphi(t-x) - \varphi(t)\|_p p(x; \varepsilon) dx &\leq c\mathcal{M}_\mu \left[\varepsilon + \mu(\varepsilon) \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega(t)}{1+t^2} dt \right] \\
 &\leq A\mu(\varepsilon). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Example For $0 < \gamma < 1$, the function

$$\mu(r) = \begin{cases} r^\gamma, & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \rho < 1, \\ \rho^\gamma, & \text{if } r \geq \rho \end{cases}$$

satisfies all the conditions of Corollary 2.11 with $\omega(t) = t^\gamma$.

Example Let $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $0 < \beta < \infty$. Then the function

$$\mu(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r = 0, \\ r^\gamma |\ln r|^\beta, & \text{if } 0 < r < \rho, \\ \rho^\gamma |\ln \rho|^\beta, & \text{if } r \geq \rho \end{cases}$$

satisfies all the conditions of Corollary 2.11 with $\omega(t) = t^\gamma (1 + \frac{|\ln t|}{|\ln \rho|})^\beta$ (see [3]).

3 Formulation and proof of the main theorem

Theorem 3.1 *Let the function $\mu(r)$, $0 < r < \infty$ satisfy all the conditions of Corollary 2.11. Further, suppose function $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) has the μ -smoothness property in the L_p -sense, i.e., condition (31) is satisfied. Assume that the operator $\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha$ is defined as in (21) and the parameter $l \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies the condition $l > \alpha + 1$. Then we have*

$$\|\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi - \varphi\|_p = O(\mu(\varepsilon)) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (37)$$

Proof By making use of formula (23), Lemma 2.6(i), and Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi - \varphi\|_p &\stackrel{(23)}{\leq} \int_0^\infty |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| e^{-\varepsilon\eta} \|P_{\varepsilon\eta} \varphi - \varphi\|_p d\eta \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| \|P_{\varepsilon\eta} \varphi - \varphi\|_p d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Further, by Lemma 2.1(a),

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{\varepsilon\eta} \varphi - \varphi\|_p &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(y; \varepsilon\eta) [\varphi(t-y) - \varphi(t)] dy \right\|_p \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(y; \varepsilon\eta) \|\varphi(t-y) - \varphi(t)\|_p dy \\ &= \int_{|y| \leq \rho} p(y; \varepsilon\eta) \|\varphi(t-y) - \varphi(t)\|_p dy \\ &\quad + \int_{|y| > \rho} p(y; \varepsilon\eta) \|\varphi(t-y) - \varphi(t)\|_p dy = I_1(\varepsilon) + I_2(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Owing to (36), we have $I_1(\varepsilon) \leq A\mu(\varepsilon\eta)$, where A does not depend on ε and η . Now, let us estimate the second integral $I_2(\varepsilon)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(\varepsilon) &\leq 2\|\varphi\|_p \int_{|y| > \rho} p(y; \varepsilon\eta) dy \stackrel{(11)}{=} 2\|\varphi\|_p a_n \int_{|y| > \rho} \frac{\varepsilon\eta}{((\varepsilon\eta)^2 + |y|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} dy \\ &\quad \text{(converting to spherical coordinates, i.e.,} \\ &\quad y = r\theta; \rho < r < \infty, \theta \in \Sigma^{n-1}, dy = r^{n-1} dr d\sigma(\theta)) \\ &= c_1 \varepsilon\eta \int_\rho^\infty \frac{r^{n-1}}{((\varepsilon\eta)^2 + r^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} dr \leq c_1 \varepsilon\eta \int_\rho^\infty \frac{r^{n-1}}{r^{n+1}} dr = c_2 \varepsilon\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_2 \equiv c_2(\rho; n)$ does not depend on ε and η .

Hence, we obtain that

$$\|P_{\varepsilon\eta} \varphi - \varphi\|_p \leq A\mu(\varepsilon\eta) + c_2 \varepsilon\eta.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi - \varphi\|_p &\stackrel{(38)}{\leq} \int_0^\infty |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| (A\mu(\varepsilon\eta) + c_2 \varepsilon\eta) d\eta \\ &\quad \text{(using the condition } \mu(\varepsilon) \geq a\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in (0, \rho)) \\ &\leq c_3 \mu(\varepsilon) \int_0^\infty |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| (\omega(\eta) + \eta) d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The condition $\int_0^\infty \frac{\omega(\eta)}{1+\eta^2} d\eta < \infty$ and Lemma 2.6(ii) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| \omega(\eta) d\eta &= \int_0^1 |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| \omega(\eta) d\eta + \int_1^\infty |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| \omega(\eta) d\eta \\ &\leq c_4 + \int_1^\infty \frac{\omega(\eta)}{1+\eta^2} (1+\eta^2) |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| d\eta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(we use the asymptotics } K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta) = O(\eta^{\alpha-l-1}) \\ & \text{as } \eta \rightarrow \infty \text{ and the condition } l > \alpha + 1) \\ & \leq c_4 + c_5 \int_1^\infty \frac{\omega(\eta)}{1 + \eta^2} d\eta = c_6 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, because of $K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta) = O(\eta^{\alpha-l-1})$, $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ and $l > (\alpha + 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| \eta d\eta &= \int_0^1 |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| \eta d\eta + \int_1^\infty |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| \eta d\eta \\ &\leq c_7 + \int_1^\infty |K_\alpha^{(l)}(\eta)| \eta d\eta \leq c_8. \end{aligned}$$

Taking all of these estimates into account in (39), it follows that

$$\| \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi - \varphi \|_p \leq c\mu(\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where the constant c does not depend on ε . This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.2

(i) Let $\mu(t) = t^\gamma$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, $t \in [0, \rho)$, and suppose a function $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has μ -smoothness property in L_p -sense. Then

$$\| \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi - \varphi \|_p = O(\varepsilon^\gamma) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+.$$

(ii) Let $\mu(t) = t^\gamma |\ln t|^\beta$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, $\beta \in (0, \infty)$, $t \in (0, \rho)$, and suppose a function $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has μ -smoothness property in L_p -sense. Then

$$\| \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon^\alpha \mathcal{F}^\alpha \varphi - \varphi \|_p = O(\varepsilon^\gamma |\ln \varepsilon|^\beta) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey. ²Faculty of Education, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey. ³Ahi Evran Vocational and Technical Anatolian High School, Şanlıurfa, Turkey.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 24 December 2019 Accepted: 30 July 2020 Published online: 08 August 2020

References

1. Aliev, I.A.: On the Bochner–Riesz summability and restoration of μ -smooth functions by means of their Fourier transforms. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2**(3), 265–277 (1999)
2. Aliev, I.A.: Bi-parametric potentials, relevant function spaces and wavelet-like transforms. *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **65**, 151–167 (2009)
3. Aliev, I.A., Çobanoğlu, S.: The rate of convergence of truncated hypersingular integrals generated by the Poisson and metaharmonic semigroups. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **25**(12), 943–954 (2014)
4. Aliev, I.A., Eryiğit, M.: Inversion of Bessel potentials with the aid of weighted wavelet transforms. *Math. Nachr.* **242**, 27–37 (2002)
5. Aliev, I.A., Eryiğit, M.: On a rate of convergence of truncated hypersingular integrals associated to Riesz and Bessel potentials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **406**, 352–359 (2013)
6. Aliev, I.A., Rubin, B.: Wavelet-like transforms for admissible semi-groups; inversion formulas for potentials and Radon transforms. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **11**(3), 333–352 (2005)
7. Aliev, I.A., Sezer, S., Eryiğit, M.: An integral transform associated to the Poisson integral and inversion of Flett potentials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **321**, 691–704 (2006)
8. Balakrishnan, V.: Fractional powers of closed operators and the semi-groups generated by them. *Pac. J. Math.* **10**, 419–437 (1960)
9. Eryiğit, M., Çobanoğlu, S.: On the rate of L_p -convergence of Balakrishnan–Rubin-type hypersingular integrals associated to Gauss–Weierstrass semigroup. *Turk. J. Math.* **41**(6), 1376–1384 (2017)
10. Fisher, M.J.: Singular integrals and fractional powers of operators. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **161**(2), 307–326 (1971)
11. Flett, T.M.: Temperatures, Bessel potentials and Lipschitz spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **3**(3), 385–451 (1971)
12. Landkof, N.S.: *Foundations of Modern Potential Theory*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 180. Springer, New York (1972). Translated from Russian by A.P. Doohovskoy
13. Lizorkin, P.I.: Characterization of the spaces $L'_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of difference singular integrals. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **81**(1), 79–91 (1970) (in Russian)
14. Nogin, V.A.: On inversion of Bessel potentials. *J. Differ. Equ.* **18**, 1407–1411 (1982)
15. Nogin, V.A., Rubin, B.: Inversion of parabolic potentials with L_p -densities. *Mat. Zametki* **39**, 831–840 (1986) (in Russian)
16. Rubin, B.: Description and inversion of Bessel potentials by means of hypersingular integrals with weighted differences. *Differ. Uravn.* **22**(10), 1805–1818 (1986)
17. Rubin, B.: A method of characterization and inversion of Bessel and Riesz potentials. *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved., Mat.* **30**(5), 78–89 (1986)
18. Rubin, B.: Inversion of potentials on \mathbb{R}^n with the aid of Gauss–Weierstrass integrals. *Math. Notes* **41**(1–2), 22–27 (1987). English translation from *Math. Zametki* **41**(1), 34–42 (1987)
19. Rubin, B.: *Fractional Integrals and Potentials*. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Longman, Harlow (1996)
20. Rubin, B.: Fractional calculus and wavelet transforms in integral geometry. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **1**, 193–219 (1998)
21. Samko, S.G.: Spaces $L''_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and hypersingular integrals. *Stud. Math.* **61**(3), 193–230 (1977)
22. Samko, S.G.: *Hypersingular Integrals and Their Applications*. Izdat., Rostov Univ., Rostov-on-Don (1984) (in Russian)
23. Samko, S.G., Kilbas, A.A., Marichev, O.I.: *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*. Gordon & Breach, London (1993)
24. Sezer, S., Aliev, I.A.: A new characterization of the Riesz potentials spaces with the aid of composite wavelet transform. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **372**, 549–558 (2010)
25. Stein, E.: The characterization of functions arising as potentials. I. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **67**(1), 102–104 (1961)
26. Stein, E.: *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970)
27. Stein, E., Weiss, G.: *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1971)
28. Wheeden, R.L.: On hypersingular integrals and Lebesgue spaces of differentiable functions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **134**(3), 421–435 (1968)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)