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#### Abstract

In this paper, we find the greatest values $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and the smallest values $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ such that the double inequalities $L_{\alpha_{1}}(a, b)<\operatorname{AG}(a, b)<L_{\beta_{1}}(a, b)$ and $L_{\alpha_{2}}(a, b)<T(a, b)<L_{\beta_{2}}(a, b)$ hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $\operatorname{AG}(a, b), T(a, b)$ and $L_{p}(a, b)$ are the arithmetic-geometric, Toader and generalized logarithmic means of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$, respectively.
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## 1 Introduction

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the $p$ th generalized logarithmic mean $L_{p}(a, b)[1]$ of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ is defined by

$$
L_{p}(a, b)= \begin{cases}{\left[\frac{b^{p+1}-a^{p+1}}{(p+1)(b-a)}\right]^{1 / p},} & a \neq b, p \neq-1, p \neq 0,  \tag{1.1}\\ \frac{1}{e}\left(\frac{b^{b}}{a^{a}}\right)^{1 / b-a}, & a \neq b, p=0, \\ \frac{b-a}{\log b-\log a}, & a \neq b, p=-1, \\ a, & a=b .\end{cases}
$$

It is well known that $L_{p}(a, b)$ is continuous and strictly increasing with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Many remarkable inequalities for the generalized logarithmic mean can be found in the literature [2-17].

The classical arithmetic-geometric mean $\operatorname{AG}(a, b)$ of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ is defined by starting with $a_{0}=a, b_{0}=b$ and then iterating

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+1}=\frac{a_{n}+b_{n}}{2}, \quad b_{n+1}=\sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ until two sequences $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ converge to the same number.
The well-known Gauss identity [18] shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AG}(1, r) \mathcal{K}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$, where $\mathcal{K}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} t\right)^{-1 / 2} d t, r \in[0,1)$, is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind.

In [19], the Toader mean $T(a, b)$ of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ was given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T(a, b) & =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sqrt{a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+b^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{2 a \mathcal{E}\left(\sqrt{1-(b / a)^{2}}\right)}{\pi}, & a>b, \\
\frac{2 b \mathcal{E}\left(\sqrt{1-(a / b)^{2}}\right)}{\pi}, & a<b, \\
a, & a=b,\end{cases} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{1 / 2} d \theta, r \in[0,1]$ is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind.

Recently, the bounds for the arithmetic-geometric mean $\operatorname{AG}(a, b)$ and Toader mean $T(a, b)$ have attracted the attention of many mathematicians. The double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{-1}(a, b)=L(a, b)<\operatorname{AG}(a, b)<L^{2 / 3}\left(a^{3 / 2}, b^{3 / 2}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. The left inequality of (1.5) was first proposed by Carlson and Vuorinen [20] and also was proved by different methods in [21-23]. Vamanamurthy and Vuorinen [24] proved that $\operatorname{AG}(a, b)<(\pi / 2) L(a, b)$ for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. The second inequality of (1.5) was proved by Borwein and Borwein [25] and Yang [23].
Vuorinen [26] conjectured that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{3 / 2}(a, b)<T(a, b) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $M_{p}(a, b)=\left[\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right) / 2\right]^{1 / p}(p \neq 0)$ and $M_{0}(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}$ is the power mean of order $p$. This conjecture was proved by Qiu and Shen [27] and Barnard et al. [28].
In [29], Alzer and Qiu presented a best possible upper power mean bound for the Toader mean as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(a, b)<M_{\log 2 / \log (\pi / 2)}(a, b) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
In [30-32], the authors proved that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{L}_{0}(a, b)<T(a, b)<\widehat{L}_{1 / 4}(a, b),  \tag{1.8}\\
& \widehat{S}_{\sqrt{3} / 4}(a, b)<T(a, b)<\widehat{S}_{1 / 2}(a, b) \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $\widehat{L}_{p}(a, b)=\left(a^{p+1}+b^{p+1}\right) /\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right)$ denotes the $p$ th Lehmer mean and $\widehat{S}_{p}(a, b)$ is the generalized Seiffert mean given by $\widehat{S}_{p}(a, b)=p(a-b) / \arctan [2 p(a-$ $b) /(a+b)](0<p \leq 1, a \neq b), \widehat{S}_{0}(a, b)=(a+b) / 2(a \neq b)$ and $\widehat{S}_{p}(a, a)=a$.

Very recently, Chu and Wang [33] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p_{1}}(a, b)<\operatorname{AG}(a, b)<S_{q_{1}}(a, b), \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p_{2}}(a, b)<T(a, b)<S_{q_{2}}(a, b) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p_{1} \leq 1 / 2, q_{1} \geq 1$ and $p_{2} \leq 1, q_{2} \geq 3 / 2$. Here the $p$ th Gini mean of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ is defined by

$$
S_{p}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{a^{p-1}+b^{p-1}}{a+b}\right)^{1 /(p-2)}, & p \neq 2,  \tag{1.12}\\ \left(a^{a} b^{b}\right)^{1 /(a+b)}, & p=2 .\end{cases}
$$

The main purpose of this paper is to find the greatest values $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and the smallest values $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ such that the double inequalities $L_{\alpha_{1}}(a, b)<\mathrm{AG}(a, b)<L_{\beta_{1}}(a, b)$ and $L_{\alpha_{2}}(a, b)<$ $T(a, b)<L_{\beta_{2}}(a, b)$ hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ and give some new bounds for the complete elliptic integrals.

## 2 Basic knowledge and lemmas

In order to prove our main results, we need several formulas and lemmas, which we present in this section.
For $r \in(0,1)$ and $r^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$, the well-known complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds are defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{-1 / 2} d \theta \\
\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)=\mathcal{K}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \\
\mathcal{K}(0)=\pi / 2, \quad \mathcal{K}(1)=+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{1 / 2} d \theta \\
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}=\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(r)=\mathcal{E}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \\
\mathcal{E}(0)=\pi / 2, \quad \mathcal{E}(1)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

respectively, and the following formulas were presented in [18], Appendix E, pp.474-475:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \mathcal{K}}{d r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r r^{\prime 2}}, \quad \frac{d \mathcal{E}}{d r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{K}}{r} \\
& \frac{d\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{d r}=r \mathcal{K}, \quad \frac{d(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})}{d r}=\frac{r \mathcal{E}}{r^{\prime 2}}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)=\frac{2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{1+r} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows, four special values $\mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2), \mathcal{K}(\sqrt{2} / 2)$ and $\mathcal{E}(0.9), \mathcal{K}(0.9)$ will be used. By numerical computations, these are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=1.35064 \cdots, \quad \mathcal{K}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=1.85407 \cdots,  \tag{2.3}\\
& \mathcal{E}(0.9)=1.1717 \cdots, \quad \mathcal{K}(0.9)=2.28055 \cdots \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 (See [18], Theorem 1.25) For $-\infty<a<b<\infty$, let $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and be differentiable on $(a, b)$, let $^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ on $(a, b)$. Iff $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(a, b)$, then so are

$$
\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{g(x)-g(a)} \text { and } \frac{f(x)-f(b)}{g(x)-g(b)} .
$$

Iff $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.

Lemma 2.2 (1) The function $r \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) / r^{2}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 4,1)$;
(2) The function $r \rightarrow 2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}$ is increasing and log-convex from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 2,2)$;
(3) The function $\mathcal{K} / \log \left(e^{2} / r^{\prime}\right)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 4,1)$;
(4) The function $(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}) / r^{2}$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$; in particular, $\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}>(\pi / 4) r^{2}$ for all $r \in(0,1)$.

Proof Parts (1) and (2) follow from [18], Theorem 3.21(1) and Exercise 3.43(13).

Lemma 2.3 The equation

$$
(1+p)^{1 / p}=\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

has a unique solution $p=p_{0}=3.15295 \cdots$.

Proof Let

$$
\varphi(p)= \begin{cases}(1+p)^{1 / p}-\pi / 2, & p \in(-1,0) \cup(0,+\infty), \\ e-\pi / 2, & p=0 .\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to verify that the function $\varphi$ is continuous and strictly decreasing from $(-1,+\infty)$ onto $(1,+\infty)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.3 easily follows from the continuity and monotonicity of $\varphi$ together with the facts that $\varphi(3.15295)=6.14999 \times 10^{-7}$ and $\varphi(3.15296)=-4.35155 \times$ $10^{-7}$.

## Lemma 2.4 The function

$$
f(r)=\frac{2\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) / \pi-1-r^{2} / 4}{r^{4}}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1 / 64,4 / \pi-5 / 4)$.

Proof Let $f_{1}(r)=2\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) / \pi-1-r^{2} / 4$ and $\widehat{f}_{1}(r)=r^{4}$, then $f_{1}(0)=\widehat{f}_{1}(0)=0$ and $f(r)=$ $f_{1}(r) / \widehat{f}(r)$.

A simple calculation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{\widehat{f_{1}^{\prime}(r)}}=\frac{4\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)-\pi r^{2}}{8 \pi r^{4}} \triangleq \frac{f_{2}(r)}{\widehat{f_{2}(r)}}  \tag{2.5}\\
& f_{2}(0)=\widehat{f}_{2}(0) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}(r)}{\widehat{f_{2}^{\prime}}(r)}=\frac{2 \mathcal{K}-\pi}{16 \pi r^{2}} \triangleq \frac{f_{3}(r)}{\widehat{f_{3}}(r)}  \tag{2.7}\\
& f_{3}(0)=\widehat{f}_{3}(0)  \tag{2.8}\\
& \frac{f_{3}^{\prime}(r)}{\widehat{f_{3}^{\prime}(r)}}=\frac{1}{16 \pi} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{\prime 2}} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Following from Lemma 2.2(1) and (2.9) together with the monotonicity of $1 / r^{\prime 2}$, we clearly see that $f_{3}^{\prime}(r) / \widehat{f_{3}^{\prime}}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$. Equations (2.5)-(2.8) and Lemma 2.1 lead to the conclusion that $f(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$.
Therefore, Lemma 2.4 follows from the monotonicity of $f(r)$ together with the facts that $f\left(0^{+}\right)=1 / 64$ and $f\left(1^{-}\right)=4 / \pi-5 / 4$.

The following double inequalities can be obtained from Lemma 2.4 immediately.

## Corollary 2.5 Inequalities

$$
1+\frac{r^{2}}{4}+\frac{r^{4}}{64}<\frac{2}{\pi}\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)<1+\frac{r^{2}}{4}+\left(\frac{4}{\pi}-\frac{5}{4}\right) r^{4}
$$

hold for $0<r<1$.
Lemma 2.6 The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{(1+r)^{7 / 2}-(1-r)^{7 / 2}}{7 r}\right]^{2 / 5}<1+\frac{r^{2}}{4} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $0<r<1$.

Proof In order to prove inequality (2.10), it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
g(r) & =\left[(1+r)^{7 / 2}-(1-r)^{7 / 2}\right]^{2}-49 r^{2}\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{4}\right)^{5} \\
& =(1+r)^{7}+(1-r)^{7}-49 r^{2}\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{4}\right)^{5}-2\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{7 / 2} \\
& =g_{1}(r)-g_{2}(r)<0 \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0<r<1$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(r)=(1+r)^{7}+(1-r)^{7}-49 r^{2}\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{4}\right)^{5} \\
& g_{2}(r)=2\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{7 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}^{\prime}(r)=-\frac{7 r}{256}\left[32\left(4-5 r^{2}\right)^{2}+2,848 r^{4}+2,240 r^{6}+350 r^{8}+21 r^{10}\right]<0,  \tag{2.12}\\
& g_{1}(0.56)=0.0755 \cdots>0, \quad g_{1}(0.57)=-0.00966 \cdots<0, \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

we conclude, from (2.12) and (2.13), that there exists $r_{0} \in(0.56,0.57)$ such that $g_{1}(r)>0$ for $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ and $g_{1}(r)<0$ for $r \in\left(r_{0}, 1\right)$.

In order to prove (2.11), we divide it into two cases.
Case A $r \in\left[r_{0}, 1\right)$. In this case, we clearly see that $g_{1}(r) \leq 0$ and $g_{2}(r)>0$. This implies that $g(r)=g_{1}(r)-g_{2}(r)<0$.

Case $\mathrm{B} r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$. In this case, $g_{1}(r)>0$. Let $g_{3}(r)=2-7 r^{2}+\frac{35}{4} r^{4}-6 r^{6}$, the difference between $g_{1}(r)$ and $g_{3}(r)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(r)-g_{3}(r)=-\frac{r^{6}\left(10,880+7,840 r^{2}+980 r^{4}+49 r^{6}\right)}{1,024}<0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know from (2.14) that $g_{3}(r)>g_{1}(r)>0$. Moreover,

$$
g_{3}^{2}(r)-g_{2}^{2}(r)=-\frac{r^{6}}{16}\left[\left(2-4 r^{2}\right)\left(4+r^{2}\right)+r^{2}\right]\left[16\left(r^{2}-\frac{5}{8}\right)+\frac{27}{4}\right]<0,
$$

this in conjunction with $g_{3}(r)>0$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{3}(r)-g_{2}(r)<0 . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we clearly see that $g(r)=\left[g_{1}(r)-g_{3}(r)\right]+\left[g_{3}(r)-g_{2}(r)\right]<0$ from (2.14) and (2.15).

Lemma 2.7 Let $\eta(r)=\left[(1+r)^{p_{0}+1}-(1-r)^{p_{0}+1}\right] / r$ and $\omega(r)=\left[(1-r)^{p_{0}}\left(1+p_{0} r\right)-(1+r)^{p_{0}}(1-\right.$ $\left.\left.p_{0} r\right)\right] / r^{2}$, then the functions $\eta(r)$ and $\omega(r)$ both are strictly increasing on $(0,1)$.

Proof We assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{1}(r)=(1+r)^{p_{0}+1}-(1-r)^{p_{0}+1}, \quad \eta_{2}(r)=r, \\
& \omega_{1}(r)=(1-r)^{p_{0}}\left(1+p_{0} r\right)-(1+r)^{p_{0}}\left(1-p_{0} r\right), \quad \omega_{2}(r)=r^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\eta(r)=\eta_{1}(r) / \eta_{2}(r)$ and $\omega(r)=\omega_{1}(r) / \omega_{2}(r)$.
A simple calculation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{1}(0)=\eta_{2}(0)=\omega_{1}(0)=\omega_{2}(0)=0,  \tag{2.16}\\
& \frac{\eta_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{\eta_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\left(1+p_{0}\right)\left[(1+r)^{p_{0}}-(1-r)^{p_{0}}\right],  \tag{2.17}\\
& \frac{\omega_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{\omega_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{p_{0}\left(p_{0}+1\right)\left[(1+r)^{p_{0}-1}-(1-r)^{p_{0}-1}\right]}{2} . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 and (2.16)-(2.18) lead to the conclusion that $\eta(r)$ and $\omega(r)$ are strictly increasing on $(0,1)$.

Lemma 2.8 Let

$$
\phi_{p}(r)=\frac{2}{\pi}\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)-\left[\frac{(1+r)^{p+1}-(1-r)^{p+1}}{2(p+1) r}\right]^{1 / p}
$$

then $\phi_{p}(r)>0$ for $0<r<1$ if and only if $p \leq 5 / 2 ; \phi_{p}(r)<0$ for $0<r<1$ if and only if $p \geq p_{0}$.

Proof It is well known that $L_{p}(a, b)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, then $\phi_{p}(r)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$. In order to prove Lemma 2.8, we divide it into three cases.

Case $1 p=5 / 2$.
From Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, we clearly see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{5 / 2}(r) & =\frac{2}{\pi}\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)-\left[\frac{(1+r)^{7 / 2}-(1-r)^{7 / 2}}{7 r}\right]^{2 / 5} \\
& >1+\frac{r^{2}}{4}+\frac{r^{4}}{64}-\left[\frac{(1+r)^{7 / 2}-(1-r)^{7 / 2}}{7 r}\right]^{2 / 5} \\
& >\frac{r^{4}}{64}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0<r<1$.
Case $2 p=p_{0}$.
We divide it into two subcases.
Subcase A $\phi_{p_{0}}(r)<0$ for $r \in(0,0.9)$.
Since $\phi_{p}(r)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$, we clearly see that $\phi_{p_{0}}(r)<\phi_{3}(r)$. It suffices to prove that $\phi_{3}(r)<0$ for $r \in(0,0.9)$.
For $r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2]$, it follows from Corollary 2.5 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{3}(r) & =\frac{2}{\pi}\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)-\left[\frac{(1+r)^{4}-(1-r)^{4}}{8 r}\right]^{1 / 3} \\
& <1+\frac{r^{2}}{4}+\left(\frac{4}{\pi}-\frac{5}{4}\right) r^{4}-\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{3}-\frac{r^{4}}{9}\right)^{3} \\
& =-\frac{r^{2}}{12}\left[1-\left(\frac{48}{\pi}-\frac{41}{3}\right) r^{2}\right] \\
& \leq-\frac{r^{2}}{12}\left[1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{48}{\pi}-\frac{41}{3}\right)\right] \\
& =-\frac{(47 \pi-144) r^{2}}{72 \pi}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first inequality easily follows from

$$
\frac{(1+r)^{4}-(1-r)^{4}}{8 r}-\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{3}-\frac{r^{4}}{9}\right)^{3}=\frac{r^{6}}{729}\left[126+9\left(1-r^{4}\right)+r^{6}\right]>0
$$

For $r \in(\sqrt{2} / 2,0.9)$, taking the derivative of $\phi_{3}(r)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{2\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{\pi r}-\frac{2 r}{3\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2 / 3}}=\mu_{1}(r)+\mu_{2}(r), \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mu_{1}(r)=\frac{2\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{\pi r}-\frac{r}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}(r)=\frac{r}{2}-\frac{2 r}{3\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2 / 3}}
$$

From Lemma 2.2(4), we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mu_{1}(r)}{d r}=\frac{2}{\pi r^{2}}\left(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}-\frac{\pi}{4} r^{2}\right)>0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mu_{2}(r)}{d r} & =\frac{9\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{5 / 3}-12+4 r^{2}}{18\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{5 / 3}}>\frac{9\left[1+(5 / 3) r^{2}\right]-12+4 r^{2}}{18\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{5 / 3}} \\
& =\frac{19 r^{2}-3}{18\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{5 / 3}}>0 \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

for $r \in(\sqrt{2} / 2,0.9)$. Equations (2.19)-(2.21) lead to the conclusion that $\phi_{3}^{\prime}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(\sqrt{2} / 2,0.9)$. This in conjunction with (2.3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}^{\prime}(r)>\phi_{3}^{\prime}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=0.02163 \cdots>0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(\sqrt{2} / 2,9 / 10)$. Therefore, from (2.22) we clearly see that $\phi_{3}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(\sqrt{2} / 2,0.9)$. This in conjunction with (2.4) yields $\phi_{3}(r)<\phi_{3}(0.9)=-0.002687 \cdots<0$ for $r \in(\sqrt{2} / 2,0.9)$.

Subcase B $\phi_{p_{0}}(r)<0$ for $r \in[0.9,1)$.
For $0.9 \leq r<1$, taking the derivation of $\phi_{p_{0}}(r)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{2\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{\pi r}-\frac{\omega(r)}{p_{0}\left(p_{0}+1\right) 2^{1 / p_{0}} \eta(r)^{1-1 / p_{0}}}, \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega(r)$ and $\eta(r)$ are defined as in Lemma 2.7. From Lemma 2.2(1), we clearly see that $\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) / r$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$. Lemma 2.7 and (2.4), (2.20) lead to the conclusion that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(r) & \geq \frac{2\left[\mathcal{E}(0.9)-\left(1-0.9^{2}\right) \mathcal{K}(0.9)\right]}{0.9 \pi}-\frac{\omega(1)}{p_{0}\left(p_{0}+1\right) 2^{1 / p_{0}} \eta(0.9)^{1-1 / p_{0}}} \\
& =0.522306 \cdots-0.46787 \cdots=0.054436 \cdots>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0.9 \leq r<1$.
Therefore, it follows from the monotonicity of $\phi_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(r)$ on $(9 / 10,1)$ that $\phi_{p_{0}}(r)<\phi_{p_{0}}(1)=$ $2\left[2 / \pi-1 /\left(1+p_{0}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\right]=0$ for $0<r<1$.

Case $35 / 2<p<p_{0}$.
Taking the Taylor series of $\phi_{p}(r)$ at $r=0$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p}(r)=\left(\frac{5}{12}-\frac{p}{6}\right) r^{2}+\frac{\left(149-144 p+24 p^{2}+16 p^{3}\right) r^{4}}{2,880}+o\left(r^{4}\right) . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.24) we clearly see that there exists a sufficiently small $\delta_{1}>0$ such that $\phi_{p}(r)<0$ for $r \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right)$ if $p>5 / 2$. If $p<p_{0}$, then $\phi_{p}(1)=2\left[2 / \pi-1 /(1+p)^{1 / p}\right]>0$. By the continuity of $\phi_{p}(r)$ with respect to $r$, there exists a sufficiently small $\delta_{2}>0$ such that $\phi_{p}(r)>0$ for $r \in\left(\delta_{2}, 1\right)$.

## 3 Main results

Theorem 3.1 Inequality $L_{-1}(a, b)<\operatorname{AG}(a, b)<L_{-1 / 2}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $L_{-1}(a, b)$ and $L_{-1 / 2}(a, b)$ are the best possible lower and upper generalized logarithmic mean bounds for the arithmetic-geometric mean $\operatorname{AG}(a, b)$, respectively.

Proof Firstly, from (1.5) we clearly see that $L_{-1}(a, b)<\operatorname{AG}(a, b)$ for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Next, we prove that $\operatorname{AG}(a, b)<L_{-1 / 2}(a, b)$ for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Since $\operatorname{AG}(a, b)$ and $L_{p}(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1 , without loss of generality, it suffices to give an assumption that $a=1>b$. Let $t=b \in(0,1), r=(1-t) /(1+t)$, then (1.1) and (1.3) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{AG}(a, b)-L_{-1 / 2}(a, b) & =\frac{\pi}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right)}-\left[\frac{1-t}{2(1-\sqrt{t})}\right]^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{1+r}\left[\frac{\pi}{2 \mathcal{K}}-\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{1+r}-\sqrt{1-r}}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{h(r)}{2(1+r) \mathcal{K}(r)}, \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
h(r)=\pi-\left(1+r^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{K}(r) .
$$

We can rewrite $h(r)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(r)=\pi-\lambda\left(r^{\prime}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathcal{K}}{\log \left(e^{2} / r^{\prime} 2\right)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda\left(r^{\prime}\right)=\left(1+r^{\prime}\right) \log \left(e^{2} / r^{\prime}\right)$.
A simple calculation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=1-\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}-\log r^{\prime},  \tag{3.3}\\
& \lambda^{\prime}(1)=0,  \tag{3.4}\\
& \lambda^{\prime \prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1-r^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}}>0 . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (3.3)-(3.5) lead to the conclusion that $\lambda\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$ with respect to $r^{\prime}$. Moreover, the function $r^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$. Hence the function $\lambda\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$ with respect to $r$. It follows from (3.2) and Lemma 2.2(3) that $h(r)$ is strictly decreasing on ( 0,1 ). This implies that $h(r)<0$ for $0<r<1$ together with $h(0)=0$.

Therefore, $\operatorname{AG}(a, b)<L_{-1 / 2}(a, b)$ for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (3.1) and $h(r)<0$.
Finally, we prove that $L_{-1}(a, b)$ and $L_{-1 / 2}(a, b)$ are the best possible lower and upper generalized logarithmic mean bounds for the arithmetic-geometric mean $\operatorname{AG}(a, b)$.

For any $0<\varepsilon<1 / 2$ and $0<x<1$, it follows from (1.1) and (1.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}\left[\operatorname{AG}(1, x)-L_{-1+\varepsilon}(1, x)\right] & =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}\left\{\frac{\pi}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(\sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right)}-\left[\frac{1-x^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon(1-x)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon-1}}\right\} \\
& =-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and making use of the Taylor expansion as $x \rightarrow 0$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{AG}(1,1-x)-L_{-1 / 2-\varepsilon}(1,1-x) \\
& \quad=\frac{\pi}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(\sqrt{2 x-x^{2}}\right)}-\left[\frac{1-(1-x)^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}}{(1 / 2-\varepsilon) x}\right]^{-\frac{2}{1+2 \varepsilon}} \\
& \quad=\left[1-\frac{x}{2}-\frac{x^{2}}{16}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]-\left[1-\frac{x}{2}-\frac{3+2 \varepsilon}{48} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{\varepsilon}{24} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (3.6) and (3.7) imply that for any $0<\varepsilon<1 / 2$ there exist $\delta_{1}=\delta_{1}(\varepsilon) \in(0,1)$ and $\delta_{2}=\delta_{2}(\varepsilon) \in(0,1)$ such that $\operatorname{AG}(1, x)<L_{-1+\varepsilon}(1, x)$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{AG}(1,1-x)>$ $L_{-1 / 2-\varepsilon}(1,1-x)$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.2 Inequality $L_{5 / 2}(a, b)<T(a, b)<L_{p_{0}}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $p_{0}$ is defined as in Lemma 2.3 and $L_{5 / 2}(a, b), L_{p_{0}}(a, b)$ are the best possible lower and upper generalized logarithmic mean bounds for the Toader mean $T(a, b)$, respectively.

Proof From (1.1) and (1.4) we clearly see that both $T(a, b)$ and $L_{p}(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a=1>b$. Let $t=b \in$ $(0,1), r=(1-t) /(1+t)$, then from (1.1) and (1.4) together with (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(a, b)-L_{p}(a, b) & =\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}\left(\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right)-\left[\frac{1-t^{p+1}}{(p+1)(1-t)}\right]^{1 / p} \\
& =\frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)-\frac{1}{1+r}\left[\frac{(1+r)^{p+1}-(1-r)^{p+1}}{2(p+1) r}\right]^{1 / p} \\
& =\frac{1}{1+r}\left[\frac{2}{\pi}\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)-\left(\frac{(1+r)^{p+1}-(1-r)^{p+1}}{2(p+1) r}\right)^{1 / p}\right] \\
& =\frac{\phi_{p}(r)}{(1+r)}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi_{p}(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.8.
Therefore, Theorem 3.2 follows from (3.8) and Lemma 2.8.

## 4 Corollaries and remarks

From Theorem 3.1 we get a lower bound for the complete elliptic integral of the first kind $\mathcal{K}(r)$ as follows.

## Corollary 4.1 Inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(r)>\frac{2 \pi\left[1+\sqrt{1-r^{2}}-2\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}\right]}{\left(1-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$.

Remark 4.1 We define $H(r)=2 \pi\left[1+\sqrt{1-r^{2}}-2\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}\right] /\left(1-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right)^{2}$. Computational and numerical experiments show that the lower bound in (4.1) can be regarded as an approximation of $\mathcal{K}(r)$ for some $r \in(0,1)$, refer to Table 1 for numerical values.

Table 1 Comparison of $\mathcal{K}(r)$ with $H(r)$ for some $r \in(0,1)$

| $\boldsymbol{r}$ | $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { K } ( \boldsymbol { r } )}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{r})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1 | $1.5747455615 \cdots$ | $1.5747455614 \cdots$ |
| 0.2 | $1.5868678474 \cdots$ | $1.5868678471 \cdots$ |
| 0.3 | $1.608048619 \cdots$ | $1.608048612 \cdots$ |
| 0.4 | $1.63999986 \cdots$ | $1.63999977 \cdots$ |
| 0.5 | $1.68575035 \cdots$ | $1.68574965 \cdots$ |
| 0.6 | $1.75075380 \cdots$ | $1.75074958 \cdots$ |
| 0.7 | $1.84569400 \cdots$ | $1.84567106 \cdots$ |
| 0.8 | $1.99530278 \cdots$ | $1.99517293 \cdots$ |

Theorem 3.2 enables us to give new bounds for the complete elliptic integrals of the second kind $\mathcal{E}(r)$.

## Corollary 4.2 Inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\frac{2\left(1-\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{7 / 4}\right)}{7\left(1-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right)}\right]^{2 / 5}<\mathcal{E}(r)<\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\frac{1-\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\left(p_{0}+1\right) / 2}}{\left(p_{0}+1\right)\left(1-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right)}\right]^{1 / p_{0}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$, where $p_{0}=3.15295 \cdots$ is defined as in Lemma 2.3.
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