

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Some identities of $q$ -Euler polynomials arising from $q$ -umbral calculus

Dae San Kim<sup>1</sup> and Taekyun Kim<sup>2\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: tkkim@kw.ac.kr

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kwangwoon University, Seoul, 139-701, Republic of Korea  
 Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

**Abstract**

Recently, Araci-Acikgoz-Sen derived some interesting identities on weighted  $q$ -Euler polynomials and higher-order  $q$ -Euler polynomials from the applications of umbral calculus (see (Araci *et al.* in *J. Number Theory* 133(10):3348-3361, 2013)). In this paper, we develop the new method of  $q$ -umbral calculus due to Roman, and we study a new  $q$ -extension of Euler numbers and polynomials which are derived from  $q$ -umbral calculus. Finally, we give some interesting identities on our  $q$ -Euler polynomials related to the  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials of Hegazi and Mansour.

**1 Introduction**

Throughout this paper we will assume  $q$  to be a fixed real number between 0 and 1. We define the  $q$ -shifted factorials by

$$(a : q)_0 = 1, \quad (a : q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i), \quad (a : q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i). \tag{1.1}$$

If  $x$  is a classical object, such as a complex number, its  $q$ -version is defined as  $[x]_q = \frac{1-q^x}{1-q}$ . We now introduce the  $q$ -extension of exponential function as follows:

$$e_q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{1}{((1-q)z : q)_\infty} \quad (\text{see [1-4]}), \tag{1.2}$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z| < 1$ .

The Jackson definite  $q$ -integral of the function  $f$  is defined by

$$\int_0^x f(t) d_q t = (1-q) \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} f(q^a x) x q^a \quad (\text{see [1, 2, 5]}). \tag{1.3}$$

The  $q$ -difference operator  $D_q$  is defined by

$$D_q f(x) = \frac{d_q f(x)}{d_q x} = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1-q)x} & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ \frac{df(x)}{dx} & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q f(x) = \frac{df(x)}{dx} \quad (\text{see [1, 2, 4, 6]}).$$



By using an exponential function  $e_q(x)$ , Hegazi and Mansour defined  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials as follows:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{t}{e_q(t) - 1} e_q(xt) \quad (\text{see [1, 2, 4, 7]}). \tag{1.5}$$

In the special case,  $x = 0$ ,  $B_{n,q}(0) = B_{n,q}$  are called the  $n$ th  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers. From (1.5), we can easily derive the following equation:

$$B_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q B_{n-l,q} x^l = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q B_{l,q} x^{n-l}, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\binom{n}{l}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-l]_q! [l]_q!} = \frac{[n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [n-l+1]_q}{[l]_q!} \quad (\text{see [2, 7]}).$$

In the next section, we will consider new  $q$ -extensions of Euler numbers and polynomials by using the method of Hegazi and Mansour. More than five decades ago, Carlitz [8] defined a  $q$ -extension of Euler polynomials. In a recent paper (see [3]), Kupersmidt constructed reflection symmetries of  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials which differ from Carlitz's  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials. By using the method of Kupersmidt, Hegazi and Mansour also introduced a new  $q$ -extension of Bernoulli numbers and polynomials (see [1, 3, 4]). From the  $q$ -exponential function, Kurt and Cenkci derived some interesting new formulae of  $q$ -extension of Genocchi polynomials. Recently, several authors have studied various  $q$ -extensions of Bernoulli and Euler polynomials (see [1–6, 8–11]). Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex number field, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all formal power series in variable  $t$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  with

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{[k]_q!} t^k \mid a_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}. \tag{1.7}$$

Let  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C}[t]$  and let  $\mathbb{P}^*$  be the vector space of all linear functionals on  $\mathbb{P}$ .  $\langle L|p(x) \rangle$  denotes the action of linear functional  $L$  on the polynomial  $p(x)$ , and it is well known that the vector space operations on  $\mathbb{P}^*$  are defined by

$$\langle L + M|p(x) \rangle = \langle L|p(x) \rangle + \langle M|p(x) \rangle, \quad \langle cL|p(x) \rangle = c \langle L|p(x) \rangle,$$

where  $c$  is a complex constant (see [7, 9, 11]).

For  $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{[k]_q!} t^k \in \mathcal{F}$ , we define the linear functional on  $\mathbb{P}$  by setting

$$\langle f(t)|x^n \rangle = a_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \tag{1.8}$$

From (1.7) and (1.8), we note that

$$\langle t^k|x^n \rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k} \quad (n, k \geq 0), \tag{1.9}$$

where  $\delta_{n,k}$  is the Kronecker symbol.

Let us assume that  $f_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle L|x^n \rangle \frac{t^k}{[k]_q!}$ . Then by (1.9) we easily see that  $\langle f_L(t)|x^n \rangle = \langle L|x^n \rangle$ . That is,  $f_L(t) = L$ . Additionally, the map  $L \mapsto f_L(t)$  is a vector space isomorphism from  $\mathbb{P}^*$  onto  $\mathcal{F}$ . Henceforth  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes both the algebra of formal power series in  $t$  and the vector space of all linear functionals on  $\mathbb{P}$ , and so an element  $f(t)$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  will be thought of as a formal power series and a linear functional. We call it the  $q$ -umbral algebra. The  $q$ -umbral calculus is the study of  $q$ -umbral algebra. By (1.2) and (1.3), we easily see that  $\langle e_q(yt)|x^n \rangle = y^n$  and so  $\langle e_q(yt)|p(x) \rangle = p(y)$  for  $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$ . The order  $o(f(t))$  of the power series  $f(t) \neq 0$  is the smallest integer for which  $a_k$  does not vanish. If  $o(f(t)) = 0$ , then  $f(t)$  is called an invertible series. If  $o(f(t)) = 1$ , then  $f(t)$  is called a delta series (see [7, 9, 11, 12]). For  $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\langle f(t)g(t)|p(x) \rangle = \langle f(t)|g(t)p(x) \rangle = \langle g(t)|f(t)p(x) \rangle$ . Let  $f(t) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$ . Then we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle f(t)|x^k \rangle \frac{t^k}{[k]_q!}, \quad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle t^k|p(x) \rangle \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!} \quad (\text{see [12]}). \tag{1.10}$$

From (1.10), we have

$$p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x) = \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} \frac{\langle t^l|p(x) \rangle}{[l]_q!} [l]_q \cdots [l-k+1]_q x^{l-k}. \tag{1.11}$$

By (1.11), we get

$$p^{(k)}(0) = \langle t^k|p(x) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle 1|p^{(k)}(x) \rangle = p^{(k)}(0). \tag{1.12}$$

Thus from (1.12), we note that

$$t^k p(x) = p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x). \tag{1.13}$$

Let  $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $o(f(t)) = 1$  and  $o(g(t)) = 0$ . Then there exists a unique sequence  $S_n(x)$  ( $\deg S_n(x) = n$ ) of polynomials such that  $\langle g(t)f(t)^k|S_n(x) \rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}$  ( $n, k \geq 0$ ). The sequence  $S_n(x)$  is called the  $q$ -Sheffer sequence for  $(g(t), f(t))$  which is denoted by  $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$ . Let  $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$ . For  $h(t) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$ , we have

$$h(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle h(t)|S_k(x) \rangle}{[k]_q!} g(t)f(t)^k, \quad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle g(t)f(t)^k|p(x) \rangle}{[k]_q!} S_k(x), \tag{1.14}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(t))} e_q(y\bar{f}(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_k(y)}{[k]_q!} t^k \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.15}$$

where  $\bar{f}(t)$  is the compositional inverse of  $f(t)$  (see [7, 12]).

Recently, Araci-Acikgoz-Sen derived some new interesting properties on the new family of  $q$ -Euler numbers and polynomials from some applications of umbral algebra (see [9]). The properties of  $q$ -Euler and  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials seem to be of interest and worthwhile in the areas of both number theory and mathematical physics. In this paper, we develop the new method of  $q$ -umbral calculus due to Roman and study a new  $q$ -extension of

Euler numbers and polynomials which are derived from  $q$ -umbral calculus. Finally, we give new explicit formulas on  $q$ -Euler polynomials related to Hegazi-Mansour's  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials.

## 2 $q$ -Euler numbers and polynomials

We consider the new  $q$ -extension of Euler polynomials which are generated by the generating function to be

$$\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} e_q(xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \tag{2.1}$$

In the special case,  $x = 0$ ,  $E_{n,q}(0) = E_{n,q}$  are called the  $n$ th  $q$ -Euler numbers. From (2.1), we note that

$$E_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q E_{l,q} x^{n-l} = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q E_{n-l,q} x^l. \tag{2.2}$$

By (2.1), we easily get

$$E_{0,q} = 1, \quad E_{n,q}(1) + E_{n,q} = 2\delta_{0,n}. \tag{2.3}$$

For example,  $E_{0,q} = 1$ ,  $E_{1,q} = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $E_{2,q} = \frac{q-1}{4}$ ,  $E_{3,q} = \frac{q+q^2-1}{4} + \frac{(1-q)[3]_q}{8}, \dots$  From (1.15) and (2.1), we have

$$E_{n,q}(x) \sim \left( \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2}, t \right) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} x^n = E_{n,q}(x) \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, by (1.13) and (2.5), we get

$$tE_{n,q}(x) = \frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} tx^n = [n]_q \frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} x^{n-1} = [n]_q E_{n-1,q}(x) \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{2.6}$$

Indeed, by (1.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} t^k \middle| E_{n,q}(x) \right\rangle &= \frac{[k]_q!}{2} \binom{n}{k}_q \langle e_q(t) + 1 \middle| E_{n-k,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{[k]_q!}{2} \binom{n}{k}_q (E_{n-k,q}(1) + E_{n-k,q}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.4), we have

$$\left\langle \left( \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right) t^k \middle| E_{n,q}(x) \right\rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus, by (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$0 = E_{n-k,q}(1) + E_{n-k,q} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{l}_q E_{l,q} + E_{n-k,q} \quad (n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ with } n > k). \tag{2.9}$$

This is equivalent to

$$-2E_{n-k,q} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-k-1} \binom{n-k}{l}_q E_{l,q}, \quad \text{where } n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ with } n > k. \tag{2.10}$$

Therefore, by (2.10), we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** *For  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$-2E_{n,q} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{l}_q E_{l,q}.$$

From (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{x+y} E_{n,q}(u) d_q u &= \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q E_{n-l,q} \frac{1}{[l+1]_q} \{(x+y)^{l+1} - x^{l+1}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n+1}{l+1}_q E_{n-l,q} \{(x+y)^{l+1} - x^{l+1}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l}_q E_{n+1-l,q} \{(x+y)^l - x^l\} \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l}_q E_{n+1-l,q} \{(x+y)^l - x^l\} \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \{E_{n+1,q}(x+y) - E_{n+1,q}(x)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Thus, by (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} \middle| E_{n,q}(x) \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} \middle| tE_{n+1,q}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \langle e_q(t) - 1 | E_{n+1,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \{E_{n+1,q}(1) - E_{n+1,q}\} \\ &= \int_0^1 E_{n,q}(u) d_q u. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore, by (2.12), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$\left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} \middle| E_{n,q}(x) \right\rangle = \int_0^1 E_{n,q}(u) d_q u.$$

Let

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \{p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x] \mid \deg p(x) \leq n\}. \tag{2.13}$$

For  $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , let us assume that

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} E_{k,q}(x). \tag{2.14}$$

Then, by (2.4), we get

$$\left\langle \left( \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right) t^k \middle| E_{n,q}(x) \right\rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}. \tag{2.15}$$

From (2.14) and (2.15), we can derive the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left( \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right) t^k \middle| p(x) \right\rangle &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_{l,q} \left\langle \left( \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right) t^k \middle| E_{l,q}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_{l,q} [l]_q! \delta_{l,k} = [k]_q! b_{k,q}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, by (2.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \left\langle \left( \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right) t^k \middle| p(x) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1) t^k \middle| p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle e_q(t) + 1 \middle| p^{(k)}(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \{p^{(k)}(1) + p^{(k)}(0)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where  $p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x)$ .

Therefore, by (2.14) and (2.17), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3** For  $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , let  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} E_{k,q}(x)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1) t^k \middle| p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \{p^{(k)}(1) + p^{(k)}(0)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x)$ .

From (1.5), we note that

$$B_{n,q}(x) \sim \left( \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t}, t \right) \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{2.18}$$

Let us take  $p(x) = B_{n,q}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ . Then  $B_{n,q}(x)$  can be represented as a linear combination of  $\{E_{0,q}(x), E_{1,q}(x), \dots, E_{n,q}(x)\}$  as follows:

$$B_{n,q}(x) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} E_{k,q}(x) \quad (n \geq 0), \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1)t^k | B_{n,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{[n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [n-k+1]_q}{2[k]_q!} \langle e_q(t) + 1 | B_{n-k,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{k}_q \langle e_q(t) + 1 | B_{n-k,q}(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{k}_q \{B_{n-k,q}(1) + B_{n-k,q}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

From (1.5), we can derive the following recurrence relation for the  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_{l,q} \frac{t^l}{[l]_q!} \right) (e_q(t) - 1) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q B_{l,q} \right) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (B_{n,q}(1) - B_{n,q}) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Thus, by (2.21), we get

$$B_{0,q} = 1, \quad B_{n,q}(1) - B_{n,q} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.22}$$

For example,  $B_{0,q} = 1, B_{1,q} = -\frac{1}{[2]_q}, B_{2,q} = \frac{q^2}{[3]_q [2]_q}, \dots$

By (2.19), (2.20) and (2.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,q}(x) &= b_{n,q} E_{n,q}(x) + b_{n-1,q} E_{n-1,q}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} b_{k,q} E_{k,q}(x) \\ &= E_{n,q}(x) + \frac{[n]_q}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{[2]_q} \right) E_{n-1,q}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k}_q B_{n-k,q} E_{k,q}(x) \\ &= E_{n,q}(x) - \frac{[n]_q(1-q)}{2[2]_q} E_{n-1,q}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k}_q B_{n-k,q} E_{k,q}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Therefore, by (2.23), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4** For  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$B_{n,q}(x) = E_{n,q}(x) + \frac{[n]_q(q-1)}{2[2]_q} E_{n-1,q}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k}_q B_{n-k,q} E_{k,q}(x).$$

For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the  $q$ -Euler polynomials,  $E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x)$ , of order  $r$  are defined by the generating function to be

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t)+1}\right)^r e_q(xt) &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{2}{e_q(t)+1}\right) \times \cdots \times \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t)+1}\right)}_{r\text{-times}} e_q(xt) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

In the special case,  $x = 0$ ,  $E_{n,q}^{(r)}(0) = E_{n,q}^{(r)}$  are called the  $n$ th  $q$ -Euler numbers of order  $r$ .

Let

$$g^r(t) = \left(\frac{e_q(t)+1}{2}\right)^r \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}). \tag{2.25}$$

Then  $g^r(t)$  is an invertible series. From (2.24) and (2.25), we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{1}{g^r(t)} e_q(xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{g^r(t)} x^n \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \tag{2.26}$$

By (2.26), we get

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{g^r(t)} x^n, \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$tE_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{g^r(t)} tx^n = [n]_q \frac{1}{g^r(t)} x^{n-1} = [n]_q E_{n-1,q}^{(r)}(x). \tag{2.28}$$

Thus, by (2.26), (2.27) and (2.28), we see that

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e_q(t)+1}{2}\right)^r, t\right). \tag{2.29}$$

By (1.9) and (2.24), we get

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t)+1}\right)^r e_q(yt) \middle| x^n \right\rangle = E_{n,q}^{(r)}(y) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q E_{n-l,q}^{(r)} y^l. \tag{2.30}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left(\frac{2}{e_q(t)+1}\right)^r \middle| x^n \right\rangle &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r=m} \frac{E_{i_1,q} \cdots E_{i_r,q}}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!} \right) \langle t^m | x^n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r=n} \frac{[n]_q!}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!} E_{i_1,q} \cdots E_{i_r,q} \\ &= \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r=n} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q E_{i_1,q} \cdots E_{i_r,q}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

where  $\binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!}$ .

By (2.30), we easily get

$$\left\langle \left( \frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right)^r \middle| x^n \right\rangle = E_{n,q}^{(r)}. \tag{2.32}$$

Therefore, by (2.31) and (2.32), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)} = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = n} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q E_{i_1,q} \cdots E_{i_r,q},$$

where  $\binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!}$ .

Let us take  $p(x) = E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ . Then, by Theorem 2.3, we get

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} E_{k,q}(x), \tag{2.33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1)t^k | p(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{2[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1) | t^k p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\binom{n}{k}_q}{2} \langle (e_q(t) + 1) | E_{n-k,q}^{(r)}(x) \rangle = \frac{\binom{n}{k}_q}{2} \{ E_{n-k,q}^{(r)}(1) + E_{n-k,q}^{(r)} \}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

From (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{ E_{n,q}^{(r)}(1) + E_{n,q}^{(r)} \} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} &= \left( \frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right)^r (e_q(t) + 1) \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} \right)^{r-1} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}^{(r-1)} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

By comparing the coefficients on the both sides of (2.35), we get

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)}(1) + E_{n,q}^{(r)} = 2E_{n,q}^{(r-1)} \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{2.36}$$

Therefore, by (2.33), (2.34) and (2.36), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6** For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , we have

$$E_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k}_q E_{n-k,q}^{(r-1)} E_{k,q}(x).$$

Let us assume that

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n. \tag{2.37}$$

By (2.29) and (2.37), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left( \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right)^r t^k | p(x) \right\rangle &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_{l,q}^r \left\langle \left( \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right)^r t^k | E_{l,q}^{(r)}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_{l,q}^r [l]_q! \delta_{l,k} = [k]_q! b_{k,q}^r. \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

From (2.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q}^r &= \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \left\langle \left( \frac{e_q(t) + 1}{2} \right)^r t^k | p(x) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1)^r | t^k p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left( \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \right) \frac{1}{[m]_q!} \langle 1 | t^{m+k} p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \frac{1}{[m]_q!} p^{(m+k)}(0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Therefore by (2.37) and (2.39), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.7** For  $n \geq 0$ , let  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q}^r &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1)^r | t^k p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \frac{1}{[m]_q!} p^{(m+k)}(0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x)$ .

Let us take  $p(x) = E_{n,q}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ . Then, by Theorem 2.7, we get

$$E_{n,q}(x) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x), \tag{2.40}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{[m]_q!} [n]_q \cdots [n - m - k + 1]_q E_{n-m-k,q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \\ &\quad \times \frac{[m+k]_q! [n]_q \cdots [n - m - k + 1]_q}{[m]_q! [k]_q! [m+k]_q!} E_{n-m-k,q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{r}{l} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q E_{n-m-k,q}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

Therefore, by (2.40) and (2.41), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.8** For  $n, r \geq 0$ , we have

$$E_{n,q}(x) = \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{r}{l} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q \right. \\ \left. \times E_{n-m-k,q} \right\} E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x).$$

For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , let us consider  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials of order  $r$  which are defined by the generating function to be

$$\left( \frac{t}{e_q(t) - 1} \right)^r e_q(xt) = \underbrace{\left( \frac{t}{e_q(t) - 1} \right) \times \dots \times \left( \frac{t}{e_q(t) - 1} \right)}_{r\text{-times}} e_q(xt) \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \tag{2.42}$$

In the special case,  $x = 0$ ,  $B_{n,q}^{(r)}(0) = B_{n,q}^{(r)}$  are called the  $n$ th  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers of order  $r$ . By (2.42), we easily get

$$B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q B_{l,q}^{(r)} x^{n-l} \in \mathbb{P}_n. \tag{2.43}$$

Let us take  $p(x) = B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ . Then, by Theorem 2.7, we get

$$B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x), \tag{2.44}$$

where

$$b_{k,q}^r = \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) + 1)^r t^k | B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) \rangle \\ = \frac{1}{2^r [k]_q!} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \frac{[n]_q \dots [n-m-k+1]_q}{[m]_q!} B_{n-m-k,q}^{(r)} \\ = \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{r}{l} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q B_{n-m-k,q}^{(r)}. \tag{2.45}$$

Therefore, by (2.44) and (2.45), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.9** For  $n, r \geq 0$ , we have

$$B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{r}{l} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q \right. \\ \left. \times B_{n-m-k,q}^{(r)} \right\} E_{k,q}^{(r)}(x).$$

**Remark** Recently, Aral, Gupta and Agarwal introduced many interesting properties and applications of  $q$ -calculus which are related to this paper (see [13]).

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript and typed, read, and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul, 121-742, Republic of Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kwangwoon University, Seoul, 139-701, Republic of Korea.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MOE) (No. 2012R1A1A2003786). The authors express their sincere gratitude to the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

Received: 6 August 2013 Accepted: 9 December 2013 Published: 02 Jan 2014

#### References

1. Hegazi, AS, Mansour, M: A note on  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **13**(1), 9-18 (2006)
2. Kim, T:  $q$ -Generalized Euler numbers and polynomials. *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **13**, 293-298 (2006)
3. Kuper Schmidt, BO: Reflection symmetries of  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **12**(suppl. 1), 412-422 (2005)
4. Kurt, V: Some relation between the Bernstein polynomials and second kind Bernoulli polynomials. *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math.* **23**(1), 43-48 (2013)
5. Mahmudov, NI, Keleshteri, ME: On a class of generalized  $q$ -Bernoulli and  $q$ -Euler polynomials. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, Article ID 115 (2013)
6. Mahmudov, NI: On a class of  $q$ -Bernoulli and  $q$ -Euler polynomials. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, Article ID 108 (2013)
7. Roman, S: *The Umbral Calculus*. Dover, New York (2005)
8. Carlitz, L:  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials. *Duke Math. J.* **15**, 987-1000 (1948)
9. Araci, S, Acikgoz, M, Sen, E: On the extended Kim's  $p$ -adic  $q$ -deformed fermionic integrals in the  $p$ -adic integer ring. *J. Number Theory* **133**(10), 3348-3361 (2013)
10. Ismail, MEH, Rahman, M: Inverse operators,  $q$ -fractional integrals, and  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials. *J. Approx. Theory* **114**(2), 269-307 (2002)
11. Kim, DS, Kim, T, Lee, S-H, Rim, S-H: Some identities of Bernoulli, Euler and Abel polynomials arising from umbral calculus. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, Article ID 15 (2013)
12. Roman, S: More on the umbral calculus, with emphasis on the  $q$ -umbral calculus. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **107**, 222-254 (1985)
13. Aral, A, Gupta, V, Agarwal, RP: *Applications of  $q$ -Calculus in Operator Theory*. xii+262 pp. Springer, New York (2013)

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-1

**Cite this article as:** Kim and Kim: Some identities of  $q$ -Euler polynomials arising from  $q$ -umbral calculus. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, **2014**:1

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)