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#### Abstract

In this paper, we refine and generalize some weighted arithmetic-geometric operator mean inequalities due to Lin (Stud. Math. 215:187-194, 2013) and Zhang (Banach J. Math. Anal. 9:166-172, 2015) as follows: Let $A$ and $B$ be positive operators. If $0<m \leq A \leq m^{\prime}<M^{\prime} \leq B \leq M$ or $0<m \leq B \leq m^{\prime}<M^{\prime} \leq A \leq M$, then for a positive unital linear map $\Phi$, $$
\begin{aligned} & \Phi^{2}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \leq\left[\frac{K(h)}{S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)}\right]^{2} \Phi^{2}\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right), \\ & \Phi^{2}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \leq\left[\frac{K(h)}{S\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right]^{2}\left[\Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B)\right]^{2}, \\ & \Phi^{2 p}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \leq \frac{1}{16}\left[\frac{K^{2}(h)\left(M^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{2}}{S^{2}\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right) M^{2} m^{2}}\right]^{p} \Phi^{2 p}\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right), \\ & \Phi^{2 p}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \leq \frac{1}{16}\left[\frac{K^{2}(h)\left(M^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{2}}{S^{2}\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right) M^{2} m^{2}}\right]^{p}\left[\Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B)\right]^{2 p}, \end{aligned}
$$ where $\alpha \in[0,1], K(h)=\frac{(h+1)^{2}}{4 h}, S\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\frac{h^{\prime} \frac{1}{h^{\prime}-1}}{e \log h^{\prime} h^{\prime}-1}, h=\frac{M}{m^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}=\frac{M^{\prime}}{m^{\prime}}, r=\min \{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}$ and $p \geq 2$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the $C^{*}$-algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ and $I$ be the identity operator. $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm. $A \geq 0(A>0)$ implies that $A$ is a positive (strictly positive) operator. A linear map $\Phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is called positive if $A \geq 0$ implies $\Phi(A) \geq 0$. It is said to be unital if $\Phi(I)=I$. For $A, B>0$, the $\alpha$-weighted arithmetic mean and $\alpha$-weighted geometric mean of $A$ and $B$ are defined, respectively, by

$$
A \nabla_{\alpha} B=(1-\alpha) A+\alpha B, \quad A \sharp_{\alpha} B=A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

where $\alpha \in[0,1]$. When $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$, we write $A \nabla B$ and $A \sharp B$ for brevity for $A \nabla_{\frac{1}{2}} B$ and $A \not \sharp_{\frac{1}{2}} B$, respectively.

Let $0<m \leq A, B \leq M$, and $\Phi$ be a positive unital linear map. Tominaga [3] showed that the following operator inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A+B}{2} \leq S(h) A \sharp B, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(h)=\frac{\frac{1}{h-1}}{e \log h \frac{1}{h-1}}$ is called Specht's radio and $h=\frac{M}{m}$. Indeed

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(h) \leq K(h)=\frac{(h+1)^{2}}{4 h} \leq S^{2}(h) \quad(h \geq 1) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

was observed by Lin [1, (3.3)].
By (1.1) and (1.2), it is easy to obtain the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq K(h) \Phi(A \sharp B) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lin [1, Theorem 2.1] proved that (1.3) can be squared as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{2}\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq K^{2}(h) \Phi^{2}(A \sharp B) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{2}\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq K^{2}(h)[\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)]^{2} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Zhang [2] generalized (1.4) and (1.5) when $p \geq 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{2 p}\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\left[K(h)\left(M^{2}+m^{2}\right)\right]^{2 p}}{16 M^{2 p} m^{2 p}} \Phi^{2 p}(A \sharp B) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{2 p}\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\left[K(h)\left(M^{2}+m^{2}\right)\right]^{2 p}}{16 M^{2 p} m^{2 p}}[\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)]^{2 p} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A great number of results on operator inequalities have been given in the literature, for example, see [4-6] and the references therein.
In this paper, motivated by the aforementioned discussion, we extend (1.4)-(1.7) to the weighted arithmetic-geometric mean. In order to prove our results, we show a new operator weighted arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. Manipulating this operator inequality enables us to refine and generalize (1.4)-(1.7). Furthermore, a numerical example is given to demonstrate the effectiveness of the theoretical results.

## 2 Main results

In this section, the main results of this paper will be given. To do this, the following lemmas are necessary.

Lemma 1 ([7]) Let $A, B>0$. Then the following norm inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A B\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|A+B\|^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 ([8]) Let $A>0$. Then for every positive unital linear map $\Phi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A^{-1}\right) \geq \Phi^{-1}(A) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3 ([9]) Let $A, B>0$. Then for $1 \leq r<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{r}+B^{r}\right\| \leq\left\|(A+B)^{r}\right\| . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4 ([10]) Let $0<m \leq A \leq m^{\prime}<M^{\prime} \leq B \leq M$ or $0<m \leq B \leq m^{\prime}<M^{\prime} \leq A \leq M$. Then for each $\alpha \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \nabla_{\alpha} B \geq S\left(h^{\prime r}\right) A \not \sharp_{\alpha} B, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\frac{h^{\prime} \frac{1}{h^{\prime}-1}}{e \log h^{\prime} \frac{1}{h^{\prime}-1}}, h^{\prime}=\frac{M^{\prime}}{m^{\prime}}$ and $r=\min \{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}$.
Theorem 1 Let $0<m \leq A \leq m^{\prime}<M^{\prime} \leq B \leq M$ or $0<m \leq B \leq m^{\prime}<M^{\prime} \leq A \leq M$. Then for each $\alpha \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \nabla_{\alpha} B+\operatorname{MmS}\left(h^{\prime r}\right)\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)^{-1} \leq M+m, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\frac{h^{\prime} \frac{1}{h^{\prime}-1}}{e \log h^{\prime} \frac{1}{h^{\prime}-1}}, h^{\prime}=\frac{M^{\prime}}{m^{\prime}}$ and $r=\min \{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}$.
Proof Since

$$
0<m \leq A \leq M
$$

then

$$
(1-\alpha)(M-A)(m-A) A^{-1} \leq 0 .
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha)\left(A+M m A^{-1}\right) \leq(1-\alpha)(M+m) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(B+M m B^{-1}\right) \leq \alpha(M+m) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up inequalities (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$
A \nabla_{\alpha} B+M m A^{-1} \nabla_{\alpha} B^{-1} \leq M+m
$$

By $\left(A \not \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)^{-1}=A^{-1} \sharp_{\alpha} B^{-1}$ and (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \nabla_{\alpha} B+M m S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)^{-1} & \leq A \nabla_{\alpha} B+M m A^{-1} \nabla_{\alpha} B^{-1} \\
& \leq M+m .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2 Let $\Phi$ be a positive unital linear map and let $A$ and $B$ be positive operators. If $0<m \leq A \leq m^{\prime}<M^{\prime} \leq B \leq M$ or $0<m \leq B \leq m^{\prime}<M^{\prime} \leq A \leq M$, then for each $\alpha \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{2}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \leq\left[\frac{K(h)}{S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)}\right]^{2} \Phi^{2}\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right),  \tag{2.8}\\
& \Phi^{2}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \leq\left[\frac{K(h)}{S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)}\right]^{2}\left[\Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B)\right]^{2}, \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K(h)=\frac{(h+1)^{2}}{4 h}, S\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\frac{h^{\prime} \frac{1}{h^{\prime}-1}}{e \log h^{\prime} h^{\prime}-1}, h=\frac{M}{m}, h^{\prime}=\frac{M^{\prime}}{m^{\prime}}$ and $r=\min \{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}$.

Proof Inequality (2.8) is equivalent to

$$
\left\|\Phi\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)\right\| \leq \frac{K(h)}{S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)} .
$$

By (2.1), (2.2) and (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) M m S\left(h^{\prime r}\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\|\Phi\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right)+M m S\left(h^{\prime r}\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\|\Phi\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right)+M m S\left(h^{\prime r}\right) \Phi\left[\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)^{-1}\right]\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left\|\Phi\left[\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right)+M m S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)^{-1}\right]\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\Phi(M+m)\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}(M+m)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\left\|\Phi\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(A \not \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)\right\| \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)}=\frac{K(h)}{S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)} .
$$

Thus, (2.8) holds.
Inequality (2.9) is equivalent to

$$
\left\|\Phi\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right)\left[\Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B)\right]^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{K(h)}{S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)}
$$

By (2.1) and (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| & \Phi\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) M m S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)\left[\Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B)\right]^{-1} \| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\|\Phi\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right)+M m S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)\left[\Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B)\right]^{-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left\|\Phi(A) \nabla_{\alpha} \Phi(B)+M m S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)\left[\Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B)\right]^{-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(M+m)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\left\|\Phi\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right)\left[\Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B)\right]^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{K(h)}{S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)} .
$$

Thus, (2.9) holds.
This completes the proof.

Theorem 3 Let $\Phi$ be a positive unital linear map and let $A$ and $B$ be positive operators. If $0<m \leq A \leq m^{\prime}<M^{\prime} \leq B \leq M$ or $0<m \leq B \leq m^{\prime}<M^{\prime} \leq A \leq M$ and $2 \leq p<\infty$, then for each $\alpha \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{2 p}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \leq \frac{1}{16}\left[\frac{K^{2}(h)\left(M^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{2}}{S^{2}\left(h^{\prime r}\right) M^{2} m^{2}}\right]^{p} \Phi^{2 p}\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right),  \tag{2.10}\\
& \Phi^{2 p}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \leq \frac{1}{16}\left[\frac{K^{2}(h)\left(M^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{2}}{S^{2}\left(h^{\prime r}\right) M^{2} m^{2}}\right]^{p}\left[\Phi(A) \not \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B)\right]^{2 p}, \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K(h)=\frac{(h+1)^{2}}{4 h}, S\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\frac{h^{\prime} \frac{1}{h^{\prime}-1}}{e \log h^{\prime} h^{\prime}-1}, h=\frac{M}{m}, h^{\prime}=\frac{M^{\prime}}{m^{\prime}}$ and $r=\min \{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}$.
Proof By (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{-2}\left(A \not \sharp_{\alpha} B\right) \leq L^{2} \Phi^{-2}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right), \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=\frac{K(h)}{S\left(h^{\prime r}\right)}$.
Inequality (2.10) is equivalent to

$$
\left\|\Phi^{p}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \Phi^{-p}\left(A \not \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{K^{2}(h)\left(M^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{2}}{S^{2}\left(h^{\prime r}\right) M^{2} m^{2}}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}} .
$$

By (2.1), (2.3) and (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| & \Phi^{p}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) M^{p} m^{p} \Phi^{-p}\left(A \not \sharp_{\alpha} B\right) \| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\|L^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{p}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right)+\left(\frac{M^{2} m^{2}}{L}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{-p}\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\|L \Phi^{2}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right)+\frac{M^{2} m^{2}}{L} \Phi^{-2}\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)\right\|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\|L \Phi^{2}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right)+L M^{2} m^{2} \Phi^{-2}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right)\right\|^{p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[L\left(M^{2}+m^{2}\right)\right]^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\left\|\Phi^{p}\left(A \nabla_{\alpha} B\right) \Phi^{-p}\left(A \sharp_{\alpha} B\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{L\left(M^{2}+m^{2}\right)}{M m}\right]^{p}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{K^{2}(h)\left(M^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{2}}{S^{2}\left(h^{\prime r}\right) M^{2} m^{2}}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}
$$

Thus, (2.10) holds.
Similarly, (2.11) holds by inequality (2.9).
This completes the proof.
Remark 1 When $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$, because of $\frac{K(h)}{S\left(\sqrt{h^{\prime}}\right)}<K(h)$, inequalities (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) are sharper than (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7), respectively.

In what follows, when $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$, we present an example showing that inequalities (2.8)(2.11) are sharper than (1.4)-(1.7), respectively.

Example 1 Take $A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{5}{7}\end{array}\right]$ and $B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{10}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{23}{7}\end{array}\right]$. We find $\frac{1}{2}<A<\frac{3}{4}<3<B<4$. A calculation shows $\frac{K(8)}{S(2)} \approx 2.3847<K(8) \approx 2.5313$.

## 3 Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented some new weighted arithmetic-geometric operator mean inequalities. These inequalities are refinements and generalizations of some corresponding results of [1, 2].
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