# RESEARCH

**Open Access** 



# Weighted arithmetic–geometric operator mean inequalities

Jianming Xue<sup>1\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: xuejianming104@163.com <sup>1</sup>Oxbridge College, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, P.R. China

# Abstract

In this paper, we refine and generalize some weighted arithmetic–geometric operator mean inequalities due to Lin (Stud. Math. 215:187–194, 2013) and Zhang (Banach J. Math. Anal. 9:166–172, 2015) as follows: Let A and B be positive operators. If  $0 < m \le A \le m' < M' \le B \le M$  or  $0 < m \le B \le m' < M' \le A \le M$ , then for a positive unital linear map  $\Phi$ ,

$$\Phi^{2}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) \leq \left[\frac{K(h)}{S(h'')}\right]^{2} \Phi^{2}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B),$$

$$\Phi^{2}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) \leq \left[\frac{K(h)}{S(h'')}\right]^{2} \left[\Phi(A)\sharp_{\alpha}\Phi(B)\right]^{2},$$

$$\Phi^{2p}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) \leq \frac{1}{16} \left[\frac{K^{2}(h)(M^{2}+m^{2})^{2}}{S^{2}(h'')M^{2}m^{2}}\right]^{p} \Phi^{2p}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B),$$

$$\Phi^{2p}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) \leq \frac{1}{16} \left[\frac{K^{2}(h)(M^{2}+m^{2})^{2}}{S^{2}(h'')M^{2}m^{2}}\right]^{p} \left[\Phi(A)\sharp_{\alpha}\Phi(B)\right]^{2p},$$
where  $\alpha \in [0, 1], K(h) = \frac{(h+1)^{2}}{4h}, S(h') = \frac{h'\frac{1}{h'-1}}{e\log h'\frac{1}{h'-1}}, h = \frac{M}{m}, h' = \frac{M'}{m'}, r = \min\{\alpha, 1-\alpha\} \text{ and } p \geq 2.$ 
**MSC:** 47A63; 47A30  
**Keywords:** Positive linear map; Operator inequality; Weighted arithmetic operator mean; Weighted geometric operator mean

# **1** Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and I be the identity operator.  $\|\cdot\|$  is the operator norm.  $A \ge 0$  (A > 0) implies that Ais a positive (strictly positive) operator. A linear map  $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$  is called positive if  $A \ge 0$  implies  $\Phi(A) \ge 0$ . It is said to be unital if  $\Phi(I) = I$ . For A, B > 0, the  $\alpha$ -weighted arithmetic mean and  $\alpha$ -weighted geometric mean of A and B are defined, respectively, by

 $A\nabla_{\alpha}B = (1-\alpha)A + \alpha B, \qquad A \sharp_{\alpha}B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\alpha}A^{\frac{1}{2}},$ 

where  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . When  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , we write  $A \nabla B$  and  $A \sharp B$  for brevity for  $A \nabla_{\frac{1}{2}} B$  and  $A \sharp_{\frac{1}{2}} B$ , respectively.

© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



Let  $0 < m \le A, B \le M$ , and  $\Phi$  be a positive unital linear map. Tominaga [3] showed that the following operator inequality holds:

$$\frac{A+B}{2} \le S(h)A \sharp B,\tag{1.1}$$

where  $S(h) = \frac{h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}}{e \log h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}}$  is called Specht's radio and  $h = \frac{M}{m}$ . Indeed

$$S(h) \le K(h) = \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h} \le S^2(h) \quad (h \ge 1)$$
(1.2)

was observed by Lin [1, (3.3)].

By (1.1) and (1.2), it is easy to obtain the following inequality:

$$\Phi\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le K(h)\Phi(A\sharp B). \tag{1.3}$$

Lin [1, Theorem 2.1] proved that (1.3) can be squared as follows:

$$\Phi^2\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le K^2(h)\Phi^2(A\sharp B) \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\Phi^2\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le K^2(h) \left[\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)\right]^2.$$
(1.5)

Zhang [2] generalized (1.4) and (1.5) when  $p \ge 2$ 

$$\Phi^{2p}\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le \frac{[K(h)(M^2+m^2)]^{2p}}{16M^{2p}m^{2p}} \Phi^{2p}(A \sharp B)$$
(1.6)

and

$$\Phi^{2p}\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le \frac{[K(h)(M^2+m^2)]^{2p}}{16M^{2p}m^{2p}} \left[\Phi(A)\sharp\Phi(B)\right]^{2p}.$$
(1.7)

A great number of results on operator inequalities have been given in the literature, for example, see [4-6] and the references therein.

In this paper, motivated by the aforementioned discussion, we extend (1.4)-(1.7) to the weighted arithmetic–geometric mean. In order to prove our results, we show a new operator weighted arithmetic–geometric mean inequality. Manipulating this operator inequality enables us to refine and generalize (1.4)-(1.7). Furthermore, a numerical example is given to demonstrate the effectiveness of the theoretical results.

# 2 Main results

In this section, the main results of this paper will be given. To do this, the following lemmas are necessary.

**Lemma 1** ([7]) *Let A, B >* 0. *Then the following norm inequality holds:* 

$$\|AB\| \le \frac{1}{4} \|A + B\|^2.$$
(2.1)

**Lemma 2** ([8]) Let A > 0. Then for every positive unital linear map  $\Phi$ ,

$$\Phi(A^{-1}) \ge \Phi^{-1}(A). \tag{2.2}$$

**Lemma 3** ([9]) *Let* A, B > 0. *Then for*  $1 \le r < \infty$ ,

$$||A^r + B^r|| \le ||(A + B)^r||.$$
 (2.3)

**Lemma 4** ([10]) Let  $0 < m \le A \le m' < M' \le B \le M$  or  $0 < m \le B \le m' < M' \le A \le M$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$A\nabla_{\alpha}B \ge S(h^{\prime r})A\sharp_{\alpha}B,\tag{2.4}$$

where  $S(h') = \frac{h' \frac{1}{h'-1}}{e \log h' \frac{1}{h'-1}}$ ,  $h' = \frac{M'}{m'}$  and  $r = \min\{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}$ .

**Theorem 1** Let  $0 < m \le A \le m' < M' \le B \le M$  or  $0 < m \le B \le m' < M' \le A \le M$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$A\nabla_{\alpha}B + MmS(h'^{r})(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{-1} \le M + m, \qquad (2.5)$$

where 
$$S(h') = \frac{h' \frac{1}{h'-1}}{e \log h' \frac{1}{h'-1}}$$
,  $h' = \frac{M'}{m'}$  and  $r = \min\{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}$ .

Proof Since

$$0 < m \leq A \leq M,$$

then

$$(1-\alpha)(M-A)(m-A)A^{-1} \le 0.$$

That is,

$$(1-\alpha)(A+MmA^{-1}) \le (1-\alpha)(M+m).$$
 (2.6)

Similarly, we get

$$\alpha \left( B + MmB^{-1} \right) \le \alpha (M + m). \tag{2.7}$$

Summing up inequalities (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$A\nabla_{\alpha}B + MmA^{-1}\nabla_{\alpha}B^{-1} \le M + m.$$

By  $(A \sharp_{\alpha} B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \sharp_{\alpha} B^{-1}$  and (2.4), we have

$$A\nabla_{\alpha}B + MmS(h'')(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{-1} \le A\nabla_{\alpha}B + MmA^{-1}\nabla_{\alpha}B^{-1}$$
$$< M + m.$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2** Let  $\Phi$  be a positive unital linear map and let A and B be positive operators. If  $0 < m \le A \le m' < M' \le B \le M$  or  $0 < m \le B \le m' < M' \le A \le M$ , then for each  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\Phi^2(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) \le \left[\frac{K(h)}{S(h'^r)}\right]^2 \Phi^2(A\sharp_{\alpha}B),\tag{2.8}$$

$$\Phi^{2}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) \leq \left[\frac{K(h)}{S(h'')}\right]^{2} \left[\Phi(A)\sharp_{\alpha}\Phi(B)\right]^{2},$$
(2.9)

where  $K(h) = \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}$ ,  $S(h') = \frac{h'\frac{1}{h'-1}}{e\log h'\frac{1}{h'-1}}$ ,  $h = \frac{M}{m}$ ,  $h' = \frac{M'}{m'}$  and  $r = \min\{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}$ .

Proof Inequality (2.8) is equivalent to

$$\left\|\Phi(A\nabla_{\alpha}B)\Phi^{-1}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\right\| \leq \frac{K(h)}{S(h'')}.$$

By (2.1), (2.2) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Phi(A\nabla_{\alpha}B)MmS(h^{\prime r}) \Phi^{-1}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) + MmS(h^{\prime r}) \Phi^{-1}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B) \right\|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) + MmS(h^{\prime r}) \Phi\left[(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{-1}\right] \right\|^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi\left[(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) + MmS(h^{\prime r})(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{-1}\right] \right\|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(M+m) \right\|^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (M+m)^{2}. \end{split}$$

That is,

$$\left\|\Phi(A\nabla_{\alpha}B)\Phi^{-1}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\right\| \leq \frac{(M+m)^2}{4MmS(h'^r)} = \frac{K(h)}{S(h'^r)}.$$

Thus, (2.8) holds.

Inequality (2.9) is equivalent to

$$\left\|\Phi(A\nabla_{\alpha}B)\left[\Phi(A)\sharp_{\alpha}\Phi(B)\right]^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{K(h)}{S(h'')}.$$

By (2.1) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Phi(A \nabla_{\alpha} B) MmS(h'^{r}) \left[ \Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B) \right]^{-1} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(A \nabla_{\alpha} B) + MmS(h'^{r}) \left[ \Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B) \right]^{-1} \right\|^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(A) \nabla_{\alpha} \Phi(B) + MmS(h'^{r}) \left[ \Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B) \right]^{-1} \right\|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (M+m)^{2}. \end{split}$$

That is,

$$\left\|\Phi(A\nabla_{\alpha}B)\left[\Phi(A)\sharp_{\alpha}\Phi(B)\right]^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{K(h)}{S(h'')}.$$

Thus, (2.9) holds. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3** Let  $\Phi$  be a positive unital linear map and let A and B be positive operators. If  $0 < m \le A \le m' < M' \le B \le M$  or  $0 < m \le B \le m' < M' \le A \le M$  and  $2 \le p < \infty$ , then for each  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\Phi^{2p}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) \le \frac{1}{16} \left[ \frac{K^2(h)(M^2 + m^2)^2}{S^2(h'')M^2m^2} \right]^p \Phi^{2p}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B),$$
(2.10)

$$\Phi^{2p}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) \le \frac{1}{16} \left[ \frac{K^2(h)(M^2 + m^2)^2}{S^2(h'')M^2m^2} \right]^p \left[ \Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B) \right]^{2p},$$
(2.11)

where  $K(h) = \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}$ ,  $S(h') = \frac{h'\frac{1}{h'-1}}{e\log h'\frac{1}{h'-1}}$ ,  $h = \frac{M}{m}$ ,  $h' = \frac{M'}{m'}$  and  $r = \min\{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}$ .

*Proof* By (2.8), we have

$$\Phi^{-2}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B) \le L^2 \Phi^{-2}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B), \tag{2.12}$$

where  $L = \frac{K(h)}{S(h'r)}$ . Inequality (2.10) is equivalent to

$$\left\| \Phi^p(A \nabla_{\alpha} B) \Phi^{-p}(A \sharp_{\alpha} B) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{K^2(h)(M^2 + m^2)^2}{S^2(h'^r)M^2m^2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

By (2.1), (2.3) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Phi^{p}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B)M^{p}m^{p}\Phi^{-p}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| L^{\frac{p}{2}}\Phi^{p}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) + \left(\frac{M^{2}m^{2}}{L}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Phi^{-p}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B) \right\|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| L\Phi^{2}(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) + \frac{M^{2}m^{2}}{L}\Phi^{-2}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B) \right\|^{p} \end{split}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \| L \Phi^2(A \nabla_{\alpha} B) + L M^2 m^2 \Phi^{-2}(A \nabla_{\alpha} B) \|^p$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{4} [L(M^2 + m^2)]^p.$$

That is,

$$\left\| \Phi^p(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) \Phi^{-p}(A\sharp_{\alpha}B) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{L(M^2 + m^2)}{Mm} \right]^p = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{K^2(h)(M^2 + m^2)^2}{S^2(h'^r)M^2m^2} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Thus, (2.10) holds.

Similarly, (2.11) holds by inequality (2.9). This completes the proof.

*Remark* 1 When  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , because of  $\frac{K(h)}{S(\sqrt{h'})} < K(h)$ , inequalities (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) are sharper than (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7), respectively.

In what follows, when  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , we present an example showing that inequalities (2.8)–(2.11) are sharper than (1.4)–(1.7), respectively.

*Example* 1 Take  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{5}{7} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{10}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{23}{7} \end{bmatrix}$ . We find  $\frac{1}{2} < A < \frac{3}{4} < 3 < B < 4$ . A calculation shows  $\frac{K(8)}{S(2)} \approx 2.3847 < K(8) \approx 2.5313$ .

# **3** Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented some new weighted arithmetic–geometric operator mean inequalities. These inequalities are refinements and generalizations of some corresponding results of [1, 2].

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to express her sincere thanks to referees and editor for their enthusiastic guidance and help.

### Funding

This research was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Yunnan Provincial Education Department (Grant Nos. 2014Y645, 2018JS747).

### **Competing interests**

The author declares that she has no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

The author read and approved the final manuscript.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

### Received: 30 January 2018 Accepted: 25 June 2018 Published online: 03 July 2018

### References

- 1. Lin, M.: Squaring a reverse AM-GM inequality. Stud. Math. 215, 187–194 (2013)
- 2. Zhang, P.: More operator inequalities for positive linear maps. Banach J. Math. Anal. 9, 166–172 (2015)
- 3. Tominaga, M.: Specht's ratio in the Young inequality. Sci. Math. Jpn. 55, 583–588 (2002)
- 4. Xue, J.: Some refinements of operator reverse AM-GM mean inequalities. J. Inequal. Appl. 2017, Article ID 283 (2017)
- 5. Zou, L.: An arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for singular values and its applications. Linear Algebra Appl. **528**, 25–32 (2017)
- 6. Hu, X.: Some inequalities for unitarily invariant norms. J. Math. Inequal. 6, 615–623 (2012)
- Bhatia, R., Kittaneh, F.: Notes on matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities. Linear Algebra Appl. 308, 203–211 (2000)
- 8. Bhatia, R.: Positive Definite Matrices. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2007)
- 9. Ando, T., Zhan, X.: Norm inequalities related to operator monotone functions. Math. Ann. 315, 771–780 (1999)
- 10. Zuo, H., Shi, G., Fujii, M.: Refined Young inequality with Kantorovich constant. J. Math. Inequal. 5, 551–556 (2011)