

RESEARCH

Open Access



The closedness of shift invariant subspaces in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$

Qingyue Zhang^{1*}

*Correspondence:
jczhangqingyue@mail.nankai.edu.cn
¹College of Science, Tianjin
University of Technology, Tianjin,
China

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the closedness of shift invariant subspaces in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. We first define the shift invariant subspaces generated by the shifts of finite functions in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. Then we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the shift invariant subspaces in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ to be closed. Our results improve some known results in (Aldroubi et al. in *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **7**:1–21, 2001).

MSC: 42C15; 42C40; 41A58

Keywords: Mixed Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$; Closedness of shift invariant subspaces; Shift invariant subspaces

1 Introduction and main result

$L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ ($1 < p, q < +\infty$) are called mixed Lebesgue spaces which generalize Lebesgue spaces [2–6]. They are very important for the study of sampling and equation problems, since we can consider functions to be independent quantities with different properties [5–8]. Recently, Torres, Ward, Li, Liu and Zhang studied the sampling theorem on the shift invariant subspaces in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ [6–8]. In this environment, we study the closedness of shift invariant subspaces in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$.

The closedness is an expected property for shift invariant subspaces, which is widely considered in the study of shift invariant subspaces. de Boor, DeVore, Ron, Bownik and Shen studied the closedness of shift invariant subspaces in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ [9–11]. And Jia, Micchelli, Aldroubi, Sun and Tang discussed the closedness of shift invariant subspaces in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ [1, 12, 13]. In this paper, we consider the closedness of shift invariant subspaces in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$.

In order to provide our main result which extends the result in [1], we introduce some definitions and notations.

The definition of $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ is as follows.

Definition 1.1 For $1 < p, q < +\infty$. $L^{p,q} = L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ is made up of all functions f satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x,y)|^q dy \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$

We define mixed sequence spaces $\ell^{p,q}(\mathbb{Z}^{d+1})$ as follows:

$$\ell^{p,q} = \ell^{p,q}(\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}) = \left\{ c : \|c\|_{\ell^{p,q}} = \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c(n, l)|^q \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Given a function f , define

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} := \left\| \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(\cdot + k_1, x_2 + k_2)| \right)^q dx_2 \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]}.$$

For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, let $\mathcal{L}^{p,q} = \mathcal{L}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ be the linear space of all functions f for which $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} < \infty$. The norms are defined above and with usual modification in the case of p or $q = \infty$. $\mathcal{L}^{p,q}$ is a generalization of \mathcal{L}^p (the definition of \mathcal{L}^p see [14, Sect. 1]). Clearly, for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, one has $\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty} \subset \mathcal{L}^\infty$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty} \subset \mathcal{L}^{p,q} \subset \mathcal{L}^{1,1}$.

Let $\hat{f}(\omega)$ denote the Fourier transform of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$:

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} f(x) e^{-i\omega x} dx.$$

For a given sequence c and a function ϕ , $c *_{sd} \phi = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} c(k) \phi(\cdot - k)$ is called semi-convolution of c and ϕ .

Assume that \mathcal{B} is a Banach space. $(\mathcal{B})^{(r)}$ denotes r copies $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}$ of \mathcal{B} . If $C = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r)^T \in (\mathcal{B})^{(r)}$, then one defines the norm of C by $\|C\|_{(\mathcal{B})^{(r)}} = \sum_{j=1}^r \|c_j\|_{\mathcal{B}}$.

$\mathcal{WC}^{p,q}$ ($1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$) consists of all distributions whose Fourier coefficients belong to $\ell^{p,q}$. When $p = q = 1$, $\mathcal{WC}^{1,1}$ becomes the Wiener class \mathcal{WC} .

Suppose that $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_r)^T$ and $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_s)^T$ are two vector functions which satisfy $\widehat{\theta_j}(\omega) \overline{\widehat{\psi_{j'}}(\omega)}$ ($1 \leq j \leq r, 1 \leq j' \leq s$) are integrable. One defines

$$[\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Psi}](\omega) = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} \widehat{\theta_j}(\omega + 2k\pi) \overline{\widehat{\psi_{j'}}(\omega + 2k\pi)} \right)_{1 \leq j \leq r, 1 \leq j' \leq s}.$$

Remark 1.2 By [14, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2], $[\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Psi}](\omega) \in \mathcal{WC}$ for any $\Theta, \Psi \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty} \subset \mathcal{L}^\infty \subset \mathcal{L}^2$. Therefore, for any $\Theta \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty}$, using the continuity of $[\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Theta}](\omega)$ and $\text{rank}[\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Theta}](\omega) = \text{rank}(\widehat{\Theta}(\omega + 2k\pi))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}}$, one obtains, for any $n \geq 0$, the set $\Omega_n = \{\omega : \text{rank}(\widehat{\Theta}(\omega + 2k\pi))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} > n\}$ is open.

The following proposition shows that the shift invariant subspaces in $L^{p,q}$ ($1 < p, q < \infty$) are well defined.

Proposition 1.3 ([8, Lemma 2.2]) *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{L}^{p,q}$, where $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then, for any $c \in \ell^{p,q}$,*

$$\|c *_{sd} \theta\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \|c\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \|\theta\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}.$$

Definition 1.4 For $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_r)^T \in (\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty})^{(r)}$, the multiply generated shift invariant subspace in the mixed Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}$ is defined by

$$V_{p,q}(\Theta) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} c_j(k) \theta_j(\cdot - k) : c_j = \{c_j(k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}\} \in \ell^{p,q}, 1 \leq j \leq r \right\}.$$

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.5 *Assume $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_r)^T \in (\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty})^{(r)}$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then the following four conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $V_{p,q}(\Theta)$ is closed in $L^{p,q}$.
- (ii) There exist some positive constants C_1 and C_2 satisfying

$$C_1[\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Theta}](\omega) \leq [\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Theta}](\omega) \overline{[\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Theta}](\omega)^T} \leq C_2[\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Theta}](\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in [-\pi, \pi]^{d+1}.$$

- (iii) There exist constants $B_1, B_2 > 0$ satisfying

$$B_1 \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \inf_{f = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j *_{sd} \phi_j} \sum_{j=1}^r \|c_j\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \leq B_2 \|f\|_{L^{p,q}}, \quad \forall f \in V_{p,q}(\Theta).$$

- (iv) There is $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_r)^T \in (\mathcal{L}^{\infty, \infty})^{(r)}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} \langle f, \psi_j(\cdot - k) \rangle \theta_j(\cdot - k) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} \langle f, \theta_j(\cdot - k) \rangle \psi_j(\cdot - k), \quad \forall f \in V_{p,q}(\Theta). \end{aligned}$$

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we give some three useful lemmas and two propositions. In Sect. 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.5. Finally, concluding remarks are presented in Sect. 4.

2 Some useful lemmas and propositions

In this section, we give three useful lemmas and two propositions which are needed in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proposition 2.1 ([1, Lemma 1]) *Let $\Theta \in (\mathcal{L}^2)^{(r)}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{rank}(\widehat{\Theta}(\omega + 2k\pi))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}}$ is a constant for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$.
- (ii) There exist some positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1[\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Theta}](\omega) \leq [\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Theta}](\omega) \overline{[\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Theta}](\omega)^T} \leq C_2[\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Theta}](\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in [-\pi, \pi]^{d+1}.$$

Proposition 2.2 ([1, Lemma 2]) *Let $\Phi \in (\mathcal{L}^2)^{(r)}$ satisfy $\text{rank}(\widehat{\Phi}(\xi + 2k\pi))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} = k_0 \geq 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. Then there exists a finite index set Λ , $\eta_\lambda \in [-\pi, \pi]^{d+1}$, $0 < \delta_\lambda < 1/4$, nonsingular 2π -periodic $r \times r$ matrix $P_\lambda(\xi)$ with all entries in the Wiener class and $K_\lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ with $\text{cardinality}(K_\lambda) = k_0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, having the following properties:*

- (i)

$$[-\pi, \pi]^{d+1} \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B(\delta_\lambda, \delta_\lambda/2),$$

where $B(x_0, \delta)$ denotes the open ball in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} with center x_0 and radius δ ;

(ii)

$$P_\lambda(\xi)\widehat{\Phi}(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}(\xi) \\ \widehat{\Psi}_{2,\lambda}(\xi) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \text{ and } \lambda \in \Lambda,$$

where $\Psi_{1,\lambda}$ and $\Psi_{2,\lambda}$ are functions from \mathbb{R}^{d+1} to \mathbb{C}^{k_0} and \mathbb{C}^{r-k_0} , respectively, satisfying

$$\text{rank}(\widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}(\xi + 2\pi k))_{k \in K_\lambda} = k_0, \quad \forall \xi \in B(\delta_\lambda, \delta_\lambda/2)$$

and

$$\widehat{\Psi}_{2,\lambda}(\xi) = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in B(\delta_\lambda, 8\delta_\lambda/5) + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}.$$

Furthermore, there exist 2π -periodic \mathbb{C}^∞ functions $h_\lambda(\xi)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} such that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} h_\lambda(\xi) = 1, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$$

and

$$\text{supp } h_\lambda(\xi) \subset B(\delta_\lambda, \delta_\lambda/2) + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}.$$

The following lemma can be proved similarly to [7, Theorem 3.4]. And we leave the details to the interested reader.

Lemma 2.3 Assume that $f \in L^{p,q}$ ($1 < p, q < \infty$) and $g \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}$. Then

$$\left\| \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, x_2) \overline{g(x_1 - k_1, x_2 - k_2)} dx_1 dx_2 : k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}}.$$

Lemma 2.4 Let $c \in \ell^1$. Then one has:

(i) If $\theta \in \mathcal{L}^{p,q}$ ($1 < p, q < \infty$), then

$$\|c *_{\text{sd}} \theta\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} \leq \|c\|_{\ell^1} \|\theta\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}.$$

(ii) If $\theta \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}$, then

$$\|c *_{\text{sd}} \theta\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}} \leq \|c\|_{\ell^1} \|\theta\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}}.$$

Proof (i) By Young’s inequality and the triangle inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|c *_{\text{sd}} \theta\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} &= \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c *_{\text{sd}} \theta(\cdot + n, y + l)| \right)^q dy \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_{n',l'} \theta(\cdot + n - n', y + l - l') \right| \right)^q dy \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_{n',l'} \theta(\cdot + n - n', y + l - l') \right| \right)^q dy \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{n',l}| \left(\sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta(\cdot + n - n', y + l')| \right) \right)^q dy \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\
 &\leq \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{n',l}| \left[\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta(\cdot + n - n', y + l')| \right)^q dy \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\
 &\leq \left\| \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{n',l}| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta(\cdot + n - n', y + l')| \right)^q dy \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\
 &\leq \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{n',l}| \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta(\cdot + n - n', y + l')| \right)^q dy \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\
 &\leq \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{n',l}| \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{l' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta(\cdot + n, y + l')| \right)^q dy \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\
 &= \|c\|_{\ell^1} \|\theta\|_{L^{p,q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The desired result (i) in Lemma 2.4 is obtained.

(ii) The desired result (ii) in Lemma 2.4 can be found in [8, Lemma 2.4]. □

Lemma 2.5 *Assume that $\theta \in \mathcal{L}^{p,q}$ ($1 < p, q < \infty$) and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} \theta(\cdot - k) = 0$. Then for any function h on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} satisfying*

$$|h(x)| \leq D(1 + |x|)^{-d-2} \quad \text{and} \quad |h(x) - h(y)| \leq D|x - y|(1 + \min(|x|, |y|))^{-d-2}, \tag{2.1}$$

one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n(d+1)} \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} h(2^{-n}k) \theta(\cdot - k) \right\|_{L^{p,q}} = 0.$$

Here D in (2.1) is a positive constant.

Proof Since $\theta \in \mathcal{L}^{p,q}$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N_0 \geq 2$ satisfying

$$\left\| \sum_{|l| \geq N_0} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} < \varepsilon \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in E_{N_0}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} < \varepsilon, \tag{2.3}$$

where $E_{N_0}^d = \{(k_1, \dots, k_d) : \text{there exists some } 1 \leq i_0 \leq d \text{ such that } |k_{i_0}| > N_0\}$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_1(x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}) &= \theta(x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}) \chi_{O_{N_0}}(x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_{d+1}) \in E_{N_0}^{d+1}} \theta(x_1 + k_1, \dots, x_{d+1} + k_{d+1}) \chi_{[0,1]^{d+1}}(x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $O_{N_0} = \bigcup_{|k_i| \leq N_0, 1 \leq i \leq d+1} [(k_1, \dots, k_{d+1}) + [0, 1]^{d+1}]$ and χ_S is the characteristic function of S .

Thus $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} \theta_1(\cdot - k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} \theta(\cdot - k) = 0$ and $\|\theta_1 - \theta\|_{L^{p,q}} < 5\epsilon$. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\theta_1 - \theta\|_{L^{p,q}} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |(\theta_1 - \theta)(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |(\theta_1 - \theta)(\cdot, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{l \neq 0} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |(\theta_1 - \theta)(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

First of all, one treats I_1 : by (2.2) and (2.3), one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \left\| \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} (|(\theta_1 - \theta)(\cdot, x)|)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \neq 0} |(\theta_1 - \theta)(\cdot, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} (|(\theta_1 - \theta)(\cdot, x)|)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \neq 0} |(\theta_1 - \theta)(\cdot, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_{d+1}) \in E_{N_0}^{d+1}} |\theta(\cdot + k_1, \dots, x_{d+1} + k_{d+1})| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in E_{N_0}^d} |\theta(\cdot, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\left(\sum_{|l| > N_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in E_{N_0}^d} \right) |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in E_{N_0}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{|l| > N_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in E_{N_0}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left\| \sum_{|l|>N_0} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in E_{N_0}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} + \epsilon \\ &< \epsilon + \epsilon + \epsilon = 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Next, one treats I_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \left\| \sum_{|l|>N_0} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |(\theta_1 - \theta)(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{|l| \leq N_0, l \neq 0} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |(\theta_1 - \theta)(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{|l|>N_0} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{|l| \leq N_0, l \neq 0} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in E_{N_0}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{|l|>N_0} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k \in E_{N_0}^d} |\theta(\cdot + l, x + k)| \right)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &< \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one has $\|\theta_1 - \theta\|_{L^{p,q}} < 5\epsilon$.

Using Lemma 2.4 and (2.1), there exists some positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| 2^{-n(d+1)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} h(2^{-n}k) (\phi(\cdot - k) - \phi_1(\cdot - k)) \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \\ &\leq 2^{-n(d+1)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} |h(2^{-n}k)| \|\phi_1 - \phi\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq C\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| 2^{-n(d+1)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} h(2^{-n}k) \theta_1(\cdot - k) \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \\ &= 2^{-n(d+1)} \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h(2^{-n}k_1, 2^{-n}k_2) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \times \theta_1(\cdot + j_1 - k_1, x_2 + j_2 - k_2) \right) \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\ &= 2^{-n(d+1)} \left\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (h(2^{-n}k_1, 2^{-n}k_2) - h(2^{-n}j_1, 2^{-n}j_2)) \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \theta_1(\cdot + j_1 - k_1, x_2 + j_2 - k_2) \Big| \Big)^q dx_2 \Big)^{1/q} \Big\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\
 \leq & 2^{-n(d+2)} C_1(N_0) \Big\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + 2^{-n}|(k_1, k_2)|)^{-(d+2)} \right. \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left. |\theta_1(\cdot + j_1 - k_1, x_2 + j_2 - k_2)| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \Big\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\
 = & 2^{-n(d+2)} C_1(N_0) \Big\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + 2^{-n}|(k_1, k_2)|)^{-(d+2)} \right. \right. \\
 & \times \left. \left. \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta_1(\cdot + j_1 - k_1, x_2 + j_2)| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \Big\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\
 \leq & 2^{-n(d+2)} C_1(N_0) \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + 2^{-n}|(k_1, k_2)|)^{-(d+2)} \\
 & \times \Big\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta_1(\cdot + j_1 - k_1, x_2 + j_2)| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \Big\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\
 \leq & 2^{-n} C_2(N_0) \Big\| \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} \left(\sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\theta_1(\cdot + j_1, x_2 + j_2)| \right)^q dx_2 \right)^{1/q} \Big\|_{L^p[0,1]} \\
 = & 2^{-n} C_2(N_0) \|\theta_1\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq 2^{-n} C_2(N_0) (\|\theta\|_{L^{p,q}} + 5\epsilon).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $C_i(N_0)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are positive constants depending only on N_0 and d . This completes the proof. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.5. The main steps of the proof are as follows:

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iv).

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii):

Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} \langle f, \psi_j(\cdot - k) \rangle \theta_j(\cdot - k)$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 \inf_{f = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j *_{sd} \theta_j} \sum_{j=1}^r \|c_j\|_{\ell^{p,q}} & \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \left\| \{ \langle f, \psi_j(\cdot - k_1, \cdot - k_2) \rangle : k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d \} \right\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \\
 & \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \|\psi_j\|_{L^{\infty,\infty}} = \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \sum_{j=1}^r \|\psi_j\|_{L^{\infty,\infty}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if $f = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j *_{sd} \theta_j$, then, by Proposition 1.3 and the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} & = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^r c_j *_{sd} \theta_j \right\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \|c_j *_{sd} \theta_j\|_{L^{p,q}} \\
 & \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \|c_j\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \|\theta_j\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \|\theta_j\|_{L^{p,q}} \sum_{j=1}^r \|c_j\|_{\ell^{p,q}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Taking the infimum for (3.1), one gets

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \|\theta_j\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}} \inf_{f = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j *_{sd} \theta_j} \sum_{j=1}^r \|c_j\|_{\ell^{p,q}}.$$

Let $B_1 = 1 / \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \|\theta_j\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}}$ and $B_2 = \sum_{j=1}^r \|\psi_j\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}}$. Then one has

$$B_1 \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq \inf_{f = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j *_{sd} \theta_j} \sum_{j=1}^r \|c_j\|_{\ell^{p,q}} \leq B_2 \|f\|_{L^{p,q}}, \quad \forall f \in V_{p,q}(\Theta).$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i):

For convenience, let $T : (\ell^{p,q})^{(r)} \rightarrow V_{p,q}(\Theta)$ be a mapping which is defined by

$$TC = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j *_{sd} \theta_j, \quad C = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r)^T \in (\ell^{p,q})^{(r)},$$

and let $\|f\|_{\inf} = \inf_{f = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j *_{sd} \theta_j} \sum_{j=1}^r \|c_j\|_{\ell^{p,q}}$. Then, obviously, $\|\cdot\|_{\inf}$ is a norm. Assume $f_n \subset \text{Ran}(T)$ ($n \geq 1$) is a Cauchy sequence. Here $\text{Ran}(T)$ denotes the range of T . Without loss of generality, let $\|f_n - f_{n-1}\|_{\inf} < 2^{-n}$. Using the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\inf}$, there is $C_n \in (\ell^{p,q})^{(r)}$ ($n \geq 2$) such that $TC_n = f_n - f_{n-1}$ and $\|C_n\|_{(\ell^{p,q})^{(r)}} < 2^{-n}$ for any $n \geq 2$. By the completeness of $(\ell^{p,q})^{(r)}$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \|C_n\|_{(\ell^{p,q})^{(r)}} < \infty$, one has $Z = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n \in (\ell^{p,q})^{(r)}$ and $f_1 + TZ \in \text{Ran}(T)$. Note that $\|TC\|_{\inf} \leq \|C\|_{(\ell^{p,q})^{(r)}}$ for any $C \in (\ell^{p,q})^{(r)}$. One has

$$\|f_n - f_1 - TZ\|_{\inf} = \left\| T \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} C_k \right) \right\|_{\inf} \leq \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} C_k \right\|_{(\ell^{p,q})^{(r)}} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|C_k\|_{(\ell^{p,q})^{(r)}} \rightarrow 0,$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\text{Ran}(T)$ is closed. Since $V_{p,q}(\Theta) = \text{Ran}(T)$, one sees that $V_{p,q}(\Theta)$ is closed.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii):

Similarly to [1, Proof of (i) \Rightarrow (iii)], one can prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii) by using $\mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty} \subset \mathcal{L}^{\infty}$, and substituting $L^{p,q}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}$, Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.5 for L^p , \mathcal{L}^{∞} , Lemma 1 and Lemma 3 in [1], respectively.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iv):

Assume that $h_\lambda(\omega)$, $P_\lambda(\omega)$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}(\omega)$ are as in Proposition 2.2. Define

$$D_\lambda(\omega) = \overline{P_\lambda(\omega)^T} \begin{pmatrix} [\widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}, \widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}](\omega)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} P_\lambda(\omega) H_\lambda(\omega). \tag{3.2}$$

Here $H_\lambda(\omega)$ is a function with period 2π which satisfies $\text{supp } H_\lambda \subset B(\eta_\lambda, \delta_\lambda) + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ and $H_\lambda(\omega) = 1$ on $\text{supp } h_\lambda$. Thus $D_\lambda \in (\mathcal{WC})^{(r \times r)}$. Let $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_r)^T$ be defined by

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\omega) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} h_\lambda(\omega) D_\lambda(\xi) \widehat{\Theta}(\omega). \tag{3.3}$$

Then, by Lemma 2.4, one has $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty,\infty}$. For any $f \in V_{p,q}(\Theta)$, using the definition of $V_{p,q}(\Theta)$, there exists a distribution $A(\omega) \in (\mathcal{WC}^{p,q})^{(r)}$ with period 2π which satisfies $\widehat{f}(\omega) =$

$A(\omega)^T \widehat{\Theta}(\omega)$. Putting

$$g = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} \langle f, \psi_j(\cdot - k) \rangle \theta_j(\cdot - k).$$

By the periodicity of $h_\lambda(\omega)$ and $D_\lambda(\omega)$, (3.2), (3.3) and Proposition 2.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}(\omega) &= A(\omega)^T [\widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\Psi}](\omega) \widehat{\Theta}(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A(\omega)^T P_\lambda(\omega)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} [\widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}, \widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}](\omega) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [\widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}, \widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}](\omega)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}(\omega) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} h_\lambda(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A(\omega)^T P_\lambda(\omega)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\Psi}_{1,\lambda}(\omega) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} h_\lambda(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A(\omega)^T P_\lambda(\omega)^{-1} P_\lambda(\omega) \widehat{\Theta}(\omega) h_\lambda(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A(\omega)^T \widehat{\Theta}(\omega) h_\lambda(\omega) \\ &= A(\omega)^T \widehat{\Theta}(\omega) \\ &= \widehat{f}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\widehat{f}(\omega) = \widehat{g}(\omega)$. Therefore $f = g$, namely

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \psi_j(\cdot - k) \rangle \theta_j(\cdot - k).$$

Similar arguments show that

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \theta_j(\cdot - k) \rangle \psi_j(\cdot - k).$$

4 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we study the closedness of shift invariant subspaces in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. We first define the shift invariant subspaces generated by the shifts of finite functions in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. Then we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the shift invariant subspaces in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ to be closed.

However, in this paper, we only consider the closedness of shift invariant subspace of $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. Studying the $L^{p,q}$ -frames in a shift invariant subspace of mixed Lebesgue $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the goal of future work.

Funding

This work was supported partially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants Nos. 11371200, 11326094 and 11401435. This work was also partially supported by the Program for Visiting Scholars at the Chern Institute of Mathematics.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

QZ provided the questions and gave the proof for the main result. He read and approved the manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 27 February 2018 Accepted: 23 May 2018 Published online: 06 July 2018

References

1. Aldroubi, A., Sun, Q., Tang, W.: p -frames and shift invariant subspaces of L^p . *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **7**(1), 1–21 (2001)
2. Benedek, A., Panzone, R.: The space L^p with mixed norm. *Duke Math. J.* **28**(3), 301–324 (1961)
3. Benedek, A., Calderón, A.P., Panzone, R.: Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **48**(3), 356–365 (1962)
4. Francia, J.L., Ruiz, F.J., Torrea, J.L.: Calderón–Zygmund theory for operator-valued kernels. *Adv. Math.* **62**(1), 7–48 (1986)
5. Fernandez, D.L.: Vector-valued singular integral operators on L^p -spaces with mixed norms and applications. *Pac. J. Math.* **129**(2), 257–275 (1987)
6. Torres, R., Ward, E.: Leibniz's rule, sampling and wavelets on mixed Lebesgue spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **21**(5), 1053–1076 (2015)
7. Li, R., Liu, B., Liu, R., Zhang, Q.: Nonuniform sampling in principal shift-invariant subspaces of mixed Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **453**(2), 928–941 (2017)
8. Li, R., Liu, B., Liu, R., Zhang, Q.: The $L^{p,q}$ -stability of the shifts of finitely many functions in mixed Lebesgue space $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. *Acta Math. Sin.* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-018-7333-1>
9. de Boor, C., DeVore, R.A., Ron, A.: The structure of finitely generated shift-invariant spaces in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *J. Funct. Anal.* **119**(1), 37–78 (1994)
10. Bownik, M.: The structure of shift-invariant subspaces of $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *J. Funct. Anal.* **177**(2), 282–309 (2000)
11. Ron, A., Shen, Z.: Frames and stable bases for shift-invariant subspaces of $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. *Can. J. Math.* **47**(5), 1051–1094 (1995)
12. Jia, R.Q., Micchelli, C.A.: On linear independence for integer translates of a finite number of functions. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **36**(1), 69–85 (1992)
13. Jia, R.Q.: Stability of the shifts of a finite number of functions. *J. Approx. Theory* **95**(2), 194–202 (1998)
14. Jia, R.Q., Micchelli, C.A.: Using the refinement equations for the construction of pre-wavelets II: powers of two. In: Laurent, P.-J., Le Méhauté, A., Schumaker, L.L. (eds.) *Curves and Surfaces*, pp. 209–246. Academic Press, New York (1991)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
