

RESEARCH

Open Access



Generalized Gronwall fractional summation inequalities and their applications

Run Xu^{1*} and Ying Zhang²

*Correspondence:
xurun2005@163.com

¹Department of Mathematics, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, Shandong 273165, People's Republic of China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Based on an iteration method, some generalized discrete fractional Gronwall inequalities are developed, which can be used in the qualitative analysis of the solutions to fractional difference equations and summation equations.

MSC: 39A12; 26A33

Keywords: Gronwall's inequality; fractional difference; fractional sum; fractional difference equation; fractional sum equation

1 Introduction

In recent years, the fractional differential and fractional integrals have been adopted in various fields of science and engineering, which can be used to describe certain phenomena, reflect some physiochemical properties, and provide accurate models for the systems under consideration. Some applications of fractional calculus include fluid flow, rheology, dynamical processes in self-similar and porous structures, electrical networks, probability and statistics, control theory of dynamical systems, chemical physics, optics, and signal processing, economics, and so on. Therefore, they are receiving extensive attention from a variety of domains. References [1, 2] introduced the definitions of fractional calculus, theorems and basic analytic solutions of the fractional equation in detail. At the same time, the fractional difference equations, fractional sum equations, and fractional inequalities also play important roles in many areas. In 1989, Miller and Ross [3] defined a fractional sum of order $\alpha > 0$ via the solution of a linear difference equation and proved some basic properties of this operator. After that, many authors followed up in various directions [4–17].

In 2000, Hirota [18] defined the fractional order difference operator ∇^α where α was a real number, using Taylor's series. In 2003, Nagai [19] adopted another definition for the fractional difference by modifying Hirota's definition. The definition in [19] contained the ∇ operator and the term $(-1)^j$ inside the summation index. Therefore this definition was difficult use in studying the properties of solutions of fractional difference equations. To avoid this, Deekshitulu and Mohan [20] modified the definition and rearranged the terms in the definition of Nagai [19]. Then they defined the fractional sum operator $\nabla^{-\alpha}$ and the fractional difference operator ∇^α . Recently, they discussed some basic inequalities,

comparison theorems, and qualitative properties of the solutions of fractional difference equations in [21–24]. Under the definition in [20], they also considered an initial value problem of fractional order and obtained some useful fractional difference inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman type [25].

In 2009, Atici and Eloe [26] introduced the definition of the fractional sum and the fractional difference: $\nabla^{-\alpha}$, ∇^α , $\Delta^{-\alpha}$, Δ^α . In 2012, based on the definition, Atici and Eloe [27] and Ferreira [28] studied some discrete fractional Gronwall’s inequalities as regards $\nabla^{-\alpha}$, $\Delta^{-\alpha}$ separately. They all adopted the same method: After establishing a comparison theorem, they gave an explicit solution to the linear discrete fractional sum equation of the initial value problem, which allowed them to state and prove an analog of Gronwall’s inequality on discrete fractional calculus.

In 2011, Cheng [29] presented another form of definition. He provided some basic properties of fractional difference and summation, and established the theory symmetrical to the fractional differential equation.

In this paper, we will introduce some inequalities and their applications based on the definition in [29] to demonstrate the qualitative properties of solutions to some fractional summation equations. The proof is based on the iterative method.

Definition 1.1 [29] Let ν be nonnegative real number, define the ν -order summation of $x(n)$ as

$$\nabla^{-\nu}x(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ n \end{bmatrix} * x(n) = \sum_{r=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ n-r \end{bmatrix} x(r),$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\nu(\nu+1)\cdots(\nu+n-1)}{n!}$, $*$ is the convolution operator.

Definition 1.2 [29] Let μ be positive real number and m be the minimum positive integer which is greater than μ ($m-1 \leq \mu \leq m$). Define the μ -order difference of $x(n)$ as

$$\nabla^\mu x(n) = \nabla^m \nabla^{-(m-\mu)}x(n),$$

where ∇^m is the m th-order backward difference operator.

Definition 1.3 [29] Define the discrete Mittag-Leffler function $F_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, n)$ as

$$F_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \begin{bmatrix} \alpha k + \beta \\ n \end{bmatrix} \quad (|\lambda| < 1),$$

$$F_\alpha(\lambda, n) = F_{\alpha,1}(\lambda, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \begin{bmatrix} \alpha k + 1 \\ n \end{bmatrix} \quad (|\lambda| < 1).$$

In [11], Cheng gave the discrete fractional summation Gronwall inequality.

Theorem 1.1 [29] Suppose that $\beta > 0$. Let u_n , a_n , and g_n be nonnegative functions, where g_n is also monotone and nondecreasing and satisfies $0 \leq g_n \leq M$ ($0 \leq M < 1$), $0 \leq n \leq N$. If

$$u_n \leq a_n + g_n \nabla^{-\beta} u_n,$$

then

$$u_n \leq a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (g_n)^k \nabla^{-k\beta} a_n.$$

In [30], Zhang *et al.* have extended the result and given the following conclusion.

Theorem 1.2 [30] *Let $\beta > 0, p \geq r > 0, u_n, a_n, g_n$ be nonnegative functions, where g_n is also monotone and nondecreasing and satisfies $0 \leq g_n \leq M$ ($0 \leq M < 1$), $0 \leq n \leq N$. If*

$$(u_n)^p \leq a_n + g_n \nabla^{-\beta} (u_n)^r,$$

then

$$u_n \leq \left\{ a_n + D_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Cg_n)^k \nabla^{-k\beta} D_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where

$$D_n = g_n \nabla^{-\beta} \left\{ \frac{r}{p} k^{\frac{r-p}{p}} a_n + \frac{p-r}{p} k^{\frac{r}{p}} \right\},$$

$$C = \frac{r}{p} k^{\frac{r-p}{p}}, \quad k > 1.$$

In order to prove our results, we need the following basic information.

Lemma 1.1 [29] *Let $\mu > 0, \nu > 0$, then $\nabla^{-\mu} \nabla^{-\nu} x(n) = \nabla^{-(\mu+\nu)} x(n)$.*

Lemma 1.2 [29] $\nabla^{-\beta} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k+\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix}, k, n, \beta > 0$.

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that $\beta > 0, u_n, a_n, g_n, h_n$ are nonnegative functions, g_n and h_n are also monotone and nondecreasing, $u_n \leq c, g_n \leq M_1, h_n \leq M_2$ ($M_1 M_2 < 1, 0 \leq n \leq N$). If*

$$u_n \leq a_n + g_n \nabla^{-\beta} h_n u_n, \tag{2.1}$$

then

$$u_n \leq a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_n^k h_n^k \nabla^{-k\beta} a_n. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof Let $Bu_n = g_n \nabla^{-\beta} h_n u_n$. We find that B is linear and nondecreasing under the conditions that u_n, g_n, h_n are nonnegative functions and g_n, h_n are also nondecreasing. Therefore, (2.1) turns into

$$u_n \leq a_n + Bu_n.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_n &\leq a_n + B(a_n + Bu_n) = a_n + Ba_n + B^2u_n \leq \dots \\
 &\leq a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} B^k a_n + B^m u_n
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 Bu_n &= g_n \nabla^{-\beta} h_n u_n \leq cM_1M_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \beta \\ n \end{bmatrix}, \\
 B^2u_n &= g_n \nabla^{-\beta} h_n (g_n \nabla^{-\beta} h_n u_n) \leq c(M_1M_2)^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix}, \\
 &\vdots \\
 B^m u_n &= g_n^m h_n^m \nabla^{-m\beta} u_n \leq c(M_1M_2)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 + m\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Noticing that $|M_1M_2| < 1$, then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (M_1M_2)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 + m\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix} = F_{\beta,1}(M_1M_2, n) - 1.$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (M_1M_2)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 + m\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

From (2.4) we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B^m u_n \leq 0.$$

Due to the fact that $B^m u_n \geq 0$, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B^m u_n = 0.$$

Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (2.3) we get

$$u_n \leq a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B^k a_n \leq a_n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_n^k h_n^k \nabla^{-k\beta} a_n.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Remark 2.1 If $h_n \equiv 1$, (2.2) becomes Theorem 2.2 of Chapter 3 in [29]:

$$u_n \leq a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_n^k \nabla^{-k\beta} a_n.$$

Corollary 2.1 *With the conditions of Theorem 2.1, let $g_n = a$, $0 < aM_2 < 1$. If*

$$u_n \leq a_n + a \nabla^{-\beta} h_n u_n,$$

then

$$u_n \leq a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^k h_n^k \nabla^{-k\beta} a_n.$$

Corollary 2.2 *Suppose that the conditions of Corollary 2.1 hold, a_n is monotone increasing and $0 < aM_2 < 1$. If*

$$u_n \leq a_n + a \nabla^{-\beta} h_n u_n,$$

then

$$u_n \leq a_n F_{\beta,1}(aM_2, n).$$

Proof Under the conditions of Corollary 2.1 and a_n is monotone increasing, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\leq a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^k h_n^k \nabla^{-k\beta} a_n \leq a_n + a_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^k h_n^k \nabla^{-k\beta} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ n \end{bmatrix} \\ &\leq a_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (aM_2)^k \begin{bmatrix} k\beta + 1 \\ n \end{bmatrix} = a_n F_{\beta,1}(aM_2, n) \quad (0 < aM_2 < 1). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.3 *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold, if a_n is monotone increasing, then from*

$$u_n \leq a_n + g_n \nabla^{-\beta} h_n u_n,$$

we get

$$u_n \leq a_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (M_1 M_2)^k \nabla^{-k\beta} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ n \end{bmatrix} = a_n F_{\beta,1}(M_1 M_2, n).$$

Theorem 2.2 *Suppose that $\beta > 0$, u_n , and a_n are nonnegative functions, $\varphi(t)$ is monotone and nondecreasing, $\varphi(t + s) \leq \varphi(t) + \varphi(s)$, $\varphi(t) \leq Lt$, $0 < L < 1$. If*

$$u_n \leq a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(u_n), \tag{2.5}$$

then

$$u_n \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nabla^{-k\beta} \varphi^k(a_n). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof Let $Bu_n = \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(u_n)$. Under the conditions that $\varphi(a + b) \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$ and $\varphi(t)$ is monotone and nondecreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(u_n)) &= \varphi\left(\sum_{r=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ n-r \end{bmatrix} \varphi(u(r))\right) \leq \sum_{r=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ n-r \end{bmatrix} \varphi^2(u(r)) = \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi^2(u_n), \\ \varphi^2(\nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(u_n)) &\leq \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi^3(u_n), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

then for all $n \in N_0^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\leq a_n + Bu_n = a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(u_n) \\ &\leq a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(a_n + Bu_n) = a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(u_n)) \\ &\leq a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(a_n) + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(\nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(u_n)) \\ &\leq a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(a_n) + \nabla^{-2\beta} \varphi^2(u_n) \\ &\leq a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(a_n) + \nabla^{-2\beta} \varphi^2(a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(u_n)) \\ &\leq a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(a_n) + \nabla^{-2\beta} \varphi^2(a_n) + \nabla^{-3\beta} \varphi^3(u_n) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq a_n + \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(a_n) + \nabla^{-2\beta} \varphi^2(a_n) + \nabla^{-3\beta} \varphi^3(a_n) + \dots \\ &\quad + \nabla^{-(m-1)\beta} \varphi^{m-1}(a_n) + \nabla^{-m\beta} \varphi^m(u_n), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$u_n \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \nabla^{-k\beta} \varphi^k(a_n) + \nabla^{-m\beta} \varphi^m(u_n). \tag{2.7}$$

Noticing that $\varphi(u_n) \leq Lu_n$, $|L| < 1$, and u_n is bounded for $0 \leq n \leq N$, suppose that $0 < u_n \leq C$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{-\beta} \varphi(u_n) &\leq L \nabla^{-\beta} u_n \leq L \nabla^{-\beta} C = CL \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \beta \\ n \end{bmatrix}, \\ \nabla^{-2\beta} \varphi^2(u_n) &\leq CL^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix}, \\ \nabla^{-3\beta} \varphi^3(u_n) &\leq CL^3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 3\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix}, \\ &\vdots \\ \nabla^{-m\beta} \varphi^m(u_n) &\leq CL^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 + m\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

From the fact that the series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} L^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 + m\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix}$$

converges to $F_{\beta,1}(L, n)$, $|L| < 1$, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 + m\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix} = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Due to the fact that $\nabla^{-m\beta} \varphi^m(u_n) \geq 0$, from (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \nabla^{-m\beta} \varphi^m(u_n) = 0,$$

taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (2.7), we have

$$u_n \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nabla^{-k\beta} \varphi^k(a_n).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

By choosing some particular functions $\varphi(t)$, we can get the corresponding results. For example, suppose that a_n, u_n, β are the same as in Theorem 2.2. Let $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sin t$ ($0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$), $0 \leq u_n \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, then $\varphi(t)$ satisfies $\varphi(t + s) \leq \varphi(t) + \varphi(s)$, $\varphi(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}t$. If

$$u_n \leq a_n + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{-\beta} \sin u_n,$$

then

$$u_n \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \nabla^{-k\beta} \sin^k a_n.$$

Furthermore, if $a_n \equiv 1$, then from $\sin t \leq t$, we have

$$u_n \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \nabla^{-k\beta} 1 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 + k\beta \\ n \end{bmatrix}.$$

3 Applications

Consider the fractional difference equation

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^\alpha y(n) = f(n, y(n)), \\ \nabla^{\alpha-1} y(n)|_{n=-1} = \eta, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq n \leq N < \infty$.

From Proposition 3.1 in Chapter 3 of [29], we know that the problem (3.1) is equivalent to the summation equation

$$y(n) = \eta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ n \end{bmatrix} + \nabla^{-\alpha} f(n, y(n)). \tag{3.2}$$

Suppose that z_n is the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^\alpha z(n) = f(n, z(n)), \\ \nabla^{\alpha-1} z(n)|_{n=-1} = \tilde{\eta}, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

$0 < \alpha < 1, 0 \leq n \leq N < \infty$, then (3.3) is equivalent to the summation equation

$$z(n) = \tilde{\eta} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ n \end{bmatrix} + \nabla^{-\alpha} f(n, z(n)). \tag{3.4}$$

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that $f(n, t)$ satisfies the condition*

$$|f(n, z) - f(n, y)| \leq h_n |z - y|, \tag{3.5}$$

where h_n is a monotone nondecreasing positive function, $|h_n| < 1$. Then the solutions of (3.1) rely on the initial value continuously.

Proof From (3.2), (3.4), and (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z(n) - y(n)| &\leq |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ n \end{bmatrix} + \nabla^{-\alpha} |f(n, z(n)) - f(n, y(n))| \\ &\leq |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ n \end{bmatrix} + \nabla^{-\alpha} h_n |z(n) - y(n)|. \end{aligned}$$

From Corollary 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z(n) - y(n)| &\leq |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ n \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_n^k \nabla^{-k\alpha} |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_n^k \begin{bmatrix} k\alpha + \alpha \\ n \end{bmatrix} = |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| F_{\alpha, \alpha}(h_n, n), \quad |h_n| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then the solutions of (3.1) rely on the initial value continuously. □

Remark 3.1 The condition (3.5) generalizes the Lipschitz condition, then Theorem 3.1 is a promotion of Theorem 3.2 in Chapter 3 of [29].

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that $f(n, t)$ satisfies the condition*

$$|f(n, z) - f(n, y)| \leq \varphi(|z - y|), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is the same as in Theorem 2.2. Then the solutions of (3.1) rely on the initial value continuously.

Proof From (3.2), (3.4), and (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z(n) - y(n)| &\leq |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ n \end{matrix} \right] + \nabla^{-\alpha} |f(n, z(n)) - f(n, y(n))| \\ &\leq |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ n \end{matrix} \right] + \nabla^{-\alpha} \varphi(|z(n) - y(n)|). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |z(n) - y(n)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nabla^{-k\alpha} \varphi^k \left(|\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ n \end{matrix} \right] \right) \\ &\leq |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L^k \left[\begin{matrix} k\alpha + \alpha \\ n \end{matrix} \right] = |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| F_{\alpha, \alpha}(L, n). \end{aligned}$$

Then the solutions of (3.1) rely on the initial value continuously. □

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

RX studied the generalized discrete fractional Gronwall inequalities and completed the corresponding proof. YZ obtained the results of Section 3: Applications. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, Shandong 273165, People's Republic of China. ²Basic Department of Shandong Water Polytechnic, Rizhao, Shandong 276800, People's Republic of China.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments, which helped to improve the quality of the paper. The author RX is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (11171178 and 11271225).

Received: 6 May 2015 Accepted: 16 July 2015 Published online: 04 August 2015

References

1. Miller, KS, Ross, B: An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations. Wiley, New York (1993)
2. Samko, SG, Kilbas, AA, Maitchev, OI: Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications. Nauka i Technika, Minsk (1987)
3. Miller, KS, Ross, B: Fractional difference calculus. In: Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications, pp. 139-152. Ellis Horwood, Chichester (1989)
4. Atici, FM, Sengul, SS: Modeling with discrete fractional equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **369**, 1-9 (2010)
5. Abdeljawad, T, Baleanu, D: Caputo q -fractional initial value problems and a q -analogue Mittag-Leffler function. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **16**(12), 4682-4688 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.cnsns.2011.01.026
6. Abdeljawad, T, Baleanu, D: Fractional differences and integration by parts. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **13**(3), 574-582 (2011)
7. Atici, FM, Eloe, PW: A transform method in discrete fractional calculus. *Int. J. Difference Equ.* **2**(2), 165-176 (2007)
8. Atici, FM, Eloe, PW: Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **137**(3), 981-989 (2009)
9. Atici, FM, Sengul, S: Modeling with fractional difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **369**(1), 1-9 (2010)
10. Atici, FM, Eloe, PW: Two-point boundary value problems for finite fractional difference equations. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **17**, 445-456 (2011)
11. Bastos, NRO, Ferreira, RAC, Torres, DFM: Necessary optimality conditions for fractional difference problems of the calculus of variations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **29**(2), 417-437 (2011)
12. Cheng, J, Chu, Y: On the fractional difference equations of order $(2, q)$. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2011**, Article ID 497259 (2011). doi:10.1155/2011/497259
13. Cheng, J: The solution of fractional difference equations of order (k, q) . *Acta Math. Appl. Sin.* **34**(2), 313-330 (2011)
14. Cheng, J, Chu, Y: Fractional difference equations with real variable. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 918529 (2012)
15. Goodrich, CS: Solutions to a discrete right-focal fractional boundary value problem. *Int. J. Difference Equ.* **5**, 195-216 (2010)
16. Goodrich, CS: Continuity of solutions to discrete fractional initial value problems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**(11), 3489-3499 (2010)

17. Jarad, F, Abdeljawad, T, Baleanu, D: On the stability of some discrete fractional nonautonomous systems. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 476581 (2012)
18. Hirota, R: *Lectures on Difference Equations*. Science-sha, Tokyo (2000) (in Japanese)
19. Nagai, A: An integrable mapping with fractional difference. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **72**, 2181-2183 (2003)
20. Deekshitulu, GVSR, Jagan Mohan, J: Fractional difference inequalities. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **14**, 89-97 (2010)
21. Deekshitulu, GVSR, Jagan Mohan, J: Fractional difference inequalities of Bihari type. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **14**, 343-354 (2010)
22. Deekshitulu, GVSR, Jagan Mohan, J: Fractional difference inequalities of Opial type and initial value problem. *Fract. Differ. Calc.* **2**, 73-85 (2012)
23. Deekshitulu, GVSR, Jagan Mohan, J: Some new fractional difference inequalities. In: *ICMMSC 2012. CCIS*, vol. 283, pp. 403-412. Springer, Berlin (2012)
24. Deekshitulu, GVSR, Jagan Mohan, J, Anand, PVS: Fractional difference inequalities of Volterra type. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **70**, 137-149 (2011)
25. Deekshitulu, GVSR, Jagan Mohan, J: Fractional difference inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman type. *Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu Math.* **17**(1), 19-30 (2013) www.math.ut.ee/acta/
26. Atici, FM, Eloe, PW: Discrete fractional calculus with the nabla operator. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* Special Edition 1, 3 (2009)
27. Atici, FM, Eloe, PW: Gronwall's inequality on discrete fractional calculus. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **64**, 3193-3200 (2012)
28. Ferreira, RAC: A discrete fractional Gronwall inequality. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **140**, 1605-1612 (2012)
29. Cheng, J: *The Theory of Fractional Difference Equations*. Xiamen University Press, Xiamen (2011) (in Chinese)
30. Zhang, Y, Huang, J, Xu, X, Yang, Y: A class of discrete fractional Gronwall inequalities. *Math. Pract. Theory* **6**, 222-225 (2014)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
