

RESEARCH

Open Access



# An extended Halanay inequality with unbounded coefficient functions on time scales

Boqun Ou<sup>1</sup>, Quanwen Lin<sup>2\*</sup>, Feifei Du<sup>3</sup> and Baoguo Jia<sup>3</sup>

\*Correspondence:  
linquanwen@126.com  
<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Guangdong University of  
Petrochemical Technology,  
Maoming, 525000, China  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we obtain an extended Halanay inequality with unbounded coefficient functions on time scales, which extends an earlier result in Wen *et al.* (J. Math. Anal. Appl. 347:169-178, 2008). Two illustrative examples are also given.

**Keywords:** time scales; delay dynamic equation; coefficient functions; inequality

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

As is well known, Halanay-type differential inequalities have been very useful in the stability analysis of time-delay systems and these have led to some interesting new stability conditions (see [1–4] and the references therein).

In [3], Halanay proved the following inequality.

**Lemma 1.1** (Halanay's inequality) *If*

$$x'(t) \leq -\alpha x(t) + \beta \sup_{s \in [t-\tau, t]} x(s), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0, \tau > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

*and  $\alpha > \beta > 0$ , then there exist  $\gamma > 0$  and  $K > 0$  such that*

$$x(t) \leq Ke^{-\gamma(t-t_0)}, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0. \quad (1.2)$$

In [5], Baker and Tang obtained the following Halanay-type inequality with unbounded coefficient functions.

**Lemma 1.2** (see [5]) *Let  $x(t) > 0, t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ , and*

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} \leq -a(t)x(t) + b(t) \sup_{t-\tau(t) \leq s \leq t} x(s), \quad t > t_0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$x(t) = |\varphi(t)|, \quad t \leq t_0, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\varphi(t)$  is bounded and continuous for  $t \leq t_0$ , and  $a(t) \geq 0, b(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ ,  $\tau(t) \geq 0$  and  $t - \tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . If there exists  $\sigma > 0$  such that

$$-a(t) + b(t) \leq -\sigma < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0, \quad (1.5)$$

then

$$(i) \quad x(t) \leq \|\varphi\|^{(-\infty, t_0]}, \quad t \geq t_0 \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad x(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\|\varphi\|^{(-\infty, t_0]} = \sup_{t \in (-\infty, t_0]} |\varphi(t)| < \infty$ .

In [1], Wen et al. obtained an extension of Lemma 1.2.

In this paper, we extend the main results of [5] to time scale. As an application, we consider the stability of the following delay dynamic equation:

$$\begin{cases} x^\Delta(t) = -a(t)x^\sigma(t) + b(t)x(t - \tau(t)) + c(t), & t \in [t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ x(s) = |\varphi(s)| & \text{for } s \in (-\infty, t_0]_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\varphi(s)$  is bounded rd-continuous for  $s \in (-\infty, t_0]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\tau(t), a(t), b(t), c(t)$  are nonnegative, rd-continuous functions for  $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $c(t)$  is bounded. We prove that the zero solution of the delay difference equation

$$\Delta x(n) = -2(n+1)x(n+1) + \frac{n^2}{2n+1}x(n-2), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.8)$$

is stable.

For completeness, we introduce the following concepts related to the notions of time scales. We refer to [6] for additional details concerning the calculus on time scales.

**Definition 1.1** (see [6]) A function  $h : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be regressive provided  $1 + \mu(t)h(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ , where  $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$ . The set of all regressive rd-continuous functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{R}$  while the set  $\mathfrak{R}^+$  is given by  $\mathfrak{R}^+ = \{\varphi \in \mathfrak{R} : 1 + \mu(t)\varphi(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}\}$ . If  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{R}$ , the exponential function is defined by

$$e_\varphi(t, s) = \exp\left(\int_s^t \xi_{\mu(r)}(\varphi(r)) \Delta r\right), \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}, s \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa, \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\xi_{\mu(s)}$  is the cylinder transformation given by

$$\xi_{\mu(r)}(\varphi(r)) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu(r)} \text{Log}(1 + \mu(r)\varphi(r)), & \mu(r) > 0, \\ \varphi(r), & \mu(r) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and some properties of the exponential function are given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.3** (see [7]) Let  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{R}$ , Then

- (i)  $e_0(s, t) \equiv 1, e_\varphi(t, t) \equiv 1$  and  $e_\varphi(\sigma(t), s) = (1 + \mu(t)\varphi(t))e_\varphi(t, s)$ ;
- (ii)  $\frac{1}{e_\varphi(t, s)} = e_{\ominus\varphi}(t, s)$ , where  $\ominus\varphi(t) = -\frac{\varphi(t)}{1 + \mu(t)\varphi(t)}$ ;
- (iii)  $(\frac{1}{e_\varphi(t, s)})^\Delta = -\frac{\varphi(t)}{e_\varphi(\sigma(t), s)}$ ;

- (iv)  $[e_\varphi(c, t)]^\Delta = -\varphi(t)e_\varphi(c, \sigma(t))$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{T}$ ;
- (v)  $e_p(t, s) = \frac{1}{e_p(s, t)} = e_{\ominus p}(s, t)$ .

**Lemma 1.4** (see [8]) *For a nonnegative  $\varphi$  with  $-\varphi \in \mathfrak{R}^+$ , we have the inequalities*

$$1 - \int_s^t \varphi(u) \Delta u \leq e_{-\varphi}(t, s) \leq \exp \left\{ - \int_s^t \varphi(u) \Delta u \right\} \quad \text{for all } t \geq s. \quad (1.10)$$

*If  $\varphi$  is rd-continuous and nonnegative, then*

$$1 + \int_s^t \varphi(u) \Delta u \leq e_\varphi(t, s) \leq \exp \left\{ \int_s^t \varphi(u) \Delta u \right\} \quad \text{for all } t \geq s. \quad (1.11)$$

**Remark 1.1** If  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{R}^+$  and  $\varphi(r) > 0$  for all  $r \in [s, t]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , then

$$e_\varphi(t, r) \leq e_\varphi(t, s) \quad \text{and} \quad e_\varphi(a, b) < 1 \quad \text{for } s \leq a < b \leq t. \quad (1.12)$$

*Proof* By  $\varphi(r) > 0$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{R}^+$  and Lemma 1.3(iv) we have  $[e_\varphi(c, t)]^\Delta = -\varphi(t)e_\varphi(c, \sigma(t)) < 0$ , so

$$e_\varphi(t, r) \leq e_\varphi(t, s).$$

Since  $a < b$ , from the above result, we have

$$e_\varphi(a, b) < e_\varphi(a, a) = 1. \quad \square$$

## 2 Main results

Throughout this paper, we assume that the following conditions hold:

(H<sub>1</sub>) Let  $x(t)$  be a nonnegative right-dense function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} x^\Delta(t) \leq -a(t)x(t) + b(t) \sup_{t-\tau(t) \leq s \leq t} x(s) + c(t) \\ \quad + d(t) \int_0^\infty K(t, s)x(t-s) \Delta s, & t \in [t_0, \infty), \\ x(t) = |\varphi(t)|, & t \in (-\infty, t_0], \end{cases}$$

where  $\varphi(t)$  is bounded rd-continuous for  $t \in (-\infty, t_0]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\sup_{t \leq t_0} |\varphi(t)| = M$ .

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $a(t)$ ,  $b(t)$ ,  $c(t)$ ,  $\tau(t)$  are nonnegative, rd-continuous functions for  $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $c(t)$  is bounded, such that  $\sup_{t \geq t_0} c(t) = \bar{c}$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \tau(t)) = +\infty$ .

(H<sub>3</sub>) There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $a(t) - b(t) - d(t) \int_0^\infty K(t, s) \Delta s > \delta > 0$ , for  $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , where the delay kernel  $K(t, s)$  is a nonnegative, rd-continuous for  $(t, s) \in \mathbb{T} \times [0, \infty)$  and satisfies  $\forall t \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\int_0^\infty K(t, s) \Delta s < \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Assume that (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>3</sub>) and  $-a(t) \in \mathfrak{R}^+$  hold, then we have*

$$(i) \quad x(t) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + M, \quad t \in [t_0, +\infty). \quad (2.1)$$

*If we assume further that  $d(t) = 0$  in (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>3</sub>) and there exists  $0 < \kappa < 1$  such that*

$$\kappa a(t) - b(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (2.2)$$

*then we have*

(ii) for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}(M, \epsilon) > t_0$ , such that

$$x(t) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon, \quad t \in [\tilde{t}, \infty). \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof* We now consider the following two cases successively.

*Case 1.*  $\bar{c} > 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.1(i).*

For any  $\epsilon > 1$ , we have from  $(H_1)$

$$\forall t \leq t_0, \quad x(t) = |\varphi(t)| \leq \sup_{t \leq t_0} |\varphi(t)| = M < \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M, \quad (2.4)$$

from this we shall deduce that

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad x(t) < \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M. \quad (2.5)$$

To prove (2.5), let  $t_1 = \sup \{t | x(s) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M, s \in [t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}}\} > t_0$ , we will show  $t_1 = \infty$ .

Suppose  $t_1 < \infty$ . Clearly we have  $x(t_1) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$ .

In fact, suppose that  $x(t_1) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$  fails, then we have  $x(t_1) > \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$ .

If  $t_1$  is left-dense, there is  $\{t_n\}$  satisfying:  $t_n < t_1, t_n \rightarrow t_1$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), and  $x(t_n) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$ , we have  $x(t_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(t_n) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$ , which contradicts  $x(t_1) > \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$ .

If  $t_1$  is left-scattered,  $\rho(t_1) < t_1$  and  $x(\rho(t_1)) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$ ;  $x(t_1) > \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$ , then we have  $\sup \{t | x(s) < \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M, s \in [t_0, t]\} = \rho(t_1) < t_1$ , which contradicts the definition of  $t_1$ .

Therefore we can suppose  $t_1 < \infty, x(t_1) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$ . We will discuss two cases:

*Case 1.1.* Suppose  $x(t_1) = \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M, t_1 > t_0$ ,

$$\forall t \in [t_0, t_1]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad x(t) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M, \quad x(t_1) = \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M. \quad (2.6)$$

Clearly we have  $x^\Delta(t_1) \geq 0$ . In fact, suppose that  $x^\Delta(t_1) \geq 0$  fails, then we have  $x^\Delta(t_1) < 0$ .

If  $t_1$  is right-dense,  $\forall s > t_1$ , from  $x^\Delta(t_1) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t_1^+} \frac{x(t_1) - x(s)}{t_1 - s} < 0$ , we get  $x(s) < x(t_1) = \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$ , which contradicts the definition of  $t_1$ .

If  $t_1$  is right-scattered, from  $x^\Delta(t_1) = \frac{x(\sigma(t_1)) - x(t_1)}{\mu(t_1)} < 0$ , we get  $x(\sigma(t_1)) < x(t_1) = \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M$ , which contradicts the definition of  $t_1$ .

We have from (2.6),  $(H_1)$ , and  $(H_3)$

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t_1) &\stackrel{(H_1)}{\leq} -a(t_1)x(t_1) + b(t_1) \sup_{t_1 - \tau(t_1) \leq s \leq t_1} x(s) + c(t_1) + d(t_1) \int_0^\infty K(t_1, s)x(t_1 - s)\Delta s \\ &\stackrel{(2.6)}{=} -a(t_1)\left(\frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M\right) + b(t_1) \sup_{t_1 - \tau(t_1) \leq s \leq t_1} x(s) + c(t_1) + d(t_1) \int_0^\infty K(t_1, s)x(t_1 - s)\Delta s \\ &\stackrel{(2.6)}{\leq} -\left(a(t_1) - b(t_1) + d(t_1) \int_0^\infty K(t_1, s)\Delta s\right)\left(\frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M\right) + \bar{c} \\ &\stackrel{(H_3)}{<} -\delta\left(\frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon M\right) + \bar{c} = -\delta\epsilon M < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

which contradicts  $x^\Delta(t_1) \geq 0$ .

*Case 1.2.* Suppose  $x(t_1) < \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M$ . In this case,  $t_1$  must be right-scattered, for otherwise if  $t_1$  is right-dense, there exists  $\epsilon_1$  sufficiently small so that  $x(t) < \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M$ , for  $t \in [t_1, t_1 + \epsilon_1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Therefore,  $x(t) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M$ , for  $t \in [t_0, t_1 + \epsilon_1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . This contradicts the definition of  $t_1$ . Hence, since  $t_1$  is right-scattered, we have

$$x(\sigma(t_1)) > \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M \quad \text{and} \quad x(t) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M \quad \text{for all } t \leq t_1 < \sigma(t_1). \quad (2.8)$$

We have from (2.8) and  $(H_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x(\sigma(t_1)) - x(t_1)}{\mu(t_1)} &= x^\Delta(t_1) \\ &\stackrel{(H_1)}{\leq} -a(t_1)x(t_1) + b(t_1) \sup_{t_1 - \tau(t_1) \leq s \leq t_1} x(s) + c(t_1) \\ &\quad + d(t_1) \int_0^\infty K(t_1, s)x(t_1 - s)\Delta s \\ &\stackrel{(2.8)}{<} -a(t_1)x(t_1) + \left( b(t_1) + d(t_1) \int_0^\infty K(t_1, s)\Delta s \right) \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M \right) + \bar{c}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

By (2.8), (2.9),  $(H_3)$ , and  $1 - \mu(t)a(t) > 0, t \in \mathbb{T}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M &< x(\sigma(t_1)) \\ &\stackrel{(2.9)}{<} (1 - \mu(t_1)a(t_1))x(t_1) + \mu(t_1) \left( b(t_1) + d(t_1) \int_0^\infty K(t_1, s)\Delta s \right) \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M \right) \\ &\quad + \mu(t_1)\bar{c} \\ &\stackrel{(2.8)}{<} \left( 1 - \mu(t_1)a(t_1) + \mu(t_1)b(t_1) + \mu(t_1)d(t_1) \int_0^\infty K(t_1, s)\Delta s \right) \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M \right) \\ &\quad + \mu(t_1)\bar{c} \\ &\stackrel{(H_3)}{\leq} (1 - \delta\mu(t_1)) \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M \right) + \mu(t_1)\bar{c} = \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon M - \delta\varepsilon M\mu(t_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

which leads to a contradiction.

Hence the inequality (2.5) must hold.

Since  $\varepsilon > 1$  is arbitrary, we let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 1^+$  and obtain

$$\forall t \geq t_0, \quad x(t) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + M. \quad (2.11)$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1(ii).*

If  $M = 0$ , it is evident from (2.1) that (2.3) holds. Now we assume  $M > 0$ . Let  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \alpha$ , then  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + M$ . Now we prove that  $\alpha \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta}$ .

Suppose this is not true, i.e.  $\alpha > \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta}$ , then we can choose  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  such that  $\alpha = \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \varepsilon_2$ .

Since  $\tau(t) \geq 0$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \tau(t)) = +\infty$ , we have  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t - \tau(t) \leq s \leq t} x(s) = \alpha$ .

Clearly, there exists a sufficiently large  $T > 0$  and  $T$  is fixed, such that

$$\lambda := \kappa + (1 - \kappa) \exp(-\delta T) < 1. \quad (2.12)$$

Taking  $\theta : 0 < \theta < \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \varepsilon_2$ , using the properties of the superior limits we see that there exists a sufficiently large  $t^* > t_0$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} x(t^*) > \alpha - \theta, \\ x(t) < \alpha + \theta, \quad t \in [t^* - T, t^*] \\ \sup_{t-\tau(t) \leq s \leq t} x(s) \leq \alpha + \theta, \quad t \in [t^* - T, t^*]. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

On the other hand, it follows from (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>3</sub>) that

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) &\stackrel{(H_1)}{\leq} -a(t)x(t) + b(t) \sup_{t-\tau(t) \leq s \leq t} x(s) + c(t) \\ &\stackrel{(H_3)}{\leq} -a(t)x(t) + b(t) \sup_{t-\tau(t) \leq s \leq t} x(s) + \frac{a(t) - b(t)}{\delta} \bar{c} \\ &= -a(t) \left( x(t) - \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} \right) + b(t) \sup_{t-\tau(t) \leq s \leq t} \left( x(s) - \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Denote  $y(t) = x(t) - \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta}$ , and (2.13) implies that

$$\begin{cases} y(t^*) = x(t^*) - \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} > \alpha - \theta - \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} = \varepsilon_2 - \theta, \\ y(t) = x(t) - \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} \leq \alpha + \theta - \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} = \varepsilon_2 + \theta, \quad t \in [t^* - T, t^*] \\ \sup_{t-\tau(t) \leq s \leq t} y(s) = \sup_{t-\tau(t) \leq s \leq t} (x(s) - \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta}) \leq \alpha + \theta - \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} = \varepsilon_2 + \theta, \\ t \in [t^* - T, t^*]. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

By (2.2), (2.14), (2.15), and  $y(t) = x(t) - \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^\Delta(t) &\stackrel{(2.14)}{\leq} -a(t)y(t) + b(t) \sup_{t-\tau(t) \leq s \leq t} y(s) \\ &\stackrel{(2.15)}{\leq} -a(t)y(t) + (\varepsilon_2 + \theta)b(t) \\ &\stackrel{(2.2)}{<} -a(t)y(t) + \kappa(\varepsilon_2 + \theta)a(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

which implies

$$(y(t) - \kappa(\varepsilon_2 + \theta))^\Delta \leq -a(t)(y(t) - \kappa(\varepsilon_2 + \theta)); \quad (2.17)$$

then we have

$$\left( \frac{y(t) - \kappa(\varepsilon_2 + \theta)}{e_{-a}(t, t_0)} \right)^\Delta = \frac{(y(t) - \kappa(\varepsilon_2 + \theta))^\Delta + a(t)(y(t) - \kappa(\varepsilon_2 + \theta))}{e_{-a}(\sigma(t), t_0)} \stackrel{(2.17)}{\leq} 0, \quad (2.18)$$

where we used the property of the exponential function: if  $p \in \mathfrak{R}^+$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ , then  $e_p(t, t_0) > 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Integrating both sides of (2.18) from  $t^* - T$  to  $t^*$  and by (1.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \kappa)(\varepsilon_2 + \theta) - 2\theta &= \varepsilon_2 - \theta - \kappa(\varepsilon_2 + \theta) \\ &< y(t^*) - \kappa(\varepsilon_2 + \theta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\stackrel{(\text{Lemma 1.3(v)})}{\leq} e_{-a}(t^*, t^* - T) [y(t^* - T) - \kappa(\varepsilon_2 + \theta)] \\
 &\stackrel{(1.11), (2.15)}{\leq} (\varepsilon_2 + \theta - \kappa(\varepsilon_2 + \theta)) \exp\left(-\int_{t^*-T}^{t^*} a(u) \Delta u\right) \\
 &< (1 - \kappa)(\varepsilon_2 + \theta) \exp(-\delta T),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

where we used  $a(t) \geq a(t) - b(t) \geq \delta > 0$  in the last step.

By (2.19), we have

$$\theta \geq \frac{1 - [\kappa + (1 - \kappa) \exp(-\delta T)]}{1 + [\kappa + (1 - \kappa) \exp(-\delta T)]} \varepsilon_2 = \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} \varepsilon_2.$$

This contradicts the choice of  $\theta$ , so we get  $\alpha \leq \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}}{\delta}$ . From the definition of the superior limits we obtain (2.3).

*Case 2.*  $\bar{\varepsilon} = 0$ .

If only we replace  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  in the proof of Case 1 by  $\bar{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon_3$  for any given  $\varepsilon_3 > 0$ , then let  $\varepsilon_3 \rightarrow 0^+$ , we find that (2.1) and (2.3) hold.

A combination of Cases 1 and 2 completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1** When  $M = 0$ , from (2.7),  $(H_3)$  must have the form that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$a(t) - b(t) - d(t) \int_0^\infty K(t, s) \Delta s > \delta > 0, \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

When  $M > 0$ ,  $(H_3)$  may have the form that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$a(t) - b(t) - d(t) \int_0^\infty K(t, s) \Delta s \geq \delta > 0, \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Similarly, in [1] when  $G = 0$ , (2.10) must have the form that

$$\alpha(t) + \beta(t) < -\sigma < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0;$$

when  $G > 0$ , (2.10) may have the form that

$$\alpha(t) + \beta(t) \leq -\sigma < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0.$$

Theorem 2.1 can be regarded as the extension of the main theorem of [5], Theorem 2.3 of [1].

### 3 Applications and examples

Consider the delay dynamic equation

$$\begin{cases} x^\Delta(t) = -a(t)x^\sigma(t) + b(t)x(t - \tau(t)) + c(t) + d(t) \int_0^\infty K(t, s)x(t - s) \Delta s, \\ \quad t \in [t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ x(t) = |\varphi(t)| \quad \text{for } t \in (-\infty, t_0]_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\varphi(t)$  is bounded rd-continuous for  $s \in (-\infty, t_0]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\tau(t)$ ,  $a(t)$ ,  $b(t)$ ,  $c(t)$ ,  $d(t)$  are nonnegative, rd-continuous functions for  $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $c(t)$  is bounded,

$$\sup_{t \leq t_0} |\varphi(t)| = M, \quad \sup_{t \geq t_0} c(t) = \bar{c}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \tau(t)) = +\infty.$$

Assume there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$a(t) - b(t) - d(t) \int_0^\infty K(t, s) \Delta s > \delta > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the delay kernel  $K(t, s)$  is a nonnegative, rd-continuous for  $(t, s) \in [0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(t_0) e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, s) \left[ b(s) x(s - \tau(s)) + c(s) + d(s) \int_0^\infty K(s, v) x(s - v) \Delta v \right] \Delta s. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Let the functions  $y(t)$  be defined as follows:  $y(t) = |x(t)|$  for  $t \in (-\infty, t_0]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= |x(t_0)| e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, s) \left[ b(s) |x(s - \tau(s))| + c(s) + d(s) \int_0^\infty K(s, v) |x(s - v)| \Delta v \right] \Delta s, \end{aligned}$$

for  $t > t_0$ . Then we have  $|x(t)| \leq y(t)$ , for all  $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

By [9], Theorem 5.37, we get

$$\begin{aligned} y^\Delta(t) &= \ominus a(t) \left\{ |x(t_0)| e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, s) \left[ b(s) |x(s - \tau(s))| + c(s) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + d(s) \int_0^\infty K(s, v) |x(s - v)| \Delta v \right] \Delta s \right\} \\ &\quad + e_{\ominus a}(\sigma(t), t) \left\{ b(t) |x(t - \tau(t))| + c(t) + d(t) \int_0^\infty K(t, v) |x(t - v)| \Delta v \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \mu(t)a(t)} \left\{ -a(t)y(t) + b(t) \sup_{t - \tau(t) \leq \theta \leq t} y(\theta) + c(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d(t) \int_0^\infty K(t, v) y(t - v) \Delta v \right\}, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

**Example 1** Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}^+$ , then system  $(H_1)$  is expressed as

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) \leq -a(t)x(t) + b(t) \sup_{t - \tau(t) \leq s \leq t} x(s) + c(t) \\ \quad + d(t) \int_0^\infty K(t, s) x(t - s) ds, & t \geq 0, \\ x(t) = |\varphi(t)|, & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\varphi(t)$  is bounded continuous for  $t \in (-\infty, 0]$  and  $\sup_{t \leq 0} |\varphi(t)| = M$ .



We choose some explicit nonnegative, continuous functions for  $a(t), b(t), c(t), d(t), \tau(t), K(t, s)$ . Let

$$a(t) = \frac{(t+1)^2}{t+2}, \quad b(t) = \frac{t^2+t}{2e(t+2)}, \quad c(t) = \left(\frac{t+2}{t+1}\right)^t, \quad d(t) = \frac{t}{e(2-e^{-t^2})\sqrt{\pi}},$$

$$K(t, s) = (2 - \cos 2ts)e^{-s^2}, \quad (t, s) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty), \tau(t) < t \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \tau(t)) = +\infty.$$

Obviously,  $a(t), b(t), d(t)$  are unbounded for  $t \geq 0$  and  $\sup_{t \geq 0} c(t) = \bar{c} = e$ .

(1)  $\forall t \in [0, \infty), g(t) := \int_0^\infty K(t, s) ds = \int_0^\infty (2 - \cos 2ts)e^{-s^2} ds$ , then since  $\forall (t, s) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ ,

$$|K(t, s)| \leq 3e^{-s^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial K(t, s)}{\partial t} \right| = |2se^{-s^2} \sin 2ts| \leq 2se^{-s^2},$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-s^2} ds = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty 2se^{-s^2} ds = 1,$$

we have  $g(t) = \int_0^\infty K(t, s) ds$  is convergent for  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\int_0^\infty K_t(t, s) ds$  is uniformly convergent for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

So

$$g'(t) = \int_0^\infty K_t(t, s) ds = \int_0^\infty 2se^{-s^2} \sin 2ts ds = -2tg(t) + 2\sqrt{\pi}t.$$

Rearrange terms and obtain

$$\frac{(g(t) - \sqrt{\pi})'}{g(t) - \sqrt{\pi}} = -2t. \quad (3.6)$$

Solving (3.6) for  $g(t)$ , we have

$$g(t) = \int_0^\infty K(t, s) ds = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} (2 - e^{-t^2}) < \sqrt{\pi}.$$

(2) There exists  $\delta = \frac{1}{2} > 0$ , such that

$$a(t) - b(t) = \frac{(t+1)^2}{t+2} - \frac{t^2+t}{2e(t+2)} \geq \frac{1}{2} = \delta > 0, \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

By (i) of Theorem 2.1, we have  $|x(t)| \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + M = 2e + M, t \geq 0$ .

Take  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \in (0, 1)$ , it is easy to see that

$$\kappa a(t) - b(t) = \frac{1}{e} \cdot \frac{(t+1)^2}{t+2} \geq \frac{1}{4} > 0, \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

By (ii) of Theorem 2.1, for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}(M, \epsilon) > 0$ , such that  $|x(t)| \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon = 2e + \epsilon, t \geq \tilde{t} > 0$ .

Taking  $c(t) \equiv 0$ , we have  $|x(t)| \leq \epsilon, t \geq \tilde{t} > 0$ . So the zero solution of the system (3.1) is stable.

**Example 2** Consider the delay dynamic equation

$$\begin{cases} x^\Delta(t) = -a(t)x^\sigma(t) + b(t)x(t - \tau(t)) + c(t), & t \in [t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ x(t) = |\varphi(t)| & \text{for } t \in (-\infty, t_0]_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where  $\varphi(t)$  is bounded, rd-continuous for  $t \leq t_0$  and  $\sup_{t \leq t_0} |\varphi(t)| = M$ ,  $a(t), b(t), c(t), \tau(t)$  are nonnegative, rd-continuous functions for  $t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\sup_{t \geq t_0} c(t) = \bar{c}$ .

If there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$a(t) - b(t) \geq \delta > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0. \quad (3.8)$$

Similar to Example 1, we get

$$y^\Delta(t) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \mu(t)a(t)} \left\{ -a(t)y(t) + b(t) \sup_{t-\tau(t) \leq s \leq t} y(s) + c(t) \right\}, \quad t \in [t_0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

In particular, we take  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{N}$ , (3.7) reduces to

$$\Delta x(n) = -a(n)x(n+1) + b(n)x(n-2) + c(n), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Let  $a(n) = 2(n+1)$ ,  $b(n) = \frac{n^2}{2n+1}$ ,  $c(n) = \frac{5n}{\sqrt[n]{n!}}$ ,  $\tau(n) = 2$ .

Obviously,  $a(n), b(n)$  are unbounded for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5n}{\sqrt[n]{n!}} = \bar{c} = 5e$ .

- (1)  $\forall n \geq 2$ ,  $\frac{a(n)-b(n)}{1+a(n)} = \frac{2(n+1)-\frac{n^2}{2n+1}}{2n+3} = \frac{3n^2+6n+2}{(2n+1)(2n+3)} \geq \frac{2}{3} = \delta$ .
- (2) Take  $\kappa = 0.9 \in (0, 1)$ , it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\kappa a(n) - b(n)}{1 + a(n)} > 0.$$

By (ii) of Theorem 2.1, for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}(M, \epsilon) > 0$ , such that  $|x(t)| \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{\delta} + \epsilon = \frac{15e}{2} + \epsilon, t \geq \tilde{t} > 0$ .

Taking  $c(n) \equiv 0$ , we have  $|x(t)| \leq \epsilon, t \geq \tilde{t} > 0$ . So the zero solution of the system (3.7) is stable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Computation Science, Lingnan Normal University, Zhanjiang, 524048, China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Petrochemical Technology, Maoming, 525000, China. <sup>3</sup>School of Mathematics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China.

#### Acknowledgements

The fourth author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271380) and the Guangdong Province Key Laboratory of Computational Science.

Received: 29 July 2016 Accepted: 22 November 2016 Published online: 01 December 2016

#### References

1. Wen, L, Yu, Y, Wang, W: Generalized Halanay inequalities for dissipativity of Volterra functional differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **347**, 169-178 (2008)

2. Humphries, AR, Stuart, AM: Runge-Kutta methods for dissipative and gradient dynamical systems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **31**, 1452-1485 (1994)
3. Halanay, A: *Differential Equations: Stability, Oscillations, Time Lags*. Academic Press, New York (1966)
4. Temam, R: *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*. Springer Appl. Math. Sci. Ser., vol. 68. Springer, Berlin (1988)
5. Baker, CTH, Tang, A: Generalized Halanay inequalities for Volterra functional differential equations and discretized versions, Invited plenary talk. In: *Volterra Centennial Meeting*, UTA Arlington, June (1996)
6. Adivar, M, Bohner, EA: Halanay type inequalities on time scales with applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 7519-7531 (2011)
7. Bohner, M, Peterson, A: *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*. Birkhäuser, Boston (2001)
8. Bohner, M: Some oscillation criteria for first order delay dynamic equations. *Far East J. Appl. Math.* **18**, 289-304 (2005)
9. Bohner, M, Peterson, AC (eds.): *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*. Birkhäuser, Boston (2003)

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)