

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Continuity of Riesz potential operator in the supercritical case on unbounded domain

Shin Min Kang<sup>1</sup>, Waqas Nazeer<sup>2\*</sup> and Qaisar Mehmood<sup>3</sup>

\*Correspondence:

nazeer.waqas@ue.edu.pk

<sup>2</sup>Division of Science and Technology, University of Education, Lahore, Pakistan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

The aim of this paper is to prove continuity of the Riesz potential operator  $R^s : E \mapsto CH$  in optimal couple  $E, CH$ , for the supercritical case on unbounded domain, where  $E$  is a rearrangement invariant function space and  $CH$  is the generalized Hölder-Zygmund space generated by a function space  $H$ . We also construct optimal domain and target quasi-norms for  $R^s$  on unbounded domain.

**MSC:** 46E30; 46E35

**Keywords:** Riesz potential operator; rearrangement invariant function spaces; Hölder-Zygmund space; real interpolation

## 1 Introduction

Let  $L_{loc}$  be the space of all locally integrable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with lebesgue measure. The Riesz potential operator  $R^s$ ,  $0 < s < n$ ,  $n \geq 1$  is defined by

$$R^s f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y) |x - y|^{s-n} dy,$$

where  $f \in L_{loc}$ .

It is well known that in the supercritical case  $s > n/p$ ,

$$R^s : L^p \mapsto C^{s-n/p}, \quad s > n/p, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $C^\gamma$ ;  $\gamma > 0$  is Hölder-Zygmund space [1], but in the critical case  $s = n/p$  the function  $R^s f$  may not be even continuous. We prove the optimal one is obtained if in above  $L^p$  is replaced by Marcinkiewicz space  $L^{p,\infty}$ . In this paper we prove similar optimal results, when  $L^{p,\infty}$  is replaced by more general rearrangement invariant spaces  $E$ . More precisely, we consider quasi-norm rearrangement invariant space  $E$ , consisting of functions  $f \in L^1 + L^\infty$ , such that the quasi-norm  $\|f\|_E = \rho(f^*) < \infty$ , where  $\rho_E$  a monotone quasi-norm, defined on  $M^+$  with values in  $[0, \infty]$ . Here  $M^+$  is the cone of all locally integrable functions  $g \geq 0$  on  $(0, \infty)$  with Lebesgue measure.

Monotonicity means that  $g_1 \leq g_2$  implies  $\rho_E(g_1) \leq \rho_E(g_2)$ . We suppose that  $L^1 \cap L^\infty \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow L^1 + L^\infty$ , which means continuous embeddings. Here  $f^*$  is the decreasing rearrangement of  $f$ , given by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \mu_f(\lambda) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0,$$

and  $\mu_f$  is the distribution function of  $f$ , defined by

$$\mu_f(\lambda) = |\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}|_n,$$

$|\cdot|_n$  denoting the Lebesgue  $n$ -measure.

Finally,

$$f^{**}(t) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds.$$

Let  $\alpha_E, \beta_E$  be the Boyd indices of  $E$  (see [2–4]). For example, if  $E = L^p$ , then  $\alpha_E = \beta_E = 1/p$  and the condition  $1 > s/n \geq 1/p$  means  $p > 1, \beta_E < 1$ . For these reasons we suppose that for the general  $E, 0 < \alpha_E = \beta_E \leq 1$ , and the case  $s/n > \alpha_E$  is called supercritical, while the case  $s/n = \alpha_E$  is called critical. In the supercritical case the function  $R^s f; f \in E$  is always continuous [5], while the spaces in the critical case  $\alpha_E = s/n$ , can be divided into two subclasses: in the first subclass the functions  $R^s f$  may not be continuous; then the target space is rearrangement invariant, while these functions in the second subclass are continuous and the target space is the generalized Hölder-Zygmund space  $CH$  [6, 7]. The separating space for these two subclasses is given by the Lorentz space  $L^{n/s,1}$ . The continuity of fractional maximal operator and Bessel potential operator is discussed in [8] and [9]. Gogatishvili and Ovchinnikov in [10] discussed the optimal Sobolev’s embeddings. The problem of the optimal target rearrangement invariant spaces for potential type operators is considered in [11] by using  $L_p$ -capacities. The problem of mapping properties of the Riesz potential in optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces is treated in [12–15]. The characterization of the continuous embedding of the generalized Bessel potential spaces into Hölder-Zygmund spaces  $\mathcal{CH}$ , when  $H$  is a weighted Lebesgue space, is given in [7]. For further literature and reviews, we refer the reader to [16–20].

The main goal of this paper is to prove continuity of the Riesz potential operator  $R^S : E \mapsto CH$  in an optimal couple  $E, CH$ , for the supercritical case on unbounded domain. The same problem was considered in [5] for bounded domain. The critical and subcritical case for the continuity of Riesz potential operator was considered in [12] and [14].

The plane of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we provide some basic definitions and known results. In Section 3 we characterize the continuity of the Riesz potential operator  $R^S : E \mapsto CH$ . The optimal quasi-norms are constructed in Section 4.

### 2 Preliminaries

We use the notations  $a_1 \lesssim a_2$  or  $a_2 \gtrsim a_1$  for nonnegative functions or functionals to mean that the quotient  $a_1/a_2$  is bounded; also,  $a_1 \approx a_2$  means that  $a_1 \lesssim a_2$  and  $a_1 \gtrsim a_2$ . We say that  $a_1$  is equivalent to  $a_2$  if  $a_1 \approx a_2$ .

There is an equivalent quasi-norm  $\rho_p \approx \rho_E$  that satisfies the triangle inequality  $\rho_p^p(g_1 + g_2) \leq \rho_p^p(g_1) + \rho_p^p(g_2)$  for some  $p \in (0, 1]$  that depends only on the space  $E$  (see [21]). We say that the quasi-norm  $\rho_E$  satisfies Minkowski’s inequality if for the equivalent quasi-norm  $\rho_p$ ,

$$\rho_p^p\left(\sum g_j\right) \lesssim \sum \rho_p^p(g_j), \quad g_j \in M^+.$$

Usually we apply this inequality to functions  $g \in M^+$  with some kind of monotonicity.

Recall the definition of the lower and upper Boyd indices  $\alpha_E$  and  $\beta_E$ . Let  $g_u(t) = g(t/u)$  where  $g \in M^+$ , and let

$$h_E(u) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\rho_E(g_u^*)}{\rho_E(g^*)} : g \in M^+ \right\}, \quad u > 0,$$

be the dilation function generated by  $\rho_E$ . Suppose that it is finite. Then

$$\alpha_E := \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_E := \inf_{1 < t < \infty} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t}.$$

The function  $h_E$  is sub-multiplicative, increasing,  $h_E(1) = 1$ ,  $h_E(u)h_E(1/u) \geq 1$  hence  $0 \leq \alpha_E \leq \beta_E$ . We suppose that  $0 < \alpha_E = \beta_E \leq 1$  and  $g^{**}(\infty) = 0$ .

If  $\beta_E < 1$  we have by using Minkowski's inequality that  $\rho_E(f^*) \approx \rho_E(f^{**})$ .

Recall that  $w \in M^+$  is slowly varying function, if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the function  $t^\epsilon w(t)$  is equivalent to increasing function and  $t^{-\epsilon} w(t)$  is equivalent to a decreasing function.

In order to introduce the Hölder-Zygmund class of spaces, we denote the modulus of continuity of order  $k$  by

$$\omega^k(t, f) = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta_h^k f(x)|,$$

where  $\Delta_h^k f$  are the usual iterated differences of  $f$ . When  $k = 1$  we simply write  $\omega(t, f)$ . Let  $H$  be a quasi-normed space of locally integrable functions on the interval  $(0, 1)$  with the Lebesgue measure, continuously embedded in  $L^\infty(0, 1)$  and  $\|g\|_H = \rho_H(|g|)$ , where  $\rho_H$  is a monotone quasi-norm on  $M^+$  which satisfies Minkowski's inequality. The dilation function  $h_H$ , generated by  $\rho_H$ , is defined as follows:

$$h_H(u) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} \tilde{g}_u)}{\rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} g)} : g \in M_a \right\},$$

where  $(\tilde{g}_u)(t) = g(ut)$  if  $ut < 1$ ,  $(\tilde{g}_u)(t) = g(1)$  if  $ut \geq 1$ , and

$$M_a := \{g \in M^+ : t^{-a/n} g(t) \text{ is decreasing } g \text{ is increasing and } g(+0) = 0\}.$$

The choice of the space  $M_a$  is motivated by the fact that  $\omega^n(t^{1/n}, f)$ , is equivalent to a function  $g \in M_a$ .

The function  $h_H(u)$  is sub-multiplicative and  $u^{-1}h_H(u)$  is decreasing and

$$h_H(1) = 1, \quad h_H(u)h_H(1/u) \geq 1.$$

Suppose that  $h_H$  is finite. Then the Boyd indices of  $H$  are well defined,

$$\alpha_H = \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\log h_H(t)}{\log t} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_H = \inf_{1 < t < \infty} \frac{\log h_H(t)}{\log t},$$

and they satisfy  $\alpha_H \leq \beta_H \leq 1$ . In the following, we suppose that  $0 \leq \alpha_H = \beta_H < 1$ .

For example, let  $H = L_*^q(b(t)t^{-\gamma/n})$ . Here  $0 \leq \gamma < a/n$  and  $b$  is a slowly varying function, and  $L_*^q(w)$ , or simply  $L_*^q$  if  $w = 1$ , is the weighted Lebesgue space with a quasi-norm  $\|g\|_{L_*^q(w)} = \rho_{w,q}(|g|)$ . It turns out that  $\alpha_H = \beta_H = \gamma/n$ .

**Definition 2.1** Let  $j = 0, 1, \dots$  and let  $C^j$  stand for the space of all functions  $f$ , defined on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , that have bounded and uniformly continuous derivatives up to the order  $j$ , normed by  $\|f\|_{C^j} = \sup \sum_{l=0}^j |P^l f(x)|$ , where  $P^l f(x) = \sum_{|v|=l} D^v f(x)$ .

- If  $j/n < \alpha_H < (j + 1)/n$  for  $j \geq 1$  or  $0 \leq \alpha_H < 1/n$  for  $j = 0$ , then  $CH$  is formed by all functions  $f$  in  $C^j$  having a finite quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{CH} = \|f\|_{C^j} + \rho_H(t^{j/n} \omega(t^{1/n}, P^j f)).$$

- If  $\alpha_H = (j + 1)/n$ , then  $CH$  consists of all functions  $f$  in  $C^j$  having a finite quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{CH} = \|f\|_{C^j} + \rho_H(t^{j/n} \omega^2(t^{1/n}, P^j f)).$$

In particular, if  $H = L^\infty(t^{-\gamma/n})$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ , then  $CH$  coincides with the usual Hölder-Zygmund space  $C^\gamma$  (see [1]). Also, if  $H = L^\infty$ , then  $CH = C^0$ . We need the following result about the equivalent quasi-norm in the generalized Hölder-Zygmund spaces.

**Theorem 2.2** (equivalence) ([6]) *Let  $\rho_H$  be a monotone quasi-norm, satisfying Minkowski's inequality and let  $0 \leq \alpha_H = \beta_H < m/n$ . If  $\rho_H(t^\alpha) < \infty$  for  $\alpha > \alpha_H$ , then, for all such  $m$ ,*

$$\|f\|_{CH} \approx \|f\|_{C^0} + \rho_H(\omega^m(t^{1/n}, f)). \tag{2.1}$$

Let  $N$  be the class of all admissible couples, it will be convenient to use the following definitions.

**Definition 2.3** (admissible couple) We say that the couple  $(\rho_E, \rho_H) \in N$  is admissible for the Riesz potential if

$$\|R^s f\|_{CH} \lesssim \rho_E(f^*), \quad f \in E. \tag{2.2}$$

Then the couple  $E, H$  is called admissible. Moreover,  $\rho_E(E)$  is called domain quasi-norm (domain space), and  $\rho_H(H)$  is called the target quasi-norm (target space).

To prove our result we introduce the classes of the domain and target quasi-norms, where the optimality is investigated.

Let  $N_d$  consist of all domain quasi-norms  $\rho_E$  that are monotone, satisfy Minkowski's inequality,  $0 < \alpha_E = \beta_E < 1$ , and the condition

$$\int_0^\infty t^{s/n-1} g(t) dt \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \downarrow, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\int_0^\infty g^*(u) du \lesssim \rho_E(g^*) \text{ and } \rho_E(\chi_{(0,1)} t^{-\alpha}) < \infty \text{ if } \alpha < \alpha_E.$$

Let  $N_t$  consist of all target quasi-norms  $\rho_H$  that are monotone, satisfy Minkowski's inequality,  $0 \leq \alpha_H = \beta_H < 1$ ,  $\rho_H(t^\alpha) < \infty$  if  $\alpha > \alpha_H$  and  $\sup \chi_{(0,1)} g(t) \lesssim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} g)$ ,  $g \in M_n$ .

Finally

$$N := \{(\rho_E, \rho_H) \in N_d \times N_t : \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} t^{s/n} g(t)) \lesssim \rho_E(g), g \downarrow\}.$$

**Definition 2.4** (optimal target quasi-norm) Given the domain quasi-norm  $\rho_E$ , the optimal target quasi-norm, denoted by  $\rho_{H(E)}$ , is the strongest target quasi-norm, such that  $(\rho_E, \rho_{H(E)}) \in N$  and

$$\rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}g) \lesssim \rho_{H(E)}(\chi_{(0,1)}g), \quad g \in M_n, \tag{2.4}$$

for any target quasi-norm  $\rho_H$  such that the couple  $(\rho_E, \rho_H) \in N$  is admissible. Since  $CH(E) \leftrightarrow CH$ , we call  $CH(E)$  the optimal Hölder-Zygmund space. For shortness, the space  $H(E)$  is also called an optimal target space.

**Definition 2.5** (optimal domain quasi-norm) Given the target quasi-norm  $\rho_H \in N_t$ , the optimal domain quasi-norm, denoted by  $\rho_{E(H)}$ , is the weakest domain quasi-norm, such that  $(\rho_{E(H)}, \rho_H) \in N$  and

$$\rho_{E(H)}(f^*) \lesssim \rho_E(f^*), \quad f \in E,$$

for any domain quasi-norm  $\rho_E \in N_d$  such that the couple  $(\rho_E, \rho_H) \in N$  is admissible. The space  $E(H)$  is called an optimal domain space.

**Definition 2.6** (optimal couple) The admissible couple  $(\rho_E, \rho_H) \in N$  is said to be optimal if both  $\rho_E$  and  $\rho_H$  are optimal. Then the couple  $E, H$  is called optimal.

### 3 Admissible couples

Here we give a characterization of all admissible couples  $(\rho_E, \rho_H) \in N$ . By using the following Hardy-Littlewood inequality [2], p.44, we get the well-known mapping property:

$$R^s : \Lambda^1(t^{s/n}) \mapsto L^\infty.$$

Now from (2.3) it follows that

$$R^s : E \rightarrow L^\infty. \tag{3.1}$$

We have the following basic estimate.

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $f \in E$ , then*

$$\chi_{(0,1)}\omega^m(t^{1/n}, R^s f) \lesssim S(f^*)(t), \quad s < m, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$Sg(t) := \int_0^t u^{s/n-1}g(u) du, \quad g \in M^+. \tag{3.3}$$

*Proof* The proof of this result follows from Theorem 3.1 in [5]. □

Now we discuss the mapping property  $R^s : E \mapsto C^0$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *A necessary and sufficient condition for the mapping*

$$R^s : E \mapsto C^0$$

*is the following:*

$$\int_0^\infty t^{s/n-1} g(t) dt \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \downarrow. \tag{3.4}$$

*Proof* We already know that

$$R^s : E \rightarrow L^\infty. \tag{3.5}$$

To prove that  $R^s(E) \subset C^0$ , it remains to show that  $R^s f$  is a uniformly continuous function. It is enough to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \omega(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, R^s f) = 0 \quad \text{if } f \in E.$$

By using Marchaud’s inequality,

$$\omega(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, R^s f) \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_t^\infty u^{-\frac{1}{n}} \omega^m(u^{\frac{1}{n}}, R^s f) \frac{du}{u},$$

L’Hôpital’s rule, and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \omega(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, R^s f) &\lesssim \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{n}} \omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, R^s f)}{t^{-\frac{1}{n}}} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, R^s f) \\ &\lesssim \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} S f^{**}(t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$R^s f \in C^0.$$

It remains to prove that if  $R^s : E \rightarrow C^0$ , then (3.4) is true for  $\alpha_E \leq s/n$ . To this end we choose a test function  $h$  as follows. Let  $g \in D_{n-s}$ ,  $\rho_E(g) < \infty$  and

$$h(x) = \int_0^\infty g(u) \varphi(xu^{-1/n}) \frac{du}{u}, \tag{3.6}$$

where  $\varphi \geq 0$  is a smooth function with compact support in  $(-c^{-1/n}, c^{-1/n})$  such that if  $\psi = R^s \varphi$ , then  $\psi(0) > 0$ . To see that this is possible, we calculate  $\psi(0)$ . Since

$$\psi(x) = \int_{R^n} \varphi(y) |x - y|^{s-n} dy,$$

we have for appropriate  $d > 0$ ,

$$\psi(0) \geq \int_{|y| \leq d} \varphi(y) |y|^{s-n} dy \gtrsim \int_{|y| \leq d} \varphi(y) dy > 0.$$

Note also that, for large  $c > 0$ ,

$$\psi(x) \lesssim |x|^{s-n}, \quad u > c. \tag{3.7}$$

Indeed

$$\psi(x) = \int_{|y| \leq d} \varphi(y) |x - y|^{s-n} dy \lesssim |x|^{s-n} \int_{|y| \leq d} \varphi(y) dy$$

since

$$|x - y| \geq |x| - |y| \geq |x| - d \geq |x|/2, \quad \text{if } c > 2d.$$

We also have

$$R^s(\varphi(xu^{-1/n})) = u^{s/n} \psi(xu^{-1/n}).$$

Hence

$$f(x) := R^s h(x) = \int_0^\infty u^{s/n} g(u) \psi(xu^{-1/n}) \frac{du}{u}.$$

We may take

$$h(x) \lesssim \int_{c|x|^n}^\infty g(u) du/u,$$

hence, for appropriate  $c > 0$ ,

$$h^*(t) \lesssim \int_t^\infty g(u) du/u.$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality and using  $\alpha_E > 0$ , we have

$$\rho_E(h^*) \lesssim \rho_E(g). \tag{3.8}$$

Given that

$$\sup |R^s h(x)| \lesssim \|h\|_E,$$

we have in particular

$$|R^s h(0)| \lesssim \|h\|_E,$$

whence

$$R^s h(0) = \psi(0) \int_0^\infty u^{s/n-1} g(u) du \lesssim \|h\|_E \lesssim \rho_E(g).$$

Thus (3.4) is proved. □

In the following theorem, we characterize the admission couple. Note that this result cannot be obtained directly from Theorem 3.4 [5], because here we consider an unbounded domain.

**Theorem 3.3** *The couple  $(\rho_E, \rho_H) \in N$  is admissible if and only if*

$$\rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} Sg) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \downarrow. \tag{3.9}$$

*Proof* Let (3.9) be true. By using (3.2) and (3.9), we get

$$\rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} \omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, R^s f)) \lesssim \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} S(f^*)) \lesssim \rho_E(f^*), \quad m > s.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^s f\|_{CH} &\approx \|R^s f\|_{C^0} + \rho_H(\omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, R^s f)) \\ &\lesssim \rho_E(f^*) + \|R^s f\|_{C^0} \\ &\lesssim \rho_E(f^*) + \rho_E(f^*) \\ &\lesssim \rho_E(f^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\rho_E, \rho_H$  is an admissible couple.

For the converse, we have to prove that (2.2) implies (3.9). To this end we choose a test function in the form  $f(x) = R^s h(x)$ , where  $h$  is given by (3.6). We have

$$f(x) = R^s h(x) = \int_0^\infty u^{s/n} g(u) \psi(xu^{-\frac{1}{n}}) \frac{du}{u}.$$

To estimate the modulus of continuity of  $f$  from below, we split  $f$  as follows:

$$f = f_{1t} + f_{2t},$$

where

$$f_{1t}(x) = \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \psi(xu^{-\frac{1}{n}}) \frac{du}{u}, \quad f_{2t}(x) = \int_t^\infty u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \psi(xu^{-\frac{1}{n}}) \frac{du}{u}.$$

First we prove that, for some large  $C > 0$ ,

$$\omega^m(Ct^{\frac{1}{n}}, f_{1t}) \geq \frac{\psi(0)}{2} Sg(t).$$

To this aim consider

$$\Delta_h^m f_{1t}(x) = \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \Delta_h^m \psi(xu^{-\frac{1}{n}}) \frac{du}{u}.$$

Also consider

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^m \psi(xu^{-\frac{1}{n}}) &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \psi((x+hk)u^{-\frac{1}{n}}) \\ &= (-1)^m \psi(0) + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \psi(hku^{-\frac{1}{n}}) \quad \text{at } x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|h| = Ct^{\frac{1}{n}}$ , then for  $u < t, k \geq 1, |h|ku^{-\frac{1}{n}} \geq Ck \geq C$ , hence by (3.7) and for large  $C > 0$ ,

$$\psi(hku^{-\frac{1}{n}}) \lesssim C^{s-n}, \quad u < t, k \geq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta_h^m f_{1t}(0) = \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \left[ (-1)^m \psi(0) + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \psi(hku^{-\frac{1}{n}}) \right] \frac{du}{u}$$

and, for large  $C > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_h^m f_{1t}(0)| &= \left| (-1)^m \psi(0) \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u} + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \psi(hku^{-\frac{1}{n}}) \frac{du}{u} \right| \\ &\geq \psi(0) \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u} - c_m \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \psi(hku^{-\frac{1}{n}}) \frac{du}{u} \\ &\geq \psi(0) \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u} - C^{s-n} c_m \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u} \\ &= \frac{\psi(0)}{2} \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\omega^m(Ct^{\frac{1}{n}}, f_{1t}) \geq \frac{\psi(0)}{2} Sg(t)$$

or

$$\omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, f_{1t}) \approx \omega^m(Ct^{\frac{1}{n}}, f_{1t}) \geq \frac{\psi(0)}{2} Sg(t). \tag{3.10}$$

Further,

$$\omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, f) \geq \omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, f_{1t}) - \omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, f_{2t}).$$

Now we estimate the modulus of continuity of the second function from above. To this aim, by using the formula [2], p.336, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_h^m f_{2t}(x)| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_m(u) \sum_{|v|=m} \frac{m!}{v!} D^v f_{2t}(x+uh) h^v du \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_m(u) \sum_{|v|=m} \frac{m!}{v!} |D^v f_{2t}(x+uh)| |h|^{|v|} du. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup_x |\Delta_h^m f_{2t}(x)| \lesssim |h|^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_m(u) \sup |P^m f_{2t}(x+uh)| du \lesssim |h|^m \|P^m f_{2t}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Therefore

$$\sup_x |\Delta_h^m f_{2t}(x)| \lesssim |h|^m \|P^m f_{2t}\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{3.11}$$

To simplify (3.11), consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 |P^m f_{2t}| &= \left| \int_t^\infty u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) P^m(\psi(xu^{-\frac{1}{n}})) \frac{du}{u} \right|, \\
 \sup_x |P^m f_{2t}| &\lesssim \int_t^\infty u^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) u^{-\frac{m}{n}} \|P^m \psi\|_{L^\infty} \frac{du}{u}, \\
 \|P^m f_{2t}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \int_t^\infty u^{\frac{s-m}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

So (3.11) becomes

$$\omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, f_{2t}) \lesssim t^{\frac{m}{n}} \int_t^\infty u^{\frac{s-m}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u} \tag{3.13}$$

whence for  $m > s$ , we have

$$\omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, f_{2t}) \lesssim \int_t^\infty t^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi_{(0,1)} Sg(t) &\lesssim \chi_{(0,1)} \omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, f) + \chi_{(0,1)} \int_t^\infty t^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u}, \\
 \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} Sg) &\lesssim \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} \omega^m(t^{\frac{1}{n}}, f)) + \rho_H\left(\chi_{(0,1)} \int_t^\infty t^{\frac{s}{n}} g(u) \frac{du}{u}\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Now since  $(\rho_E, \rho_H) \in N$ , we get

$$\rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} Sg) \lesssim \rho_E(g). \quad \square$$

### 4 Optimal quasi-norms

Here we give a characterization of the optimal domain and optimal target quasi-norms.

#### 4.1 Optimal domain quasi-norms

We can construct an optimal domain quasi-norm  $\rho_{E(H)}$  by Theorem 3.3 as follows.

**Definition 4.1** (construction of an optimal domain quasi-norm) For a given target quasi-norm  $\rho_H \in N_t$  we set

$$\rho_{E(H)}(g) := \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} Sg), \quad g \in M^+. \tag{4.1}$$

Note that

$$\alpha_{E(H)} = \beta_{E(H)} = s/n - \alpha_H.$$

**Theorem 4.2** *The couple  $\rho_{E(H)}, \rho_H$  is admissible and the domain quasi-norm  $\rho_{E(H)}$  is optimal. Moreover, the target quasi-norm  $\rho_H$  is also optimal and*

$$\rho_{E(H)}(g) \approx \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} t^{s/n} g), \quad g \downarrow \text{ if } \alpha_H > 0. \tag{4.2}$$

*Proof* The couple  $\rho_{E(H)}, \rho_H$  is admissible since

$$\rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}Sg) = \rho_{E(H)}(g).$$

Moreover,  $\rho_{E(H)}$  is optimal, since for any admissible couple  $(\rho_{E_1}, \rho_H) \in N$  we have

$$\rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}Sg) \lesssim \rho_{E_1}(g).$$

Therefore,

$$\rho_{E(H)}(f^*) = \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}S(f^*)) \lesssim \rho_{E_1}(f^*), \quad f \in E.$$

To prove that  $\rho_H$  is also optimal, let  $(\rho_{E(H)}, \rho_{H_1}) \in N$  be an arbitrary admissible couple. Then

$$\rho_{H_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}Sg) \lesssim \rho_{E(H)}(g).$$

We have to show that

$$\rho_{H_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}g) \lesssim \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}g), \quad g \in M_n. \tag{4.3}$$

Since  $g \in M_n$  is a quasi-concave, it is equivalent to a concave one, hence

$$g(t) \approx \int_0^t h_1(u) du, \quad h_1 \downarrow.$$

Let

$$h(t) = t^{1-s/n}h_1(t).$$

Therefore

$$\rho_{H_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}g) \lesssim \rho_{H_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}Sh) \lesssim \rho_{E(H)}(h) \lesssim \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}Sh) \lesssim \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}g).$$

Thus (4.3) is proved.

To prove the equivalence (4.2), first we prove that

$$\rho_{E(H)}(g) \lesssim \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}t^{\frac{s}{n}}g), \quad g \downarrow \text{ if } \alpha_H > 0.$$

To this aim we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}Sg) &= \rho_H\left(\chi_{(0,1)}\int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}}g(u)\frac{du}{u}\right) \\ &= \rho_H\left(\chi_{(0,1)}\int_0^1 (tv)^{\frac{s}{n}}g(tv)\frac{dv}{v}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality and using  $\alpha_H > 0$ , we have

$$\rho_{E(H)}(g) = \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}Sg) \lesssim \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}t^{\frac{s}{n}}g(t)).$$

For the reverse we use

$$t^{\frac{s}{n}}g(t) \lesssim Sg(t), \quad g \downarrow,$$

whence

$$\rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}t^{\frac{s}{n}}g(t)) \lesssim \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}Sg(t)) = \rho_{E(H)}(g). \quad \square$$

**Example 4.3** Consider the space  $H = L^1_*(\nu)$ , where  $\nu$  is slowly varying and  $\nu > 1$ . Then  $\rho_H \in N_t$  and by Theorem 4.2, we can construct an optimal domain  $E(H)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{E(H)}(g) &= \rho_H(Sg) = \int_0^1 \nu(t)Sg(t) dt/t \\ &= \int_0^1 \nu(t) \int_0^t u^{\frac{s}{n}}g(u) \frac{du}{u} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^1 w(u)g(u) \frac{du}{u}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $w(u) = \int_u^1 \nu(t) \frac{dt}{t}$ . Hence  $E(H) = \Lambda^1(t^{s/n}w)$  and this couple is optimal. Also  $\alpha_E = \beta_E = s/n$ .

**Example 4.4** Let  $H = L^\infty(\nu)$ , where  $\nu$  is slowly varying and  $\nu > 1$ . Then  $\rho_H \in N_t$ . Let

$$\rho_E(g) = \sup \nu(t) \int_0^t u^{s/n}g^*(u) du/u.$$

Then by Theorem 4.2 this is an optimal domain quasi-norm and the couple  $\rho_E, \rho_H$  is optimal. In particular, the couple  $\Lambda^1(t^{s/n}), C^0$  is optimal.

### 4.2 Optimal target quasi-norms

**Definition 4.5** (construction of an optimal target quasi-norm) For a given domain quasi-norm  $\rho_E \in N_d$ , we set

$$\rho_{H(E)}(\chi_{(0,1)}g) := \inf \{ \rho_E(h) : \chi_{(0,1)}g \leq Sh, h \downarrow \}, \quad g \in M^+. \tag{4.4}$$

Note that

$$\alpha_{H(E)} = \beta_{H(E)} = s/n - \alpha_E.$$

**Theorem 4.6** *The target quasi-norm  $\rho_{H(E)} \in N_t$ , the couple  $\rho_E, \rho_{H(E)}$  is admissible, and the target quasi-norm is optimal.*

*Proof* The couple  $\rho_E, \rho_{H(E)}$  is admissible since

$$\rho_{H(E)}(\chi_{(0,1)}Sh) \leq \rho_E(h), \quad h \downarrow.$$

Now to prove that  $\rho_{H(E)}$  is optimal, we take any admissible couple  $\rho_E, \rho_{H_1} \in N_t$ . Then

$$\rho_{H_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}Sh) \lesssim \rho_E(h), \quad h \downarrow.$$

Therefore, if  $g \leq Sh$ ,  $h \downarrow$ , then

$$\rho_{H_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}g) \leq \rho_{H_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}Sh) \lesssim \rho_E(h),$$

whence, taking the infimum, we get

$$\rho_{H_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}g) \lesssim \rho_{H(E)}(\chi_{(0,1)}g).$$

Hence  $\rho_{H(E)}$  is optimal. □

**Theorem 4.7** *If  $\alpha_E < s/n$ , then*

$$\rho_{H(E)}(\chi_{(0,1)}g) \approx \rho_E(t^{-s/n}g(t)), \quad g \in M_n.$$

*Moreover, the couple  $\rho_E, \rho_{H(E)}$  is optimal.*

*Proof* Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_E(t^{-s/n}Sh(t)) &= \rho_E\left(t^{-s/n} \int_0^t u^{s/n}h(u) \frac{du}{u}\right) \\ &= \rho_E\left(\int_0^1 v^{s/n}h(tv) \frac{dv}{v}\right), \quad h \downarrow. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality and using  $\beta_E < s/n$ , we have

$$\rho_E(t^{-s/n}Sh(t)) \lesssim \rho_E(h), \quad h \downarrow.$$

If  $\chi_{(0,1)}g \leq Sh$ ,  $g \in M_n$ , then

$$\rho_E(t^{-s/n}g(t)) \lesssim \rho_E(t^{s/n}Sh(t)) \lesssim \rho_E(h)$$

and, taking the infimum, we get

$$\rho_E(t^{-s/n}g(t)) \lesssim \rho_{H(E)}(\chi_{(0,1)}g).$$

On the other hand, for  $g \in M_n$ , let  $h(t) = t^{-s/n}g(t)\chi_{(0,1)}(t)$ . Then  $h \downarrow$  and

$$\begin{aligned} Sh(t) &= \int_0^t u^{s/n}h(u) \frac{du}{u} \\ &= \int_0^t u^{s/n}u^{-s/n}g(u) \frac{du}{u} \\ &\geq g(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\rho_{H(E)}(\chi_{(0,1)}g) \lesssim \rho_E(h) = \rho_E(t^{-s/n}g(t)).$$

Now we show that the domain quasi-norm  $\rho_E$  is also optimal. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{E(H(E))}(f^*) &= \rho_{H(E)}(\chi_{(0,1)} S f^*) \\ &\approx \rho_E(t^{-s/n} S f^*(t)) \\ &= \rho_E\left(t^{-s/n} \int_0^t u^{s/n} f^*(u) \frac{du}{u}\right) \\ &\gtrsim \rho_E(f^*), \quad f \in E. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\rho_{E(H(E))}(f^*) \gtrsim \rho_E(f^*); \quad f \in E. \quad \square$$

**Example 4.8** Consider the space  $E = \Lambda^q(t^\alpha w(t))$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$ , where  $w$  is slowly varying and  $s/n > \alpha > 0$ . Then  $\beta_E = \alpha_E = \alpha$  and  $\rho_E \in N_d$ . Hence by Theorem 4.7,

$$\rho_{H(E)}(g) \approx \rho_E(t^{-s/n} g(t)) = \left( \int_0^1 (t^{-s/n} w(t) g^*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q},$$

which implies that  $H(E) = L_*^q(t^{-s/n} w)$ .

Moreover, the couple  $\rho_E, \rho_H(E)$  is optimal. In particular, the couple

$$L^{p,\infty}, C^{s-n/p}, \quad s > n/p, 1 < p < \infty,$$

is optimal.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' contributions**

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, 660-701, Korea. <sup>2</sup>Division of Science and Technology, University of Education, Lahore, Pakistan. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Lahore Leads University, Lahore, Pakistan.

**Acknowledgements**

This research is supported by Gyeongsang National University. The authors thank the referees for their valuable remarks that improve the paper.

Received: 5 August 2015 Accepted: 1 December 2015 Published online: 15 December 2015

**References**

1. Triebel, H: Theory of Function Spaces. Birkhäuser, Basel (1983)
2. Bennett, C, Sharpley, R: Interpolation of Operators. Academic Press, New York (1988)
3. Krein, SG, Petunin, UI, Semenov, EM: Interpolation of Linear Operators. Nauka, Moscow (1978)
4. Nazeer, W, Mehmood, Q, Nizami, AR, Kang, SM: Boyd indices for quasi-normed function spaces with some bounds. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2015**, 235 (2015). doi:10.1186/s13660-015-0754-9
5. Karadzhov, GE, Mehmood, Q: Optimal regularity properties of the Riesz potential operator. *Commun. Math. Anal.* **14**(1), 118-132 (2013)
6. Bashir, Z, Cobos, F, Karadzhov, GE: Optimal embeddings of Calderón spaces into generalized Hölder-Zygmund spaces. *Math. Scand.* **114**, 120-148 (2014)
7. Gogatishvili, A, Neves, JS, Opic, B: Optimal embeddings of Bessel-potential-type spaces into generalized Hölder spaces involving  $k$ -modulus of smoothness. *Potential Anal.* **32**, 201-228 (2010)
8. Ahmed, I, Karadzhov, GE, Nazeer, W: Optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces for the fractional maximal operator. *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* **64**(9), 1233-1240 (2011)

9. Karadzhov, GE, Nazeer, N: Optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces for the Bessel potential. *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* **64**(6), 767-774 (2011)
10. Gogatishvili, A, Ovchinnikov, VI: Interpolation orbits and optimal Sobolev's embeddings. *J. Funct. Anal.* **253**, 1-17 (2007)
11. Hansson, K: Imbedding theorems of Sobolev type in potential theory. *Math. Scand.* **45**, 77-102 (1979)
12. Capone, C, Fiorenza, A, Karadzhov, GE, Nazeer, W: Optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces for the Riesz potential operator. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **30**, 219-236 (2011)
13. Cianchi, A: Symmetrization and second order Sobolev inequalities. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **183**, 45-77 (2004)
14. Kang, SM, Rafiq, A, Nazeer, W, Ahmad, I, Ali, F, Kwun, YC: Optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces for the Riesz potential on the bounded domain. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, 60 (2014). doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2014-60
15. Vybiral, J: Optimality of Function Spaces for Boundedness of Integral Operators and Sobolev Embeddings. Master Thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague (2002)
16. Berg, J, Löfström, J: Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction. Springer, New York (1976)
17. Martin, J, Milman, M, Pustylnik, E: Sobolev inequalities: symmetrization and self-improvement via truncation. *J. Funct. Anal.* **252**, 677-695 (2007)
18. Milman, M, Pustylnik, E: On sharp higher order Sobolev embeddings. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **6**, 495-511 (2004)
19. Muckenhoupt, B: Hardy's inequality with weights. *Stud. Math.* **XLIV**, 31-38 (1972)
20. Stein, EM: Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970)
21. Köthe, G: Topol. Lineare Räume. Springer, Berlin (1966)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---