

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Absolute $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$ summability of infinite series

Smita Sonker and Alka Munjal\*

\*Correspondence:  
alkamunjal8@gmail.com  
Department of Mathematics,  
National Institute of Technology  
Kurukshetra, Kurukshetra, 136119,  
India

## Abstract

In this paper, we established a generalized theorem on a minimal set of sufficient conditions for absolute summability factors by applying a sequence of a wider class (quasi-power increasing sequence) and the absolute Cesàro  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summability for an infinite series. We further obtained well-known applications of the above theorem as corollaries, under suitable conditions.

**MSC:** 40F05; 40D15; 40G05

**Keywords:** absolute summability; infinite series;  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summability; quasi- $f$ -power increasing sequence

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  be an infinite series with sequence of partial sums  $\{s_n\}$  and the  $n$ th sequence to sequence transformation (mean) of  $\{s_n\}$  be given by  $u_n$  s.t.

$$u_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{nk} s_k. \quad (1)$$

Before discussing  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summability, let us introduce some well-known basic summabilities which are helpful in understanding the  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summability.

**Definition 1** The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be absolute summable, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = s \quad (2)$$

and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n - u_{n-1}| < \infty$ .

**Definition 2** ([1]) Let  $t_n$  represent the  $n$ th  $(C, 1)$  means of the sequence  $(na_n)$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be  $|C, 1|_k$  summable for  $k \geq 1$ , if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n|^k < \infty. \quad (3)$$

**Definition 3** ([2]) The  $n$ th Cesàro means of order  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , with  $\alpha + \beta > -1$ , of the sequence  $(na_n)$  is denoted by  $t_n^{\alpha, \beta}$ , i.e.

$$t_n^{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^{\beta} v a_v, \quad (4)$$

where

$$A_n^{\alpha+\beta} = \begin{cases} 0, & n < 0, \\ 1, & n = 0, \\ O(n^{\alpha+\beta}), & n > 0. \end{cases}$$

If the sequence  $\{t_n^{\alpha, \beta}\}$  satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |t_n^{\alpha, \beta}|^k < \infty, \quad (5)$$

then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta|_k$  summable.

**Definition 4** For the following condition:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k-\delta k}} |t_n^{\alpha, \beta}|^k < \infty, \quad (6)$$

the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summable, where  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$  and  $(\varphi_n)$  is a sequence of positive real numbers.

Bor gave a number of theorems on absolute summability. In 2002, Bor found the sufficient conditions for an infinite series to be  $|C, \alpha|_k$  summable [3] and  $|C, \alpha; \delta|_k$  summable [4]. In 2011, he generalized his previous results for  $|C, \alpha, \beta|_k$  summability [5] and  $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summability [6], respectively. In 2014, Bor [7] generalized the  $|C, \alpha|_k$  summability factor to the  $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summability of an infinite series and in [8], he discussed a general class of power increasing sequences and absolute Riesz summability factors of an infinite series. In [9], Bor applied  $|C, \alpha, \gamma; \beta|_k$  summability to obtain the sufficient conditions for an infinite series to be absolute summable.

Bor [10] gave a new application of quasi-power increasing sequence by applying absolute Cesàro  $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$  summability for an infinity series. Özarslan [11] generalized the result on  $\varphi - |C, 1|_k$  by a more general absolute  $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$  summability. In 2016, Sonker and Munjal [12] determined a theorem on generalized absolute Cesàro summability with the sufficient conditions for an infinite series and in [13], they used the concept of triangle matrices for obtaining the minimal set of sufficient conditions of an infinite series to be bounded.

## 2 Known results

By using  $|C, \alpha|_k$  summability, Bor [14] gave a minimal set of sufficient conditions for an infinite series to be absolute summable.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $X_n$  be a quasi- $f$ -power increasing sequence for some  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta < 1$ ). Suppose also that there exists a sequence of numbers  $(A_n)$  such that it is  $\xi$ -quasi-monotone satisfying the following:*

$$\sum n\xi_n X_n = O(1), \quad (7)$$

$$\Delta A_n \leq \xi_n, \quad (8)$$

$$|\Delta \lambda_n| \leq |A_n|, \quad (9)$$

$$\sum A_n X_n \text{ is convergent for all } n. \quad (10)$$

*If the conditions*

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(w_n^\alpha)^k}{n} = O(X_m) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (12)$$

*are satisfied, then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is  $|C, \alpha|_k$  summable,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ .*

### 3 Main results

A positive sequence  $X = (X_n)$  is said to be a quasi- $f$ -power increasing sequence if there exists a constant  $K = K(X, f) \geq 1$  such that  $Kf_n X_n \geq f_m X_m$  for all  $n \geq m \geq 1$ , where  $f = [f_n(\eta, \zeta)] = \{n^\eta (\log n)^\zeta, \zeta \geq 0, 0 < \eta < 1\}$  [15]. If we set  $\zeta = 0$ , then we get a quasi- $\eta$ -power increasing sequence [16].

With the help of generalized Cesàro  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summability, we modernized the results of Bor [14] and established the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $X_n$  be a quasi- $f$ -power increasing sequence for some  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta < 1$ ). Suppose also that there exists a  $\xi$ -quasi-monotone sequence of numbers  $(A_n)$  such that*

$$\sum n\xi_n X_n = O(1), \quad (13)$$

$$\Delta A_n \leq \xi_n, \quad (14)$$

$$|\Delta \lambda_n| \leq |A_n|, \text{ and} \quad (15)$$

$$\sum A_n X_n \text{ is convergent for all } n. \quad (16)$$

*Then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summable for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\beta > -1$ ,  $\alpha + \beta > 0$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{n=v}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{(\alpha+\beta-\delta+1)k}} = O\left(\frac{\varphi_v^{k-1}}{v^{(\alpha+\beta-\delta+1)k-1}}\right), \quad (18)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1} (w_n^{\alpha, \beta})^k}{n^{k-\delta k}} = O(X_m) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (19)$$

where  $w_n^{\alpha,\beta}$  is given by [17]

$$w_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq v \leq n} |t_v^{\alpha,\beta}|, & \beta > -1, 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ |t_n^{\alpha,\beta}|, & \beta > -1, \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

#### 4 Lemmas

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

**Lemma 4.1** ([18]) *If  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\beta > -1$  and  $1 \leq v \leq n$ , then*

$$\left| \sum_{p=0}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta a_p \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq m \leq v} \left| \sum_{p=0}^m A_{m-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta a_p \right|. \quad (21)$$

**Lemma 4.2** ([19]) *Let  $(X_n)$  be a quasi- $f$ -power increasing sequence for some  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta < 1$ ). If  $(A_n)$  is a  $\xi$ -quasi-monotone sequence with  $\Delta A_n \leq \xi_n$  and  $\sum n \xi_n X_n < \infty$ , then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n X_n |A_n| < \infty, \quad (22)$$

$$n A_n X_n = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (23)$$

#### 5 Proof of the theorem

Let  $t_n^{\alpha,\beta}$  be the  $n$ th  $(C, \alpha, \beta)$  mean of the sequence  $(na_n \lambda_n)$ . Then the series will be  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summable (by Definition 4), if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k-\delta k}} |T_n^{\alpha,\beta}|^k < \infty. \quad (24)$$

Applying Abel's transformation and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n^{\alpha,\beta} &= \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v \lambda_v \\ &= \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta \lambda_v \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta p a_p + \frac{\lambda_n}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n^{\alpha,\beta}| &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| \left| \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta p a_p \right| + \frac{|\lambda_n|}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \left| \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^{\alpha+\beta} w_v^{\alpha,\beta} |\Delta \lambda_v| + |\lambda_n| w_n^{\alpha,\beta} \\ &= T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta} + T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

We use Minkowski's inequality,

$$|T_n^{\alpha,\beta}|^k = |T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta} + T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}|^k \leq 2^k (|T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta}|^k + |T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}|^k). \quad (27)$$

In order to complete the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k-\delta k}} |T_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2. \quad (28)$$

By using Hölder's inequality, Abel's transformation and the conditions of Lemma 4.2 [19], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k-\delta k}} |T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k-\delta k}} \frac{1}{(A_n^{\alpha+\beta})^k} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^{\alpha+\beta} w_v^{\alpha,\beta} |\Delta \lambda_v| \right)^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{(1+\alpha+\beta-\delta)k}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |A_v| \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |A_v| \right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |A_v| \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{(1+\alpha+\beta-\delta)k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |A_v| \frac{\varphi_v^{k-1}}{v^{(1+\alpha+\beta-\delta)k-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v |A_v| (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k \frac{\varphi_v^{k-1}}{v^{k-\delta k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v |A_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v (w_r^{\alpha,\beta})^k \frac{\varphi_r^{k-1}}{r^{k-\delta k}} \\ &\quad + O(1) m |A_m| \sum_{v=1}^m (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k \frac{\varphi_v^{k-1}}{v^{k-\delta k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |(v+1) \Delta |A_v| - |A_v|| X_v + O(1) m |A_m| X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta A_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |A_v| X_v + O(1) m |A_m| X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v \xi_v X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |A_v| X_v + O(1) m |A_m| X_m \\ &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k-\delta k}} |T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n| (w_n^{\alpha,\beta})^k \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k-\delta k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_n| \sum_{v=1}^n (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k \frac{\varphi_v^{k-1}}{v^{k-\delta k}} + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{n=1}^m (w_n^{\alpha,\beta})^k \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k-\delta k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_n| X_n + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |A_n| X_n + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\ &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Collecting (24)-(30), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k-\delta k}} |T_n^{\alpha, \beta}|^k < \infty. \quad (31)$$

Hence the proof of the theorem is completed.

## 6 Corollaries

**Corollary 6.1** *Let  $X_n$  be a quasi- $f$ -power increasing sequence for some  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta < 1$ ) and there exists a sequence of numbers  $(A_n)$  such that it is  $\xi$ -quasi-monotone satisfying (13)-(17) and the following condition:*

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(w_n^{\alpha, \beta})^k}{n^{1-\delta k}} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (32)$$

*then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is  $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summable,  $\alpha + \beta > \delta$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\beta > -1$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , where  $w_n^{\alpha, \beta}$  is given by (20).*

*Proof* On putting  $\varphi_n = n$  in Theorem 3.1, we will get (32) and the following condition:

$$\sum_{n=\nu}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k(\alpha+\beta-\delta)}} = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{(\alpha+\beta-\delta)k}}\right). \quad (33)$$

Here, condition (33) always holds. We omit the details as the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 using the conditions (33) and (32) instead of (18) and (19).  $\square$

**Corollary 6.2** *Let  $X_n$  be a quasi- $f$ -power increasing sequence for some  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta < 1$ ) and there exists a sequence of numbers  $(A_n)$  such that it is  $\xi$ -quasi-monotone satisfying (13)-(17) and the following conditions:*

$$\sum_{n=\nu}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k(1+\alpha+\beta)}} = \frac{\varphi_\nu^{k-1}}{\nu^{k(1+\alpha+\beta)-1}}, \quad (34)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(w_n^{\alpha, \beta})^k \varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (35)$$

*then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta|_k$  summable,  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\beta > -1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , where  $w_n^{\alpha, \beta}$  is given by (20).*

*Proof* On putting  $\delta = 0$  in Theorem 3.1, we will get (34) and (35). We omit the details as the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 using the conditions (34) and (35) instead of (18) and (19).  $\square$

**Corollary 6.3** ([14]) *Let  $X_n$  be a quasi- $f$ -power increasing sequence for some  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta < 1$ ) and there exists a sequence of numbers  $(A_n)$  such that it is  $\xi$ -quasi-monotone satisfying (13)-(17) and the following conditions:*

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(w_n^\alpha)^k}{n} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (36)$$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is  $|C, \alpha|_k$  summable,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , where  $w_n^\alpha$  is given by

$$w_n^\alpha = \begin{cases} |t_n^\alpha|, & \alpha = 1, \\ \max_{1 \leq v \leq n} |t_v^\alpha|, & 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

**Proof** On putting  $\varphi_n = n$ ,  $\delta = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$  in Theorem 3.1, we will get (36) and the following condition:

$$\sum_{n=v}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k\alpha}} = O\left(\frac{1}{v^{k\alpha}}\right). \quad (38)$$

Here, condition (38) always holds. We omit the details as the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 using the conditions (38) and (36) instead of (18) and (19).  $\square$

## 7 Conclusion

The aim of our paper is to obtain the minimal set of sufficient conditions for an infinite series to be absolute Cesàro  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summable. Through the investigation, we may conclude that our theorem is a generalized version which can be reduced for several well-known summabilities as shown in the corollaries. Further, our theorem has been validated through Corollary 6.3, which is a result of Bor [14].

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous learned referee for his/her valuable suggestions which improved the paper considerably. The authors are also thankful to all the Editorial board members and reviewers of Journal of Inequalities and Applications.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to this work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 8 March 2017 Accepted: 30 June 2017 Published online: 17 July 2017

## References

1. Flett, TM: On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **3**(1), 113-141 (1957)
2. Borwein, D: Theorems on some methods of summability. *Q. J. Math.* **9**(1), 310-316 (1958)
3. Bor, H, Srivastava, HM: Almost increasing sequences and their applications. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **3**, 29-35 (2002)
4. Bor, H: An application of almost increasing sequences. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **5**(1), 79-83 (2002)
5. Bor, H: Factors for generalized absolute Cesàro summability. *Math. Comput. Model.* **53**(5), 1150-1153 (2011)
6. Bor, H: An application of almost increasing sequences. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**(3), 298-301 (2011)
7. Bor, H: Almost increasing sequences and their new applications II. *Filomat* **28**(3), 435-439 (2014)
8. Bor, H: A new theorem on the absolute Riesz summability factors. *Filomat* **28**(8), 1537-1541 (2014)
9. Bor, H: Factors for generalized absolute Cesàro summability. *Math. Commun.* **13**(1), 21-25 (2008)
10. Bor, H: A new application of quasi power increasing sequences II. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 75 (2013)
11. Özarslan, HS: A note on absolute summability factors. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **113**(2), 165-169 (2003)
12. Sonker, S, Munjal, A: Absolute summability factor  $\varphi - |C, 1, \delta|_k$  of infinite series. *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **10**(23), 1129-1136 (2016)
13. Sonker, S, Munjal, A: Sufficient conditions for triple matrices to be bounded. *Nonlinear Stud.* **23**(4), 533-542 (2016)
14. Bor, H: Some new results on infinite series and Fourier series. *Positivity* **19**(3), 467-473 (2015)
15. Sulaiman, WT: Extension on absolute summability factors of infinite series. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **322**(2), 1224-1230 (2006)
16. Leindler, L: A new application of quasi power increasing sequences. *Publ. Math. (Debr.)* **58**(4), 791-796 (2001)
17. Bor, H: On a new application of power increasing sequences. *Proc. Est. Acad. Sci.* **57**(4), 205-209 (2008)
18. Bosanquet, LS: A mean value theorem. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1-16**(3), 146-148 (1941)
19. Bor, H: On the quasi monotone and generalized power increasing sequences and their new applications. *J. Class. Anal.* **2**(2), 139-144 (2013)