

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Generalization of Mizoguchi-Takahashi type contraction and related fixed point theorems

Quanita Kiran<sup>1\*</sup>, Muhammad Usman Ali<sup>2</sup> and Tayyab Kamran<sup>2,3</sup>

\*Correspondence:

quanita.kiran@seecs.nust.edu.pk

<sup>1</sup>School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, H-12, Islamabad, Pakistan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new notion to generalize a Mizoguchi-Takahashi type contraction. Then, using this notion, we obtain a fixed point theorem for multivalued maps. Our results generalize some results by Minak and Altun, Kamran and those contained therein.

**MSC:** 47H10; 54H25

**Keywords:** Mizoguchi-Takahashi contraction;  $\alpha$ -admissible maps;  $\alpha_*$ -admissible maps

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

The notions of  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive and  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings were introduced by Samet *et al.* [1]. They proved some fixed point results for such mappings in complete metric spaces. These notions were generalized by Karapinar and Samet [2]. Asl *et al.* [3] extended these notions to multifunctions and introduced the notions of  $\alpha_*$ - $\psi$ -contractive and  $\alpha_*$ -admissible mappings. Afterwards Ali and Kamran [4] further generalized the notion of  $\alpha_*$ - $\psi$ -contractive mappings and obtained some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings. Some interesting extensions of results by Samet *et al.* [1] are available in [5–13]. Nadler initiated a fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings. Some extensions of Nadler's result can also be found in [14–31]. Mizoguchi and Takahashi [32] extended the Nadler fixed point theorem. Recently, Minak and Altun generalized Mizoguchi and Takahashi's theorem by introducing a function  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . In this paper, we introduce the notion of  $\alpha_*$ -Mizoguchi-Takahashi type contraction. By using this notion, we generalize some fixed point theorems presented by Minak and Altun [7], Kamran [26] and those contained therein.

We denote by  $CL(X)$  the class of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  and by  $CB(X)$  the class of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of  $X$ . For  $A \in CL(X)$  or  $CB(X)$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$ , and  $H$  is a generalized Hausdorff metric induced by  $d$ . Now we recollect some basic definitions and results for the sake of completeness.

If, for  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_n \in Tx_{n-1}$ , then  $O(T, x_0) = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  is said to be an orbit of  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  at  $x_0$ . A mapping  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $T$ -orbitally lower semicontinuous at  $\xi \in X$ , if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $O(T, x_0)$  and  $x_n \rightarrow \xi$  implies  $h(\xi) \leq \liminf h(x_n)$ . The following definition is due to Asl *et al.* [3].

**Definition 1.1** [3] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ . Then  $T$  is  $\alpha_*$ -admissible if for each  $x, y \in X$  with  $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha_*(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$ , where  $\alpha_*(Tx, Ty) = \inf\{\alpha(a, b) : a \in Tx, b \in Ty\}$ .

Minak and Altun [7] generalized Mizoguchi and Takahashi's theorem in the following way.

**Theorem 1.2** [7] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a mapping satisfying

$$\alpha_*(Tx, Ty)H(Tx, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y))d(x, y) \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \phi(r) < 1$  for each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Also assume that

- (i)  $T$  is  $\alpha_*$ -admissible;
- (ii) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  with  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$  for some  $x_1 \in Tx_0$ ;
- (iii) (a)  $T$  is continuous,
- or
- (b) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then we have  $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

Kamran in [26] generalized Mizoguchi and Takahashi's theorem in the following way.

**Theorem 1.3** [26] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  be a mapping satisfying

$$d(y, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y))d(x, y) \quad \text{for each } x \in X \text{ and } y \in Tx,$$

where  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \phi(r) < 1$  for each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Then,

- (i) for each  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists an orbit  $\{x_n\}$  of  $T$  and  $\xi \in X$  such that  $\lim_n x_n = \xi$ ;
- (ii)  $\xi$  is a fixed point of  $T$  if and only if the function  $h(x) := d(x, Tx)$  is  $T$ -orbitally lower semicontinuous at  $\xi$ .

## 2 Main results

We begin this section with the following definition.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  is said to be an  $\alpha_*$ -Mizoguchi-Takahashi type contraction if there exist two functions  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  satisfying  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \phi(r) < 1$  for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\alpha_*(Tx, Ty)d(y, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y))d(x, y) \quad \text{for each } x \in X \text{ and } y \in Tx. \tag{2.1}$$

Before moving toward our main results, we prove some lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $\{A_k\}$  be a sequence in  $CL(X)$ ,  $\{x_k\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_k \in A_{k-1}$ . Let  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be a function satisfying  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \phi(r) < 1$

for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\{d(x_{k-1}, x_k)\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence such that

$$d(x_k, A_k) \leq \phi(d(x_{k-1}, x_k))d(x_{k-1}, x_k), \tag{2.2}$$

$$d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq d(x_k, A_k) + \phi^{n_k}(d(x_{k-1}, x_k)), \tag{2.3}$$

where  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ ,  $k, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{x_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

*Proof* The proof runs on the same lines as the proof of [18, Lemma 3.2]. We include its details for completeness. Let  $d_k := d(x_{k-1}, x_k)$ . Since  $d_k$  is a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers, therefore  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_k = c \geq 0$ . By hypothesis, for  $t = c$ , we get  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow c^+} \phi(t) < 1$ . Therefore, there exists  $k_0$  such that  $k \geq k_0$  implies that  $\phi(d_k) < h$ , where  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow c^+} \phi(t) \leq h < 1$ . From (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{k+1} &\leq \phi(d_k)d_k + \phi^{n_k}(d_k) \\ &\leq \phi(d_k)\phi(d_{k-1})d_{k-1} + \phi(d_k)\phi^{n_{k-1}}(d_{k-1}) + \phi^{n_k}(d_k) \\ &\dots \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^k \phi(d_i)d_1 + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \prod_{i=m+1}^k \phi(d_i)\phi^{n_m}(d_m) + \phi^{n_k}(d_k) \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^k \phi(d_i)d_1 + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \prod_{i=\max\{k_0, m+1\}}^k \phi(d_i)\phi^{n_m}(d_m) + \phi^{n_k}(d_k). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We have deleted some factors of  $\phi$  from the product in (2.4) using the fact that  $\phi < 1$ . Let  $S$  denote the second term on the right-hand side of (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} S &\leq (k_0 - 1)h^{k-k_0+1} \sum_{m=1}^{k_0-1} \phi^{n_m}(d_m) + \sum_{m=k_0}^{k-1} h^{k-m} \phi^{n_m}(d_m) \\ &\leq (k_0 - 1)h^{k-k_0+1} \sum_{m=1}^{k_0-1} \phi^{n_m}(d_m) + \sum_{m=k_0}^{k-1} h^{k-m+n_m} \\ &\leq Ch^k + \sum_{m=k_0}^{k-1} h^{k-m+n_m} \\ &\leq Ch^k + h^{k+n_{k_0}-k_0} + h^{k+n_{k_0-1}-(k_0-1)} + \dots + h^{k+n_{k-1}-(k-1)} \\ &\leq Ch^k + \sum_{m=k+n_{k_0}-k_0}^{k+n_{k-1}-(k-1)} h^m \\ &= Ch^k + \frac{h^{k+n_{k_0}-k_0+1} - h^{k+n_{k-1}-k+2}}{1-h} \\ &< Ch^k + h^k \frac{h^{n_{k_0}-k_0+1}}{1-h} \\ &= Ch^k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a generic positive constant. Now, it follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{k+1} &\leq \prod_{i=1}^k \phi(d_i)d_1 + Ch^k + \phi^{n_k}(d_k) \\ &< h^{k-k_0+1} \prod_{i=1}^{k_0-1} \phi(d_i)d_1 + Ch^k + h^{n_k} \\ &< Ch^k + Ch^k + k \\ &= Ch^k, \end{aligned}$$

$C$  again being a generic constant. Now, for  $k \geq k_0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_k, x_{k+m}) &\leq \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+m} d_i \\ &< \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+m} Ch^{i-1} \\ &= C \frac{h^{k+1} - h^{k+m}}{1 - h} \\ &\leq h^k, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\{x_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . □

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  be an  $\alpha_*$ -Mizoguchi-Takahashi type contraction. Let  $\{x_k\}$  be an orbit of  $T$  at  $x_0$  such that  $\alpha_*(Tx_{k-1}, Tx_k) \geq 1$  and*

$$d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq d(x_k, Tx_k) + \phi^{n_k}(d(x_{k-1}, x_k)), \tag{2.5}$$

where  $x_k \in Tx_{k-1}, n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  and  $k, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{d(x_{k-1}, x_k)\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence. Then  $\{x_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

*Proof* Given that  $\{x_k\}$  is an orbit of  $T$  at  $x_0$ , i.e.,  $x_k \in Tx_{k-1}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $\alpha_*(Tx_{k-1}, Tx_k) \geq 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , as  $T$  is an  $\alpha_*$ -Mizoguchi-Takahashi type contraction. From (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_k, Tx_k) &\leq \alpha_*(Tx_{k-1}, Tx_k)d(x_k, Tx_k) \\ &\leq \phi(d(x_{k-1}, x_k))d(x_{k-1}, x_k). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5), we have

$$d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq d(x_k, Tx_k) + \phi^{n_k}(d(x_{k-1}, x_k)).$$

Since all the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied,  $\{x_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . □

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  be an  $\alpha_*$ -Mizoguchi-Takahashi type contraction and  $\alpha_*$ -admissible. Suppose that there exist  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ . Then,*

- (i) there exists an orbit  $\{x_n\}$  of  $T$  and  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\lim x_n = x^*$ ;
- (ii)  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$  if and only if  $h(x) = d(x, Tx)$  is  $T$ -orbitally lower semicontinuous at  $x^*$ .

*Proof* By hypothesis, we have  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  with  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ . Thus, for  $x_1 \in Tx_0$ , we can choose a positive integer  $n_1$  such that

$$\phi^{n_1}(d(x_0, x_1)) \leq [1 - \phi(d(x_0, x_1))]d(x_0, x_1). \tag{2.6}$$

There exists  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq d(x_1, Tx_1) + \phi^{n_1}(d(x_0, x_1)). \tag{2.7}$$

As  $T$  is  $\alpha_*$ -admissible, we have  $\alpha_*(Tx_0, Tx_1) \geq 1$ . From (2.6) and (2.7) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &\leq d(x_1, Tx_1) + \phi^{n_1}(d(x_0, x_1)) \\ &\leq \alpha_*(Tx_0, Tx_1)d(x_1, Tx_1) + \phi^{n_1}(d(x_0, x_1)) \\ &\leq \phi(d(x_0, x_1))d(x_0, x_1) + [1 - \phi(d(x_0, x_1))]d(x_0, x_1) \\ &= d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Now we can choose a positive integer  $n_2 > n_1$  such that

$$\phi^{n_2}(d(x_1, x_2)) \leq [1 - \phi(d(x_1, x_2))]d(x_1, x_2). \tag{2.8}$$

There exists  $x_3 \in Tx_2$  such that

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq d(x_2, Tx_2) + \phi^{n_2}(d(x_1, x_2)). \tag{2.9}$$

As  $T$  is  $\alpha_*$ -admissible, then  $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq \alpha_*(Tx_0, Tx_1) \geq 1$  implies  $\alpha_*(Tx_1, Tx_2) \geq 1$ . Using (2.8) and (2.9) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, x_3) &\leq d(x_2, Tx_2) + \phi^{n_2}(d(x_1, x_2)) \\ &\leq \alpha_*(Tx_1, Tx_2)d(x_2, Tx_2) + \phi^{n_2}(d(x_1, x_2)) \\ &\leq \phi(d(x_1, x_2))d(x_1, x_2) + [1 - \phi(d(x_1, x_2))]d(x_1, x_2) \\ &= d(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

By repeating this process for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can choose a positive integer  $n_k$  such that

$$\phi^{n_k}(d(x_{k-1}, x_k)) \leq [1 - \phi(d(x_{k-1}, x_k))]d(x_{k-1}, x_k). \tag{2.10}$$

There exists  $x_k \in Tx_{k-1}$  such that

$$d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq d(x_k, Tx_k) + \phi^{n_k}(d(x_{k-1}, x_k)). \tag{2.11}$$

Also, by  $\alpha_*$ -admissibility of  $T$ , we have  $\alpha_*(Tx_{k-1}, Tx_k) \geq 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . From (2.10) and (2.11) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_k, x_{k+1}) &\leq d(x_k, Tx_k) + \phi^{nk}(d(x_{k-1}, x_k)) \\ &\leq \alpha_*(Tx_{k-1}, Tx_k)d(x_k, Tx_k) + \phi^{nk}(d(x_{k-1}, x_k)) \\ &\leq \phi(d(x_{k-1}, x_k))d(x_{k-1}, x_k) + [1 - \phi(d(x_{k-1}, x_k))]d(x_{k-1}, x_k) \\ &= d(x_{k-1}, x_k), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\{d(x_k, x_{k+1})\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Thus, by Lemma 2.3,  $\{x_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x_k \rightarrow x^*$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $x_k \in Tx_{k-1}$ , it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_k, Tx_k) &\leq \alpha_*(Tx_{k-1}, Tx_k)d(x_k, Tx_k) \\ &\leq \phi(d(x_{k-1}, x_k))d(x_{k-1}, x_k) \\ &< d(x_{k-1}, x_k). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , in the above inequality, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_k, Tx_k) = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Suppose that  $h(x) = d(x, Tx)$  is  $T$ -orbitally lower semicontinuous at  $x^*$ , then

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) = h(x^*) \leq \liminf_k h(x_k) = \liminf_k d(x_k, Tx_k) = 0.$$

By the closedness of  $T$  it follows that  $x^* \in Tx^*$ . Conversely, suppose that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ , then  $h(x^*) = 0 \leq \liminf_k h(x_k)$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.5** Let  $X = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\} \cup (1, \infty)$  be endowed with the usual metric  $d$ . Define  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \{\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+3}\} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{n} : 1 \leq n \leq 6, \\ \{\frac{1}{n}, 0\} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{n} : n > 6, \\ [2x, \infty) & \text{if } x > 1, \end{cases}$$

and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x, y \in \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  by

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{5} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{6}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } t > \frac{1}{6}. \end{cases}$$

One can check that for each  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Tx$ , we have

$$\alpha_*(Tx, Ty)d(y, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y))d(x, y).$$

Also,  $T$  is  $\alpha_*$ -admissible and for  $x_0 = 1$  we have  $x_1 = \frac{1}{3} \in Tx_0$  with  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) = 1$ . Moreover, all the other conditions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. Therefore  $T$  has a fixed point. Note that Theorem 5 of Minak and Altun [7] is not applicable here; see, for example,  $x = \frac{1}{7}$  and  $y = \frac{1}{8}$ . Further Theorem 2.1 of Kamran [26] is also not applicable; see, for example,  $x = 2$  and  $y = 4 \in Tx$ .

The proofs of the following theorems run on the same lines as the proof of Theorem 2.4.

**Theorem 2.6** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  be an  $\alpha_*$ -admissible mapping such that*

$$\alpha_*(y, Ty)d(y, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y))d(x, y) \quad \text{for each } x \in X \text{ and } y \in Tx, \tag{2.13}$$

where  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  satisfying  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \phi(r) < 1$  for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Suppose that there exist  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ . Then,

- (i) there exists an orbit  $\{x_n\}$  of  $T$  and  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\lim x_n = x^*$ ;
- (ii)  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$  if and only if  $h(x) = d(x, Tx)$  is  $T$ -orbitally lower semicontinuous at  $x^*$ .

**Theorem 2.7** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  be an  $\alpha_*$ -admissible mapping such that*

$$\alpha(x, y)d(y, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y))d(x, y) \quad \text{for each } x \in X \text{ and } y \in Tx, \tag{2.14}$$

where  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  satisfying  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \phi(r) < 1$  for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Suppose that there exist  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ . Then,

- (i) there exists an orbit  $\{x_n\}$  of  $T$  and  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\lim x_n = x^*$ ;
- (ii)  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$  if and only if  $h(x) = d(x, Tx)$  is  $T$ -orbitally lower semicontinuous at  $x^*$ .

**Corollary 2.8** [26] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$d(y, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y))d(x, y) \quad \text{for each } x \in X \text{ and } y \in Tx,$$

where  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \phi(r) < 1$  for each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Then,

- (i) for each  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists an orbit  $\{x_n\}$  of  $T$  and  $\xi \in X$  such that  $\lim_n x_n = \xi$ ;
- (ii)  $\xi$  is a fixed point of  $T$  if and only if the function  $h(x) := d(x, Tx)$  is  $T$ -orbitally lower semicontinuous at  $\xi$ .

*Proof* Define  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\alpha(x, y) = 1$  for each  $x, y \in X$ . Then the proof follows from Theorem 2.4 as well as from Theorem 2.6, and from Theorem 2.7. □

### 3 Application

From Definition 2.1, we get the following definition by considering only those  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Tx$  for which we have  $\alpha_*(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$ .

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  is said to be a modified  $\alpha_*$ -Mizoguchi-Takahashi type contraction if there exist two functions  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  satisfying  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \phi(r) < 1$  for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$  such that for each  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Tx$ ,

$$\alpha_*(Tx, Ty) \geq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(y, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y))d(x, y). \quad (3.1)$$

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  be a modified  $\alpha_*$ -Mizoguchi-Takahashi contraction. Let  $\{x_k\}$  be an orbit of  $T$  at  $x_0$  such that  $\alpha_*(Tx_{k-1}, Tx_k) \geq 1$  and

$$d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq d(x_k, Tx_k) + \phi^{n_k}(d(x_{k-1}, x_k)), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $x_k \in Tx_{k-1}$ ,  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  and  $k, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{d(x_{k-1}, x_k)\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence. Then  $\{x_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

*Proof* Given that  $\{x_k\}$  is an orbit of  $T$  at  $x_0$ , i.e.,  $x_k \in Tx_{k-1}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $\alpha_*(Tx_{k-1}, Tx_k) \geq 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , as  $T$  is a modified  $\alpha_*$ -Mizoguchi-Takahashi contraction. From (3.1), we have

$$d(x_k, Tx_k) \leq \phi(d(x_{k-1}, x_k))d(x_{k-1}, x_k).$$

From (3.2), we have

$$d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq d(x_k, Tx_k) + \phi^{n_k}(d(x_{k-1}, x_k)).$$

Since all the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied,  $\{x_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .  $\square$

Working on the same lines as the proof of Theorem 2.4 is done, one may obtain the proof of the following result.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$  be a modified  $\alpha_*$ -Mizoguchi-Takahashi contraction and  $\alpha_*$ -admissible. Suppose that there exist  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ . Then,

- (i) there exists an orbit  $\{x_n\}$  of  $T$  and  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\lim x_n = x^*$ ;
- (ii)  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$  if and only if  $h(x) = d(x, Tx)$  is  $T$ -orbitally lower semicontinuous at  $x^*$ .

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, H-12, Islamabad, Pakistan. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Natural Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, H-12, Islamabad, Pakistan. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan.

#### Acknowledgements

Authors are thankful to referees for their valuable suggestions.

Received: 29 March 2014 Accepted: 1 September 2014 Published: 19 Nov 2014

#### References

1. Samet, B, Vetro, C, Vetro, P: Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**, 2154-2165 (2012)
2. Karapinar, E, Samet, B: Generalized  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 793486 (2012)
3. Asl, JH, Rezapour, S, Shahzad, N: On fixed points of  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive multifunctions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, 212 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-212
4. Ali, MU, Kamran, T: On  $(\alpha^*, \psi)$ -contractive multi-valued mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 137 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-137
5. Mohammadi, B, Rezapour, S, Shahzad, N: Some results on fixed points of  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Ciric generalized multifunctions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 24 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-24
6. Amiri, P, Rezapour, S, Shahzad, N: Fixed points of generalized  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractions. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* (2014). doi:10.1007/s13398-013-0123-9
7. Minak, G, Altun, I: Some new generalizations of Mizoguchi-Takahashi type fixed point theorem. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 493 (2013). doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-493
8. Salimi, P, Latif, A, Hussain, N: Modified  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive mappings with applications. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 151 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-151
9. Hussain, N, Salimi, P, Latif, A: Fixed point results for single and set-valued  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ - $\psi$ -contractive mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 212 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-212
10. Mohammadi, B, Rezapour, S: On modified  $\alpha$ - $\varphi$ -contractions. *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* **6**, 162-166 (2013)
11. Ali, MU, Kamran, T, Karapinar, E: A new approach to  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -contractive nonself multivalued mappings. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, 71 (2014). doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2014-71
12. Ali, MU, Kamran, T, Karapinar, E:  $(\alpha, \psi, \xi)$ -Contractive multi-valued mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, 7 (2014). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2014-7
13. Ali, MU, Kamran, T, Sintunavarat, W, Katchang, P: Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem with  $\alpha, \eta$  functions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, Article ID 418798 (2013)
14. Ali, MU: Mizoguchi-Takahashi's type common fixed point theorem. *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.* **22**(2), 272-274 (2014)
15. Ali, MU, Kamran, T: Hybrid generalized contractions. *Math. Sci.* **7**, 29 (2013). doi:10.1186/2251-7456-7-29
16. Damjanovic, B, Samet, B, Vetro, C: Common fixed point theorems for multivalued maps. *Acta Math. Sci.* **32**, 818-824 (2012)
17. Kamran, T: Coincidence and fixed points for hybrid strict contractions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **299**, 235-241 (2004)
18. Kamran, T: Multi-valued  $f$ -weakly Picard mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* **67**, 2289-2296 (2007)
19. Kamran, T: Common coincidence points of  $R$ -weakly commuting maps. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **26**, 179-182 (2001)
20. Shahzad, N, Kamran, T: Coincidence points and  $R$ -weakly commuting maps. *Arch. Math.* **37**, 179-183 (2001)
21. Sintunavarat, W, Kumam, P: Weak condition for generalized multi-valued  $(f, \alpha, \beta)$ -weak contraction mappings. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 411-421 (2011)
22. Sintunavarat, W, Kumam, P: Coincidence and common fixed points for hybrid strict contractions without the weakly commuting condition. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22**, 1877-1881 (2009)
23. Sintunavarat, W, Kumam, P, Patthanankoor, P: Common random fixed points for multivalued random operators without  $S$ - and  $T$ -weakly commuting random operators. *Random Oper. Stoch. Equ.* **17**, 381-388 (2009)
24. Samet, B, Vetro, C: Comment on the paper 'Coincidence theorems for some multivalued mappings' by B.E. Rhoades, S.L. Singh and C. Kulshrestha. *Fasc. Math.* **47**, 89-94 (2011)
25. Khan, AR, Akbar, F, Sultana, N, Hussain, N: Coincidence and invariant approximation theorems for generalized  $f$ -nonexpansive multivalued mappings. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2006**, Article ID 17637 (2006)
26. Kamran, T: Mizoguchi-Takahashi's type fixed point theorem. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **57**, 507-511 (2009)
27. Haghi, RH, Rezapour, S, Shahzad, N: Some fixed point generalization are not real generalizations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 1799-1803 (2011)
28. Sintunavarat, W, Kumam, P: Common fixed point theorems for hybrid generalized multi-valued contraction mappings. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**(1), 52-57 (2012)
29. Sintunavarat, W, Kumam, P: Common fixed point theorem for cyclic generalized multi-valued contraction mappings. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**(11), 1849-1855 (2012)
30. Kumam, P, Aydi, H, Karapinar, E, Sintunavarat, W: Best proximity points and extension of Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 242 (2013)
31. Sintunavarat, W, Lee, DM, Cho, YJ: Mizoguchi-Takahashi's type common fixed point theorems without  $T$ -weakly commuting condition and invariant approximations. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, 112 (2014)
32. Mizoguchi, N, Takahashi, W: Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **141**, 177-188 (1989)

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-458

Cite this article as: Kiran et al.: Generalization of Mizoguchi-Takahashi type contraction and related fixed point theorems. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, **2014**:458