

RESEARCH

Open Access

Optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces for the Riesz potential on the bounded domain

Shin Min Kang¹, Arif Rafiq², Waqas Nazir², Irshaad Ahmad³, Faisal Ali⁴ and Young Chel Kwun^{5*}

*Correspondence:
yckwun@dau.ac.kr

⁵Department of Mathematics,
Dong-A University, Pusan, 614-714,
Korea

Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

We prove continuity of the Riesz potential operator in optimal couples of rearrangement invariant function spaces defined in \mathbf{R}^n with the Lebesgue measure.

MSC: 46E30; 46E35

Keywords: Riesz potential operator; rearrangement invariant function spaces; real interpolation

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{M} be the space of all locally integrable functions f on $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ with the Lebesgue measure, finite almost everywhere, and let \mathcal{M}^+ be the space of all non-negative locally integrable functions on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, finite almost everywhere. We shall also need the following two subclasses of \mathcal{M}^+ . The subclass M consists of those elements g of \mathcal{M}^+ for which there exists an $m > 0$ such that $t^m g(t)$ is increasing. The subclass M_0 consists of those elements g of \mathcal{M}^+ which are decreasing.

The Riesz potential operator R_Ω^s , $0 < s < n$, $n \geq 1$ is defined formally by

$$R_\Omega^s f(x) = \int_\Omega f(y) |x - y|^{s-n} dy, \quad f \in \mathcal{M}^+; \quad |\Omega| = 1. \quad (1.1)$$

We shall consider rearrangement invariant quasi-Banach spaces E , continuously embedded in $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) + L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, such that the quasi-norm $\|f\|_E$ in E is generated by a quasi-norm ρ_E , defined on \mathcal{M}^+ with values in $[0, \infty]$, in the sense that $\|f\|_E = \rho_E(f^*)$. In this way equivalent quasi-norms ρ_E give the same space E . We suppose that E is nontrivial. Here f^* is the decreasing rearrangement of f , given by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \mu_f(\lambda) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0,$$

where μ_f is the distribution function of f , defined by

$$\mu_f(\lambda) = \left| \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\} \right|_n,$$

$|\cdot|_n$ denoting the Lebesgue n -measure.

Note that $f^*(t) = 0$, if $t > 1$.

There is an equivalent quasi-norm ρ_p that satisfies the triangle inequality $\rho_p^p(g_1 + g_2) \leq \rho_p^p(g_1) + \rho_p^p(g_2)$ for some $p \in (0, 1)$ that depends only on the space E (see [1]).

We say that the norm ρ_E is K -monotone (cf. [2], p.84, and also [3], p.305) if

$$\int_0^t g_1^*(s) ds \leq \int_0^t g_2^*(s) ds \quad \text{implies} \quad \rho_E(g_1^*) \leq \rho_E(g_2^*), \quad g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{M}^+. \tag{1.2}$$

Then ρ_E is monotone, i.e., $g_1 \leq g_2$ implies $\rho_E(g_1) \leq \rho_E(g_2)$.

We use the notations $a_1 \lesssim a_2$ or $a_2 \gtrsim a_1$ for non-negative functions or functionals to mean that the quotient a_1/a_2 is bounded; also, $a_1 \approx a_2$ means that $a_1 \lesssim a_2$ and $a_1 \gtrsim a_2$. We say that a_1 is equivalent to a_2 if $a_1 \approx a_2$.

We say that the norm ρ_E satisfies the Minkovski inequality if for the equivalent quasi-norm ρ_p ,

$$\rho_p^p\left(\sum g_j\right) \lesssim \sum \rho_p^p(g_j), \quad g_j \in \mathcal{M}^+. \tag{1.3}$$

For example, if E is a rearrangement invariant Banach function space as in [3], then by the Luxemburg representation theorem $\|f\|_E = \rho_E(f^*)$ for some norm ρ_E satisfying (1.2) and (1.3). More general example is given by the Riesz-Fischer monotone spaces as in [3], p.305.

Recall the definition of the lower and upper Boyd indices α_E and β_E . Let

$$h_E(u) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\rho_E(g_u^*)}{\rho_E(g^*)} : g \in \mathcal{M}^+ \right\}, \quad g_u(t) := g(t/u)$$

be the dilation function generated by ρ_E . Then

$$\alpha_E := \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_E := \inf_{1 < t < \infty} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t}.$$

If ρ_E is monotone, then the function h_E is submultiplicative, increasing, $h_E(1) = 1$, $h_E(u)h_E(1/u) \geq 1$, hence $0 \leq \alpha_E \leq \beta_E$. If ρ_E is K -monotone, then by interpolation (analogously to [3], p.148), we see that $h_E(s) \leq \max(1, s)$. Hence in this case we have also $\beta_E \leq 1$.

Using the Minkovski inequality for the equivalent quasi-norm ρ_p and monotonicity of f^* , we see that

$$\rho_E(f^*) \approx \rho_E(f^{**}) \quad \text{if} \quad \beta_E < 1, \tag{1.4}$$

where $f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds$. The main goal of this paper is to prove continuity of the Riesz potential operator $R_\Omega^s : E \mapsto G$ in optimal couples of rearrangement invariant function spaces E and G , where $\|f\|_G := \rho_G(f^*)$. It is convenient to introduce the following classes of quasi-norms, where the optimality of $R_\Omega^s : E \mapsto G$ is investigated. Let \mathcal{N}_d stand for all domain quasi-norms ρ_E , which are monotone, rearrangement invariant, satisfying Minkowski's inequality, $\rho_E(\chi_{(0,1)}) < \infty$ and

$$E \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega). \tag{1.5}$$

Let \mathcal{N}_t consist of all target quasi-norms ρ_G that are monotone, satisfy Minkowski's inequality, $\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}) < \infty$, $\rho_G(\chi_{(1,\infty)}t^{s/n-1}) < \infty$ and

$$G \hookrightarrow \Lambda^\infty(t^{1-s/n})(\mathcal{R}^n), \tag{1.6}$$

where $\chi_{(a,b)}$ is the characteristic function of the interval (a, b) , $0 < a < b \leq \infty$. Note that technically it is more convenient not to require that the target quasi-norm ρ_G is rearrangement invariant. Of course, the target space G is rearrangement invariant, since $\|f\|_G = \rho_G(f^*)$. Finally, let $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_d \times \mathcal{N}_t$.

Definition 1.1 (Admissible couple) We say that the couple $(\rho_E, \rho_G) \in \mathcal{N}$ is admissible for the Riesz potential if the following estimate is valid:

$$\rho_G((R_\Omega^s f)^{**}) \lesssim \rho_E(f^*). \tag{1.7}$$

Moreover, $\rho_E(E)$ is called domain quasi-norm (domain space), and $\rho_G(G)$ is called a target quasi-norm (target space).

For example, by Theorem 2.2 below (the sufficient part), the couple $E = \Lambda^q(t^{s/n}w)(\Omega)$, $G = \Lambda^q(v)$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, is admissible if $\beta_E < 1$ and v is related to w by the Muckenhoupt condition [4]:

$$\left(\int_0^t [v(s)]^q ds/s \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_t^\infty [w(s)]^{-r} ds/s \right)^{1/r} \lesssim 1, \quad 1/q + 1/r = 1. \tag{1.8}$$

Definition 1.2 (Optimal target quasi-norm) Given the domain quasi-norm $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d$, the optimal target quasi-norm, denoted by $\rho_{G(E)}$, is the strongest target quasi-norm, i.e.,

$$\rho_G(g^*) \lesssim \rho_{G(E)}(g^*), \quad g \in \mathcal{M}^+, \tag{1.9}$$

for any target quasi-norm $\rho_G \in \mathcal{N}_t$ such that the couple ρ_E, ρ_G is admissible.

Definition 1.3 (Optimal domain quasi-norm) Given the target quasi-norm $\rho_G \in \mathcal{N}_t$, the optimal domain quasi-norm, denoted by $\rho_{E(G)}$, is the weakest domain quasi-norm, i.e.,

$$\rho_{E(G)}(g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(g^*), \quad g \in \mathcal{M}^+, \tag{1.10}$$

for any domain quasi-norm $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d$ such that the couple ρ_E, ρ_G is admissible.

Definition 1.4 (Optimal couple) The admissible couple ρ_E, ρ_G is said to be optimal if $\rho_E = \rho_{E(G)}$ and $\rho_G = \rho_{G(E)}$.

The optimal quasi-norms are uniquely determined up to equivalence, while the corresponding optimal quasi-Banach spaces are unique.

2 Admissible couples

Here we give a characterization of all admissible couples $(\rho_E, \rho_G) \in \mathcal{N}$. It is convenient to define the case $\beta_E = 1$ as limiting and the case $\beta_E < 1$ as sublimiting.

Theorem 2.1 (General case $\beta_E \leq 1$) *The couple $(\rho_E, \rho_G) \in \mathcal{N}$ is admissible if and only if*

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in \mathcal{M}^+ \text{ or } g \in M_0, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$S_1 g(t) := \begin{cases} t^{s/n-1} \int_0^t g(u) du + \int_t^1 u^{s/n} g(u) du/u, & 0 < t < 1, 0 < s < n, n \geq 1, \\ t^{s/n-1} \int_0^1 g(u) du, & t > 1, 0 < s < n, n \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Proof First we prove

$$(R_\Omega^s f)^{**} \lesssim S_1 f^*. \tag{2.3}$$

We are going to use real interpolation for quasi-Banach spaces. First we recall some basic definitions. Let (A_0, A_1) be a couple of two quasi-Banach spaces (see [2, 5]) and let

$$K(t, f) = K(t, f; A_0, A_1) = \inf_{f=f_0+f_1} \{ \|f_0\|_{A_0} + t\|f_1\|_{A_1} \}, \quad f \in A_0 + A_1$$

be the K -functional of Peetre (see [2]). By definition, the K -interpolation space $A_\Phi = (A_0, A_1)_\Phi$ has a quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{A_\Phi} = \|K(t, f)\|_\Phi,$$

where Φ is a quasi-normed function space with a monotone quasi-norm on $(0, \infty)$ with the Lebesgue measure and such that $\min\{1, t\} \in \Phi$. Then (see [5])

$$A_0 \cap A_1 \hookrightarrow A_\Phi \hookrightarrow A_0 + A_1,$$

where by $X \hookrightarrow Y$ we mean that X is continuously embedded in Y . If $\|g\|_\Phi = (\int_0^\infty t^{-\theta q} \times g^q(t) dt/t)^{1/q}$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, we write $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}$ instead of $(A_0, A_1)_\Phi$ (see [2]).

Using the Hardy-Littlewood inequality $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq \int_0^\infty f^*(t)g^*(t) dt$, we get the well-known mapping property

$$R_\Omega^s : \Lambda^1(t^{s/n})(\Omega) \mapsto L^\infty(\mathcal{R}^n)$$

and by the Minkovski inequality for the norm f^{**} we get

$$R_\Omega^s : L^1(\Omega) \mapsto \Lambda^\infty(t^{1-s/n})(\mathcal{R}^n).$$

Hence

$$t^{1-s/n} (R_\Omega^s f)^{**}(t) \lesssim K(t^{1-s/n}, f; L^1(\Omega), \Lambda^1(t^{s/n})(\Omega)),$$

therefore (see [2], Section 5.7)

$$t^{1-s/n} (R_\Omega^s f)^{**}(t) \lesssim \begin{cases} \int_0^t f^*(u) du + t^{1-s/n} \int_t^1 u^{s/n} f^*(u) du/u, & 0 < t < 1, \\ \int_0^1 f^*(u) du, & t > 1, \end{cases}$$

implies

$$(R_{\Omega}^s f)^{**}(t) \lesssim S_1 f^*(t).$$

It is clear that (1.7) follows from (2.1) and (2.3).

Now we prove that (1.7) implies (2.1). To this end we choose the test function in the form $f(x) = g(c|x|^n)$, $g \in \mathcal{M}^+$, so that $f^*(t) = g^*(t)$ for some positive constant c (cf. [6]). Then

$$R_{\Omega}^s f(x) = \int_{|y| < |x|} g(c|y|^n) |x - y|^{s-n} dy + \int_{|y| > |x|} g(c|y|^n) |x - y|^{s-n} dy,$$

whence

$$|R_{\Omega}^s f(x)| \gtrsim |x|^{s-n} \int_0^{c|x|^n} g(u) du + \int_{c|x|^n}^{|\Omega|=1} u^{s/n-1} g(u) du \gtrsim \chi_{(0,1)}(S_1 g)(c|x|^n).$$

Note that $\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g \approx \chi_{(0,1)} Q_1 T_1' g + \chi_{(0,1)} \int_0^1 g(u) du$, where

$$Q_1 g := \int_t^1 g(u) du / u, \quad t < 1,$$

and

$$T_1' g(t) := \begin{cases} t^{s/n-1} \int_0^t g(u) du, & 0 < t < 1, 0 < s < n, n \geq 1, \\ t^{s/n-1} \int_0^1 g(u) du, & t > 1, 0 < s < n, n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

hence $\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g$ is decreasing, therefore

$$|R_{\Omega}^s f|^*(t) \gtrsim \chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g(t). \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, if (1.7) is given, then (2.4) implies (2.1). □

In the sublimiting case $\beta_E < 1$ we can simplify the condition (2.1), replacing S_1 by T_1 . Here

$$T_1 g(t) := \begin{cases} t^{s/n-1} \int_t^1 u^{s/n} g(u) du / u, & 0 < t < 1, 0 < s < n, n \geq 1, \\ 0, & t > 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Theorem 2.2 (Sublimiting case $\beta_E < 1$) *The couple $(\rho_E, \rho_G) \in \mathcal{N}$ is admissible if and only if*

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} T_1 g) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in M, \tag{2.6}$$

where we recall that

$$M := \{g \in \mathcal{M}^+ \text{ and } t^m g(t) \text{ is increasing for some } m > 0\}.$$

Proof Let ρ_E, ρ_G be an admissible couple, then

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g) \lesssim \rho_E(g).$$

Since $\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} T_1 g) \lesssim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g)$, it follows that $\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} T_1 g) \lesssim \rho_E(g)$, $g \in M$. Now we need to prove sufficiency of (2.6). We have

$$\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g^* \approx \chi_{(0,1)} T_1 g^{**} + \chi_{(0,1)} g^{**}(1),$$

so

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g^*) \lesssim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} T_1 g^{**}) + \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} g^{**}(1))$$

implies

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(g^*). \quad \square$$

In the subcritical case $\alpha_E > s/n$ we have another simplification of (2.1).

Theorem 2.3 (Case $\alpha_E > s/n$) *The couple $(\rho_E, \rho_G) \in \mathcal{N}$ is admissible if and only if*

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} T'_1 g) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in M_0 := \{g \in \mathcal{M}^+, g \text{ is decreasing}\}, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$T'_1 g(t) := \begin{cases} t^{s/n-1} \int_0^t g(u) du, & 0 < t < 1, 0 < s < n, n \geq 1, \\ t^{s/n-1} \int_0^1 g(u) du, & t > 1, 0 < s < n, n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof Let $(\rho_E, \rho_G) \in \mathcal{N}$ be admissible, then

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in M_0.$$

As

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} T'_1 g) \lesssim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 g),$$

we have

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} T'_1 g) \lesssim \rho_E(g).$$

For the reverse, it is enough to check that (2.7) implies (2.1) for $g \in M_0$, or

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} T_1 g) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in M_0.$$

As

$$\chi_{(0,1)} T_1 g \lesssim \chi_{(0,1)} T'_1 (t^{-s/n} \chi_{(0,1)} T_1 g),$$

so

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} T_1 g) \lesssim \rho_E(t^{-s/n} \chi_{(0,1)} T_1 g) \approx \rho_E(t^{-s/n} Q_1(t^{s/n} g)) \lesssim \rho_E(g).$$

Here we use

$$\rho_E(Q_1(t^{-s/n} g)) \lesssim \rho_E(t^{-s/n} g), \quad g \in M_0, \alpha_E > s/n, t < 1. \quad \square$$

2.1 Optimal quasi-norms

Here we give a characterization of the optimal domain and optimal target quasi-norms. We can define an optimal target quasi-norm by using Theorem 2.1.

Definition 2.4 (Construction of the optimal target quasi-norm) For a given domain quasi-norm $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d$ we set

$$\rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)} g) := \inf\{\rho_E(h) : \chi_{(0,1)} g \leq \chi_{(0,1)} S_1 h, h \in \mathcal{M}^+\}, \quad g \in \mathcal{M}^+. \quad (2.8)$$

Then

$$\rho_{G(E)}(g) := \rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)} g) + \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n} g.$$

Theorem 2.5 Let $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d$ be a given domain quasi-norm. Then $\rho_{G(E)} \in \mathcal{N}_t$, the couple $\rho_E, \rho_{G(E)}$ is admissible and the target quasi-norm is optimal. By definition,

$$G(E) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M} : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f^*(t) = 0, \rho_{G(E)}(f^*) < \infty \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof To see that $\rho_{G(E)}$ is a quasi-norm, we first prove (1.6), for that we first prove

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1} t^{1-s/n} g^* \lesssim \rho_{G_E}(g^*), \quad g \in \mathcal{M}^+. \quad (2.10)$$

Take $g \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and consider an arbitrary $h \in \mathcal{M}^+$ such that, for $t < 1, g^* \leq S_1 h$. By the Hardy inequality $g^* \lesssim S_1(h^*)$. Then,

$$t^{1-s/n} g^* \leq K(t^{1-s/n}, h; L^1(\Omega), \Lambda^1(t^{s/n})(\Omega)).$$

Hence

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1} t^{1-s/n} g^* \leq K(1, h; L^1(\Omega), \Lambda^1(t^{s/n})(\Omega)) \lesssim \rho_E(h).$$

Taking the infimum over all h such that $g^* \leq S_1 h$, we get (2.10). Hence $G_E \hookrightarrow \Lambda^\infty(t^{1-s/n})(0, 1)$, also $\rho_G(\chi(1, \infty)g) = \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n} g$. And these two together give (1.6). $\rho_{G(E)}$ is indeed a quasi-norm on \mathcal{M}^+ . Since $\chi_{(0,1)}(R_\Omega^s f)^* \lesssim \chi_{(0,1)} S_1 f^*$, which gives $\rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}(R_\Omega^s f)^*) \lesssim \rho_E(f^*)$. Also

$$\sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n} (R_\Omega^s f)^* \lesssim \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n} S_1 f^* = \int_0^1 f^*(u) du \lesssim \rho_E(f^*).$$

Hence $\rho_E, \rho_{G(E)}$ is admissible couple. Now we are going to prove that $\rho_{G(E)}$ is optimal. For this purpose, suppose that the couple $(\rho_E, \rho_{G_1}) \in \mathcal{N}$ is admissible. Then by Theorem 2.1,

$$\rho_{G_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1g) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in \mathcal{M}^+.$$

Therefore if $\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \leq \chi_{(0,1)}S_1h, h \in \mathcal{M}^+$, then

$$\rho_{G_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \leq \rho_{G_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1h) \lesssim \rho_E(h),$$

so taking the infimum on the right-hand side, we get

$$\rho_{G_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \lesssim \rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*),$$

hence $\rho_{G_1}(g^*) \lesssim \rho_{G(E)}(g^*)$. □

In the sublimiting case $\beta_E < 1$ we can simplify the optimal target quasi-norm.

Theorem 2.6 *If $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d$ be a given domain quasi-norm. Then for $g \in \mathcal{M}^+$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) &\approx \rho(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*), \\ \rho(\chi_{(0,1)}g) &:= \inf\{\rho_E(h) : \chi_{(0,1)}g \leq \chi_{(0,1)}T_1h, h \in M\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

i.e.,

$$\rho_{G(E)}(g) \approx \rho(\chi_{(0,1)}g) + \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n}g.$$

Proof If $\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \leq \chi_{(0,1)}T_1h, h \in M$, then $\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \leq \chi_{(0,1)}S_1h$, therefore

$$\rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \leq \rho_E(h)$$

and taking the infimum, we get

$$\rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \leq \rho(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*).$$

Now for the reverse, let $\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \leq \chi_{(0,1)}S_1h, h \in \mathcal{M}^+$.

Then

$$\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \lesssim \chi_{(0,1)}S_1(h^*) \approx \chi_{(0,1)}T_1(h^{**}) + \chi_{(0,1)}f^{**}(1),$$

so

$$\chi_{(0,1)}g^* - \chi_{(0,1)}f^{**}(1) \lesssim \chi_{(0,1)}T_1(h^{**}),$$

which gives, since $h^{**} \in M$,

$$\rho(\chi_{(0,1)}g^* - \chi_{(0,1)}f^{**}(1)) \lesssim \rho_E(h^{**}) \approx \rho_E(h^*) \approx \rho_E(h),$$

and this implies

$$\rho(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(h) + f^{**}(1),$$

which gives

$$\rho(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(h).$$

Taking the infimum, we get $\rho(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \lesssim \rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*)$, hence $\rho(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \approx \rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*)$. \square

A simplification of the optimal target quasi-norm is possible also in the subcritical case $\alpha_E > s/n$.

Theorem 2.7 *Let $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d$ be a given domain quasi-norm. Then for $g \in \mathcal{M}^+$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) &\approx \rho_1(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*), \\ \rho_1(\chi_{(0,1)}g) &:= \inf\{\rho_E(h) : \chi_{(0,1)}g \leq T_1'h, h \in M_0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

i.e.,

$$\rho_{G(E)}(g) \approx \rho_1(\chi_{(0,1)}g) + \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n}g.$$

Proof If $\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \leq \chi_{(0,1)}T_1'h$, $h \in M_0$, then

$$\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \leq \chi_{(0,1)}S_1h.$$

Therefore

$$\rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \leq \rho_E(h),$$

and taking the infimum, we get

$$\rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \leq \rho_1(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*).$$

For the reverse, let $\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \leq \chi_{(0,1)}S_1h$. Then $\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \lesssim \chi_{(0,1)}T_1(h^*) + \chi_{(0,1)}T_1'(h^*)$. As

$$\chi_{(0,1)}T_1g \lesssim \chi_{(0,1)}T_1'(t^{-s/n}\chi_{(0,1)}T_1g),$$

we get

$$\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \lesssim \chi_{(0,1)}T_1'(h^* + t^{-s/n}\chi_{(0,1)}T_1(h^*)),$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) &\lesssim \rho_E(t^{-s/n}\chi_{(0,1)}T_1(h^*)) + \rho_E(h) \\ &\approx \rho_E(t^{-s/n}Q_1(t^{s/n}h^*)) + \rho_E(h) \\ &\lesssim \rho_E(h), \end{aligned}$$

where we use

$$\rho_E(Q_1(t^{-s/n}g)) \lesssim \rho_E(t^{-s/n}g), \quad g \in M_0, \alpha_E > s/n, t < 1.$$

Therefore, taking the infimum we arrive at

$$\rho_1(g^*) \lesssim \rho_{G_E}(g^*). \quad \square$$

We can construct an optimal domain quasi-norm $\rho_{E(G)}$ by Theorem 2.1 as follows.

Definition 2.8 (Construction of an optimal domain quasi-norm) For a given target quasi-norm $\rho_G \in \mathcal{N}_t$, we construct an optimal domain quasi-norm $\rho_{E(G)}$ by

$$\rho_{E(G)}(g) := \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1g^*), \quad g \in \mathcal{M}^+. \quad (2.13)$$

Theorem 2.9 If $\rho_G \in \mathcal{N}_t$ is a given target quasi-norm, then the domain quasi-norm $\rho_{E(G)}$ is optimal. Moreover, if $\beta_G < 1 - s/n$, then the couple $\rho_{E(G)}, \rho_G$ is optimal.

Proof Since $\chi_{(0,1)}S_1g^* \approx \chi_{(0,1)}T_1g^{**} + \chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}(1)$, so

$$\rho_{E(G)}(g) \approx \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}T_1g^{**} + \chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}(1)),$$

it follows that $\rho_{E(G)}$ is a quasi-norm. To prove the property (1.5), we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{E(G)}(f^*) &= \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1f^*) \geq \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)})(Sf^*)(1) \\ &\gtrsim \int_0^1 f^*(t) dt \approx \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

The couple $\rho_{E(G)}, \rho_G$ is admissible since $\rho_{E(G)}(g) = \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1g^*) \geq \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1g)$. Moreover, $\rho_{E(G)}$ is optimal, since for any admissible couple $(\rho_{E_1}, \rho_G) \in \mathcal{N}$ we have $\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1h) \lesssim \rho_{E_1}(h), h \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Therefore,

$$\rho_{E(G)}(g^*) \leq \rho_{E_1}(g^*).$$

To check that if $\beta_G < 1 - s/n$, the couple $\rho_{E(G)}, \rho_G$ is optimal, we need only to prove that ρ_G is an optimal target quasi-norm, i.e., $\rho(g^*) \lesssim \rho_G(g^*)$, where $\rho = \rho_{G(E(G))}$ is defined by (2.11), since $\beta_{E(G)} < 1$. We have $\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}(t) - \chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}(1) = \chi_{(0,1)}T_1h$, where $h(t) = t^{-s/n}[g^{**}(t) - g^*(t)] \in M, t < 1$, therefore,

$$\rho_{G_{E(G)}}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}(t) - \chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}(1)) \leq \rho_{E(G)}(h) = \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1h^*)$$

implies

$$\rho_{G_{E(G)}}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}(t)) \lesssim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1h^*) + g^{**}(1),$$

since

$$\chi_{(0,1)}S_1h^* = \chi_{(0,1)}t^{s/n}h^{**} + \chi_{(0,1)}T_1h^* \lesssim \chi_{(0,1)}t^{s/n}h^{**} + \chi_{(0,1)}T_1h^{**},$$

so

$$\rho_{G_{E(G)}}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}(t)) \lesssim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}t^{s/n}h^{**}) + \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}T_1h^{**}) + g^{**}(1).$$

Now we define

$$P_1g(t) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g(u) du, \quad t < 1.$$

For $t < 1$, since $h^* \lesssim Q_1h$, we have $h^{**} = P_1h^* \lesssim Q_1P_1h$, therefore $T_1h^{**} \lesssim T_1Q_1(P_1h) \lesssim T_1(P_1h)$. Also $T_1(P_1h) \approx T_1h + t^{s/n}P_1h$ and $P_1h \leq h^{**}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{G_{E(G)}}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) &\lesssim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}T_1h) + \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}t^{s/n}h^{**}) + g^{**}(1) \\ &\lesssim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}) + \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}t^{s/n}h^{**}) + g^{**}(1). \end{aligned}$$

For $t < 1$, since $h(t) \leq t^{-s/n}g^{**}(t)$ we have $h^*(t) \leq t^{-s/n}g^{**}$, therefore using $\beta_G < 1 - s/n$, Minkowski's inequality, and monotonicity of ρ_G , we have

$$\rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}t^{s/n}h^{**}) \lesssim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}).$$

Thus

$$\rho_{G_{E(G)}}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \lesssim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}) \approx \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*),$$

hence $\rho(g^*) \lesssim \rho_G(g^*)$. □

Example 2.10 If $G = C_0$ consists of all bounded continuous functions such that $f^*(\infty) = 0$ and $\rho_G(g) = g^*(0) = g^{**}(0)$, then $\alpha_G = \beta_G = 0$ and $\rho_{E(G)}(g) \approx \int_0^1 t^{s/n}g^{**} dt/t$, i.e., $E = \Gamma^1(t^{s/n})(\Omega)$ and the couple E, G is optimal.

Example 2.11 Let $G = \Lambda^\infty(\nu)$ with $\beta_G < 1 - s/n$ and let

$$\rho_E(g) = \sup_t \nu(t) \int_t^1 u^{s/n}g^{**}(u) du/u.$$

Then, the couple E, G is optimal and $\beta_E < 1$. In particular, this is true if ν is slowly varying since then $\alpha_G = \beta_G = 0$ and $\alpha_E = \beta_E = s/n < 1$.

2.2 Subcritical case

Here we suppose that $s/n < \alpha_E$.

Theorem 2.12 (Sublimiting case $\beta_E < 1$) *For a given domain quasi-norm $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d$ with $\rho_E(\chi_{(0,1)}(t)t^{-s/n}) < \infty$, we have*

$$\rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \approx \rho_E(t^{-s/n}g^*) \approx \rho_E(t^{-s/n}g^{**}), \tag{2.14}$$

i.e.,

$$\rho_{G(E)}(g^*) \approx \rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) + \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n}g.$$

Moreover, the couple $\rho_E, \rho_{G(E)}$ is optimal.

Proof If $\chi_{(0,1)}g^* \leq \chi_{(0,1)}T_1'h$, $h \in M_0$, then for $t < 1$, $t^{-s/n}g^* \leq h^{**}$, whence

$$\rho_E(t^{-s/n}g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(h^{**}) \approx \rho_E(h^*) \approx \rho_E(h).$$

Taking the infimum, we get

$$\rho_E(t^{-s/n}g^*) \lesssim \rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*).$$

For the reverse, we notice that $\chi_{(0,1)}T_1'(t^{-s/n}g^*) \gtrsim \chi_{(0,1)}g^* = g^*$, hence $\rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \lesssim \rho_E(t^{-s/n}g^*)$.

It remains to prove that the domain quasi-norm ρ_E is also optimal. Let $\rho_{E_1}, \rho_{G(E)}$ be an admissible couple in \mathcal{N} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{E_1}(g^*) &\gtrsim \rho_{G(E)}(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1g^*) \\ &= \rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)}S_1g^*) + \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n} \chi_{(0,1)}S_1g^* \\ &\approx \rho_E(t^{-s/n} \chi_{(0,1)}S_1g^*) + 0 \\ &\gtrsim \rho_E(t^{-s/n} \chi_{(0,1)}T_1'g^*) \\ &\gtrsim \rho_E(\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}) \\ &\approx \rho_E(\chi_{(0,1)}g^*) \\ &\approx \rho_E(g^*). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Now we give an example.

Example 2.13 Let

$$E = \Lambda^q(t^\alpha w_1)(\Omega) \cap \Lambda^r(t^\beta w_2)(\Omega), \quad s/n < \alpha < \beta < 1, 0 < q, r \leq \infty,$$

where w_1 and w_2 are slowly varying. Then we have $\alpha_E = \alpha, \beta_E = \beta$. Now by applying the previous theorem, we get

$$G(E) = \Lambda_0^q(t^{\alpha-s/n} w_1) \cap \Lambda_0^r(t^{\beta-s/n} w_2),$$

and the couple $(E, G(E))$ is optimal.

In the limiting case $\beta_E = 1$, the formula for the optimal target quasi-norm is more complicated.

Theorem 2.14 (Limiting case) *Let*

$$\rho_E(g) := \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}), \quad \rho_{G_1}(g) := \rho_H\left(t^{-1} \sup_{0 < u < t} u^{1-s/n} g(u)\right),$$

where ρ_H is a monotone quasi-norm with $\alpha_H = \beta_H = 1, \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}) < \infty, \rho_H(\chi_{(1,\infty)}t^{-1}) < \infty$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \{f \in \mathcal{M} : tf^{**}(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \rho_E(f^*) < \infty\}, \\ G_1 &:= \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \sup_{0 < u < t} u^{1-s/n} f^{**}(u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \rho_G(f^*) < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\rho_G(g) := \rho_{G_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}g) + \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n} g.$$

Then the couple ρ_E, ρ_G is optimal.

Proof Note that

$$E \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega).$$

Indeed, $\rho_E(f^*) = \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}) \gtrsim f^{**}(1) = \int_0^1 f^*(u) du$. Hence the above embedding follows. Consequently, $\rho_E \in \mathcal{N}_d$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_G(f^*) &\geq \rho_H\left(\chi_{(1,\infty)}t^{-1} \sup_{0<u<t} u^{1-s/n} f^*(u)\right) \\ &\geq \sup_{0<u<1} u^{1-s/n} f^*(u) \rho_H(\chi_{(1,\infty)}t^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $G_1 \hookrightarrow \Lambda^\infty(t^{1-s/n})(0,1)$. This together with $\rho_G(\chi_{(1,\infty)}) = \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n} g$ gives $G \hookrightarrow \Lambda^\infty(t^{1-s/n})$. Then from the conditions on G_1 it follows that $\rho_G \in \mathcal{N}_t$. Also, $\alpha_E = \beta_E = 1$ and $\alpha_G = \beta_G = 1 - s/n$. On the other hand, if $0 < u < 1$, then

$$u^{1-s/n} (R_\Omega^s f)^{**}(u) \lesssim \int_0^u f^*(v) dv + u^{1-s/n} \int_u^1 v^{s/n-1} f^*(v) dv.$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a $\delta > 0$, such that $v f^{**}(v) < \varepsilon$ for all $0 < v < \delta$. Then for $0 < t < 1$,

$$\sup_{0<u<t} u^{1-s/n} (R_\Omega^s f)^{**}(u) \lesssim \int_0^t f^*(v) dv + \varepsilon + t^{1-s/n} \int_\delta^1 v^{s/n-1} f^*(v) dv. \tag{2.15}$$

Now it is easy to check that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < u < t} u^{1-s/n} (R_\Omega^s f)^{**} = 0$ if $f \in E$.

To prove that $R^s : E \rightarrow G$ we need to check that the couple ρ_E, ρ_G is admissible. We write for $t < 1$,

$$T'_1 g(t) = T'_1 g^*(t) = t^{s/n} g^{**}(t), \quad g \in M_0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)}T'_1 g) &= \rho_{G_1}(\chi_{(0,1)}T'_1 g) + \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n} \chi_{(0,1)}T'_1 g \\ &= \rho_H\left(\chi_{(0,1)}t^{-1} \sup_{0<u<t} u^{1-s/n} T'_1 g(u)\right) + \sup_{t>1} t^{1-s/n} \chi_{(0,1)}T'_1 g \\ &= \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)}g^{**}) \\ &= \rho_E(g). \end{aligned}$$

To prove that the target space is optimal, notice first that

$$\sup_{0<u<t} u^{1-s/n} f^{**}(u) \approx K(t^{1-s/n}, f; \Lambda^\infty(t^{1-s/n}), L^\infty).$$

If $f \in G$, then by [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 < u < t} u^{1-s/n} f^{**}(u) &\approx \int_0^{t^{1-s/n}} h_1(u) \, du \quad (\text{where } h_1, \text{ is decreasing}) \\ &\approx \int_0^t h_1(v^{1-s/n}) v^{-s/n} \, dv \quad (\text{by a change of variables}). \end{aligned}$$

If $h(v) = h_1(v^{1-s/n})v^{-s/n}$ then obviously $h \in M_0$ and

$$\sup_{0 < u < t} u^{1-s/n} f^{**}(u) \approx \int_0^t h(v) \, dv = th^{**}(t),$$

whence

$$\rho_E(h) \approx \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} h^{**}) \approx \rho_H\left(\chi_{(0,1)} t^{-1} \sup_{0 < u < t} u^{1-s/n} f^{**}(u)\right) \approx \rho_{G_1}(\chi_{(0,1)} f^*).$$

On the other hand,

$$\sup_{0 < u < t} u^{1-s/n} f^{**}(u) \approx th^{**}(t)$$

implies $t^{1-s/n} f^*(t) \lesssim th^{**}(t)$, which gives $f^* \lesssim t^{s/n} h^{**}$, which implies $\chi_{(0,1)} f^* \lesssim \chi_{(0,1)} T_1' h$, and therefore

$$\rho_{G_E}(\chi_{(0,1)} f^*) \lesssim \rho_E(h) \lesssim \rho_{G_1}(\chi_{(0,1)} f^*),$$

proving optimality of G . To check optimality of E , we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{E(G)}(h) &= \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} S_1 h^*) \gtrsim \rho_G(\chi_{(0,1)} T_1 h^{**}) \\ &\approx \rho_H\left(t^{-1} \sup_{0 < u < t} u^{1-s/n} \chi_{(0,1)} T_1 h^{**}(u)\right) \\ &\gtrsim \rho_H(\chi_{(0,1)} h^{**}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\rho_{E(G)}(h) \gtrsim \rho_E(h). \quad \square$$

Example 2.15 Let $E = \Gamma_0^\infty(tw)(\Omega)$, consisting of all $f \in \Gamma^\infty(tw)(\Omega)$ such that $tf^{**}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, w is slowly varying. Then $\beta_E = 1$. If $G = \Gamma_1^\infty(t^{1-s/n}\nu) \cap \Gamma^\infty(tw)$, where $\nu(t) = \sup_{u>t} w(u)$ and

$$\Gamma_1^\infty(\nu) := \left\{ f \in \Gamma^\infty(\nu) : \sup_{0 < u < t} u^{1-s/n} f^*(u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \right\},$$

then this couple is optimal. In particular, if $w = 1$, then $E = L^1(\Omega)$ and $G = \Gamma_1^\infty(t^{1-s/n})$.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, 660-701, Korea. ²Department of Mathematics, Lahore Leads University, Lahore, 54810, Pakistan. ³Department of Mathematics, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan. ⁴Centre for Advanced Studies in Pure and Applied Mathematic, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, 54000, Pakistan. ⁵Department of Mathematics, Dong-A University, Pusan, 614-714, Korea.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by research funds from Dong-A University.

Received: 15 October 2013 Accepted: 20 January 2014 Published: 10 Feb 2014

References

1. Köthe, G: Topologisch Lineare Räume. Springer, Berlin (1966)
2. Bergh, J, Löfström, J: Interpolation Spaces, an Introduction. Springer, Berlin (1976)
3. Bennett, C, Sharpley, R: Interpolation of Operators. Academic Press, Boston (1988)
4. Muckenhoupt, B: Hardy's inequality with weights. *Stud. Math.* **44**, 31-38 (1972)
5. Brudnyi, YA, Krugliak, NY: Interpolation Spaces and Interpolation Functors. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1991)
6. Cianchi, A: Symmetrization and second-order Sobolev inequalities. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **183**, 45-77 (2004). doi:10.1007/s10231-003-0080-6

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-60

Cite this article as: Kang et al.: Optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces for the Riesz potential on the bounded domain. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, **2014**:60

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com