RESEARCH





On two energy-like invariants of line graphs and related graph operations

Xiaodan Chen^{1,2*}, Yaoping Hou² and Jingjian Li¹

^{*}Correspondence: x.d.chen@live.cn ¹College of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangxi University, Daxue Road 100, Nanning, 530004, P.R. China ²Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Lushan Road 36, Changsha, 410081, P.R. China

Abstract

For a simple graph G of order n, let $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_n = 0$ be its Laplacian eigenvalues, and let $q_1 \ge q_2 \ge \cdots \ge q_n \ge 0$ be its signless Laplacian eigenvalues. The Laplacian-energy-like invariant and incidence energy of G are defined as, respectively,

$$LEL(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{\mu_i}$$
 and $IE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{q_i}$.

In this paper, we present some new upper and lower bounds on *LEL* and *IE* of line graph, subdivision graph, para-line graph and total graph of a regular graph, some of which improve previously known results. The main tools we use here are the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Ozeki inequality.

MSC: 05C50; 05C90

Keywords: Laplacian-energy-like invariant; incidence energy; line graph; subdivision graph; para-line graph; total graph

1 Introduction

There are a large number of remarkable chemical applications in graph theory, one of which is based on the close correspondence between the graph eigenvalues and the molecular orbital energy levels of π -electrons in conjugated hydrocarbons. For the Hüchkel molecular orbital (HMO) approximation, the total π -electron energy in conjugated hydrocarbons is given by the sum of absolute values of the eigenvalues of the corresponding molecular graph in which the degree of each vertex is not more than three in general. In 1978, Gutman [1] extended the concept of energy to all simple graphs, and defined the energy of a graph *G* (of order *n*) as

$$E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|,$$

where $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix A(G) of G (also called the eigenvalues of the graph G). The concept of graph energy was further extended to any matrix in [2]: the energy E(M) of a (not necessarily square) matrix M is the sum of its singular values, where the singular values of M are the square roots of the eigenvalues of

© 2016 Chen et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



the (square) matrix MM^T (M^T stands for the transpose of M). Obviously, E(G) = E(A(G)). For more details in the theory of graph energy, see [3] by Li *et al.*

The Laplacian matrix L(G) of a graph G is another important and well-studied matrix in graph theory, which is defined to be L(G) = D(G) - A(G), where D(G) is the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of G. The eigenvalues of L(G) are also called the Laplacian eigenvalues of the graph G, which are always denoted by $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_{n-1} \ge \mu_n = 0$. Based on the Laplacian eigenvalues, Liu and Liu [4] conceived the following invariant:

$$LEL(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{\mu_i}.$$
 (1)

They called this invariant the Laplacian-energy-like invariant, which was later proved to be an energy like invariant [5]. Nowadays, *LEL* has become a successful molecular descriptor [6]. A great deal of work has been done on *LEL*, see [7] for a comprehensive survey.

Recall that giving an arbitrary orientation to each edge of *G* would yield an oriented graph \vec{G} . Let $B(\vec{G})$ be the (vertex-edge) incidence matrix of \vec{G} . Then $B(\vec{G})B(\vec{G})^T = L(G)$, and hence $LEL(G) = E(B(\vec{G}))$. This provides a new interpretation for *LEL*: oriented incidence energy [8], and furnishes a new insight into its possible physical or chemical meaning.

Let B(G) be the (vertex-edge) incidence matrix of G. Motivated by Nikiforov's idea and *LEL*, Jooyandeh *et al.* [9] introduced the concept of incidence energy of a graph G: if the singular values of B(G) are $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n$ then the incidence energy of G is $IE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i = E(B(G))$. Note that $B(G)B(G)^T = A(G) + D(G) = Q(G)$ is the signless Laplacian matrix of G, with its eigenvalues $q_1 \ge q_2 \ge \cdots \ge q_n \ge 0$ (also called the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of the graph G). Thus, it follows that

$$IE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{q_i}.$$
(2)

Observe that $LEL(G) = IE(\vec{G})$. Furthermore, we have the following relation between *LEL* and *IE*.

Theorem 1.1 (see [10]) Let G be a graph of order n. Then $LEL(G) \le IE(G)$, with the equality holding if and only if G is bipartite.

For more results on *IE*, we refer the reader to [9, 11–13].

The line graph, subdivision graph, para-line graph and total graph are the well-known operations on graphs, which can produce many new types of graphs. In [14], Ramane *et al.* studied the spectra and energies of (iterated) line graphs of regular graphs, and based on the derived results they found a systematic construction of pairs of equienergetic graphs. Gao *et al.* [15] established formulas and lower bounds of the Kirchhoff index of line, sub-division and total graphs of a regular graph. Yan *et al.* [16] considered the asymptotic behavior of the number of spanning trees and the Kirchhoff index of iterated line graphs and iterated para-line graphs of a regular graph. Recently, in [17], Wang and Luo presented upper and lower bounds for the Laplaican-energy-like invariant of line graph, subdivision graph and total graph of a regular graph. In addition, upper and lower bounds for the in-

cidence energy of (iterated) line graphs of a regular graph were also obtained by Gutman *et al.* [12].

In this paper, we give some new upper and lower bounds on the Laplacian-energy-like invariant and the incidence energy of line graph, subdivision graph, para-line graph and total graph of a regular graph, some of which improve the corresponding bounds in [17] and [12].

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the concepts of line graphs and related graph operations, and list some lemmas that will be used in the subsequent sections.

The line graph $\mathcal{L}(G)$ of a graph G is the graph whose vertices are the edges of G, with two vertices in $\mathcal{L}(G)$ adjacent whenever the corresponding edges in G have exactly one vertex in common. If G is a regular graph of degree r with n vertices and m (= nr/2) edges, then characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ can be expressed in terms of the characteristic polynomial of G, namely [18]

$$P_{A(\mathcal{L}(G))}(x) = (x+2)^{m-n} P_{A(G)}(x-r+2),$$
(3)

where we write $P_M(x)$ for the characteristic polynomial of a matrix M. By (3), we have the following lemma immediately.

Lemma 2.1 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. If the eigenvalues of G are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$, then the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ are $r - 2 + \lambda_1, r - 2 + \lambda_2, ..., r - 2 + \lambda_n$, and -2 (with multiplicity n(r - 2)/2).

The subdivision graph S(G) of a graph G is the graph obtained by inserting a new vertex into every edge of G. Clearly, S(G) is a bipartite graph. Moreover, if G is a regular graph of degree r with n vertices and m edges, then [19]

$$P_{L(\mathcal{S}(G))}(x) = (-1)^m (2-x)^{m-n} P_{L(G)}(x(r+2-x)).$$
(4)

From (4) we arrive at the next lemma (see also [17]).

Lemma 2.2 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. If the Laplacian eigenvalues of G are $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$, then S(G) has n(r-2)/2 Laplacian eigenvalues equal to 2 and the following 2n Laplacian eigenvalues:

$$\frac{r+2\pm\sqrt{(r+2)^2-4\mu_i}}{2} \quad (i=1,2,\ldots,n).$$

The para-line graph C(G) of a graph G, which was first introduced in [20], is defined to be the line graph of the subdivision graph of G. In [21], the para-line graph is also called the clique-inserted graph.

Lemma 2.3 (see [21]) Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. If the eigenvalues of G are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$, then the eigenvalues of C(G) are -2 (with multiplicity n(r-2)/2),

0 (with multiplicity n(r-2)/2), and

$$\frac{r-2\pm\sqrt{r^2+4+4\lambda_i}}{2} \quad (i=1,2,\ldots,n).$$

The total graph $\mathcal{T}(G)$ of a graph G is the graph whose vertices are the vertices and edges of G, with two vertices of $\mathcal{T}(G)$ adjacent if and only if the corresponding elements of G are adjacent or incident.

Lemma 2.4 (see [18]) Let G be a regular graph of degree r (r > 1) having n vertices. If the eigenvalues of G are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$, then $\mathcal{T}(G)$ has n(r-2)/2 eigenvalues equal to -2 and the following 2n eigenvalues:

$$\frac{r-2+2\lambda_i\pm\sqrt{r^2+4+4\lambda_i}}{2} \quad (i=1,2,\ldots,n).$$

The following is known as the Ozeki inequality.

Lemma 2.5 (see [22]) *Let* $\bar{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ *and* $\bar{b} = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_n)$ *be two positive n-tuples with* $0 < a \le a_i \le A$ *and* $0 < b \le b_i \le B$, *where* i = 1, 2, ..., n. *Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i\right)^2 \le \frac{1}{4} n^2 (AB - ab)^2.$$

Lemma 2.6 (see [4, 17]) *Let G be a graph with n vertices, m edges and maximum degree* Δ *. Then*

$$\sqrt{\frac{(2m)^3}{n\Delta^2+2m}} \le LEL(G) \le \sqrt{\Delta+1} + \sqrt{(n-2)(2m-\Delta-1)},$$

with the left equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$ and the right if and only if $G \cong K_n$ or $G \cong S_n$.

From Lemma 2.6, it follows directly that if *G* be a regular graph of degree *r* with *n* vertices, then

$$\frac{nr}{\sqrt{r+1}} \le LEL(G) \le \sqrt{r+1} + \sqrt{(n-2)(nr-r-1)},$$
(5)

with both equalities if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Lemma 2.7 (see [23]) Let G be a graph of order n with at least one edge. Then $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_{n-1}$ if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Finally, we should remark that if *G* is a regular graph of degree r = 1, then *G* is nothing but the disjoint union of independent edges. Hence, in the following, for avoiding the triviality we always assume that $r \ge 2$.

3 The Laplacian-energy-like invariant

In this section, we consider *LEL* of line graph, subdivision graph, para-line graph, and total graph of a regular graph. We begin with the case of line graphs.

Theorem 3.1 (see [4]) Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

$$LEL(\mathcal{L}(G)) = LEL(G) + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r}.$$

By Theorem 3.1 and (5), we have the following corollary directly.

Corollary 3.2 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

$$\frac{nr}{\sqrt{r+1}} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r} \le LEL(\mathcal{L}(G)) \le \sqrt{r+1} + \sqrt{(n-2)(nr-r-1)} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r},$$

with both equalities holding if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Remark 1 In [17], Wang and Luo proved that if G is a regular graph of n vertices and of degree r, then

$$\frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r} < LEL(\mathcal{L}(G)) \le \sqrt{(n-1)nr} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r},\tag{6}$$

with the right equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$. Evidently, the lower bound in Corollary 3.2 is better than that in (6). For the upper bound, our bound is also better than that in (6). To see this, we only need to show that

$$\sqrt{r+1} + \sqrt{(n-2)(nr-r-1)} \le \sqrt{(n-1)nr},$$
(7)

that is,

$$(n-2)(nr-r-1) \leq \left(\sqrt{(n-1)nr} - \sqrt{r+1}\right)^2,$$

that is,

$$2\sqrt{(n-1)(r+1)nr} \le nr + (n-1)(r+1) = (\sqrt{nr})^2 + \left(\sqrt{(n-1)(r+1)}\right)^2,$$

which is clearly obeyed.

We now consider the case of subdivision graphs.

Theorem 3.3 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

- (i) $LEL(\mathcal{S}(G)) \le (n-1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{2}{n-1}LEL(G) + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2n(r-2)}}{2}$, with the equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$;
- (ii) $LEL(\mathcal{S}(G)) > (n-1)\sqrt{r + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{2}{n-1}LEL(G)} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2}.$

Proof Suppose that $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_{n-1} \ge \mu_n = 0$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of *G*. Then from (1) and Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$LEL(\mathcal{S}(G)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{r+2 + \sqrt{(r+2)^2 - 4\mu_i}}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{r+2 - \sqrt{(r+2)^2 - 4\mu_i}}{2}} \right) + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2n(r-2)}}{2}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{\left(\sqrt{\frac{r+2+\sqrt{(r+2)^2-4\mu_i}}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{r+2-\sqrt{(r+2)^2-4\mu_i}}{2}}\right)^2} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2n(r-2)}}{2}$$
$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{r+2+2\sqrt{\mu_i}} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2n(r-2)}}{2}.$$
(8)

Further, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$LEL(S(G)) \le \sqrt{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(r+2+2\sqrt{\mu_i})} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2}$$
$$= (n-1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{2}{n-1}LEL(G) + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2}.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that the equality holds if and only if $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_{n-1}$, which, by Lemma 2.7, is equivalent to $G \cong K_n$. Hence, (i) follows.

We now prove (ii). Take $a_i = \sqrt{r+2+2\sqrt{\mu_i}}$ and $b_i = 1$, i = 1, 2, ..., n-1. Set $A = \sqrt{r+2+2\sqrt{2r}}$, $a = \sqrt{r+2}$, and B = b = 1. Clearly, $0 < a \le a_i \le A$ (as $0 \le \mu_{n-1} \le \cdots \le \mu_1 \le 2r$), and $0 < b \le b_i \le B$. Furthermore, we get

$$(AB - ab)^2 = \frac{8r}{(\sqrt{r+2+2\sqrt{2r}} + \sqrt{r+2})^2} < \frac{8r}{4(r+2)} < 2$$

Then by Lemma 2.5, it follows from (8) that

$$LEL(S(G)) \ge \sqrt{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(r+2+2\sqrt{\mu_i}) - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2(AB-ab)^2} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2} > (n-1)\sqrt{r+\frac{3}{2} + \frac{2}{n-1}LEL(G)} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2},$$

as desired, completing the proof.

Theorem 3.3, together with (5), would yield the next immediate corollary.

Corollary 3.4 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

(i) $LEL(S(G)) \le (n-1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{2(\sqrt{r+1}+\sqrt{(n-2)(nr-r-1)})}{n-1} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2n(r-2)}}{2}$, with the equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$; (ii) $LEL(S(G)) > (n-1)\sqrt{r+\frac{3}{2} + \frac{2nr}{(n-1)\sqrt{r+1}}} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2n(r-2)}}{2}$.

Remark 2 It was showed in [17] that if *G* is a regular graph of *n* vertices and of degree *r*, then

$$\frac{\sqrt{2n(r-2)}}{2} + n\sqrt{r+2} < LEL(\mathcal{S}(G)) \le (n-1)\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2(nr-2)}}{2},\tag{9}$$

the right equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_2$. Note that the lower and upper bounds in Corollary 3.2 are better than those in (9), respectively. Indeed, for the lower bound, since $\sqrt{\frac{r}{r+1}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ and n > n-1, we have

$$\sqrt{r+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{2nr}{(n-1)\sqrt{r+1}}} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2}$$
$$> (n-1)\sqrt{r+\frac{3}{2}+\sqrt{2r}} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2} > n\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2}.$$

For the upper bound, using (7) and the fact that $\frac{n}{n-1} \leq 2$, we obtain

$$(n-1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{2(\sqrt{r+1} + \sqrt{(n-2)(nr-r-1)})}{n-1} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2}$$
$$\leq (n-1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{2\sqrt{(n-1)nr}}{n-1} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2}$$
$$\leq (n-1)\sqrt{r+2} + 2\sqrt{2r} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}n(r-2)}{2}$$
$$= (n-1)\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}(nr-2)}{2}.$$

Next, we turn our attention to the case of para-line graphs. Notice that if G is r-regular, then $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is also *r*-regular, and hence it follows from Lemma 2.3 that the Laplacian eigenvalues of C(G) are r + 2 (with multiplicity n(r - 2)/2), r (with multiplicity n(r - 2)/2), and

$$\frac{r+2\pm\sqrt{(r+2)^2-4\mu_i}}{2} \quad (i=1,2,\ldots,n)$$

where $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_n$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of *G*. Using the same argument as the proof of Theorem 3.3, we may arrive at the following result.

Theorem 3.5 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

- (i) $LEL(\mathcal{C}(G)) \le (n-1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{2}{n-1}LEL(G) + (\frac{n(r-2)}{2} + 1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{r}$, with the equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$; (ii) $LEL(\mathcal{C}(G)) > (n-1)\sqrt{r+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{2}{n-1}LEL(G)} + (\frac{n(r-2)}{2}+1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{r}$.

Likewise, the next corollary follows evidently from Theorem 3.5 and (5).

Corollary 3.6 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

(i) $LEL(\mathcal{C}(G)) \le (n-1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{2(\sqrt{r+1}+\sqrt{(n-2)(nr-r-1)})}{n-1} + (\frac{n(r-2)}{2}+1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{r}$, with the equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$; (ii) $LEL(\mathcal{C}(G)) > \sqrt{r + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{2nr}{(n-1)\sqrt{r+1}}} + (\frac{n(r-2)}{2} + 1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{r}$.

We finally consider the case of total graphs.

Theorem 3.7 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

- (i) $LEL(\mathcal{T}(G)) \leq n\sqrt{r+2} + \sqrt{2(n-1)nr} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r+2}$, with the equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$;
- (ii) $LEL(\mathcal{T}(G)) > (n-1)\sqrt{4r+2} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r+2}$.

Proof Note first that $\mathcal{T}(G)$ is a regular graph of degree 2r with $\frac{n(r+2)}{2}$ vertices. Then from Lemma 2.4, it follows that $\mathcal{T}(G)$ has $\frac{n(r-2)}{2}$ Laplacian eigenvalues equal to 2r + 2 and the following 2n Laplacian eigenvalues:

$$\frac{r+2+2\mu_i\pm\sqrt{(r+2)^2-4\mu_i}}{2} \quad (i=1,2,\ldots,n),$$

where $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_n = 0$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of *G*. Then by (1) and some computation, we get

$$LEL(\mathcal{T}(G)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{r+2+2\mu_i+2\sqrt{\mu_i^2+(r+3)\mu_i}} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r+2}.$$
 (10)

On the other hand, by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i = nr$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i^2 = nr^2 + nr$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{r+2+2\mu_i+2\sqrt{\mu_i^2+(r+3)\mu_i}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(r+2+2\mu_i+2\sqrt{\mu_i^2+(r+3)\mu_i}\right)}$$

$$\leq (n-1)\sqrt{r+2+\frac{2nr}{n-1}+2\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\mu_i^2+(r+3)\mu_i\right)}}$$

$$= (n-1)\sqrt{r+2+\frac{2nr}{n-1}+2\sqrt{\frac{2nr}{n-1}(r+2)}}$$

$$= (n-1)\sqrt{r+2}+\sqrt{2(n-1)nr},$$

which, together with (10), yields the required upper bound. For the sharpness of this bound, it is not difficult to check that if $G \cong K_n$ then the equality holds. Conversely, we assume that the equality holds. Then the above two inequalities should be equalities. Thus, it follows from the second inequality that, for any $1 \le i, j \le n - 1$ and $i \ne j$, $\sqrt{\mu_i^2 + (r+3)\mu_i} = \sqrt{\mu_j^2 + (r+3)\mu_j}$, that is, $(\mu_i - \mu_j)(\mu_i + \mu_j + r + 3) = 0$, which implies that $\mu_i = \mu_j$. In other words, we have $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_{n-1}$. Note that in this case, the first inequality is also an equality. Eventually, by Lemma 2.7, we get $G \cong K_n$.

We next prove (ii). Since $\mu_i \ge 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, by (10) we get

$$LEL(\mathcal{T}(G)) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{r+2+2\mu_i+2\sqrt{(r+3)\mu_i}} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r+2}.$$
 (11)

We now take $a_i = \sqrt{r+2+2\mu_i+2\sqrt{(r+3)\mu_i}}$ and $b_i = 1$, i = 1, 2, ..., n-1. Set $A = \sqrt{5r+2+2\sqrt{2(r+3)r}}$, $a = \sqrt{r+2}$, and B = b = 1. It is easy to see that $0 < a \le a_i \le A$, and $0 < b \le b_i \le B$. Furthermore, if $r \ge 3$, then $A = \sqrt{5r+2+2\sqrt{2(r+3)r}} \le \sqrt{9r+2}$ and hence,

$$(AB - ab)^{2} \le (\sqrt{9r + 2} - \sqrt{r + 2})^{2} = \frac{(8r)^{2}}{10r + 4 + 2\sqrt{(9r + 2)(r + 2)}}$$
$$< \frac{64r^{2}}{16r} = 4r;$$

if r = 2, then by a direct calculation, we also obtain $(AB - ab)^2 < 4r$. Thus by Lemma 2.5 and (5), we get

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{r+2+2\mu_i+2\sqrt{(r+3)\mu_i}} \\ &\geq \sqrt{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(r+2+2\mu_i+2\sqrt{(r+3)\mu_i}\right) - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2 (AB-ab)^2} \\ &> (n-1)\sqrt{\frac{2nr}{n-1} + \frac{2\sqrt{r+3}}{n-1} LEL(G) + 2} \\ &> (n-1)\sqrt{\left(\sqrt{\frac{r+3}{r+1}} + 1\right)\frac{2nr}{n-1} + 2} \\ &> (n-1)\sqrt{4r+2}, \end{split}$$

which, together with (11), would yield the required lower bound.

This completes the proof.

Remark 3 It was proved in [17] that if *G* is a regular graph of *n* vertices and of degree *r*, then

$$LEL(\mathcal{T}(G)) > \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r+2} + n\sqrt{r+2}, \text{ and}$$
(12)

$$LEL(\mathcal{T}(G)) \le (n-1)\sqrt{3r} + \frac{(nr-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r+2} + \sqrt{r+2},$$
(13)

with the equality if and only if $G \cong K_2$. Obviously, the lower bound in Theorem 3.7 is better than that in (12). For the upper bound, it is easy to check that, for $n \ge 2$ and r > 1,

$$\sqrt{r+2} + \sqrt{\frac{2nr}{n-1}} \le \sqrt{r+2} + 2\sqrt{r} \le \sqrt{3r} + \sqrt{2r+2},$$

implying that

$$(n-1)\sqrt{r+2} + \sqrt{2(n-1)nr} \le (n-1)(\sqrt{3r} + \sqrt{2r+2}),$$

from which we would find that the upper bound in Theorem 3.7 is better than that in (13).

4 The incidence energy

In this section, we investigate *IE* of line graph, subdivision graph, para-line graph and total graph of a regular graph.

Theorem 4.1 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

(i) (see [11]) IE(L(G)) ≤ (n-1)√(3n-4/n-1)r-4 + √(4r-4) + (n(r-2)/2)√(2r-4)), with the equality if and only if G ≅ K_n;
(ii) IE(L(G)) > (n-1)√(5n-7)/(2n-2)r-4 + √(4r-4) + (n(r-2)/2)√(2r-4)).

Proof Suppose that $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of *G* and that $q_1 \ge q_2 \ge \cdots \ge q_n$ are the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of *G*. Noticing that *G* is *r*-regular, we have $q_i = r + \lambda_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is (2r - 2)-regular, from Lemma 2.1, it follows that the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ are $2r - 4 + q_1, 2r - 4 + q_2, \ldots, 2r - 4 + q_n$, and 2r - 4 (with multiplicity n(r-2)/2). Thus, from (2) and noting that $q_1 = 2r$, it follows that

$$IE(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{2r - 4 + q_i} + \sqrt{4r - 4} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r - 4}.$$
(14)

Remark that (i) has been proved in [11] by Gutman *et al.* Hence, we here only give a proof for (ii). Take $a_i = \sqrt{2r - 4 + q_i}$ and $b_i = 1$, i = 2, 3, ..., n. Set $A = \sqrt{4r - 4}$, $a = \sqrt{2r - 4}$, and B = b = 1. It is clear that $0 < a \le a_i \le A$ (as $0 \le q_n \le \cdots \le q_2 \le q_1 = 2r$), and $0 < b \le b_i \le B$. Furthermore, we have

$$(AB - ab)^2 = \frac{4r^2}{6r - 8 + 2\sqrt{(4r - 4)(2r - 4)}} < \frac{4r^2}{10r - 16} \le 2r.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.5 and the fact that $\sum_{i=2}^{n} q_i = (n-2)r$, it follows from (14) that

$$IE(\mathcal{L}(G)) \ge \sqrt{(n-1)\sum_{i=2}^{n}(2r-4+q_i) - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2(AB-ab)^2} + \sqrt{4r-4} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r-4} \\> (n-1)\sqrt{\frac{5n-7}{2n-2}r-4} + \sqrt{4r-4} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r-4},$$

as desired, completing the proof.

Remark 4 In [11], Gutman *et al.* gave the following lower bound:

$$IE(\mathcal{L}(G)) > \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r-4} + \sqrt{4r-4} + (n-1)\sqrt{2r-4}.$$
(15)

Obviously, the lower bound in Theorem 4.1 is better than that in (15), since $\frac{5n-7}{2n-2} > 2$ always holds for $n \ge 4$.

Since the subdivision graph S(G) of any graph G is bipartite, by Theorem 1.1 we have IE(S(G)) = LEL(S(G)). Consequently, the next theorem is obvious.

Theorem 4.2 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

- (i) $IE(\mathcal{S}(G)) \le (n-1)\sqrt{r+2} + \frac{2(\sqrt{r+1}+\sqrt{(n-2)(nr-r-1)})}{n-1} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2n(r-2)}}{2}$, with the equality
- *if and only if* $G \cong K_n$; (ii) $IE(\mathcal{S}(G)) > (n-1)\sqrt{r + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{2nr}{(n-1)\sqrt{r+1}}} + \sqrt{r+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2n(r-2)}}{2}$.

Theorem 4.3 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

- (i) $IE(\mathcal{C}(G)) \le (n-1)\sqrt{3r-2+2\sqrt{2r^2-\frac{nr}{n-1}}} + \sqrt{2r} + \sqrt{r-2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}(\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{r-2}), with$ the equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$; (ii) $IE(\mathcal{C}(G)) > (n-1)\sqrt{3r-2-\frac{1}{4r}+2\sqrt{2r^2-\frac{nr}{n-1}-\frac{1}{4}}} + \sqrt{2r} + \sqrt{r-2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}(\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{r-2})$

Proof Since $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is a regular graph of degree *r*, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ are r-2 (with multiplicity n(r-2)/2), r (with multiplicity n(r-2)/2), and

$$\frac{3r-2\pm\sqrt{(r-2)^2+4q_i}}{2} \quad (i=1,2,\ldots,n),$$

where $2r = q_1 \ge q_2 \ge \cdots \ge q_n$ are the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of *G*. Now, by (2) and a little calculation, we get

$$IE(\mathcal{C}(G)) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2(r-1)r + q_i}} + \sqrt{2r} + \sqrt{r-2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}(\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{r-2}).$$
(16)

On the other hand, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that $\sum_{i=2}^{n} q_i = (n-2)r$, we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2(r-1)r + q_i}} \le \sqrt{(n-1)\sum_{i=2}^{n} (3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2(r-1)r + q_i})}$$
$$\le (n-1)\sqrt{3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=2}^{n} (2(r-1)r + q_i)}}$$
$$= (n-1)\sqrt{3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2r^2 - \frac{nr}{n-1}}},$$

which, together with (16), yields the desired upper bound. Moreover, it is easily seen that the equality holds if and only if $q_1 = 2r$ and $q_2 = q_3 = \cdots = q_n$, which, in turn, implies that $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_{n-1}$ (since *G* is *r*-regular and hence $\mu_i = 2r - q_{n-i+1}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n). Thus, by Lemma 2.7, we have $G \cong K_n$. Hence, (i) follows.

We next prove (ii). Take $a_i = \sqrt{3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2(r-1)r + q_i}}$ and $b_i = 1, i = 2, 3, ..., n$. Set A = 1 $\sqrt{(3+2\sqrt{2})r-2}$, $a = \sqrt{(3+2\sqrt{2})r-(2\sqrt{2}+2)}$, and B = b = 1. Clearly, $0 < a \le a_i \le A$ and $0 < b \le b_i \le B$. Furthermore, we get

$$(AB - ab)^{2} = \frac{8}{(\sqrt{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})r - 2} + \sqrt{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})r - (2\sqrt{2} + 2)})^{2}}$$
$$< \frac{2}{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})r - (2\sqrt{2} + 2)} < \frac{1}{r}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.5, it follows from (16) that

$$IE(\mathcal{C}(G)) \ge \sqrt{(n-1)\sum_{i=2}^{n} (3r-2+2\sqrt{2(r-1)r+q_i}) - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2 (AB-ab)^2} + \sqrt{2r} + \sqrt{r-2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}(\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{r-2}) > (n-1)\sqrt{3r-2 - \frac{1}{4r} + \frac{2}{n-1}\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{2(r-1)r+q_i}} + \sqrt{2r} + \sqrt{r-2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}(\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{r-2}).$$
(17)

Now, take $a_i = \sqrt{2(r-1)r + q_i}$ and $b_i = 1$, i = 2, 3, ..., n, and set $A = \sqrt{2}r$, $a = \sqrt{2(r-1)r}$, and B = b = 1. It is easy to check that $0 < a \le a_i \le A$, $0 < b \le b_i \le B$, and $(AB - ab)^2 < 1$. Thus, recalling that $\sum_{i=2}^{n} q_i = (n-2)r$, and again by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{2(r-1)r+q_i} \ge (n-1)\sqrt{2r^2 - \frac{nr}{n-1} - \frac{1}{4}},$$

which, together with (17), yields the required result.

The proof is completed.

Theorem 4.4 Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. Then

- (i) $IE(\mathcal{T}(G)) \le n\sqrt{3r-2} + \sqrt{2(n-1)(n-2)r} + \sqrt{4r} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r-2}$, with the equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$;
- (ii) $IE(\mathcal{T}(G)) > (n-1)\sqrt{5r-2+2\sqrt{2}(r-1)} + \sqrt{4r} + \sqrt{3r-2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r-2}.$

Proof Since $\mathcal{T}(G)$ is a regular graph of degree 2r, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $\mathcal{T}(G)$ are 2r - 2 (with multiplicity n(r - 2)/2), and

$$\frac{3r-2+2q_i\pm\sqrt{(r-2)^2+4q_i}}{2} \quad (i=1,2,\ldots,n),$$

where $2r = q_1 \ge q_2 \ge \cdots \ge q_n \ge 0$ are the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of *G*. Thus, from (2) and by a little computation, we have

$$IE(\mathcal{T}(G)) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{3r - 2 + 2q_i + 2\sqrt{q_i^2 + 3(r-1)q_i + 2(r-1)r}} + \sqrt{4r} + \sqrt{3r - 2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r-2}.$$
(18)

On the other hand, by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that $\sum_{i=2}^{n} q_i = (n-2)r$ and $\sum_{i=2}^{n} q_i^2 = (n-4)r^2 + nr$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{3r - 2 + 2q_i + 2\sqrt{q_i^2 + 3(r-1)q_i + 2(r-1)r}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{(n-1)\sum_{i=2}^{n} \left(3r - 2 + 2q_i + 2\sqrt{q_i^2 + 3(r-1)q_i + 2(r-1)r}\right)} \\ &\leq (n-1)\sqrt{3r - 2 + \frac{2(n-2)r}{n-1} + 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=2}^{n} \left(q_i^2 + 3(r-1)q_i + 2(r-1)r\right)}} \\ &= (n-1)\sqrt{3r - 2 + \frac{2(n-2)r}{n-1} + 2\sqrt{\frac{2(n-2)r}{n-1}(3r-2)}} \\ &= (n-1)\left(\sqrt{3r-2} + \sqrt{\frac{2(n-2)r}{n-1}}\right), \end{split}$$

which, together with (18), would yield the desired upper bound. For the sharpness of this bound, it is easy to check that if $G \cong K_n$ then the equality holds. Conversely, we assume that the equality holds. Then the above two inequalities should be equalities. Thus, it follows from the second inequality that, for any $2 \le i, j \le n$ and $i \ne j, \sqrt{q_i^2 + 3(r-1)q_i + 2(r-1)r} = \sqrt{q_j^2 + 3(r-1)q_j + 2(r-1)r}$, that is, $(q_i - q_j)[q_i + q_j + 3(r-1)] = 0$, which implies that $q_i = q_j$. In other words, we have $q_2 = q_3 = \cdots = q_n$. Note also that in this case, the first inequality is also an equality. Now, using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we eventually arrive at $G \cong K_n$. Hence, (i) follows.

We next prove (ii). From (18), we have

$$IE(\mathcal{T}(G)) > \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{3r - 2 + 2q_i + 2\sqrt{q_i^2 + 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)q_i} + (\sqrt{2}(r-1))^2} + \sqrt{4r} + \sqrt{3r-2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r-2}$$
$$= \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2}(r-1) + 4q_i} + \sqrt{4r} + \sqrt{3r-2} + \frac{n(r-2)}{2}\sqrt{2r-2}.$$
(19)

Now take $a_i = \sqrt{3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2}(r-1) + 4q_i}$ and $b_i = 1$, i = 2, 3, ..., n. Set $A = \sqrt{11r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)}$, $a = \sqrt{3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)}$, and B = b = 1. Evidently, $0 < a \le a_i \le A$ (as $0 \le q_n \le \cdots \le q_2 \le q_1 = 2r$), and $0 < b \le b_i \le B$. Furthermore, we get

$$(AB-ab)^2 < \frac{(8r)^2}{14r-4+4\sqrt{2}(r-1)+2\sqrt{(12r-2)(4r-2)}} < \frac{64r^2}{24r} = \frac{8}{3}r.$$

Thus, noting that $\sum_{i=2}^{n} q_i = (n-2)r$ and from Lemma 2.5, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sqrt{3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2}(r - 1) + 4q_i}$$

$$\geq \sqrt{(n - 1)\sum_{i=2}^{n} (3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2}(r - 1) + 4q_i) - \frac{1}{4}(n - 1)^2(AB - ab)^2}$$

$$> (n - 1)\sqrt{3r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2}(r - 1) + \frac{4(n - 2)}{n - 1}r - \frac{2}{3}r}$$

$$> (n - 1)\sqrt{5r - 2 + 2\sqrt{2}(r - 1)} \quad \text{(for } n \ge 4\text{),}$$

which, together with (19), yields the desired lower bound.

This completes the proof.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their helpful comments and suggestions toward improving the original version of this paper. This work was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11501133, 11571101, 11461004), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2015M572252), Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (No. 2014GXNSFBA118008) and the Scientific Research Fund of Guangxi Provincial Education Department (No. YB2014007).

Received: 20 September 2015 Accepted: 1 February 2016 Published online: 11 February 2016

References

- 1. Gutman, I: The energy of a graph. Ber. Math. Statist. Sekt. Forschungsz. Graz. 103, 1-22 (1978)
- 2. Nikiforov, V: The energy of graphs and matrices. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326, 1472-1475 (2007)
- 3. Li, X, Shi, Y, Gutman, I: Graph Energy. Springer, New York (2012)
- 4. Liu, J, Liu, B: A Laplacian-energy-like invariant of a graph. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 59, 397-419 (2008)
- 5. Gutman, I, Zhou, B, Furtula, B: The Laplacian-energy-like invariant is an energy like invariant. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **64**, 85-96 (2010)
- Stevanović, D, Ilić, A, Onisor, C, Diudea, M: LEL a newly designed molecular descriptor. Acta Chim. Slov. 56, 410-417 (2009)
- Liu, B, Huang, Y, You, Z: A survey on the Laplacian-energy-like invariant. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 66, 713-730 (2011)
- 8. Stevanović, D, de Abreu, NMM, de Freitas, MAA, Vinagre, C, Vecchio, RD: On the oriented incidence energy and decomposable graphs. Filomat 23, 243-249 (2009)
- 9. Jooyandeh, MR, Kiani, D, Mirzakhah, M: Incidence energy of a graph. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 62, 561-572 (2009)
- 10. Akbari, S, Ghorbani, E, Koolen, JH, Oboudi, MR: A relation between the Laplacian and signless Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph. J. Algebraic Comb. 32, 459-464 (2010)
- Gutman, I, Kiani, D, Mirzakhah, M: On incidence energy of graphs. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 62, 573-580 (2009)
- 12. Gutman, I, Kiani, D, Mirzakhah, M, Zhou, B: On incidence energy of a graph. Linear Algebra Appl. 431, 1223-1233 (2009)
- 13. Zhou, B: More upper bounds for the incidence energy. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 64, 123-128 (2010)
- 14. Ramane, HS, Walikar, HB, Rao, SB, Acharya, BD, Hampiholi, PR, Jog, SR, Gutman, I: Spectra and energies of iterated line graphs of regular graphs. Appl. Math. Lett. 18, 679-682 (2005)
- Gao, X, Liu, Y, Liu, W: Kirchhoff index in line, subdivision and total graphs of a regular graph. Discrete Appl. Math. 160, 560-565 (2012)
- 16. Yan, W, Yeh, Y, Zhang, F: The asymptotic behavior of some indices of iterated line graphs of regular graphs. Discrete Appl. Math. 160, 1232-1239 (2012)
- 17. Wang, W, Luo, Y: On Laplacian-energy-like invariant of a graph. Linear Algebra Appl. 437, 713-721 (2012)
- Cvetković, D, Rowlinson, P, Simić, S: An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
- 19. Kelmans, AK: Properties of the characteristic polynomial of a graph. In: Kibernetiku Na Sluzbu Kommunizmu, vol. 4, pp. 27-41. Gosenergoizdat, Moscow (1967) (in Russian)

- 20. Shirai, T: The spectrum of infinite regular line graphs. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 352, 115-132 (1999)
- 21. Zhang, F, Chen, Y, Chen, Z: Clique-inserted graphs and spectral dynamics of clique-inserting. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 157, 211-225 (2009)
- 22. Ozeki, N: On the estimation of the inequality by the maximum. J. Coll. Arts Sci., Chiba Univ. A. 5, 199-203 (1968)
- 23. Das, KC: A sharp upper bound for the number of spanning trees of a graph. Graphs Comb. 23, 625-632 (2007)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[⊗] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com