## RESEARCH Open Access



# Some new estimates of the 'Jensen gap'

Shoshana Abramovich<sup>1</sup> and Lars-Erik Persson<sup>2,3\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: larserik@ltu.se <sup>2</sup>Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Luleå University of Thechnology, Luleå, 971 87, Sweden <sup>3</sup>UiT The Arctic University of Norway, P.O. Box 385, Narvik, 8505, Norway Full list of author information is

available at the end of the article

#### **Abstract**

Let  $(\mu, \Omega)$  be a probability measure space. We consider the so-called 'Jensen gap'

$$J(\varphi, \mu, f) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(f(s)) d\mu(s) - \varphi\left(\int_{\Omega} f(s) d\mu(s)\right)$$

for some classes of functions  $\varphi$ . Several new estimates and equalities are derived and compared with other results of this type. Especially the case when  $\varphi$  has a Taylor expansion is treated and the corresponding discrete results are pointed out.

MSC: 26D10; 26D15; 26B25

**Keywords:** Jensen's inequality; convex function;  $\gamma$ -superconvex functions; superquadratic functions; Taylor expansion

#### 1 Introduction

Let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a probability measure space *i.e.*  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$  and let f be a  $\mu$ -measurable function on  $\Omega$ . If  $\varphi$  is convex, then Jensen's inequality

$$\varphi\left(\int_{\Omega} f(s) \, d\mu(s)\right) \le \int_{\Omega} \varphi(f(s)) \, d\mu(s) \tag{1.1}$$

holds. This inequality can be traced back to Jensen's original papers [1, 2] and is one of the most fundamental mathematical inequalities. One reason for that is that in fact a great number of classical inequalities can be derived from (1.1), see *e.g.* [3] and the references given therein. The inequality (1.1) cannot in general be improved since we have equality in (1.1) when  $\varphi(x) \equiv x$ . However, for special cases of functions (1.1) can be given in a more specific form *e.g.* by giving lower estimates of the so-called 'Jensen gap'

$$J(\varphi,\mu,f) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(f(s)) d\mu(s) - \varphi\left(\int_{\Omega} f(s) d\mu(s)\right),$$

thus obtaining refined versions of (1.1).

We give a few examples of such results.

**Example 1** (see [4]) Let  $\varphi$  be a superquadratic function *i.e.*  $\varphi$  :  $[0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is such that there exists a constant C(x),  $x \ge 0$ , such that

$$\varphi(y) \ge \varphi(x) + C(x)(y-x) + \varphi(|y-x|)$$



for  $y \ge 0$ . For such functions we have the following estimate of the Jensen gap:

$$J(\varphi,\mu,f) \ge \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(\left|f(s) - \int_{\Omega} f(s) \, d\mu(s)\right|\right) d\mu(s).$$

**Example 2** (see [5] and [6]) We say that a function K(x) in  $\gamma$ -superconvex if  $\varphi(x) := x^{-\gamma}K(x)$  is convex. If  $\varphi$  is a differentiable convex, increasing function and  $\varphi(0) = \lim_{z \to 0+} z\varphi'(z) = 0$ , then we have the following estimate of the Jensen gap:

$$J(K,\mu,f) \geq \varphi(z) \int_{\Omega} \left( \left( f(s) \right)^{\gamma} - z^{\gamma} \right) d\mu(s) + \varphi'(z) \int_{\Omega} \left( f(s) \right)^{\gamma} \left( f(s) - z \right) d\mu(s) \geq 0,$$

for  $z = \int_{\Omega} f(s) d\mu(s) > 0$  and  $f \ge 0$ ,  $f^{\gamma}$  when  $\gamma \ge 0$  are integrable functions on the probability measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$ .

**Remark 1** By using the results in Examples 1 and 2 it is possible to derive Hardy-type inequalities with other 'breaking points' (the point where the inequality reverses) than the usual breaking point p = 1. See [5, 7, 8] and [9].

**Remark 2** In the recent paper [6] it was proved that the notion of  $\gamma$ -superconvexity has sense also for the case  $-1 \le \gamma \le 0$  and in fact this was used even to derive there some new two-sided Jensen type inequalities.

**Example 3** (see [10]) In his paper Walker studied the Jensen gap for the special case  $f \equiv 1$  *i.e.* for  $J(\varphi, \mu) := J(\varphi, \mu, 1)$  and found an estimate of the type

$$J(\varphi,\mu) \ge \frac{1}{2}C(\varphi,\mu)\left(\int_{\Omega} s^2 d\mu(s) - \left(\int_{\Omega} s d\mu(s)\right)^2\right),\,$$

where the positive constant  $C = C(\varphi, \mu)$  is easily computed.

In his paper it was assumed that  $\varphi$  admits a Taylor power series representation  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ ,  $a_n \ge 0$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ ,  $0 < x \le A < \infty$ . In another recent paper Dragomir [11] derived some other Jensen integral inequalities for this power series case. A comparison between these two results and our results is given in our concluding remarks.

Inspired by these results, we derive some new results of the same type. In Theorem 1 we get an estimate like that of Walker in [10] but for the general case of  $J(\varphi, \mu, f)$ . In Theorem 2 we prove another complement of the Walker result by considering the Jensen functional

$$J_{\alpha}(t^{\alpha},\mu) = \int_{\Omega} y^{\alpha} d\mu(y) - \left(\int_{\Omega} y d\mu(y)\right)^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \geq 2,$$

and get an estimate for this Jensen gap which even reduces to equality for  $\alpha = N$ , N = 2,3,... By using this result it is possible to derive a similar equality for the Jensen gap whenever it can be represented by a Taylor power series (see Theorem 3).

In Section 3 we show that our lower bound of the Jensen gap is better than the lower bound in [11] when the function that we deal with has a Taylor series expansion with nonnegative coefficients. Moreover, we prove that by our technique we can in such cases derive also upper bounds and not only lower bounds as in [10].

### 2 The main results

Our first main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 1** Let  $\phi: [0,A) \to \mathbb{R}$  have a Taylor power series representation on [0,A),  $0 < A \le \infty$ :  $\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a convex increasing function on [0,A) that is related to  $\varphi$  by

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(0)}{x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} x^n.$$

(a) If  $f \ge 0$  and f,  $f^2$ , and  $\phi \circ f$  are integrable functions on  $\Omega$ ,  $z = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu > 0$ , where  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $\Omega$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi(f) \, d\mu - \phi(z) \ge \left(\frac{\phi(z) - \phi(0)}{z}\right)' \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2 \, d\mu - z^2\right) \ge 0.$$

In other words,

$$J(\phi, \mu, f) = \int_{\Omega} \phi(f) d\mu - \phi(z)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} \int_{\Omega} f^{n+1} d\mu - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} z^{n+1}$$

$$\geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+2} z^{n} \left( \int_{\Omega} f^{2} d\mu - z^{2} \right) \geq 0.$$

(b) For 
$$\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i$$
,  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = 1$ ,  $0 \le \alpha_i \le 1$ ,  $0 \le x_i < A$ ,  $i = 1, ..., m$ , it yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \phi(x_i) - \phi(\overline{x}) \geq \left(\frac{\phi(\overline{x}) - \phi(0)}{\overline{x}}\right)' \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i^2 - \overline{x}^2\right) \geq 0.$$

In other words,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i a_{n+1} x_i^{n+1} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} \overline{x}^{n+1} \ge \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+2} \overline{x}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i^2 - \overline{x}^2 \right) \ge 0.$$

*Proof* For  $\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ ,  $0 \le x < A$ , by denoting the function  $\psi : [0, A) \to \mathbb{R}_+$   $\psi(x) = \phi(x) - \phi(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} x^{n+1}$ ,  $0 \le x < A$ , and  $\varphi(x) = \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \Leftrightarrow x \varphi(x) = \psi(x)$ ,  $0 \le x < A$ , we see that  $\psi(x)$  is 1-quasiconvex function (see [6]),  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} x^n$ ,  $0 \le x < A$ , and  $\varphi'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+2} x^n$ .

The functions  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\varphi'$  are differentiable functions on [0,A). From the convexity of  $\varphi(x)$  we have

$$\varphi(y) - \varphi(x) > \varphi'(x)(y - x), \quad x, y \in [0, A),$$

and, therefore,

$$\psi(y) - \psi(x) = y\varphi(y) - x\varphi(x) > \varphi(x)(y - x) + \varphi'(x)y(y - x), \quad x, y > 0.$$

Since  $\psi(x) = \phi(x) - \phi(0)$  we get

$$\phi(y) - \phi(x) = \psi(y) - \psi(x) > \varphi(x)(y - x) + \varphi'(x)y(y - x).$$

Now using this inequality with x = z, y = f, and integrating, we find that

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi(f) d\mu - \phi(z)$$

$$\geq \varphi(z) \left( \int_{\Omega} f d\mu - \int_{\Omega} z d\mu \right) + \varphi'(z) \left( \int_{\Omega} f^{2} d\mu - z^{2} \right)$$

$$= 0 + \left( \frac{\phi(z) - \phi(0)}{z} \right)' \left( \int_{\Omega} f^{2} d\mu - z^{2} \right) \geq 0.$$

In the last inequality we have used  $z = \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu > 0$  and  $\varphi$  being convex increasing, where  $\varphi(z) = \frac{\varphi(z) - \varphi(0)}{z}$ .

Hence (a) is proved and since (b) is just a special case of (a), the proof is complete.

For the proof of our next main result we need the following lemma, which is also of independent interest.

**Lemma 1** Let  $\varphi$  be a differentiable function on  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $x, y \subseteq I$ . Then, for  $N = 2, 3, \ldots$ ,

$$\varphi(x)(y^{N-1} - x^{N-1}) + \varphi'(x)y^{N-1}(y - x)$$

$$= (x^{N-1}\varphi(x))'(y - x) + (y - x)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} y^{k-1} (x^{N-k-1}\varphi(x))'.$$
(2.1)

In particular, for N = 2 we have

$$\varphi(x)(y-x) + \varphi'(x)y(y-x) = (x\varphi(x))'(y-x) + \varphi'(x)(y-x)^{2}. \tag{2.2}$$

*Proof* A simple calculation shows that (2.2) holds, *i.e.*, that (2.1) holds for N = 2. For N = 3 (2.1) reads

$$\varphi(x)(y^2 - x^2) + \varphi'(x)y^2(y - x) = (x^2\varphi(x))'(y - x) + (y - x)^2((x\varphi(x))' + y\varphi'(x)). \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, it is easy to verify the identity

$$\varphi(x)(y^2 - x^2) + \varphi'(x)y^2(y - x) = \varphi'(x)y(y - x)^2 + x\varphi(x)(y - x) + (x\varphi(x))'y(y - x). \tag{2.4}$$

By using (2.4) together with (2.2) and making some straightforward calculations we obtain (2.3). The general proof follows in the same way using induction and the more general (than (2.4)) identity

$$\varphi(x)(y^{N-1} - x^{N-1}) + \varphi'(x)y^{N-1}(y - x)$$

$$- [(x\varphi(x))(y^{N-2} - x^{N-2}) + (x\varphi(x))'y^{N-2}(y - x)]$$

$$= \varphi'(x)y^{N-2}(y - x)^{2}, \quad N = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

Now we are ready to state our next main result.

**Theorem 2** Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\Omega = (0, \infty)$ ,  $z = \int_{\Omega} y \, d\mu(y) > 0$ , and  $N = 2, 3, \dots$  Then the refined Jensen-type inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} y^{\alpha} d\mu(y) - z^{\alpha} \ge \int_{\Omega} (y - z)^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (\alpha - k) x^{k-1} z^{\alpha - k - 1} d\mu, \quad y \ge 0,$$
(2.5)

holds for any  $\alpha \geq N$ . Moreover, for  $N-1 < \alpha \leq N$  (2.5) holds in the reversed direction. In particular, for  $\alpha = N$  we have equality in (2.5).

*Proof* A convex differentiable function on  $\varphi(x)$  is characterized by

$$\varphi(y) - \varphi(x) > \varphi'(x)(y - x)$$

and this inequality holds in the reversed direction if  $\varphi(x)$  is concave. For  $\varphi(x) = x$  we have equality. Therefore, when  $\varphi(x)$  is convex it yields

$$\varphi(y)y^{N-1} - \varphi(x)x^{N-1} \ge \varphi(x)(y^{N-1} - x^{N-1}) + \varphi'(x)y^{N-1}(y - x), \quad x, y \ge 0.$$

Hence in view of Lemma 1 we find that

$$\varphi(y)y^{N-1} - \varphi(x)x^{N-1} \ge \left(x^{N-1}\varphi(x)\right)'(y-x) + (y-x)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} y^{k-1} \left(x^{N-k-1}\varphi(x)\right)'.$$

By using this inequality with the convex function  $\varphi(x) = x^{\alpha - N + 1}$ , x > 0,  $\alpha > N$ , we obtain

$$y^{\alpha} - x^{\alpha} \ge \alpha x^{\alpha-1} (y-x) + (y-x)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (\alpha-k) y^{k-1} x^{\alpha-k-1}.$$

By now choosing x=z, integrating over  $\Omega$ , and using the fact that  $\int_{\Omega} (y-z) \, d\mu(y) = 0$  we obtain (2.5). For the reversed inequality we use the concave function  $\varphi(x) = x^{\alpha-N+1}$ ,  $(N-1) < \alpha \le N$ , and all inequalities above reverse. For  $\alpha = N$  we get an equality, so the proof is complete.

**Corollary 1** *Let*  $x_i \ge 0$ ,  $\alpha_i \ge 0$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m,  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = 1$ , and  $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i$ . Then, for N = 2, 3, ...,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} x_{i}^{\alpha} - \overline{x}^{\alpha} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (\alpha - k) x_{i}^{k-1} \overline{x}^{\alpha - k - 1}$$
(2.6)

holds for any  $\alpha \geq N$ . Moreover, for  $N-1 < \alpha \leq 1$  (2.6) holds in the reversed direction. In particular, for  $\alpha = N$ , (2.6) reduces to an equality.

Our final main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 3** Let  $0 < A \le \infty$  and let  $\phi : (0,A] \to \mathbb{R}$  have a Taylor expansion  $\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , on (0,A]. If  $\mu$  is a probability measure on (0,A] and  $z = \int_0^A x \, d\mu(x) > 0$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi(x) \, d\mu - \phi(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \int_0^A (x - z)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n - k) x^{k-1} z^{n-k-1} \, d\mu. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof We note that

$$\int_0^A \phi(x) d\mu - \phi(z) = \int_0^A \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n (x^n - z^n) d\mu = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \int_0^A (x^n - z^n) d\mu.$$

Obviously,  $\int_0^A (x^n - z^n) d\mu = 0$ , for n = 0, 1, and hence (2.7) follows from the equality cases in (2.5) in Theorem 2, *i.e.* when  $\alpha = N = 2, 3, ...$ 

The proof is complete. 
$$\Box$$

**Corollary 2** Let  $0 < A \le \infty$  and let  $\phi : [0,A)$  have a Taylor expansion  $\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , on [0,A). If  $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = 1$ ,  $0 \le \alpha_i \le 1$ ,  $0 \le x_i \le A$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m, then

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \phi(x_i) - \phi(\overline{x}) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i^2 - \overline{x}^2 \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k) x^{k-1} \overline{x}^{n-k-1}.$$

**Corollary 3** Let  $0 < a < b < \infty$ , and  $\mu$  be a probability measure on (a,b). Then we have the following estimate of the Jensen gap  $J_N := \int_a^b x^N d\mu - (\int_a^b x d\mu)^N$ , N = 2, 3, ...:

$$\frac{N(N-1)}{2}a^{N-2}J_2 \le J_N \le \frac{N(N-1)}{2}b^{N-2}J_2. \tag{2.8}$$

*Proof* We use Theorem 2 with  $\alpha = N$  and find that

$$J_N = \int_a^b (x-z)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (N-k) x^{k-1} z^{N-k-1} d\mu.$$

We note that if a < x < b, then a < z < b so that  $a^{N-2} \le x^{k-1} z^{N-k-1} \le b^{N-2}$ . Moreover,  $\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (N-k) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  and

$$\int_{a}^{b} (x-z)^{2} d\mu = \int_{a}^{b} x^{2} d\mu - \left(\int_{a}^{b} x d\mu\right)^{2} = J_{2},$$

so 
$$(2.8)$$
 is proved.

**Remark 3** For the case N=2 both inequalities in (2.8) reduce to equalities. Moreover, for the discrete case we have: If  $0 < a < x_i < b$ ,  $\alpha_i \ge 0$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,m$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1$ ,  $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i$ , then, for  $N=2,3,\ldots$ ,

$$\frac{N(N-1)}{2}a^{N-2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}a_{i}x_{i}^{2}-\overline{x}^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m}a_{i}x_{i}^{N}-\overline{x}^{N}\leq \frac{N(N-1)}{2}b^{N-2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}a_{i}x_{i}^{2}-\overline{x}^{2}\right).$$
(2.9)

### 3 Final remarks and examples

In this section we present some recent interesting results of Dragomir [11] and Walker [10]. Moreover, we point out the corresponding special cases of our results and compare these results with those of [11] and [10].

**Example 4** In Dragomir's paper [11], Theorem 2, it was proved that for

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n, \quad a_n \ge 0,$$
 (3.1)

which converges on  $0 < x < R \le \infty$ , the following lower bound of the Jensen gap holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi \circ f \, d\mu - \phi \left( \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu \right) \\
\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{\Omega} f^2 \, d\mu - \left( \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu \right)^2 \right] \frac{\phi'(\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu) - \phi'(0)}{\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu}, \tag{3.2}$$

when  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is a probability measure space,  $f \ge 0$ , and f,  $f^2$ , and  $\phi \circ f$  are integrable on  $\Omega$  and  $\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu > 0$ .

**Example 5** In Theorem 1 we proved that for convex increasing functions we get the inequalities

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi \circ f \, d\mu - \phi \left( \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu \right) \\
\geq \left[ \int_{\Omega} f^2 \, d\mu - \left( \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu \right)^2 \right] \left( \frac{\phi \left( \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu \right) - \phi(0)}{\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu} \right)' \geq 0.$$
(3.3)

A function that satisfies (3.1) is convex increasing and therefore Theorem 1 holds, which means that we get the inequalities in (3.3).

**Remark 4** It is easily computed that when  $\phi$  is of the form (3.1), then

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\phi'(\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu) - \phi'(0)}{\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu} \le \left(\frac{\phi(\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu) - \phi(0)}{\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu}\right)' \tag{3.4}$$

holds, and from this we conclude that our bound in (3.3), when (3.1) is satisfied, is stronger than Dragomir's (3.2). Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\phi'(z)-\phi'(0)}{z}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2}(n+2)a_{n+2}z^n$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\phi(\int_{\Omega} f d\mu) - \phi(0)}{\int_{\Omega} f d\mu}\right)' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)a_{n+2}z^n,$$

and our claim is obvious.

**Example 6** In Theorem 3.1 in Walker's paper [10], a lower bound for the Jensen gap is given for a function  $\phi$  that satisfies (3.1):

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi(s) d\mu(s) - \phi\left(\int_{\Omega} d\mu(s)\right) \ge \mu(1, R)\tau \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n n(n-1)$$

where

$$\tau = \int_{\Omega} s^2 d\mu_2(s) - \left(\int_{\Omega} s d\mu_2(s)\right)^2$$

when  $\mu$  is a probability measure defined on  $\Omega = (0, R)$  and  $\mu_2$  is  $\mu$  restricted and normalized to (1, R).

More generally, in Section 4 in [10],  $\mu(1,R)$  was replaced by  $\mu(a,R)$  and we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi(s) d\mu(s) - \phi\left(\int_{\Omega} d\mu(s)\right) \ge \mu(a, R) \tau \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a^n a_n n(n-1), \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\tau = \int_{\Omega} s^2 d\mu_a(s) - \left(\int_{\Omega} s d\mu_a(s)\right)^2,$$

when  $\mu_a$  is  $\mu$  restricted and normalized to  $\Omega = (a, R)$ .

From Corollary 3 and Remark 3 we easily get the following.

**Example 7** Let  $0 < A \le \infty$  and let  $\phi : (0,A] \to \mathbb{R}$  have Taylor expansion  $\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ ,  $a_n \ge 0$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ , on (0,A]. If  $\mu$  is a probability measure on (0,A],  $0 \le a < b \le A$ , and  $z = \int_0^A x \, d\mu(x) > 0$ , then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \frac{n(n-1)}{2} a^{n-2} J_2 \le J(\phi, \mu) \le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \frac{n(n-1)}{2} b^{n-2} J_2.$$
(3.6)

Moreover, for the discrete case we have: If  $0 < a < x_i < b$ ,  $\alpha_i \ge 0$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m,  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 1$ ,  $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i$ , then, for n = 2, 3, ...,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \frac{n(n-1)}{2} a^{n-2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i^2 - \overline{x}^2 \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i (\phi(x_i) - \phi(\overline{x})) \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \frac{n(n-1)}{2} b^{n-2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i^2 - \overline{x}^2 \right).$$

**Remark 5** The lower bound in (3.5) coincides with that in (3.6) when a = 1. The lower bound in (3.6) is better than that in (3.5) when a < 1, but Walker's bound (3.5) is better than (3.6) for a > 1. It seems not to be possible to derive an upper bound like that in (3.5) by using the method in [10].

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

The authors have on equal levels discussed, posed research questions, formulated theorems, and made proofs in this paper. Both authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel. <sup>2</sup>Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Luleå University of Thechnology, Luleå, 971 87, Sweden. <sup>3</sup>UiT The Arctic University of Norway, P.O. Box 385, Narvik, 8505, Norway.

Received: 19 September 2015 Accepted: 19 January 2016 Published online: 01 February 2016

#### References

- 1. Jensen, JLWV: Om konvexe Funktioner og Uligeder mellem Middelvaerdier. Nyt Tidsskr. Math. **16B**, 49-69 (1905) (in Danish)
- 2. Jensen, JLWV: Sur les fonctions convexes et les inegalités entre les moyennes. Acta Math. **30**, 175-193 (1906) (in French)
- 3. Persson, L-E, Samko, N: Inequalities and Convexity, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 242, 29 pp. Birkhäuser, Basel (2014)
- 4. Abramovich, S, Jameson, G, Sinnamon, G: Refining of Jensen's inequality. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum. 47, 3-14 (2004)
- 5. Abramovich, S, Persson, L-E: Some new scales of refined Hardy type inequalities via functions related to superquadracity. Math. Inequal. Appl. 16, 679-695 (2013)
- 6. Abramovich, S, Persson, L-E, Samko, N: On  $\gamma$ -quasiconvexity, superquadracity and two sided reversed Jensen type inequalities. Math. Inequal. Appl. **18**(2), 615-627 (2015)
- 7. Oguntuase, J, Persson, L-E: Refinement of Hardy's inequalities via superquadratic and subquadratic functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **339**, 1305-14012 (2008)
- 8. Abramovich, S, Persson, L-E: Some new refined Hardy type inequalities with breaking points p=2 and p=3. In: Proceedings of the IWOTA 2011, vol. 236, pp. 1-10. Birkhäuser, Basel (2014)
- 9. Abramovich, S, Persson, L-E, Samko, N: Some new scales of refined Jensen and Hardy type inequalities. Math. Inequal. Appl. 17, 1105-1114 (2014)
- 10. Walker, SG: On a lower bound for Jensen inequality. SIAM J. Math. Anal. (to appear)
- 11. Dragomir, SS: Jensen integral inequality for power series with nonnegative coefficients and applications. RGMIA Res. Rep. Collect. 17, 42 (2014)

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com