RESEARCH Open Access

Several matrix trace inequalities on Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices

Xiangyu Gao^{1*}, Guoqiang Wang², Xian Zhang¹ and Julong Tan¹

*Correspondence: gxy578@126.com ¹School of Mathematical Science, Heilongjiang University, Harbin, 150080, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we present several matrix trace inequalities on Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices, which play an important role in designing and analyzing interior-point methods (IPMs) for semidefinite optimization (SDO).

Keywords: Hermitian matrix; skew-Hermitian matrix; Hermitian positive definite matrix; semidefinite optimization; interior-point methods

1 Introduction

SDO is the generalization of linear optimization (LO), which is convex optimization over the intersection of an affine set and the cone of positive semidefinite matrices. Several IPMs designed for LO have been successfully extended to SDO [1, 2]. Some matrix trace inequalities are developed and applied in the analysis of IPMs for SDO (see [3–12]). In [3], Yang proved the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality for positive definite matrices, which was an open question proposed by Bellman in [4]; Neudecke used a different method in [5] to show a slightly relaxed version of Yang's result in [3]; In [6], Coope considered alternative proofs of some simple matrix trace inequalities in [3–5] and further studied properties of products of Hermitian and positive (semi)definite matrices; In [7], Yang gave a new proof of the result obtained by Yang in [3] and extended it to a generalized positive semidefinite matrix. Based on the work in [3-5], Chang established a matrix trace inequality for products of Hermitian matrices in [8], which partly answers a conjecture proposed by Bellman in [4]. In addition, Yang gave a matrix trace inequality for products of positive semidefinite matrices in [9]; In [10], Yang et al. established a matrix trace inequality for positive semidefinite matrices, which improved the result given by Yang in [9]. Although there have been many results on matrix trace inequality, some important matrix trace inequality problems have not been fully solved. In this paper, we will provide several matrix trace inequalities on Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices, which play an important role in designing and analyzing IPMs for SDO.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, a matrix trace inequality on 2×2 Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices is provided, and its simple proof is given by using an elementary method. However, it is difficult for us to use this method to deal with the high-dimensional case. Based on Lemmas 2 and 3 in Section 2, the high-dimensional case will be shown as Corollary 2 in Section 3. In Section 4, some conclusions are made.

The following notations are used throughout the paper. N, \mathbb{R}^+ , C, and \mathbb{C}^n denote the set of natural numbers, the set of nonnegative real numbers, the set of complex numbers and



the set of vectors with n components, respectively. $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is the space of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} . Define

Span
$$\{v_1, ..., v_n\} = \{k_1v_1 + \cdots + k_nv_n | k_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, ..., n\},\$$

where $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The vector inner product of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined by (α, β) . $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denote modules for complex numbers and the 2-norm for vectors, respectively. For $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, A^* represents the conjugate transpose of $A, A \geq B$ (A > B) means that A - B is positive semidefinite (positive definite). For any Hermitian positive definite matrix Q, the expression $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ denotes the Hermitian square root of Q. Similarly, the power Q^r can be defined for any Q > 0 and $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

2 Preliminary results

In this section, we will present a matrix trace inequality on 2×2 Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices.

Lemma 1 Let N > 0 and M be 2×2 Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices, respectively. Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(((N+M)^*(N+M))^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \le \operatorname{tr}(N^{-1}).$$

Proof From N > 0, there exists a unitary matrix U such that

$$N = U \Lambda U^*$$
.

where

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}\{n_1, n_2\} \text{ and } n_1 \ge n_2 > 0.$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{tr}(N^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^{-1})$$

and

$$\operatorname{tr}(((N+M)^*(N+M))^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \operatorname{tr}(((\Lambda + U^*MU)^*(\Lambda + U^*MU))^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where U^*MU is a skew-symmetric matrix.

Without loss of generality, let

$$N = \operatorname{diag}\{n_1, n_2\}$$
 and $M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ -\overline{m} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,

where $n_1 \ge n_2 > 0$. Then

$$N+M=\begin{bmatrix}n_1&m\\-\bar{m}&n_2\end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$(N+M)(N+M)^* = \begin{bmatrix} n_1^2 + |m|^2 & m(n_2-n_1) \\ \bar{m}(n_2-n_1) & n_2^2 + |m|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From

$$\det(\lambda I_2 - (N+M)(N+M)^*) = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\lambda^2 - (n_1^2 + n_2^2 + 2|m|^2)\lambda + (n_1 n_2 + |m|^2)^2 = 0.$$
 (1)

Suppose λ_1 and λ_2 are two roots of (1), where $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2$. According to Weda's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = n_1^2 + n_2^2 + 2|m|^2, \\ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = n_1^2 n_2^2 + 2n_1^2 |m|^2 + |m|^4. \end{cases}$$
 (2)

From (2), it is easy to compute that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}\right)^2 = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}}{\lambda_1\lambda_2}
= \frac{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + 2|m|^2 + 2(n_1n_2 + |m|^2)}{(n_1n_2 + |m|^2)^2}
= \frac{(n_1 + n_2)^2 + 4|m|^2}{(n_1n_2 + |m|^2)^2}.$$
(3)

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$0 \le 2n_1 n_2 (n_1^2 + n_2^2) |m|^2 + (n_1 + n_2)^2 |m|^4.$$
(4)

According to (4), it follows that

$$4|m|^2n_1^2n_2^2 \le 2n_1n_2(n_1+n_2)^2|m|^2 + (n_1+n_2)^2|m|^4.$$

This implies

$$n_1^2 n_2^2 (n_1 + n_2)^2 + 4|m|^2 n_1^2 n_2^2 \le (n_1 n_2 + |m|^2)^2 (n_1 + n_2)^2.$$
 (5)

Thus, (5) can be written as

$$\frac{(n_1+n_2)^2+4|m|^2}{(n_1n_2+|m|^2)^2} \le \frac{(n_1+n_2)^2}{n_1^2n_2^2}.$$

This, together with (3), yields

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}\right)^2 \le \frac{(n_1 + n_2)^2}{n_1^2 n_2^2} = \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)^2,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} \le \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}.$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{tr}(((N+M)^*(N+M))^{-1/2}) \le \operatorname{tr}(N^{-1}).$$

Remark 1 In this lemma, Weda's theorem is applied to prove the matrix trace inequality of 2×2 Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices. However, it is difficult for us to extend this result to the high-dimensional case, because it is too complex for us to compute the matrix traces of $n \times n$ Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices by using Weda's theorem, when $n \ge 3$.

In order to prove the high-dimensional case of Lemma 1, we need to give the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2 [13] Let
$$A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$
. Then $x^*(A + A^*)x \le 2\sqrt{x^*A^*Ax}$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $||x|| = 1$.

Lemma 3 [13] Let $H = H^* \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1(H) \ge \lambda_2(H) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n(H)$ and their corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n , respectively. Define

$$V = \operatorname{span}\{\nu_p, \nu_{p+1}, \dots, \nu_q\}, \quad 1 \le p \le q \le n.$$

Then

$$\lambda_{\nu}(H) \ge \nu^* H \nu \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(H)$$

for any $v \in V$ with ||v|| = 1.

3 Main results

In this section, we develop several matrix trace inequalities on Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices. Furthermore, Lemma 1 is extended to the high-dimensional case as Corollary 2.

Theorem 1 Let

$$A \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$$
, $H = \frac{A + A^*}{2}$.

If $\sigma_1(A)$, $\sigma_2(A)$,..., $\sigma_n(A)$ and $\lambda_1(H)$, $\lambda_2(H)$,..., $\lambda_n(H)$ are singular values of A and eigenvalues of H, respectively. They are arranged in such A way that

$$\sigma_1(A) \ge \sigma_2(A) \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n(A), \qquad \lambda_1(H) \ge \lambda_2(H) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n(H).$$

Then

$$\sigma_k(A) \geq \lambda_k(H)$$
,

where k = 1, 2, ..., n.

Proof From the definition of singular values of *A*, it follows that

$$\sigma_1^2(A), \qquad \sigma_2^2(A), \qquad \ldots, \qquad \sigma_n^2(A)$$

are eigenvalues of A^*A , and their corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors of A^*A are denoted as u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n , respectively. Suppose v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n are orthonormal eigenvectors of H, and

$$\lambda_1(H)$$
, $\lambda_2(H)$, ..., $\lambda_n(H)$

are eigenvalues of ${\cal H}$ corresponding to these orthonormal eigenvectors, respectively. Define

$$W_{1k} = \text{Span}\{v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$$

and

$$W_{kn} = \operatorname{Span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\},\$$

where k = 1, 2, ..., n. It is clear that

$$\dim(W_{1k}) = n - k + 1$$
 and $\dim(W_{kn}) = k$.

Then

$$\dim(W_{1k}) + \dim(W_{kn}) = n + 1.$$

According to the dimensional formula, it follows that

$$\dim(W_{1k} + W_{kn}) + \dim(W_{1k} \cap W_{kn}) = \dim(W_{1k}) + \dim(W_{kn}).$$

This implies that

$$\dim(W_{1k}\cap W_{kn})\neq\{0\}.$$

From Lemma 3, it follows that

$$\sigma_k^2(A) \ge w^*(A^*A)w$$
 and $w^*Hw \ge \lambda_k(A)$

for any $w \in W_{1k} \cap W_{kn}$ with ||w|| = 1. According to Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\sigma_k(A) \ge \sqrt{w^*(A^*A)w} \ge w^*Hw \ge \lambda_k(H),$$

where k = 1, 2, ..., n. This completes the proof.

Remark 2 In this section, we prove Theorem 1 by using a simple and elementary method, which is slightly different from the proof method in [14]. This theorem reveals the relationship between singular values and eigenvalue of matrices. Based on it, several matrix trace inequalities on Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices will be obtained immediately.

Theorem 2 Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $H = \frac{A + A^*}{2}$. The following matrix trace inequalities are satisfied. (I) If $H \ge 0$, then

$$\operatorname{tr}((A^*A)^r) \ge \operatorname{tr}(H^{2r}), \quad \forall r \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

(II) If H > 0, then

$$\operatorname{tr}((A^*A)^{-r}) \le \operatorname{tr}(H^{-2r}), \quad \forall r \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

(III)

$$\operatorname{tr}((A^*A)^{\frac{2k+1}{2}}) \ge \operatorname{tr}(H^{2k+1}), \quad \forall k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Proof (I) From Theorem 1, it follows that

$$\operatorname{tr}((A^*A)^r) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i ((A^*A)^r)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i (A^*A))^r$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i (A))^{2r}$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i (H))^{2r}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (H^{2r})$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}(H^{2r}), \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Similar to the proof of (I), we can easily verify that (II) and (III) hold. This completes the proof. \Box

Let

$$A = M + N$$
, $H = \frac{A + A^*}{2}$,

where $N \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices, respectively. By using Theorem 2, the following conclusions will be obtained immediately.

Corollary 1 Let $N \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices, respectively. The following matrix trace inequalities are satisfied.

(I) If $H \ge 0$, then

$$\operatorname{tr}(((N+M)^*(N+M))^r) \ge \operatorname{tr}(N^{2r}).$$

(II) If H > 0, then

$$\operatorname{tr}(((N+M)^*(N+M))^{-r}) \le \operatorname{tr}(N^{-2r}).$$

(III)

$$\operatorname{tr}(((N+M)^*(N+M))^{\frac{2k+1}{2}}) \ge \operatorname{tr}(N^{2k+1}).$$

Based on Corollary 1, the following results can be obtained when $r = \frac{1}{2}$. This implies that the proof of the general case of Lemma 1 is completed.

Corollary 2 Let $N \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices, respectively. The following matrix trace inequalities are satisfied.

(I) If N > 0, then

$$\operatorname{tr}(((N+M)^*(N+M))^{\frac{1}{2}}) \ge \operatorname{tr}(N).$$

(II) If N > 0, then

$$\operatorname{tr}(((N+M)^*(N+M))^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \le \operatorname{tr}(N^{-1}).$$

4 Conclusions

This paper proves several matrix trace inequalities on Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices. These matrix trace inequalities can be applied to design and analyze interior-point methods (IPMs) for semidefinite optimization (SDO). In addition, matrix trace inequalities have many potential applications in control theory, for example, stabilization of time-delay systems (see [15–18]).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Mathematical Science, Heilongjiang University, Harbin, 150080, China. ²College of Fundamental Studies, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai, 201620, China.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the editor and the referees for their valuable suggestions to improve the quality of this paper. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11371006), Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province (No. A201416), the Undergraduate Innovation and Entrepreneurship Program of Heilongjiang University (No. 2014SX04) and the Laboratory Project of Heilongjiang University.

Received: 25 December 2013 Accepted: 4 September 2014 Published: 24 Sep 2014

References

- Peng, J, Roos, C, Terlaky, T: Self-regular functions and new search directions for linear and semidefinite optimization. Math. Program. 93(1), 129-171 (2002)
- 2. Zhang, Y: On extending some primal-dual interior-point algorithms from linear programming to semidefinite programming. SIAM J. Control Optim. 8(2), 365-386 (1998)
- 3. Yang, YS: A matrix trace inequality. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 133(2), 573-574 (1988)
- 4. Bellman, R: Some inequalities for positive definite matrices. In: 2nd Internat. Conf. on General Inequalities, pp. 88-89 (1980)
- 5. Neudecke, H: A matrix trace inequality. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 166, 302-303 (1992)
- Coope, ID: On matrix trace inequalities and related topics for products of Hermitian matrices. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 188(3), 999-1001 (1994)
- 7. Yang, XM: A generalization of a matrix trace inequality. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 189, 897-900 (1995)
- 8. Chang, DW: A matrix trace inequality for products of Hermitian matrices. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 237, 721-725 (1999)
- 9. Yang, XJ: A matrix trace inequality. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 250(1), 372-374 (2000)
- 10. Yang, XM, Yang, XQ, Teo, KL: A matrix trace inequality. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 263(1), 327-331 (2001)
- 11. Yang, ZP, Feng, XX: A note on the trace inequality for products of Hermitian matrix power. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3**(5), 78 (2002)
- Ulukök, Z, Türkmen, R: On some matrix trace inequalities. J. Inequal. Appl. 2010, Article ID 201486 (2010). doi:10.1155/2010/201486
- 13. Zhang, X, Zhong, GP, Gao, XY: Matrix Theory of the System and Control. Heilongjiang University Press, Harbin (2011) (in Chinese)
- 14. Fan, K, Hoffman, A: Some metric inequalities in the space of matrices. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 6(1), 111-116 (1955)
- 15. Zhou, B, Gao, HJ, Lin, ZL, Duan, GR: Stabilization of linear systems with distributed input delay and input saturation. Automatica 48(5), 712-724 (2012)
- Zhou, B, Lin, ZL, Duan, GR: Truncated predictor feedback for linear systems with long time-varying input delays. Automatica 48(10), 2387-2399 (2012)
- Zhou, B, Li, ZY, Lin, ZL: Observer based output feedback control of linear systems with input and output delays. Automatica 49(7), 2039-2052 (2013)
- 18. Zhou, B: Truncated Predictor Feedback for Time-Delay Systems. Springer, Heidelberg (2014)

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-358

Cite this article as: Gao et al.: Several matrix trace inequalities on Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, 2014:358

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com