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#### Abstract

Some properties of a new class of binary symmetric mean $M_{p}(a, b)$ which depends on two positive numbers $a$ and $b$, as well as a positive parameter $p$, are investigated. The logarithmic mean and arithmetic mean are two members of this class. It is shown that, for all values of the parameter $p$, the set of $p$-dependent means $M_{p}(a, b)$ is bounded above by the mean $M_{\infty}(a, b)$.
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## 1 Introduction

The arithmetic-geometric mean which was first investigated by Lagrange and Gauss [1] has found many applications due to its rapid convergence properties. It has played an important role in the calculation of the number $\pi$, as well as various elliptic integrals.

Let $a$ and $b$ denote real numbers such that $a>b>0$. A sequence of arithmetic means and a sequence of geometric means can be constructed by letting $a_{0}=a$ and $b_{0}=b$ and defining the recursions [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right), \quad b_{n+1}=\sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence $\left(b_{n}\right)$ is increasing and bounded above by $a$ while the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is decreasing and bounded below by $b$ since inductively

$$
\begin{equation*}
b<\cdots<b_{n}<a_{n}<\cdots<a . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, each sequence converges by the monotone convergence theorem. Since it is the case that [3]

$$
0 \leq a_{n+1}-b_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\left(a_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sqrt{a_{n}}+\sqrt{b_{n}}\right)^{2}}
$$

we observe that $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ converge to a common limit determined uniquely by $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$. The arithmetic-geometric mean $A G(a, b)$ is defined as the common limit of these two
sequences

$$
A G(a, b)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n} .
$$

Gauss was able to prove that $A G(a, b)$ can be calculated by means of an integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{A G(a, b)}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{\left(x^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+b^{2}\right)}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another type of mean which also has many applications is the logarithmic mean $L(a, b)$ defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{L(a, b)}=\frac{\log (a)-\log (b)}{a-b}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{(x+a)(x+b)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The similarity of expressions (3) and (4) motivates the introduction of a parametrized set of means which depends on a positive parameter and incorporates these two means. Let $a, b>0$ be given real numbers and $p \in(0, \infty)$ then define $M_{p}(a, b)$ by the integral [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{M_{p}(a, b)}=c_{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left.\left[\left(x^{p}+a^{p}\right)\left(x^{p}+b^{p}\right)\right)\right]^{1 / p}}, \quad 0<p<\infty . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arithmetic-geometric mean is obtained from (5) by taking $p=2$ and the logarithmic mean is the case $p=1$. The constant $c_{p}$ depends only on the single parameter $p$ and is defined to satisfy the condition $M_{p}(a, a)=a$. Expressed as an integral it takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c_{p}}=a \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(x^{p}+a^{p}\right)^{2 / p}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{\left(t^{p}+1\right)^{2 / p}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is the intention here to study some of the properties of $1 / c_{p}$ and $M_{p}(a, b)[5,6]$. It will be shown that $1 / c_{p}$ increases monotonically for all $p \in(0, \infty)$. Some bounds for the means $M_{p}(a, b)$ are obtained. Two additional means $M_{0}(a, b)$ and $M_{\infty}(a, b)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}(a, b)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} M_{p}(a, b), \quad M_{\infty}(a, b)=\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} M_{p}(a, b) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two limits will be calculated in closed form. It is shown that $M_{p}(a, b) \leq M_{\infty}(a, b)$ for all $p \in(0, \infty)$.
In general, a binary symmetric mean $M(a, b)$ of positive numbers $a$ and $b$ is a function that satisfies the following properties: (i) $\min (a, b) \leq M(a, b) \leq \max (a, b)$; (ii) $M(a, b)=$ $M(b, a)$; (iii) $M(\lambda a, \lambda b)=\lambda M(a, b)$ for all $\lambda>0$; and (iv) $M(a, b)$ is nondecreasing in $a$ and $b$. It is the case that $M_{p}(a, b)$ satisfies (ii)-(iv), and by the end it will be seen all (i)-(iv) hold [7].
To start let us establish some general bounds for $M_{p}(a, b)$ in an elementary way.
Theorem 1 Let $a, b>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min (a, b) \leq \sqrt{a b} \leq M_{p}(a, b) \leq\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p} \leq \max (a, b) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof The first inequality on the left follows from the fact that $\min (a, b) \leq \sqrt{\min (a, b)^{2}} \leq$ $\sqrt{a b}$. The last inequality on the right follows from the fact that $\left(\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right) / 2\right)^{1 / p}$ is strictly increasing with $p$ and the fact that

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}=\max (a, b)
$$

Since $\left(a^{p / 2}-b^{p / 2}\right)^{2} \geq 0$, it follows that $2(a b)^{p / 2} \leq a^{p}+b^{p}$. Squaring this, we have further $4(a b)^{p}<\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right)^{2}$. The following inequalities follow by first adding $x^{2 p}$ and then $a^{p} b^{p}$ to both sides of this result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2 p}+2(\sqrt{a b})^{p} x^{p}+a^{p} b^{p} & \leq x^{2 p}+\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right) x^{p}+a^{p} b^{p} \\
& =\left(x^{p}+a^{p}\right)\left(x^{p}+b^{p}\right) \leq x^{2 p}+\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right) x^{p}+\frac{1}{4}\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is equivalent to the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{p}+(\sqrt{a b})^{p}\right)^{2 / p} \leq\left[\left(x^{p}+a^{p}\right)\left(x^{p}+b^{p}\right)\right]^{1 / p} \leq\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 / p} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inverting these inequalities and then integrating with respect to $x$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 / p}} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left[\left(x^{p}+a^{p}\right)\left(x^{p}+b^{p}\right)\right]^{1 / p}} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(x^{p}+(\sqrt{a b})^{p}\right)^{2 / p}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply through (10) by $c_{p}$, invert what results, and then use definition (5) of $M_{p}(a, b)$ to obtain the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(\sqrt{a b}, \sqrt{a b}) \leq M_{p}(a, b) \leq M_{p}\left(\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p},\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $M_{p}(z, z)=z$ for any $z>0$, the two inner inequalities in (8) are obtained.

## 2 Series representations for the reciprocal of $M_{p}(a, b)$

Some useful integral and series representations related to these means will be developed next. First, make the substitution $t=\left(y^{p}+1\right)^{-1}$ with $d y=-p^{-1} t^{-1-1 / p}(1-t)^{1 / p-1} d t$ so $c_{p}$ can be put in the form of the beta function integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c_{p}}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} t^{1 / p-1}(1-t)^{1 / p-1} d t=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2}}{p \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Returning to the integral for $M_{p}(a, b)$, let us make the following change of variable:

$$
x^{p}=a^{p}\left(\frac{1}{t}-1\right)=a^{p}\left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right), \quad d x=-\frac{a}{p} t^{-1-1 / p}(1-t)^{1 / p-1} d t .
$$

In this case, the integral for $M_{p}(a, b)$ takes the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{M_{p}(a, b)}=c_{p} \frac{a}{p} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{1-1 / p}(1-t)^{1 / p-1}}{\frac{a}{t^{1 / p}}\left(a^{p} \frac{1-t}{t}+b^{p}\right)^{1 / p}} d t=\frac{c_{p}}{p} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{1 / p-1}(1-t)^{1 / p-1}}{\left(a^{p}(1-t)+b^{p} t\right)^{1 / p}} d t \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2 The function $1 / c_{p}$ increases monotonically for all $p \in(0, \infty)$. Moreover, as $p \rightarrow$ $\infty$, this function admits the asymptotic expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c_{p}}=2-\frac{\pi^{2}}{2 p^{2}}+\frac{4 \zeta(3)}{p^{3}}+O\left(\frac{1}{p^{4}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Beginning with (12) and setting $h(p)=1 / c_{p}$, we have

$$
\log (h(p))=\log \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2}}{p \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)}\right) .
$$

Differentiating both sides of this with respect to $p$, it follows that

$$
\frac{h^{\prime}(p)}{h(p)}=\frac{1}{p^{2}}\left(2 \psi\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)-2 \psi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)-p\right)
$$

It suffices to show the quantity in brackets is always positive. To this end, substitute the series form of $\psi(z)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \psi & \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)-2 \psi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)-p \\
& =-p+\frac{2}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\left(n+\frac{2}{p}\right)}+2 p-\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\left(n+\frac{1}{p}\right)}-p \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(n+\frac{1}{p}\right)\left(n+\frac{2}{p}\right)}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h(p) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $h^{\prime}(p)>0$ on $(0, \infty)$, it follows that the function $h(p)$ is positive and strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

The reciprocal of $M_{p}(a, b)$ can be expanded into an infinite series. This expansion will be seen to have several uses. Let us introduce the following expressions:

$$
(a, k)=a(a+1) \cdots(a+k-1), \quad(a, 0)=1, \quad(a,-1)=0, \quad a \neq 0
$$

Theorem 3 Given $a, b>0$ and $p \in(0, \infty)$, the following expansion holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{M_{p}(a, b)}=\frac{1}{\max (a, b)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)}{\left(\frac{2}{p}, k\right) k!}\left[1-\left(\frac{\min (a, b)}{\max (a, b)}\right)^{p}\right]^{k} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0!=1$.

Proof Both sides of (15) are equal to $1 / a$ when $a=b$. Assume without loss of generality that $a>b>0$. Set $\beta=1-(b / a)^{p}$ so we have $0<\beta<1$ and

$$
a^{p}(1-t)+b^{p} t=a^{p}(1-\beta t) .
$$

Expanding the denominator of (13) into power series gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left[a^{p}(1-t)+b^{p} t\right]^{1 / p}}=\frac{1}{a}(1-\beta t)^{-1 / p}=\frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right) \frac{\beta^{k}}{k!} t^{k} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series on the right-hand side of (16) converges when $\beta \in(0,1)$, and so integration of the series term by term is justified. Substitute (16) into (13) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{M_{p}(a, b)} & =\frac{1}{a B\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{m=0}^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{p}+m\right) \frac{\beta^{k}}{k!} t^{k+1 / p-1}(1-t)^{1 / p-1} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{a B\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right) \frac{\beta^{k}}{k!} \int_{0}^{1} t^{k+1 / p-1}(1-t)^{1 / p-1} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right) \frac{\alpha^{k}}{k!} \frac{B\left(k+\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)}{B\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Expressing the beta functions in (17) in terms of the gamma function, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B\left(k+\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)}{B\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)}=\frac{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(k+\frac{2}{p}\right)}=\prod_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}+m\right)}{\left(\frac{2}{p}+m\right)}=\frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)}{\left(\frac{2}{p}, k\right)} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (18) into (17), the required result (15) is obtained.

Another expansion which is relevant to $M_{p}(a, b)$ is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 4 Given $a, b>0$ and $p \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{M_{p}(a, b)}=\left(\frac{2}{a^{p}+b^{p}}\right)^{1 / p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)}{k!} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, 2 k\right)}{\left(\frac{2}{p}, 2 k\right)} \cdot\left(\frac{a^{p}-b^{p}}{a^{p}+b^{p}}\right)^{2 k} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Completing the square, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x^{p}+a^{p}\right)\left(x^{p}+b^{p}\right) & =\left(x^{p}-\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{a^{p}-b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2}(1+\tau(x)) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau(x)$ is defined to be

$$
\tau(x)=\frac{\frac{a^{p}-b^{p}}{2}}{x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}} .
$$

Clearly, $|\tau(x)|<1$ and using (20), the following expansion holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\left(x^{p}+a^{p}\right)\left(x^{p}+b^{p}\right)\right]^{-1 / p} } & =\left(1+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{-2 / p}\left(1-\tau(x)^{2}\right)^{-1 / p} \\
& =\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{-2 / p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)}{k!} \tau(x)^{k} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting expansion (21) into the integral (13) for $M_{p}(a, b)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{M_{p}(a, b)} & =c_{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 / p}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)}{k!} \tau(x)^{2 k} d x \\
& =c_{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 / p}}+c_{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)}{k!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(x)^{2 k}}{\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 / p}} d x \\
& =\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{-1 / p}+c_{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)}{k!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(x)^{2 k}}{\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 / p}} d x \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{a^{p}+b^{p}}\right)^{1 / p}+c_{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)}{k!}\left(\frac{a^{p}-b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 k+2 / p}} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the integral apart from (22) and make use of the substitution

$$
x=\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p} y .
$$

The integral in (22) takes the form

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 k+2 / p}}=\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{-2 k-1 / p} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d y}{\left(y^{p}+1\right)^{2 k+2 / p}}
$$

Finally, introduce the change of variable $y^{p}+1=t^{-1}$ into the integral so it takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 k+2 / p}}=\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{-2 k-1 / p} \frac{1}{p} B\left(2 k+\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply (23) by $c_{p}$ from (12) to obtain

$$
c_{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(x^{p}+\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{2 k+2 / p}}=\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{-2 k-1 / p} \frac{B\left(2 k+\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)}{B\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)}=\left(\frac{2}{a^{p}+b^{p}}\right)^{2 k+1 / p} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, 2 k\right)}{\left(\frac{2}{p}, 2 k\right)} .
$$

Substituting this integral into (22), we arrive at the desired expansion

$$
\frac{1}{M_{p}(a, b)}=\left(\frac{2}{a^{p}+b^{p}}\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\frac{2}{a^{p}+b^{p}}\right)^{1 / p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)}{k!} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, 2 k\right)}{\left(\frac{2}{p}, 2 k\right)}\left(\frac{a^{p}-b^{p}}{a^{p}+b^{p}}\right)^{2 k} .
$$

## 3 Bounds for $M_{p}(a, b)$

Theorem 5 Given $a, b>0$, the following set of inequalities holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}(a, b)=\sqrt{a b} \leq M_{p}(a, b), \quad M_{\infty}(a, b)=\frac{2 \max (a, b)}{2+\log \left(\frac{\max (a, b)}{\min (a, b)}\right)} \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof It can be verified that

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}=\sqrt{a b}
$$

so the equality $M_{0}(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}$ follows directly from Theorem 1 . The inequalities on either side of $M_{p}(a, b)$ follow from monotonicity of $M_{p}(a, b)$ as a function of $p$. The last two inequalities follow easily if $a=b$. Suppose then that $a>b>0$. Since it has been shown that $c_{p} \rightarrow 1 / 2$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d y}{\left(y^{p}+1\right)^{2 / p}}=2
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{\left[\left(x^{p}+a^{p}\right)\left(x^{p}+b^{p}\right)\right]^{1 / p}} & =\int_{0}^{b} \frac{d x}{a b}+\int_{b}^{a} \frac{d x}{x a}+\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2+(\log (a)-\log (b))}{a}=\frac{2+\log \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)}{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} M_{p}(a, b)=\frac{2 a}{2+\log \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)} .
$$

Finally, it is the case that the mean $M_{1}(a, b)$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\frac{a-b}{\log (a)-\log (b)} \leq \frac{a+b}{2}
$$

Consequently, this implies the following inequality:

$$
2(a-b) \leq(a+b)(\log (a)-\log (b))
$$

Collecting terms on the right and adding $2 a$ to both sides, we get

$$
4 a \leq 2(a+b)+(a+b)(\log (a)-\log (b)) .
$$

This result implies the upper bound for $M_{\infty}(a, b)$,

$$
\frac{2 a}{2+\log \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)} \leq \frac{a+b}{2}
$$

This is the final inequality on the right in (24), so we are done.

Lemma 1 For fixed $p>0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}+k\right)^{2}}{k\left(\frac{2}{p}+k\right)} \geq 1 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Since $(1 / p)^{2} \geq 0$, it follows that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2}+2 \frac{k}{p}+k^{2} \geq 2 \frac{k}{p}+k^{2}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{p}+k\right)^{2} \geq k\left(\frac{2}{p}+k\right)
$$

Dividing both sides of this by the right-hand side, (25) is obtained.

Theorem 6 For $a, b>0$ and all $p \in(0, \infty)$, the following bound holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(a, b) \leq M_{\infty}(a, b) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof The proof relies on expressing series (15) given in Theorem 3 and (24) in a certain way. In fact, the reciprocal of (26) will be shown. Let $p>0$ and define

$$
r=r_{p}=1-\left(\frac{\min (a, b)}{\max (a, b)}\right)^{p}
$$

Clearly, $r \in(0,1)$ and this can be solved for the ratio on the right as a function of $r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\max (a, b)}{\min (a, b)}=(1-r)^{-1 / p} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 3, the following expansion holds for any $p \in(0, \infty)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\max (a, b)}{M_{p}(a, b)}=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{2}{p}, k\right) k!} r^{k} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, substituting (27) into (24), with $r \in(0,1)$, expand the logarithm function in series to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\max (a, b)}{M_{\infty}(a, b)}=1+\frac{1}{2 p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^{k}}{k} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it suffices to show the reciprocal of (26) holds,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{2}{p}, k\right) k!} r^{k} \geq \frac{1}{2 p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^{k}}{k}
$$

This is equivalent to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{2}{q}, k\right) k!}-\frac{1}{2 p k}\right] r^{k} \geq 0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If it can be shown that the coefficients in (30) are positive for each $k$, inequality in (30) will follow. This amounts to showing that

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{2}{p}, k\right) k!} \geq \frac{1}{2 p k}
$$

This implies it has to be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p\left(\frac{1}{p}, k\right)^{2} \geq\left(\frac{2}{p}, k\right)(k-1)!. \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that when $k=1$ and 2 are put in (31) the inequality holds. Suppose (31) holds up to some value of $k$, so the following statement holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}+1\right)^{2} \cdots\left(\frac{1}{p}+k-1\right)^{2} \geq \frac{2}{p}\left(\frac{2}{p}+1\right) \cdots\left(\frac{2}{p}+k-1\right)(k-1)!. \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply both sides of (32) by $\left(\frac{1}{p}+k\right)^{2}$ and use (25) from Lemma 1 to obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 p\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2} \cdots\left(\frac{1}{p}+k-1\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}+k\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{2}{p}\left(\frac{2}{p}+1\right) \cdots\left(\frac{2}{p}+k-1\right)\left(\frac{2}{p}+k\right) k!\cdot\left(\frac{\left(\frac{1}{p}+k\right)^{2}}{k\left(\frac{2}{p}+k\right)}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{2}{p}\left(\frac{2}{p}+1\right) \cdots\left(\frac{2}{p}+k\right) k!.
\end{aligned}
$$

This is exactly (32) but with $k$ replaced by $k+1$. By the Principle of Mathematical Induction, (32) holds.

This serves to generalize the result given in [4] where it was shown that $M_{2}(a, b) \leq$ $M_{\infty}(a, b)$.
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