

RESEARCH

Open Access

Some properties of the interval-valued \bar{g} -integrals and a standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution

Lee-Chae Jang*

*Correspondence:
leechae.jang@kku.ac.kr
General Education Institute, Konkuk
University, Chungju, 138-701, Korea

Abstract

Pap and Stajner (*Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 102:393-415, 1999) investigated a generalized pseudo-convolution of functions based on pseudo-operations. Jang (*Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 222:45-57, 2013) studied the interval-valued generalized fuzzy integral by using an interval-representable pseudo-multiplication.

In this paper, by using the concepts of interval-representable pseudo-multiplication and g -integral, we define the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral represented by its interval-valued generator \bar{g} and a standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution by means of the corresponding interval-valued \bar{g} -integral. We also investigate some characterizations of the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral and a standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution.

MSC: 28E10; 28E20; 03E72; 26E50; 11B68

Keywords: fuzzy measure; g -integral; interval-representable pseudo-multiplication; interval-valued function; interval-valued idempotent; g -convolution

1 Introduction

Benvenuti and Mesiar [1], Daraby [2], Deschrijver [3], Grbic *et al.* [4], Klement *et al.* [5], Mesiar *et al.* [6], Stajner-Papuga *et al.* [7], Sugeno [8], Sugeno and Murofushi [9], Wu *et al.* [10, 11] have been studying pseudo-multiplications and various pseudo-integrals of measurable functions. Markova and Stupnanova [12], Maslov and Samborskij [13], and Pap and Stajner [14] introduced a general notion of pseudo-convolution of functions based on pseudo-mathematical operations and investigated the idempotent with respect to a pseudo-convolution.

Many researchers [3, 4, 15–29] have studied the pseudo-integral of measurable multi-valued function, for example, the Aumann integral, the fuzzy integral, and the Choquet integral of measurable interval-valued functions, in many different mathematical theories and their applications.

Recently, Jang [26] defined the interval-valued generalized fuzzy integral by using an interval-representable pseudo-multiplication and investigated their characterizations. The purpose of this study is to define the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral represented by its interval-valued generator \bar{g} and a standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution by means of the corresponding interval-valued \bar{g} -integral, and to investigate an interval-valued idempotent function with respect to a standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution.

This paper is organized in five sections. In Section 2, we list definitions and some properties of a pseudo-addition, a pseudo-multiplication, a g -integral, and a g -convolution of functions by means of the corresponding g -integral. In Section 3, we define an interval-representable pseudo-addition, an interval-representable pseudo-multiplication, the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral represented by its interval-valued generator \bar{g} , and investigate some characterizations of the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral. In Section 4, we define a standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution by means of the corresponding interval-valued \bar{g} -integral and investigate some basic characterizations of them. In Section 5, we give a brief summary of results and some conclusions.

2 Definitions and preliminaries

Let X be a set, \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra of X , and $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ be a set of all measurable functions $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. We introduce a pseudo-addition and a pseudo-multiplication (see [1–4, 6, 7, 12, 14, 26, 30]).

Definition 2.1 ([12]) (1) A binary operation $\oplus : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a pseudo-addition if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty)$,
- (ii) $x \leq y \implies x \oplus z \leq y \oplus z$ for all $x, y, z \in [0, \infty)$,
- (iii) $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$ for all $x, y, z \in [0, \infty)$,
- (iv) $\exists \mathbf{0} \in [0, \infty)$ such that $x \oplus \mathbf{0} = x$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$,
- (v) $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y \implies x_n \oplus y_n \rightarrow x \oplus y$.

(2) A binary operation $\odot : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a pseudo-multiplication with respect to \oplus if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) $x \odot y = y \odot x$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty)$,
- (ii) $x \odot (y \odot z) = x \odot (y \odot z)$ for all $x, y, z \in [0, \infty)$,
- (iii) $\exists \mathbf{1} \in [0, \infty)$ such that $x \odot \mathbf{1} = x$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$,
- (iv) $(x \odot y) \oplus z = (x \odot y) \oplus (x \odot z)$ for all $x, y, z \in [0, \infty)$,
- (v) $x \odot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$,
- (vi) $x \leq y \implies x \odot z \leq y \odot z$ for all $x, y, z \in [0, \infty)$.

Remark 2.1 ([6, 12, 14]) If $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a generating function for a semigroup $([0, \infty), \oplus, \odot)$, then the pseudo-operations are of the following forms:

$$x \oplus y = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y)) \tag{1}$$

and

$$x \odot y = g^{-1}(g(x)g(y)). \tag{2}$$

Definition 2.2 ([6]) A set function $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a $\sigma - \oplus$ -measure if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$,
- (ii) $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ for any sequence $\{A_i\}$ of pairwise disjoint sets from \mathcal{A} ,
 where $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n x_i$.

Let $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ be the set of all measurable functions $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. We introduce the g -integral with respect to a fuzzy measure induced by a pseudo-addition \oplus and a pseudo-multiplication \odot in Remark 2.1.

Definition 2.3 ([6]) (1) Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous strictly monotone increasing surjection function such that $g(0) = 0$ and $f \in \mathfrak{F}(X)$. The g -integral of f on A is defined by

$$\int_A^\oplus f \odot d\mu = g^{-1} \int_A g(f(x)) dx, \tag{3}$$

where dx is related to the Lebesgue measure and the integral on the right-hand side is the Lebesgue integral.

(2) f is said to be integrable if $\int_A^\oplus f \odot d\mu \in [0, \infty)$.

Let $\mathfrak{F}^*(X)$ be the set of all integrable functions. Then we obtain some basic properties of the g -integral with respect to a fuzzy measure.

Theorem 2.2 (1) If $A \in \mathcal{A}, f, h \in \mathfrak{F}^*(X)$ and $f \leq h$, then we have

$$\int_A^\oplus f \odot d\mu \leq \int_A^\oplus h \odot d\mu. \tag{4}$$

(2) Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous strictly monotone increasing surjection function such that $g(0) = 0$, \oplus, \odot are the same pseudo-operations as in Remark 2.1. If $A \in \mathcal{A}, f, h \in \mathfrak{F}^*(X)$, then we have

$$\int_A^\oplus (f \oplus h) \odot d\mu = \int_A^\oplus f \odot d\mu \oplus \int_A^\oplus h \odot d\mu. \tag{5}$$

(3) Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous strictly monotone increasing surjection function such that $g(0) = 0$, \oplus, \odot are the same pseudo-operations as in Remark 2.1, and $u \otimes v = g^{-1}(g(u)g(v))$ for $u, v \in [0, \infty)$. If $A \in \mathcal{A}, c \in [0, \infty), h \in \mathfrak{F}^*(X)$, then we have

$$\int_A^\oplus (c \otimes h) \odot d\mu = c \otimes \int_A^\oplus h \odot d\mu. \tag{6}$$

Proof (1) Note that if $f, h \in \mathfrak{F}^*(X)$ and $f \leq h$, then

$$g(f(x)) \leq g(h(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad g^{-1}(g(f(x))) \leq g^{-1}(g(h(x))). \tag{7}$$

By Definition 2.3(1), (7), and the monotonicity of the Lebesgue integral,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^\oplus f \odot d\mu &= g^{-1} \int_A g(f(x)) dx \\ &\leq g^{-1} \int_A g(h(x)) dx \\ &= \int_A^\oplus h \odot d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

(2) By Definition 2.3(1) and the additivity of the Lebesgue integral,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_A^\oplus (f \oplus h) \odot d\mu &= g^{-1} \int_A g(g^{-1}(g(f(x)) + g(h(x)))) dx \\
 &= g^{-1} \int_A (g(f(x)) + g(h(x))) dx \\
 &= g^{-1} \left[\int_A g(f(x)) dx + \int_A g(h(x)) dx \right] \\
 &= g^{-1} g g^{-1} \int_A g(f(x)) dx + g g^{-1} \int_A g(h(x)) dx \\
 &= g^{-1} \left(g \int_A^\oplus f \odot d\mu + g \int_A^\oplus h \odot d\mu \right) \\
 &= \int_A^\oplus f \odot d\mu \oplus \int_A^\oplus h \odot d\mu.
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

(3) By Definition 2.3(1) and the linearity of the Lebesgue integral,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_A^\oplus (c \otimes h) \odot d\mu &= g^{-1} \int_A g(g^{-1}(g(c)g(h))) dx \\
 &= g^{-1} \left(\int_A g(c)g(h) dx \right) \\
 &= g^{-1} g(c) \int_A g(h) dx \\
 &= g^{-1} g(c) g g^{-1} \left(\int_A g(h) dx \right) \\
 &= g^{-1} g(c) g \left(\int_A^\oplus h \odot d\mu \right) \\
 &= c \otimes \int_A^\oplus h \odot d\mu.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

By using the g -integral, we define the g -convolution of functions by means of the corresponding g -integral (see [2, 12–14]). \square

Definition 2.4 ([14]) Let g be the same function as in Theorem 2.2, let \oplus, \odot be the same pseudo-operations as in Remark 2.1, $u \otimes v = g^{-1}(g(u)g(v))$ for $u, v \in [0, \infty)$, and $f, h \in \mathfrak{F}^*(X)$. The g -convolution of f and h by means of the g -integral is defined by

$$(f * h)(t) = \int_{[0,t]}^\oplus [f(t-u) \otimes h(u)] \odot d\mu(u) \tag{11}$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Finally, we introduce the following basic characterizations of the g -convolution in [14].

Theorem 2.3 ([14]) *If g is the same function as in Theorem 2.2, \oplus, \odot are the same pseudo-operations as in Remark 2.1, $u \otimes v = g^{-1}(g(u)g(v))$ for $u, v \in [0, \infty)$, and $f, h \in \mathfrak{F}^*(X)$, then*

we have

$$(f * h)(t) = g^{-1} \int_0^t g(f(t-u))g(h(u)) du \quad (12)$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.4 ([14]) *If g is the same function as in Theorem 2.2, \oplus, \odot are the same pseudo-operations as in Remark 2.1, $u \otimes v = g^{-1}(g(u)g(v))$ for $u, v \in [0, \infty)$, and $f, h, k \in \mathfrak{F}^*(X)$, then we have*

$$f * h = h * f \quad (13)$$

and

$$(f * h) * k = f * (h * k). \quad (14)$$

3 The interval-valued \bar{g} -integrals

In this section, we consider the intervals, a standard interval-valued pseudo-addition, and a standard interval-valued pseudo-multiplication. Let $I(Y)$ be the set of all closed intervals (for short, intervals) in Y as follows:

$$I(Y) = \{ \bar{a} = [a_l, a_r] \mid a_l, a_r \in Y \text{ and } a_l \leq a_r \}, \quad (15)$$

where Y is $[0, \infty)$ or $[0, \infty]$. For any $a \in Y$, we define $a = [a, a]$. Obviously, $a \in I(Y)$ (see [1, 21–29]).

Definition 3.1 ([26]) *If $\bar{a} = [a_l, a_r], \bar{b} = [b_l, b_r], \bar{a}_n = [a_{nl}, a_{nr}], \bar{a}_\alpha = [a_{\alpha l}, a_{\alpha r}] \in I(Y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$, and $k \in [0, \infty)$, then we define arithmetic, maximum, minimum, order, inclusion, superior, and inferior operations as follows:*

- (1) $\bar{a} + \bar{b} = [a_l + b_l, a_r + b_r]$,
- (2) $k\bar{a} = [ka_l, ka_r]$,
- (3) $\bar{a}\bar{b} = [a_l b_l, a_r b_r]$,
- (4) $\bar{a} \vee \bar{b} = [a_l \vee b_l, a_r \vee b_r]$,
- (5) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} = [a_l \wedge b_l, a_r \wedge b_r]$,
- (6) $\bar{a} \leq \bar{b}$ if and only if $a_l \leq b_l$ and $a_r \leq b_r$,
- (7) $\bar{a} < \bar{b}$ if and only if $a_l \leq b_l$ and $a_l \neq b_l$,
- (8) $\bar{a} \subset \bar{b}$ if and only if $b_l \leq a_l$ and $a_r \leq b_r$,
- (9) $\sup_n \bar{a}_n = [\sup_n a_{nl}, \sup_n a_{nr}]$,
- (10) $\inf_n \bar{a}_n = [\inf_n a_{nl}, \inf_n a_{nr}]$,
- (11) $\sup_\alpha \bar{a}_\alpha = [\sup_\alpha a_{\alpha l}, \sup_\alpha a_{\alpha r}]$, and
- (12) $\inf_\alpha \bar{a}_\alpha = [\inf_\alpha a_{\alpha l}, \inf_\alpha a_{\alpha r}]$.

Definition 3.2 ([26]) (1) A binary operation $\oplus : I([0, \infty))^2 \rightarrow I([0, \infty))$ is called a standard interval-valued pseudo-addition if there exist pseudo-additions \oplus_l and \oplus_r such that $x \oplus_l y \leq x \oplus_r y$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty]$, and such that for all $\bar{a} = [a_l, a_r], \bar{b} = [b_l, b_r] \in I([0, \infty))$,

$$\bar{a} \oplus \bar{b} = [a_l \oplus_l b_l, a_r \oplus_r b_r]. \quad (16)$$

Then \oplus_l and \oplus_r are called the representants of \oplus .

(2) A binary operation $\odot : I([0, \infty))^2 \rightarrow I([0, \infty))$ is called a standard interval-valued pseudo-multiplication if there exist pseudo-multiplications \odot_l and \odot_r such that $x \odot_l y \leq x \odot_r y$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty]$, and such that for all $\bar{a} = [a_l, a_r], \bar{b} = [b_l, b_r] \in I([0, \infty))$,

$$\bar{a} \odot \bar{b} = [a_l \odot_l b_l, a_r \odot_r b_r]. \tag{17}$$

Then \odot_l and \odot_r are called the representants of \odot .

Theorem 3.1 *If two pseudo-additions \oplus_l and \oplus_r are representants of a standard interval-valued pseudo-addition \oplus , two pseudo-multiplications \odot_l and \odot_r are representants of a standard interval-valued pseudo-multiplication \odot , then we have*

- (1) $\bar{x} \oplus \bar{y} = \bar{y} \oplus \bar{x}$ for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in I([0, \infty))$,
- (2) $(\bar{x} \oplus \bar{y}) \oplus \bar{z} = \bar{x} \oplus (\bar{y} \oplus \bar{z})$ for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in I([0, \infty))$,
- (3) $\bar{x} \odot \bar{y} = \bar{y} \odot \bar{x}$ for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in I([0, \infty))$,
- (4) $(\bar{x} \odot \bar{y}) \odot \bar{z} = \bar{x} \odot (\bar{y} \odot \bar{z})$ for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in I([0, \infty))$,
- (5) $\bar{x} \odot (\bar{y} \oplus \bar{z}) = (\bar{x} \odot \bar{y}) \oplus (\bar{x} \odot \bar{z})$ for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in I([0, \infty))$.

Proof (1) By the commutativity of \oplus_l and \oplus_r , for any $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in I([0, \infty))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} \oplus \bar{y} &= [x_l \oplus_l y_l, x_r \oplus_r y_r] \\ &= [y_l \oplus_l x_l, y_r \oplus_r x_r] \\ &= \bar{y} \oplus \bar{x}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

(2) By the associativity of \oplus_l and \oplus_r , for any $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in I([0, \infty))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{x} \oplus \bar{y}) \oplus \bar{z} &= [x_l \oplus_l y_l, x_r \oplus_r y_r] \oplus [z_l, z_r] \\ &= [(x_l \oplus_l y_l) \oplus_l z_l, (x_r \oplus_r y_r) \oplus_r z_r] \\ &= [x_l \oplus_l (y_l \oplus_l z_l), x_r \oplus_r (y_r \oplus_r z_r)] \\ &= [x_l, x_r] \oplus [y_l \oplus_l z_l, y_r \oplus_r z_r] \\ &= \bar{x} \oplus (\bar{y} \oplus \bar{z}). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

(3) By the commutativity of \odot_l and \odot_r , for any $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in I([0, \infty))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} \odot \bar{y} &= [x_l \odot_l y_l, x_r \odot_r y_r] \\ &= [y_l \odot_l x_l, y_r \odot_r x_r] \\ &= \bar{y} \odot \bar{x}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

(4) By the associativity of \odot_l and \odot_r in Definition 2.1(2)(ii), for any $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in I([0, \infty))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{x} \odot \bar{y}) \odot \bar{z} &= [x_l \odot_l y_l, x_r \odot_r y_r] \odot [z_l, z_r] \\ &= [(x_l \odot_l y_l) \odot_l z_l, (x_r \odot_r y_r) \odot_r z_r] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [x_l \odot_l (y_l \odot_l z_l), x_r \odot_r (y_r \odot_r z_r)] \\
 &= [x_l, x_r] \odot_l [y_l \odot_l z_l, y_r \odot_r z_r] \\
 &= \bar{x} \odot (\bar{y} \odot \bar{z}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

(5) By the distributivity of \oplus_s and \odot_s for $s = l, r$ in Definition 2.1(2)(iv), for any $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in I([0, \infty))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{x} \odot (\bar{y} \oplus \bar{z}) &= [x_l, x_r] \odot [y_l \oplus_l z_l, y_r \oplus_r z_r] \\
 &= [x_l \odot_l (y_l \oplus_l z_l), x_r \odot_r (y_r \oplus_r z_r)] \\
 &= [(x_l \odot_l y_l) \oplus_l (x_l \odot_l z_l), (x_r \odot_r y_r) \oplus_r (x_r \odot_r z_r)] \\
 &= [x_l \odot_l y_l, x_r \odot_r y_r] \oplus [x_l \odot_l z_l, x_r \odot_r z_r] \\
 &= (\bar{x} \odot \bar{y}) \oplus (\bar{x} \odot \bar{z}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

By using a standard interval-valued pseudo-addition and a standard interval-valued pseudo-multiplication, we define the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral represented by its interval-valued generator \bar{g} . □

Definition 3.3 Let X be a set, two pseudo-additions \oplus_l and \oplus_r be representants of a standard interval-valued pseudo-addition \oplus , and two pseudo-multiplications \odot_l and \odot_r be representants of a standard interval-valued pseudo-multiplication \odot .

(1) An interval-valued function $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow I([0, \infty)) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is said to be measurable if for any open set $O \subset [0, \infty)$,

$$\bar{f}^{-1}(O) = \{x \in X \mid \bar{f}(x) \cap O \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{23}$$

(2) Let g_s be a continuous strictly increasing surjective function for $s = l, r$ such that $g_l \leq g_r$, $\bar{g} = [g_l, g_r]$, and $g_s(0) = 0$ for $s = l, r$. The interval-valued \bar{g} -integral with respect to a fuzzy measure μ of a measurable interval-valued function $\bar{f} = [f_l, f_r]$ is defined by

$$\int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu = \left[\int_A^{\oplus_l} f_l \odot_l d\mu, \int_A^{\oplus_r} f_r \odot_r d\mu \right] \tag{24}$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

(3) \bar{f} is said to be integrable on $A \in \mathcal{A}$ if

$$\int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu \in I([0, \infty)). \tag{25}$$

Let $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{F}(X)$ be the set of all measurable interval-valued functions and $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{F}^*(X)$ be the set of all integrable interval-valued functions. Then, by Definition 3.3, we directly obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 If g_s is a continuous strictly increasing surjective function for $s = l, r$ such that $g_l \leq g_r$, $\bar{g} = [g_l, g_r]$, and $g_s(0) = 0$ for $s = l, r$, two pseudo-additions \oplus_l and \oplus_r are representants of a standard interval-valued pseudo-addition \oplus , and two pseudo-multiplications

\odot_l and \odot_r are representants of a standard interval-valued pseudo-multiplication \odot , then we have

$$\int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu = \left[g_l^{-1} \int_A g_l(f_l(x)) dx, g_r^{-1} \int_A g_r(f_r(x)) dx \right]. \tag{26}$$

Proof By Definition 2.3(1),

$$\int_A^{\oplus_s} f_s \odot_s d\mu = g_s^{-1} \int_A g_s(f_s(x)) dx \tag{27}$$

for $s = l, r$. By (27) and Definition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu &= \left[\int_A^{\oplus_l} f_l \odot_l d\mu, \int_A^{\oplus_r} f_r \odot_r d\mu \right] \\ &= \left[g_l^{-1} \int_A g_l(f_l(x)) dx, g_r^{-1} \int_A g_r(f_r(x)) dx \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

By the definition of the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral, we directly obtain the following basic properties. □

Theorem 3.3 *Let g_s be a continuous strictly increasing surjective function for $s = l, r$ such that $g_l \leq g_r$, $\bar{g} = [g_l, g_r]$, and $g_s(0) = 0$ for $s = l, r$, two pseudo-additions \oplus_l and \oplus_r be representants of a standard interval-valued pseudo-addition \oplus , two pseudo-multiplications \odot_l and \odot_r be representants of a standard interval-valued pseudo-multiplication \odot , and two pseudo-multiplications \otimes_l and \otimes_r be representants of a standard interval-valued pseudo-multiplication \otimes .*

(1) *If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\bar{f}, \bar{h} \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}^*(X)$ and $\bar{f} \leq \bar{h}$, then we have*

$$\int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu \leq \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{h} \odot d\mu. \tag{29}$$

(2) *If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\bar{f}, \bar{h} \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}^*(X)$, then we have*

$$\int_A^{\oplus} (\bar{f} \oplus \bar{h}) \odot d\mu = \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu \oplus \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{h} \odot d\mu. \tag{30}$$

(3) *If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\bar{c} = [c_l, c_r] \in I([0, \infty))$, $\bar{h} \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}^*(X)$, then we have*

$$\int_A^{\oplus} (\bar{c} \otimes \bar{h}) \odot d\mu = \bar{c} \otimes \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{h} \odot d\mu. \tag{31}$$

Proof (1) Note that if $\bar{f}, \bar{h} \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}^*(X)$ and $\bar{f} \leq \bar{h}$, then

$$f_s \leq h_s \tag{32}$$

for $s = l, r$. Since g_l and g_r are strictly monotone increasing,

$$g_s \circ f_s \leq g_s \circ h_s \tag{33}$$

for $s = l, r$. By (33) and Theorem 2.2(1),

$$\int_A^{\oplus s} f_s \odot_s d\mu \leq \int_A^{\oplus s} h_s \odot_s d\mu \tag{34}$$

for $s = l, r$. By (34) and Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu &= \left[\int_A^{\oplus l} f_l \odot_l d\mu, \int_A^{\oplus r} f_r \odot_r d\mu \right] \\ &\leq \left[\int_A^{\oplus l} h_l \odot_l d\mu, \int_A^{\oplus r} h_r \odot_r d\mu \right] = \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{h} \odot d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

(2) Note that if $\bar{f}, \bar{h} \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{F}^*(X)$, then

$$\bar{f} \oplus \bar{h} = [f_l \oplus_l h_l, f_r \oplus_r h_r]. \tag{36}$$

By Theorem 2.2(2),

$$\int_A^{\oplus s} (f_s \oplus_s h_s) \odot_s d\mu = \int_A^{\oplus s} f_s \odot_s d\mu \oplus_s \int_A^{\oplus s} h_s \odot_s d\mu \tag{37}$$

for $s = l, r$. By (37) and Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^{\oplus} (\bar{f} \oplus \bar{h}) \odot d\mu &= \left[\int_A^{\oplus l} (f_l \oplus_l h_l) \odot_l d\mu, \int_A^{\oplus r} (f_r \oplus_r h_r) \odot_r d\mu \right] \\ &= \left[\int_A^{\oplus l} f_l \odot_l d\mu \oplus_l \int_A^{\oplus l} h_l \odot_l d\mu, \int_A^{\oplus r} f_r \odot_r d\mu \oplus_r \int_A^{\oplus r} h_r \odot_r d\mu \right] \\ &= \left[\int_A^{\oplus l} f_l \odot_l d\mu, \int_A^{\oplus r} f_r \odot_r d\mu \right] \oplus_l \left[\int_A^{\oplus l} h_l \odot_l d\mu, \int_A^{\oplus r} h_r \odot_r d\mu \right] \\ &= \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu \oplus \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{h} \odot d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

(3) Note that if $\bar{f} \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{F}^*(X)$ and $\bar{c} \in I([0, \infty))$, then

$$\bar{c} \otimes \bar{f} = [c_l \otimes_l f_l, c_r \otimes_r f_r]. \tag{39}$$

By Theorem 2.2(3),

$$\int_A^{\oplus s} (c_s \otimes_s f_s) \odot_s d\mu = c_s \otimes_s \int_A^{\oplus s} f_s \odot_s d\mu \tag{40}$$

for $s = l, r$. By (40) and Definition 3.3(2),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^{\oplus} (\bar{c} \otimes \bar{f}) \odot d\mu &= \left[\int_A^{\oplus l} (c_l \otimes_l f_l) \odot_l d\mu, \int_A^{\oplus r} (c_r \otimes_r f_r) \odot_r d\mu \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[c_l \otimes_l \int_A^{\oplus_l} f_l \odot_l d\mu, c_r \otimes_r \int_A^{\oplus_r} f_r \odot_r d\mu \right] \\
 &= \bar{c} \otimes \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu.
 \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

□

4 An interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution

In this section, by using the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral, we define the interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution of interval-valued functions in $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{F}^*(X)$.

Definition 4.1 If \bar{g} , \oplus , \odot , and \otimes satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2, then the interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution is defined by

$$(\bar{f} \star \bar{h})(t) = \int_{[0,t]}^{\oplus} [\bar{f}(t-u) \otimes \bar{h}(u)] \odot d\mu(u) \tag{42}$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

From Definition 4.1, we directly obtain some characterization of an interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution by means of the interval-valued \bar{g} -integrals.

Theorem 4.1 If \bar{g} , \oplus , \odot , and \otimes satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2, then we have

$$\bar{f} \star \bar{h} = [f_l \ast_l h_l, f_r \ast_r h_r], \tag{43}$$

where $(f_s \ast_s h_s)(t) = \int_{[0,t]}^{\oplus_s} f_s(t-u) \odot_s d\mu$ for $s = l, r$.

Proof By Definition 2.4, we have

$$(f_s \ast_s h_s)(t) = \int_{[0,t]}^{\oplus_s} f_s(t-u) \otimes_s h_s(u) \odot_s d\mu(u) \tag{44}$$

for $s = l, r$. By Theorem 3.2 and (44),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{f} \star \bar{h})(t) &= \int_{[0,t]}^{\oplus} (\bar{f}(t-u) \otimes \bar{h}(u)) \odot d\mu(u) \\
 &= \int_{[0,t]}^{\oplus} [f_l(t-u) \otimes_l h_l(u), f_r(t-u) \otimes_r h_r(u)] \odot d\mu(u) \\
 &= \left[\int_{[0,t]}^{\oplus_l} f_l(t-u) \otimes_l h_l(u) \odot_l d\mu, \int_{[0,t]}^{\oplus_r} f_r(t-u) \otimes_r h_r(u) \odot_r d\mu \right] \\
 &= [(f_l \ast_l h_l)(t), (f_r \ast_r h_r)(t)].
 \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

□

From Theorem 4.1, we investigate the commutativity and the associativity of a standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution.

Theorem 4.2 If \bar{g} , \oplus , \odot , and \otimes satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 and \bar{f} , \bar{h} , and $\bar{k} \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{F}^*(X)$, then we have

- (1) $\bar{f} \star \bar{h} = \bar{h} \star \bar{f}$,
- (2) $(\bar{f} \star \bar{h}) \star \bar{k} = \bar{f} \star (\bar{h} \star \bar{k})$.

Proof Let $\bar{f} = [f_l, f_r]$, $\bar{h} = [h_l, h_r]$, $\bar{k} = [k_l, k_r] \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{F}^*(X)$. By (16), we have

$$f_l *_l h_l = h_l *_l f_l \quad \text{and} \quad f_r *_r h_r = h_r *_r f_r. \tag{46}$$

By Theorem 4.1 and (46), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f} \star \bar{h} &= [f_l *_l h_l, f_r *_r h_r] \\ &= [h_l *_l f_l, h_r *_r f_r] \\ &= \bar{h} \star \bar{f}. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

By (17), we have

$$(f_l *_l h_l) *_l k_l = f_l *_l (h_l *_l k_l) \quad \text{and} \quad (f_r *_r h_r) *_r k_r = f_r *_r (h_r *_r k_r). \tag{48}$$

By Theorem 4.1 and (48),

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{f} \star \bar{h}) \star \bar{k} &= [(f_l *_l h_l) *_l k_l, (f_r *_r h_r) *_r k_r] \\ &= [f_l *_l (h_l *_l k_l), f_r *_r (h_r *_r k_r)] \\ &= \bar{f} \star (\bar{h} \star \bar{k}). \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

□

Finally, we illustrate the following examples which are related with the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral and the interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution as follows.

Example 4.1 We give three examples of the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral.

(1) If $g_l(x) = g_r(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$ are the generators of \odot_l , \odot_r , \oplus_l , and \oplus_r , and $\bar{f}(x) = [\frac{e^{-x}}{2}, e^{-x}]$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, and $A = [0, t]$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu &= \left[g_l^{-1} \int_0^t g_l(f_l(x)) dx, g_r^{-1} \int_0^t g_r(f_r(x)) dx \right] \\ &= \left[\int_0^t \frac{1}{2} e^{-x} dx, \int_0^t e^{-x} dx \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-t}), (1 - e^{-t}) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

(2) If $g_l(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$, $g_r(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$ are the generators of \odot_l , \odot_r , and $g_l(x) = g_r(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$ are the generators of \oplus_l , \oplus_r , and $\bar{f}(x) = [\frac{e^{-x}}{2}, e^{-x}]$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, and $A = [0, t]$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu &= \left[g_l^{-1} \int_0^t g_l(f_l(x)) dx, g_r^{-1} \int_0^t g_r(f_r(x)) dx \right] \\ &= \left[2 \int_0^t \frac{1}{4} e^{-x} dx, \int_0^t e^{-x} dx \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-t}), (1 - e^{-t}) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

(3) If $g_l(x) = x^2$, $g_r(x) = 3x^2$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$ are the generators of \odot_l , \odot_r , and $g_l(x) = g_r(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$ are the generators of \oplus_l , \oplus_r , and $\bar{f}(x) = [\frac{e^{-x}}{2}, e^{-x}]$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, and $A = [0, t]$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^{\oplus} \bar{f} \odot d\mu &= \left[g_l^{-1} \int_0^t g_l(f_l(x)) dx, g_r^{-1} \int_0^t g_r(f_r(x)) dx \right] \\ &= \left[\sqrt{\int_0^t \frac{1}{2} e^{-2x} dx}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} \int_0^t 3e^{-2x} dx} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{4}(1 - e^{-2t}), \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-t}) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Example 4.2 We give an example of the interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution.

If $g_l(x) = x^2$, $g_r(x) = 3x^2$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$ are the generators of \odot_l , \odot_r , and $g_l(x) = g_r(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0, \infty]$ are the generators of \oplus_l , \oplus_r , \otimes_l , \otimes_r , and $\bar{f}(x) = [\frac{e^{-x}}{2}, e^{-x}]$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, $\bar{h}(x) = [\frac{1}{2}x, x]$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, and $A = [0, t]$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{f} \star \bar{h})(t) &= \int_A^{\oplus} [\bar{f}(t-u) \otimes \bar{h}(u)] \odot d\mu(u) \\ &= \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{-2(t-u)} e^{-2u} du}, \sqrt{3 \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} e^{-2(x-u)} e^{-2u} du} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{t}{4} e^{-2t}, \frac{3t}{2} e^{-2t} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have considered the g -integral represented by its generating g , the pseudo-addition, the pseudo-multiplication (see Definition 2.3). This study was to define the g -convolution by means of the g -integral (see Definition 2.4) and to investigate some characterizations of the g -integral and the commutativity and the associativity of the g -convolution (see Theorems 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4).

We also defined the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral represented by its interval-valued generator \bar{g} . By using general notions of an interval-representable pseudo-multiplication (see Definition 3.2), we defined an interval-valued \bar{g} -integral (see Definition 3.3) and investigated some basic characterizations of them (see Theorems 3.2, 3.3).

From Definitions 2.3, 2.4, and Theorems 2.2, 2.3, we defined a standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution (see Definition 4.1). We also investigated some characterizations of a standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution of interval-valued functions by means of the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral including commutativity and associativity of an interval-representable convolution (see Theorems 4.1, 4.2).

In the future, we can study various inequalities of the interval-valued \bar{g} -integral and expect that the standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolutions are used (i) to generalize the g -Laplace transform, Hamilton-Jacobi equation on the space of functions, such as in nonlinearity and optimization and such as in information theory (see [1, 14, 29]); (ii) to generalize the Stolarsky-type inequality for the pseudo-integral of functions such as in economics, finance, decision making (see [2, 30]), etc.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This paper was supported by Konkuk University in 2014.

Received: 27 October 2013 Accepted: 30 January 2014 Published: 20 Feb 2014

References

1. Benvenuti, P, Mesiar, R: Pseudo-arithmetical operations as a basis for the general measure and integration theory. *Inf. Sci.* **160**, 1-11 (2004)
2. Daraby, B: Generalization of the Stolarsky type inequality for the pseudo-integrals. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **194**, 90-96 (2012)
3. Deschrijver, G: Generalized arithmetic operators and their relationship to t -norms in interval-valued fuzzy set theory. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **160**, 3080-3102 (2009)
4. Grbic, T, Stajner, I, Strboja, M: An approach to pseudo-integration of set-valued functions. *Inf. Sci.* **181**, 2278-2292 (2011)
5. Klement, EP, Mesiar, R, Pap, E: *Triangular Norms*. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (2000)
6. Mesiar, R, Pap, E: Idempotent integral as limit of g -integrals. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **102**, 385-392 (1999)
7. Stajner-Papuga, I, Grbic, T, Dankova, M: Pseudo-Riemann Stieltjes integral. *Inf. Sci.* **180**, 2923-2933 (2010)
8. Sugeno, M: *Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications*. Doctoral Thesis, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo (1974)
9. Sugeno, M, Murofushi, T: Pseudo-additive measures and integrals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **122**, 197-222 (1987)
10. Wu, C, Wang, S, Ma, M: Generalized fuzzy integrals: Part 1. Fundamental concept. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **57**, 219-226 (1993)
11. Wu, C, Ma, M, Song, S, Zhang, S: Generalized fuzzy integrals: Part 3. Convergence theorems. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **70**, 75-87 (1995)
12. Markova-Stupnanova, A: A note on the idempotent function with respect to pseudo-convolution. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **102**, 417-421 (1999)
13. Maslov, VP, Samborskij, SN: *Idempotent Analysis*. Advances in Soviet Mathematics, vol. 13. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1992)
14. Pap, E, Stajner, I: Generalized pseudo-convolution in the theory of probabilistic metric spaces, information, fuzzy numbers, optimization, system theory. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **102**, 393-415 (1999)
15. Aubin, JP: *Set-Valued Analysis*. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston (1990)
16. Aumann, RJ: Integrals of set-valued functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **12**, 1-12 (1965)
17. Grabisch, M: Fuzzy integral in multicriteria decision making. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **69**, 279-298 (1995)
18. Guo, C, Zhang, D: On set-valued measures. *Inf. Sci.* **160**, 13-25 (2004)
19. Jang, LC, Kil, BM, Kim, YK, Kwon, JS: Some properties of Choquet integrals of set-valued functions. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **91**, 61-67 (1997)
20. Jang, LC, Kwon, JS: On the representation of Choquet integrals of set-valued functions and null sets. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **112**, 233-239 (2000)
21. Jang, LC, Kim, T, Jeon, JD: On the set-valued Choquet integrals and convergence theorems (II). *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **40**(1), 139-147 (2003)
22. Jang, LC: Interval-valued Choquet integrals and their applications. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **16**(1-2), 429-445 (2004)
23. Jang, LC: A note on the monotone interval-valued set function defined by the interval-valued Choquet integral. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **22**, 227-234 (2007)
24. Jang, LC: On properties of the Choquet integral of interval-valued functions. *J. Appl. Math.* **2011**, Article ID 492149 (2011)
25. Jang, LC: A note on convergence properties of interval-valued capacity functionals and Choquet integrals. *Inf. Sci.* **183**, 151-158 (2012)
26. Jang, LC: A note on the interval-valued generalized fuzzy integral by means of an interval-representable pseudo-multiplication and their convergence properties. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **222**, 45-57 (2013)
27. Jang, LC: Some characterizations of the Choquet integral with respect to a monotone interval-valued set function. *Int. J. Fuzzy Log. Intell. Syst.* **13**(1), 75-81 (2013)
28. Wang, Z, Li, KW, Wang, W: An approach to multiattribute decision making with interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy assessments and in complete weights. *Inf. Sci.* **179**, 3026-3040 (2009)
29. Wechselberger, K: The theory of interval-probability as a unifying concept for uncertainty. *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* **24**, 149-170 (2000)
30. Pap, E, Ralevic, N: Pseudo-Laplace transform. *Nonlinear Anal.* **33**, 533-550 (1998)

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-88

Cite this article as: Jang: Some properties of the interval-valued \bar{g} -integrals and a standard interval-valued \bar{g} -convolution. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, **2014**:88