# RESEARCH Open Access



# The integer part of a nonlinear form with integer variables

Kai Lai\*

\*Correspondence: k.ching.lai@foxmail.com College of Computer and Information Engineering, Henan University of Economics and Law, Zhengzhou, 450011, P.R. China

#### **Abstract**

Using the Davenport-Heilbronn method, we show that if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_9$  are positive real numbers, at least one of the ratios  $\lambda_i/\lambda_j$  ( $1 \le i < j \le 9$ ) is irrational, then the integer parts of  $\lambda_1 x_1^3 + \lambda_2 x_2^3 + \lambda_3 x_3^4 + \lambda_4 x_4^4 + \lambda_5 x_5^5 + \cdots + \lambda_9 x_9^5$  are prime infinitely often for natural numbers  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_9$ .

**Keywords:** Davenport-Heilbronn method; integer variables; diophantine approximation

# 1 Introduction

In 2010, Brüdern *et al.* [1] proved that if  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s$  are positive real numbers,  $\lambda_1/\lambda_2$  is irrational, all Dirichlet L-functions satisfy the Riemann hypothesis  $s \geq \frac{8}{3}k + 2$ , then the integer parts of

$$\lambda_1 x_1^k + \lambda_2 x_2^k + \cdots + \lambda_s x_s^k$$

are prime infinitely often for natural numbers  $x_i$ .

Motivated by [1], using the Davenport-Heilbronn method, we consider the integer part of a nonlinear form with integer variables and mixed powers 3, 4 and 5, and establish one result as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_9$  be positive real numbers, at least one of the ratios  $\lambda_i/\lambda_j$   $(1 \le i < j \le 9)$  is irrational. Then the integer parts of

$$\lambda_1 x_1^3 + \lambda_2 x_2^3 + \lambda_3 x_3^4 + \lambda_4 x_4^4 + \lambda_5 x_5^5 + \dots + \lambda_9 x_9^5$$

are prime infinitely often for natural numbers  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_9$ .

It is noted that Theorem 1.1 holds without the Riemann hypothesis.

# 2 Notation

Throughout, we use p to denote a prime number and  $x_j$  to denote a natural number. We denote by  $\delta$  a sufficiently small positive number and by  $\varepsilon$  an arbitrarily small positive number. Constants, both explicit and implicit, in Landau or Vinogradov symbols may depend



on  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_9$ . We write  $e(x) = \exp(2\pi i x)$ . We use [x] to denote the integer part of real variable x. We take X to be the basic parameter, a large real integer. Since at least one of the ratios  $\lambda_i/\lambda_j$  ( $1 \le i < j \le 9$ ) is irrational, without loss of generality we may assume that  $\lambda_1/\lambda_2$  is irrational. For the other cases, the only difference is in the following intermediate region, and we may deal with the same method in Section 4.

Since  $\lambda_1/\lambda_2$  is irrational, then there are infinitely many pairs of integers q, a with  $|\lambda_1/\lambda_2 - a/q| \le q^{-2}$ , (a,q) = 1, q > 0 and  $a \ne 0$ . We choose q to be large in terms of  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_9$  and make the following definitions.

$$N \asymp X, \qquad L = \log N, \qquad \left[N^{1-8\delta}\right] = q, \qquad \tau = N^{-1+\delta},$$
 
$$Q = \left(|\lambda_1|^{-1} + |\lambda_2|^{-1}\right)N^{1-\delta}, \qquad P = N^{6\delta}, \qquad T = N^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Let  $\nu$  be a positive real number, we define

$$K_{\nu}(\alpha) = \nu \left(\frac{\sin \pi \nu \alpha}{\pi \nu \alpha}\right)^{2}, \quad \alpha \neq 0, \qquad K_{\nu}(0) = \nu,$$

$$F_{i}(\alpha) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X^{\frac{1}{3}}} e(\alpha x^{3}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$F_{j}(\alpha) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X^{\frac{1}{4}}} e(\alpha x^{4}), \quad j = 3, 4,$$

$$F_{k}(\alpha) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X^{\frac{1}{5}}} e(\alpha x^{5}), \quad k = 5, \dots, 9,$$

$$G(\alpha) = \sum_{p \leq N} (\log p) e(\alpha p),$$

$$f_{i}(\alpha) = \int_{1}^{X^{\frac{1}{3}}} e(\alpha x^{3}) dx, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$f_{j}(\alpha) = \int_{1}^{X^{\frac{1}{4}}} e(\alpha x^{4}) dx, \quad j = 3, 4,$$

$$f_{k}(\alpha) = \int_{1}^{X^{\frac{1}{5}}} e(\alpha x^{5}) dx, \quad k = 5, \dots, 9,$$

$$g(\alpha) = \int_{1}^{N} e(\alpha x) dx.$$

$$(2.1)$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$K_{\nu}(\alpha) \ll \min(\nu, \nu^{-1}|\alpha|^{-2}), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e(\alpha y) K_{\nu}(\alpha) d\alpha = \max(0, 1 - \nu^{-1}|y|). \tag{2.3}$$

From (2.3) it is clear that

$$\begin{split} J &=: \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{9} F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha) G(-\alpha) e\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) \, d\alpha \\ &\leq \log N \sum_{\substack{|\lambda_{1}x_{1}^{3} + \lambda_{2}x_{2}^{3} + \lambda_{3}x_{3}^{4} + \lambda_{4}x_{4}^{4} + \lambda_{5}x_{5}^{5} + \dots + \lambda_{9}x_{9}^{5} - p - \frac{1}{2}|<\frac{1}{2}}{1 \leq x_{1}, x_{2} \leq X^{1/3}, 1 \leq x_{3}, x_{4} \leq X^{1/4}, 1 \leq x_{5}, \dots, x_{9} \leq X^{1/5}, p \leq N} \\ &=: (\log N) \mathcal{N}(X), \end{split}$$

thus

$$\mathcal{N}(X) \ge (\log N)^{-1} J.$$

To estimate J, we split the range of infinite integration into three sections, traditional named the neighborhood of the origin  $\mathfrak{C} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : |\alpha| \le \tau\}$ , the intermediate region  $\mathfrak{D} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \tau < |\alpha| \le P\}$  and the trivial region  $\mathfrak{c} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : |\alpha| > P\}$ .

# 3 The neighborhood of the origin

**Lemma 3.1** *If*  $\alpha = a/q + \beta$ , where (a, q) = 1, then

$$\sum_{1\leq x\leq N^{1/t}}e\left(\alpha x^{t}\right)=q^{-1}\sum_{m=1}^{q}e\left(am^{t}/q\right)\int_{1}^{N^{1/t}}e\left(\beta y^{t}\right)dy+O\left(q^{1/2+\varepsilon}\left(1+N|\beta|\right)\right).$$

Proof This is Theorem 4.1 of [2].

If  $|\alpha| \in \mathfrak{C}$ , by Lemma 3.1, taking a = 0, q = 1, then

$$F_i(\alpha) = f_i(\alpha) + O(X^{\delta}), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., 9.$$
 (3.1)

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  be a typical zero of the Riemann zeta function, C be a positive constant,

$$I(\alpha) = \sum_{|\gamma| < T, \beta > \frac{2}{3}} \sum_{n \le N} n^{\rho - 1} e(n\alpha), \qquad J(\alpha) = O\left(\left(1 + |\alpha|N\right)N^{\frac{2}{3}}L^{C}\right),$$

then

$$G(\alpha) = g(\alpha) - I(\alpha) + J(\alpha), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| I(\alpha) \right|^2 d\alpha \ll N \exp\left(-L^{\frac{1}{5}}\right), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left| J(\alpha) \right|^2 d\alpha \ll N \exp\left(-L^{\frac{1}{5}}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

*Proof* Equations (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) can be seen from Lemma 5, (29) and (33) given by Vaughan [3].  $\Box$ 

Lemma 3.3 We have

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |f_i(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll X^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |f_j(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll X^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad j = 3, 4,$$

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |f_k(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll X^{-\frac{3}{5}}, \quad k = 5, \dots, 9.$$

Proof These results are from Lemma 5 of [3].

#### Lemma 3.4 We have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{C}} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{9} F_i(\lambda_i \alpha) G(-\alpha) - \prod_{i=1}^{9} f_i(\lambda_i \alpha) g(-\alpha) \right| K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \ll X^{\frac{13}{6}} L^{-1}.$$

*Proof* It is obvious that

$$F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha) \ll X^{\frac{1}{3}}, \qquad f_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha) \ll X^{\frac{1}{3}}, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

$$F_{j}(\lambda_{j}\alpha) \ll X^{\frac{1}{4}}, \qquad f_{j}(\lambda_{j}\alpha) \ll X^{\frac{1}{4}}, \qquad j = 3, 4,$$

$$F_{k}(\lambda_{k}\alpha) \ll X^{\frac{1}{5}}, \qquad f_{k}(\lambda_{k}\alpha) \ll X^{\frac{1}{5}}, \qquad k = 5, \dots, 9,$$

$$G(-\alpha) \ll N, \qquad g(-\alpha) \ll N,$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{9} F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)G(-\alpha) - \prod_{i=1}^{9} f_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)g(-\alpha)$$

$$= \left(F_{1}(\lambda_{1}\alpha) - f_{1}(\lambda_{1}\alpha)\right) \prod_{i=2}^{9} F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)G(-\alpha)$$

$$+ \left(F_{2}(\lambda_{2}\alpha) - f_{2}(\lambda_{2}\alpha)\right) \prod_{i=1}^{9} F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)G(-\alpha) + \cdots$$

$$+ \left(F_{9}(\lambda_{9}\alpha) - f_{9}(\lambda_{9}\alpha)\right) \prod_{i=1}^{8} f_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)G(-\alpha) + \prod_{i=1}^{9} f_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)\left(G(-\alpha) - g(-\alpha)\right).$$

Then by (3.1), Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathfrak{C}} \left| \left( F_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) - f_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) \right) \prod_{i=2}^9 F_i(\lambda_i \alpha) G(-\alpha) \right| K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) \, d\alpha \ll N^{-1+\delta} X^{\delta} X^{\frac{11}{6}} N \ll X^{\frac{11}{6}+2\delta}, \\ &\int_{\mathfrak{C}} \left| \prod_{i=1}^9 f_i(\lambda_i \alpha) \left( G(-\alpha) - g(-\alpha) \right) \right| K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) \, d\alpha \\ &\ll X^{\frac{11}{6}} \left( \int_{\mathfrak{C}} \left| f_1(\lambda_1 \alpha) \right|^2 K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) \, d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathfrak{C}} \left| J(-\alpha) - I(-\alpha) \right|^2 K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) \, d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{split}$$

$$\ll X^{\frac{11}{6}} \left( \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |f_1(\lambda_1 \alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathfrak{C}} |J(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |I(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll X^{\frac{11}{6}} X^{-\frac{1}{6}} \left( N \exp\left(-L^{\frac{1}{5}}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll X^{\frac{13}{6}} L^{-1}.$$

The other cases are similar, and the proof of Lemma 3.4 is completed.

# Lemma 3.5 We have

$$\int_{|\alpha|>N^{-1+\delta}} \left| \prod_{i=1}^9 f_i(\lambda_i \alpha) g(-\alpha) \right| K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) \, d\alpha \ll X^{\frac{13}{6} - \frac{13}{6}\delta}.$$

*Proof* It follows from Vaughan [2] that for  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,

$$f_i(\lambda_i \alpha) \ll |\alpha|^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \qquad f_j(\lambda_j \alpha) \ll |\alpha|^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad j = 3, 4,$$

$$f_k(\lambda_k \alpha) \ll |\alpha|^{-\frac{1}{5}}, \quad k = 5, \dots, 9, \qquad g(-\alpha) \ll |\alpha|^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\int_{|\alpha|>N^{-1+\delta}} \left| \prod_{i=1}^9 f_i(\lambda_i \alpha) g(-\alpha) \right| K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \ll \int_{|\alpha|>N^{-1+\delta}} |\alpha|^{-\frac{19}{6}} d\alpha \ll X^{\frac{13}{6} - \frac{13}{6}\delta}.$$

# Lemma 3.6 We have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{9} f_i(\lambda_i \alpha) g(-\alpha) e\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \gg X^{\frac{13}{6}}.$$

Proof From (2.3) one has

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{9} f_i(\lambda_i \alpha) g(-\alpha) e\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$= \int_{1}^{X^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_{1}^{X^{\frac{1}{3}}} \int_{1}^{X^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{1}^{X^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{1}^{X^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{1}^{X^{\frac{1}{5}}} \cdots \int_{1}^{X^{\frac{1}{5}}} \int_{1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e\left(\alpha\left(\lambda_1 x_1^3 + \lambda_2 x_2^3 + \lambda_3 x_3^4 + \lambda_4 x_4^4 + \lambda_5 x_5^5 + \cdots + \lambda_9 x_9^5 - x - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha dx dx_9 \cdots dx_5 dx_4 dx_3 dx_2 dx_1$$

$$= \frac{1}{450,000} \int_{1}^{X} \cdots \int_{1}^{X} \int_{1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x_1^{-\frac{2}{3}} x_2^{-\frac{2}{3}} x_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} x_4^{-\frac{3}{4}} x_5^{-\frac{4}{5}} \cdots x_9^{-\frac{4}{5}} e\left(\alpha\left(\sum_{i=1}^{9} \lambda_i x_i - x - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$\cdot K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha dx dx_9 \cdots dx_1$$

$$= \frac{1}{450,000} \int_{1}^{X} \cdots \int_{1}^{X} \int_{1}^{N} x_1^{-\frac{2}{3}} x_2^{-\frac{2}{3}} x_3^{-\frac{3}{4}} x_4^{-\frac{3}{4}} x_5^{-\frac{4}{5}} \cdots x_9^{-\frac{4}{5}}$$

$$\cdot \max\left(0, \frac{1}{2} - \left|\sum_{i=1}^{9} \lambda_i x_i - x - \frac{1}{2}\right|\right) dx dx_9 \cdots dx_1.$$

Let 
$$|\sum_{i=1}^{9} \lambda_i x_i - x - \frac{1}{2}| \le \frac{1}{4}$$
, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{9} \lambda_i x_i - \frac{3}{4} \le x \le \sum_{i=1}^{9} \lambda_i x_i - \frac{1}{4}$ . Based on

$$\sum_{i=1}^{9} \lambda_i x_i - \frac{3}{4} > 1, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{9} \lambda_i x_i - \frac{1}{4} < N,$$

one may take

$$\lambda_j X \left( 8 \sum_{i=1}^9 \lambda_i \right)^{-1} \leq x_j \leq \lambda_j X \left( 4 \sum_{i=1}^9 \lambda_i \right)^{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 9,$$

hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{9} f_i(\lambda_i \alpha) g(-\alpha) e\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \ge \frac{1}{3,600,000} \prod_{j=1}^{9} \lambda_j \left(8 \sum_{i=1}^{9} \lambda_i\right)^{-9} X^{\frac{13}{6}}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.6.

# 4 The intermediate region

Lemma 4.1 We have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| F_i(\lambda_i \alpha) \right|^8 K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) \, d\alpha \ll X^{\frac{5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon}, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| F_j(\lambda_j \alpha) \right|^{16} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \ll X^{3 + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}, \quad j = 3, 4, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| F_k(\lambda_k \alpha) \right|^{32} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \ll X^{\frac{27}{5} + \frac{1}{5}\varepsilon}, \quad k = 5, \dots, 9, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| G(-\alpha) \right|^2 K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) \, d\alpha \ll NL. \tag{4.4}$$

*Proof* By (2.2) and Hua's inequality, for i = 1, 2, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)|^{8} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$\ll \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{m}^{m+1} |F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)|^{8} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$\ll \sum_{m=0}^{1} \int_{m}^{m+1} |F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)|^{8} d\alpha + \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} m^{-2} \int_{m}^{m+1} |F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)|^{8} d\alpha$$

$$\ll X^{\frac{5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon} + X^{\frac{5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon} \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} m^{-2}$$

$$\ll X^{\frac{5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon}.$$

The proofs of (4.2)-(4.4) are similar to (4.1).

**Lemma 4.2** Suppose that (a,q) = 1,  $|\alpha - a/q| \le q^{-2}$ ,  $\phi(x) = \alpha x^k + \alpha_1 x^{k-1} + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} x + \alpha_k$ , then

$$\sum_{x=1}^{M} e(\phi(x)) \ll M^{1+\varepsilon} (q^{-1} + M^{-1} + qM^{-k})^{2^{1-k}}.$$

*Proof* This is Lemma 2.4 (Weyl's inequality) of Vaughan [2].

**Lemma 4.3** For every real number  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}$ , let  $W(\alpha) = \min(|F_1(\lambda_1 \alpha)|, |F_2(\lambda_2 \alpha)|)$ , then

$$W(\alpha) \ll X^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\delta + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon}$$
.

*Proof* For  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}$  and i = 1, 2, we choose  $a_i$ ,  $q_i$  such that

$$|\lambda_i \alpha - a_i/q_i| \le q_i^{-1} Q^{-1} \tag{4.5}$$

with  $(a_i, q_i) = 1$  and  $1 \le q_i \le Q$ .

Firstly, we note that  $a_1a_2 \neq 0$ . Secondly, if  $q_1, q_2 \leq P$ , then

$$\left|a_2q_1\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} - a_1q_2\right| \leq \left|\frac{a_2/q_2}{\lambda_2\alpha}q_1q_2\left(\lambda_1\alpha - \frac{a_1}{q_1}\right)\right| + \left|\frac{a_1/q_1}{\lambda_2\alpha}q_1q_2\left(\lambda_2\alpha - \frac{a_2}{q_2}\right)\right| \ll PQ^{-1} < \frac{1}{2q}.$$

We recall that q was chosen as the denominator of a convergent to the continued fraction for  $\lambda_1/\lambda_2$ . Thus, by Legendre's law of best approximation, we have  $|q'\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}-a'|>\frac{1}{2q}$  for all integers a', q' with  $1\leq q'< q$ , thus  $|a_2q_1|\geq q=[N^{1-8\delta}]$ . However, from (4.5) we have  $|a_2q_1|\ll q_1q_2P\ll N^{18\delta}$ , this is a contradiction. We have thus established that for at least one  $i,P< q_i\ll Q$ . Hence Lemma 4.2 gives the desired inequality for  $W(\alpha)$ .

# Lemma 4.4 We have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \prod_{i=1}^{9} F_i(\lambda_i \alpha) G(-\alpha) e\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \ll X^{\frac{13}{6} - \frac{1}{16}\delta + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof By Lemmas 4.1, 4.3 and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \prod_{i=1}^{9} |F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)G(-\alpha)| K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$\ll \max_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}} |W(\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{1}(\lambda_{1}\alpha)|^{8} \right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{2}(\lambda_{2}\alpha)|^{8} \right)^{\frac{3}{32}} + \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{1}(\lambda_{1}\alpha)|^{8} \right)^{\frac{3}{32}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{2}(\lambda_{2}\alpha)|^{8} \right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \right)$$

$$\cdot \left( \prod_{j=3}^{4} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{j}(\lambda_{j}\alpha)|^{16} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{16}} \left( \prod_{k=5}^{9} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{k}(\lambda_{k}\alpha)|^{32} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{32}} \cdot \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |G(-\alpha)|^{2} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll \left(X^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\delta + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(X^{\frac{5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{7}{32}} \left(X^{3 + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \left(X^{\frac{27}{5} + \frac{1}{5}\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{5}{32}} (NL)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll X^{\frac{13}{6} - \frac{1}{16}\delta + \varepsilon}.$$

# 5 The trivial region

**Lemma 5.1** (Lemma 2 of [4]) Let  $V(\alpha) = \sum e(\alpha f(x_1, ..., x_m))$ , where f is any real function and the summation is over any finite set of values of  $x_1, ..., x_m$ . Then, for any A > 4, we have

$$\int_{|\alpha|>A} |V(\alpha)|^2 K_{\nu}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq \frac{16}{A} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |V(\alpha)|^2 K_{\nu}(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Lemma 5.2 We have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{c}} \prod_{i=1}^{9} F_i(\lambda_i \alpha) G(-\alpha) e\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha) d\alpha \ll X^{\frac{13}{6}-6\delta+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof By Lemmas 5.1, 4.1 and Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\int_{c} \prod_{i=1}^{9} F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)G(-\alpha)e\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha)d\alpha$$

$$\ll \int_{c} \left|\prod_{i=1}^{9} F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)G(-\alpha)\right|K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha)d\alpha$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{P} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left|\prod_{i=1}^{9} F_{i}(\lambda_{i}\alpha)G(-\alpha)\right|K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha)d\alpha$$

$$\ll N^{-6\delta} |F_{1}(\lambda_{1}\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{1}(\lambda_{1}\alpha)|^{8}\right)^{\frac{3}{32}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{2}(\lambda_{2}\alpha)|^{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\right)$$

$$\cdot \left(\prod_{j=3}^{4} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{j}(\lambda_{j}\alpha)|^{16} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha)d\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{16}} \left(\prod_{k=5}^{9} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{k}(\lambda_{k}\alpha)|^{32} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha)d\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{32}}$$

$$\cdot \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |G(-\alpha)|^{2} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha)d\alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll N^{-6\delta} \left(X^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(X^{\frac{5}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{7}{32}} \left(X^{3 + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \left(X^{\frac{27}{5} + \frac{1}{5}\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{5}{32}} (NL)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll X^{\frac{13}{6} - 6\delta + \varepsilon}.$$

# 6 The proof of Theorem 1.1

From Lemmas 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 we conclude that  $J(\mathfrak{C}) \gg X^{\frac{13}{6}}$ . From Lemma 4.4 it follows that  $J(\mathfrak{D}) = o(X^{\frac{13}{6}})$ . From Lemma 5.2 we have  $J(\mathfrak{c}) = o(X^{\frac{13}{6}})$ . Thus

$$J \gg X^{\frac{13}{6}}, \qquad \mathcal{N}(X) \gg X^{\frac{13}{6}} L^{-1},$$

namely, under conditions of Theorem 1.1,

$$\left| \lambda_1 x_1^3 + \lambda_2 x_2^3 + \lambda_3 x_3^4 + \lambda_4 x_4^4 + \lambda_5 x_5^5 + \dots + \lambda_9 x_9^5 - p - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{1}{2}$$
 (6.1)

has infinitely many solutions in positive integers  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_9$  and prime p. It is evident from (6.1) that

$$p < \lambda_1 x_1^3 + \lambda_2 x_2^3 + \lambda_3 x_3^4 + \lambda_4 x_4^4 + \lambda_5 x_5^5 + \dots + \lambda_9 x_9^5 < p + 1,$$

and hence

$$\left[\lambda_1 x_1^3 + \lambda_2 x_2^3 + \lambda_3 x_3^4 + \lambda_4 x_4^4 + \lambda_5 x_5^5 + \dots + \lambda_9 x_9^5\right] = p.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

#### **Competing interests**

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Received: 30 August 2015 Accepted: 28 October 2015 Published online: 11 November 2015

#### References

- 1. Brüdern, J, Kawada, K, Wooley, TD: Additive representation in thin sequences, VIII: Diophantine inequalities in review. In: Number Theory: Dreaming in Dreams. Series on Number Theory and Its Applications, vol. 6, pp. 20-79 (2010)
- 2. Vaughan, R: The Hardy-Littlewood Method, 2nd edn. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 125. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997)
- 3. Vaughan, R: Diophantine approximation by prime numbers I. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 28, 373-384 (1974)
- 4. Davenport, H, Roth, KF: The solubility of certain Diophantine inequalities. Mathematika 2, 81-96 (1955)

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com