

RESEARCH

Open Access



A new discrete Hilbert-type inequality involving partial sums

Vandanjav Adiyasuren¹, Tserendorj Batbold^{1*} and Laith Emil Azar²

*Correspondence:
tsbatbold@hotmail.com

¹Department of Mathematics,
National University of Mongolia,
Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we derive a new discrete Hilbert-type inequality involving partial sums. Moreover, we show that the constant on the right-hand side of this inequality is the best possible. As an application, we consider some particular settings.

MSC: 26D15

Keywords: Hilbert-type inequality; Gamma function; The best possible constant; Conjugate exponents

1 Introduction

The Hilbert inequality [5] asserts that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1)$$

holds for non-negative sequences a_m and b_n , provided that $(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0$ and $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} > 0$. The parameters p and q appearing in (1) are mutually conjugate, i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, where $p > 1$. In addition, the constant $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is the best possible in the sense that it can not be replaced with a smaller constant so that (1) still holds.

The Hilbert inequality is one of the most interesting inequalities in mathematical analysis. For a detailed review of the starting development of the Hilbert inequality the reader is referred to monograph [5]. The most important recent results regarding Hilbert-type inequalities are collected in monographs [4] and [7].

In 2006, Krnić and Pečarić [6], obtained the following generalization of classical Hilbert inequality.

Theorem 1 *Let $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and let $2 < s \leq 14$. Suppose that $\alpha_1 \in [-\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{q}]$, $\alpha_2 \in [-\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}]$ and $p\alpha_2 + q\alpha_1 = 2 - s$. If $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p\alpha_1-1} a_m^p < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\alpha_2-1} b_n^q < \infty$, then*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^s} < B(1 - p\alpha_2, p\alpha_2 + s - 1) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p\alpha_1-1} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\alpha_2-1} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (2)$$

where the constant $B(1 - p\alpha_2, p\alpha_2 + s - 1)$ is the best possible.

In the last few years, considerable attention is given to a class of Hilbert-type inequalities where the functions and sequences are replaced by certain integral or discrete operators. For example: in 2013, Azar [3] introduced a new Hilbert-type integral inequality including functions $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$ and $g(y) = \int_0^y g(t) dt$. For some related Hilbert-type inequalities where the functions and sequences are replaced by certain integral or discrete operators, the reader is referred to [1] and [2].

The main objective of this paper is to derive a discrete Hilbert-type inequality involving partial sums, similar to a result of Azar [3]. Such inequality is derived by virtue of inequality (2) and some well-known classical inequalities. As an application, we consider some particular settings.

2 Preliminaries and lemma

Recall that the Gamma function $\Gamma(\theta)$ and the Beta function $B(\mu, \nu)$ are defined, respectively, by

$$\Gamma(\theta) = \int_0^\infty t^{\theta-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \theta > 0,$$

$$B(\mu, \nu) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{(t+1)^{\mu+\nu}} dt, \quad \mu, \nu > 0,$$

and they satisfy the following relation

$$B(\mu, \nu) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu)\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\mu + \nu)}.$$

By the definition of the Gamma function, the following equality holds:

$$\frac{1}{(m+n)^\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-(m+n)t} dt. \tag{3}$$

To prove our main results we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2 *Let $a_m > 0, a_m \in \ell^1, A_m = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k$, then for $t > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{-tm} a_m \leq t \sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{-tm} A_m. \tag{4}$$

Proof Using Abel’s summation by parts formula and the inequality $1 - \frac{1}{e^t} \leq t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{-tm} a_m &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m e^{-t(m+1)} + \sum_{m=1}^\infty A_m (e^{-tm} - e^{-t(m+1)}) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{e^t}\right) \sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{-tm} A_m \\ &\leq t \sum_{m=1}^\infty e^{-tm} A_m. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

3 Main results

Theorem 3 Let $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \lambda > 0, a_m, b_n > 0, a_m, b_n \in \ell^1$, define $A_m = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k, B_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$. If $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{pq\alpha_1-1} A_m^p < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{pq\alpha_2-1} B_n^q < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} < C \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{pq\alpha_1-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{pq\alpha_2-1} B_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha_1 \in [-\frac{1}{q}, 0), \alpha_2 \in [-\frac{1}{p}, 0)$ and $p\alpha_2 + q\alpha_1 = -\lambda$. In addition, the constant $C = pq\alpha_1\alpha_2 B(-p\alpha_2, -q\alpha_1)$ is the best possible in (5).

Proof Using (3), the left-hand side of inequality (5) can be expressed in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_m b_n \left(\int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-(m+n)t} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-tm} a_m \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-tn} b_n \right) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Now, by applying inequality (4) and equality (3) to the previous equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda+1} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-tm} A_m \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-tn} B_n \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_m B_n \left(\int_0^\infty t^{\lambda+1} e^{-(m+n)t} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+2)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_m B_n}{(m+n)^{\lambda+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Moreover, the last double series represents the left-hand side of the Hilbert-type inequality (2) for $s = 2 + \lambda$, that is, we have the inequality

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_m B_n}{(m+n)^{\lambda+2}} < B(1-p\alpha_2, p\alpha_2 + \lambda + 1) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{pq\alpha_1-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{pq\alpha_2-1} B_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

so by (7) we get

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} < C \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{pq\alpha_1-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{pq\alpha_2-1} B_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Now we shall prove that the constant factor is the best possible. Assuming that the constant C is not the best possible, then there exists a positive constant K such that $K < C$ and (5) still remains valid if C is replaced by K . Further, consider the $\tilde{a}_m = m^{-q\alpha_1-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}$ and $\tilde{b}_n = n^{-p\alpha_2-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small number. Then, we have

$$\tilde{A}_m = \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{a}_k = \sum_{k=1}^m m^{-q\alpha_1-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \leq \int_0^m x^{-q\alpha_1-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} dx = \frac{m^{-q\alpha_1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}}{-q\alpha_1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}},$$

and similarly

$$\tilde{B}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{b}_k \leq \frac{n^{-p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}}}{-p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}}.$$

Inserting the above sequences in (5), the right-hand side of (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & K \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{pq\alpha_1 - 1} \tilde{A}_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{pq\alpha_2 - 1} \tilde{B}_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq K \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{pq\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{m^{-pq\alpha_1 - \varepsilon}}{(-q\alpha_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{pq\alpha_2 - 1} \frac{n^{-pq\alpha_2 - \varepsilon}}{(-p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})^q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \frac{K}{(-q\alpha_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})(-p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1 - \varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1 - \varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \frac{K}{(-q\alpha_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})(-p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})} \left(1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^{-1 - \varepsilon} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{K}{(-q\alpha_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})(-p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})} \left(1 + \int_1^{\infty} x^{-1 - \varepsilon} dx \right) \\ & = \frac{K(1 + \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon(-q\alpha_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})(-p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Now, let us estimate the left-hand side of inequality (5). Namely, by inserting the above defined sequences \tilde{a}_m and \tilde{b}_n in the left-hand side of inequality (5), we get the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{-q\alpha_1 - 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} n^{-p\alpha_2 - 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}}}{(m+n)^\lambda} \\ &\geq \int_1^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{x^{-q\alpha_1 - 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} y^{-p\alpha_2 - 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}}}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \\ &= \int_1^{\infty} x^{-1 - \varepsilon} \int_{1/x}^{\infty} \frac{u^{-p\alpha_2 - 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du dx \\ &= \int_1^{\infty} x^{-1 - \varepsilon} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{-p\alpha_2 - 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du - \int_0^{1/x} \frac{u^{-p\alpha_2 - 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_1^{\infty} x^{-1 - \varepsilon} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{-p\alpha_2 - 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du - \int_0^{1/x} u^{-p\alpha_2 - 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}} du \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot B\left(-p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}, -q\alpha_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) - \frac{1}{(p\alpha_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q})(p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

It follows from inequalities (8) and (9) that

$$B\left(-p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}, -q\alpha_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) - \frac{\varepsilon}{(p\alpha_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q})(p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})} \leq \frac{K(1 + \varepsilon)}{(-q\alpha_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})(-p\alpha_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q})}. \tag{10}$$

Now, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, relation (10) yields a contradiction with the assumption $K < C$. So the constant C , in inequality (5) is the best possible. \square

Considering Theorem 3, equipped with parameters $\lambda = 1, \alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{q^2}, \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{p^2}$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4 *Let $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and let $a_m, b_n > 0$ with $a_m, b_n \in \ell^1$. If $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p} A_m^p < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-q} B_n^q < \infty$, then*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{pq \sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{A_m}{m} \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{B_n}{n} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{11}$$

where the constant $\frac{\pi}{pq \sin(\pi/p)}$ is the best possible.

Remark 5 It should be noticed here that if sequences $a_m, b_n \in \ell^1$ such that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$, inequality (11) provides refinement of the Hilbert inequality. Indeed, by Hardy’s inequality, the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\frac{A_m}{m})^p$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{B_n}{n})^q$ are converge. So, inequality (11) holds. The Hilbert inequality becomes after applying Hardy’s inequality on the right-hand side of inequality (11).

Letting $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \frac{-\lambda}{pq}$ in Theorem 3, we can obtain the following Hilbert-type inequality.

Corollary 6 *Let $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and let $0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$, $a_m, b_n > 0$ with $a_m, b_n \in \ell^1$. If $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\lambda-1} A_m^p < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\lambda-1} B_n^q < \infty$, then*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} < \frac{\lambda^2}{pq} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{q}, \frac{\lambda}{p}\right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\lambda-1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\lambda-1} B_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{12}$$

where the constant $\frac{\lambda^2}{pq} B(\frac{\lambda}{q}, \frac{\lambda}{p})$ is the best possible.

4 Conclusion

In the present study, we have established a discrete Hilbert-type inequality involving partial sums. Moreover, we have proved that the constant on the right-hand side of this inequality is the best possible. As an application, we considered some particular settings.

Funding

The authors would like to thank the National University of Mongolia for supporting this research.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions

All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, National University of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. ²Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, Mafraq, Jordan.

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 6 March 2019 Accepted: 30 April 2019 Published online: 08 May 2019

References

1. Adiyasuren, V., Batbold, Ts., Krnić, M.: On several new Hilbert-type inequalities involving means operators. *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **29**, 1493–1514 (2013)
2. Adiyasuren, V., Batbold, Ts., Krnić, M.: Multiple Hilbert-type inequalities involving some differential operators. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **10**(2), 320–337 (2016)
3. Azar, L.E.: Two new forms of Hilbert-type integral inequality. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **17**(3), 937–946 (2014)
4. Batbold, Ts., Krnić, M., Pečarić, J., Vuković, P.: *Further Development of Hilbert-Type Inequalities*. Element, Zagreb (2017)
5. Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., Pólya, G.: *Inequalities*, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1967)
6. Krnić, M., Pečarić, J.: Extension of Hilbert's inequality. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **324**(1), 150–160 (2006)
7. Krnić, M., Pečarić, J., Perić, I., Vuković, P.: *Recent Advances in Hilbert-Type Inequalities*. Element, Zagreb (2012)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
