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#### Abstract

Let $A$ and $B$ be nonsingular $M$-matrices. Several new bounds on the minimum eigenvalue for the Hadamard product of $B$ and the inverse matrix of $A$ are given. These bounds can improve considerably some previous results. MSC: 15A42; 15B34
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ complex (real) matrices, $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, N=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. We write $A \geq 0$ if $a_{i j} \geq 0$ for any $i, j \in N$. If $A \geq 0, A$ is called a nonnegative matrix. The spectral radius of $A$ is denoted by $\rho(A)$.
We denote by $Z_{n}$ the class of all $n \times n$ real matrices, whose off-diagonal entries are nonpositive. A matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in Z_{n}$ is called a nonsingular $M$-matrix if there exist a nonnegative matrix $B$ and a nonnegative real number $s$ such that $A=s I-B$ with $s>\rho(B)$, where $I$ is the identity matrix. $M_{n}$ will be used to denote the set of all $n \times n$ nonsingular $M$-matrices. Let us denote $\tau(A)=\min \{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda): \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$, where $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of $A$.
The Hadamard product of two matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $B=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is the ma$\operatorname{trix} A \circ B=\left(a_{i j} b_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. If $A, B \in M_{n}$, then $B \circ A^{-1}$ is also an $M$-matrix (see [1]).

Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with all diagonal entries being nonzero throughout. For $i, j, k \in N, i \neq j$, denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{i}=\sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{i j}\right|, \quad d_{i}=\frac{R_{i}}{\left|a_{i i}\right|} ; \\
& r_{j i}=\frac{\left|a_{j i}\right|}{\left|a_{j j}\right|-\sum_{k \neq j, i}\left|a_{j k}\right|}, \quad r_{i}=\max _{j \neq i}\left\{r_{j i}\right\} ; \\
& m_{j i}=\frac{\left|a_{j i}\right|+\sum_{k \neq j, i}\left|a_{j k}\right| r_{i}}{\left|a_{j j}\right|}, \quad m_{i}=\max _{j \neq i}\left\{m_{i j}\right\} ; \\
& u_{j i}=\frac{\left|a_{j i}\right|+\sum_{k \neq j, i}\left|a_{j k}\right| m_{k i}}{\left|a_{j j}\right|}, \quad u_{i}=\max _{j \neq i}\left\{u_{i j}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]In 2013, Zhou et al. [2] obtained the following result: If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant matrix, $B=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$ and $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{i \in N}\left\{\frac{b_{i i}-m_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right|}{a_{i i}}\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 2013, Cheng et al. [3] presented the following result: If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$ and $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$ is a doubly stochastic matrix, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{a_{i i}-u_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{j i}\right|}{1+\sum_{j \neq i} u_{j i}}\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we present some new lower bounds of $\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right)$ and $\tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right)$, which improve (1) and (2).

## 2 Main results

In this section, we present our main results. Firstly, we give some lemmas.

Lemma 1 [4] Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. If $A$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant matrix, then $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\left|\alpha_{j i}\right| \leq d_{j}\left|\alpha_{i i}\right|, \quad j, i \in N, j \neq i .
$$

Lemma 2 Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. If $A$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant $M$-matrix, then $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\alpha_{j i} \leq w_{j i} \alpha_{i i}, \quad j, i \in N, j \neq i,
$$

where

$$
w_{j i}=\frac{\left|a_{j i}\right|+\sum_{k \neq j, i}\left|a_{j k}\right| m_{k i} h_{i}}{\left|a_{j j}\right|}, \quad h_{i}=\max _{j \neq i}\left\{\frac{\left|a_{j i}\right|}{\left|a_{j j}\right| m_{j i}-\sum_{k \neq j, i}\left|a_{j k}\right| m_{k i}}\right\} .
$$

Proof This proof is similar to the one of Lemma 2.2 in [3].

Lemma 3 If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$ and $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$ is a doubly stochastic matrix, then

$$
\alpha_{i i} \geq \frac{1}{1+\sum_{j \neq i} w_{j i}}, \quad i \in N,
$$

where $w_{j i}$ is defined as in Lemma 2.

Proof This proof is similar to the one of Lemma 3.1 in [3].

Lemma 4 [4] If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant $M$-matrix, then, for $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$,

$$
\alpha_{i i} \geq \frac{1}{a_{i i}}, \quad i \in N .
$$

Lemma 5 [5] If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are positive real numbers, then all the eigenvalues of $A$ lie in the region

$$
\bigcup_{i \neq j}\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\left|z-a_{i i}\right| \leq x_{i} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{x_{k}}\left|a_{k i}\right|, i \in N\right\} .
$$

Lemma 6 [6] If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are positive real numbers, then all the eigenvalues of $A$ lie in the region

$$
\bigcup_{i \neq j}\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\left|z-a_{i i}\right|\left|z-a_{j j}\right| \leq\left(x_{i} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{1}{x_{k}}\left|a_{k i}\right|\right)\left(x_{j} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{x_{k}}\left|a_{k j}\right|\right), i, j \in N\right\} .
$$

Theorem 1 If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$ and $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{b_{i i}-w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right|}{a_{i i}}\right\}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{i}=\max _{j \neq i}\left\{w_{i j}\right\}$ and $w_{i j}$ is defined as in Lemma 2.

Proof It is evident that the result holds with equality for $n=1$.
We next assume that $n \geq 2$.
Since $A$ is an $M$-matrix, there exists a positive diagonal matrix $D$ such that $D^{-1} A D$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant $M$-matrix, and

$$
\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right)=\tau\left(D^{-1}\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) D\right)=\tau\left(B \circ\left(D^{-1} A D\right)^{-1}\right) .
$$

Therefore, for convenience and without loss of generality, we assume that $A$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant matrix.
(i) First, we assume that $A$ and $B$ are irreducible matrices. Then, for any $i \in N$, we have $0<w_{i}<1$. Since $\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of $B \circ A^{-1}$, then by Lemma 2 and Lemma 5 , there exists an $i$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right)-b_{i i} \alpha_{i i}\right| & \leq w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{w_{j}}\left|b_{j i} \alpha_{j i}\right| \leq w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{w_{j}}\left|b_{j i}\right| w_{j i}\left|\alpha_{i i}\right| \\
& \leq w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{w_{j}}\left|b_{j i}\right| w_{j}\left|\alpha_{i i}\right|=w_{i}\left|\alpha_{i i}\right| \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4, the above inequality and $0 \leq \tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \leq b_{i i} \alpha_{i i}$, for any $i \in N$, we obtain

$$
\left|\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right)\right| \geq b_{i i} \alpha_{i i}-w_{i}\left|\alpha_{i i}\right| \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right| \geq \frac{b_{i i}-w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right|}{a_{i i}} \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{b_{i i}-w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right|}{a_{i i}}\right\} .
$$

(ii) Now, assume that one of $A$ and $B$ is reducible. It is well known that a matrix in $Z_{n}$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix if and only if all its leading principal minors are positive (see [7]). If we denote by $T=\left(t_{i j}\right)$ the $n \times n$ permutation matrix with $t_{12}=t_{23}=\cdots=t_{n-1, n}=t_{n 1}=1$, the remaining $t_{i j}$ zero, then both $A-\epsilon T$ and $B-\epsilon T$ are irreducible nonsingular $M$-matrices for any chosen positive real number $\epsilon$ sufficiently small such that all the leading principal
minors of both $A-\epsilon T$ and $B-\epsilon T$ are positive. Now, we substitute $A-\epsilon T$ and $B-\epsilon T$ for $A$ and $B$, respectively, in the previous case, and then letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the result follows by continuity.

From Lemma 3 and Theorem 1, we can easily obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 1 If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$ and $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$ is a doubly stochastic matrix, then

$$
\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{b_{i i}-w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right|}{1+\sum_{j \neq i} w_{j i}}\right\} .
$$

Corollary 2 If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$ and $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$ is a doubly stochastic matrix, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{a_{i i}-w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{j i}\right|}{1+\sum_{j \neq i} w_{j i}}\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1 We next give a simple comparison between (3) and (1), (4) and (2), respectively. Since $m_{j i} h_{i} \leq r_{i}, 0 \leq h_{i} \leq 1, j, i \in N, j \neq i$, then $w_{j i} \leq m_{j i}, w_{i} \leq m_{i}$ and $w_{j i} \leq u_{j i}, w_{i} \leq u_{i}$ for any $j, i \in N, j \neq i$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{b_{i i}-w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right|}{a_{i i}}\right\} \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{b_{i i}-m_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right|}{a_{i i}}\right\}, \\
& \tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{a_{i i}-w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{j i}\right|}{1+\sum_{j \neq i} w_{j i}}\right\} \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{a_{i i}-u_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{j i}\right|}{1+\sum_{j \neq i} u_{j i}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the bound in (3) is bigger than the bound in (1) and the bound in (4) is bigger than the bound in (2).

Theorem 2 If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$ and $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq & \min _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{\alpha_{i i} b_{i i}+\alpha_{j j} b_{j j}-\left[\left(\alpha_{i i} b_{i i}-\alpha_{j j} b_{j j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(w_{i} \sum_{k \neq i}\left|b_{k i}\right| \alpha_{i i}\right)\left(w_{j} \sum_{k \neq j}\left|b_{k j}\right| \alpha_{j j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{i}(i \in N)$ is defined as in Theorem 1.

Proof It is evident that the result holds with equality for $n=1$.
We next assume that $n \geq 2$. For convenience and without loss of generality, we assume that $A$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant matrix.
(i) First, we assume that $A$ and $B$ are irreducible matrices. Let $R_{j}^{\sigma}=\sum_{k \neq j}\left|a_{j k}\right| m_{k i} h_{i}, j, i \in$ $N, j \neq i$. Then, for any $j, i \in N, j \neq i$, we have

$$
R_{j}^{\sigma}=\sum_{k \neq j}\left|a_{j k}\right| m_{k i} h_{i} \leq\left|a_{j i}\right|+\sum_{k \neq j, i}\left|a_{j k}\right| m_{k i} h_{i} \leq R_{j}<a_{j j}
$$

Therefore, there exists a real number $z_{j i}\left(0 \leq z_{j i} \leq 1\right)$ such that

$$
\left|a_{j i}\right|+\sum_{k \neq j, i}\left|a_{j k}\right| m_{k i} h_{i}=z_{j i} R_{j}+\left(1-z_{j i}\right) R_{j}^{\sigma}, \quad j, i \in N, j \neq i
$$

Hence,

$$
w_{j i}=\frac{z_{j i} R_{j}+\left(1-z_{j i}\right) R_{j}^{\sigma}}{a_{j j}}, \quad j \in N
$$

Let $z_{j}=\max _{i \neq j} z_{j i}$. Obviously, $0<z_{j} \leq 1$ (if $z_{j}=0$, then $A$ is reducible, which is a contradiction). Let

$$
w_{j}=\max _{i \neq j}\left\{w_{j i}\right\}=\frac{z_{j} R_{j}+\left(1-z_{j}\right) R_{j}^{\sigma}}{a_{j j}}, \quad j \in N .
$$

Since $A$ is irreducible, then $R_{j}>0, R_{j}^{\sigma} \geq 0$, and $0<w_{j}<1$. Let $\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right)=\lambda$. By Lemma 6, there exist $i_{0}, j_{0} \in N, i_{0} \neq j_{0}$ such that

$$
\left|\lambda-\alpha_{i_{0} i_{0}} b_{i_{0} i_{0}}\right|\left|\lambda-\alpha_{j_{0} j_{0}} b_{j_{0} j_{0}}\right| \leq\left(w_{i_{0}} \sum_{k \neq i_{0}} \frac{1}{w_{k}}\left|\alpha_{k i_{0}} b_{k i_{0}}\right|\right)\left(w_{j_{0}} \sum_{k \neq j_{0}} \frac{1}{w_{k}}\left|\alpha_{k j_{0}} b_{k j_{0}}\right|\right) .
$$

And by Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(w_{i_{0}} \sum_{k \neq i_{0}} \frac{1}{w_{k}}\left|\alpha_{k i_{0}} b_{k i_{0}}\right|\right)\left(w_{j_{0}} \sum_{k \neq j_{0}} \frac{1}{w_{k}}\left|\alpha_{k j_{0}} b_{k j_{0}}\right|\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(w_{i_{0}} \sum_{k \neq i_{0}}\left|b_{k i_{0}}\right| \alpha_{i_{0} i_{0}}\right)\left(w_{j_{0}} \sum_{k \neq j_{0}}\left|b_{k j_{0}}\right| \alpha_{j_{0} j_{0}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|\lambda-\alpha_{i_{0} i_{0}} b_{i_{0} i_{0}}\right|\left|\lambda-\alpha_{j_{0} j_{0}} b_{j_{0} j_{0}}\right| \leq\left(w_{i_{0}} \sum_{k \neq i_{0}}\left|b_{k i_{0}}\right| \alpha_{i_{0} i_{0}}\right)\left(w_{j_{0}} \sum_{k \neq j_{0}}\left|b_{k j_{0}}\right| \alpha_{j_{0} j_{0}}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \geq & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\alpha_{i_{0} i_{0}} b_{i_{0} i_{0}}+\alpha_{j_{0} j_{0}} b_{j_{0} j_{0}}-\left[\left(\alpha_{i_{0} i_{0}} b_{i_{0} i_{0}}-\alpha_{j_{0} j_{0}} b_{j_{0} j_{0}}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(w_{i_{0}} \sum_{k \neq i_{0}}\left|b_{k i_{0}}\right| \alpha_{i_{0} i_{0}}\right)\left(w_{j_{0}} \sum_{k \neq j_{0}}\left|b_{k j_{0}}\right| \alpha_{j_{0} j_{0}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\alpha_{i_{0} i_{0}} b_{i_{0} i_{0}}+\alpha_{j_{0} j_{0}} b_{j_{0} j_{0}}-\left[\left(\alpha_{i_{0} i_{0}} b_{i_{0} i_{0}}-\alpha_{j_{0} j_{0}} b_{j_{0} j_{0}}\right)^{2}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.+4\left(w_{i_{0}} \sum_{k \neq i_{0}}\left|b_{k i_{0}}\right| \alpha_{i_{0} i_{0}}\right)\left(w_{j_{0}} \sum_{k \neq j_{0}}\left|b_{k j_{0}}\right| \alpha_{j_{0} j_{0}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
\geq & \min _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{\alpha_{i i} b_{i i}+\alpha_{j j} b_{j j}-\left[\left(\alpha_{i i} b_{i i}-\alpha_{j j} b_{j j}\right)^{2}+4\left(w_{i} \sum_{k \neq i}\left|b_{k i}\right| \alpha_{i i}\right)\left(w_{j} \sum_{k \neq j}\left|b_{k j}\right| \alpha_{j j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Now, assume that one of $A$ and $B$ is reducible. We substitute $A-\epsilon T$ and $B-\epsilon T$ for $A$ and $B$, respectively, in the previous case, and then letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the result follows by continuity.

Corollary 3 If $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M_{n}$ and $A^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq & \min _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{\alpha_{i i} a_{i i}+\alpha_{j j} a_{j j}-\left[\left(\alpha_{i i} a_{i i}-\alpha_{i j} a_{j j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(w_{i} \sum_{k \neq i}\left|a_{k i}\right| \alpha_{i i}\right)\left(w_{j} \sum_{k \neq j}\left|a_{k j}\right| \alpha_{j j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 1 Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
39 & -16 & -2 & -3 & -2 & -5 & -2 & -3 & -5 & 0 \\
-26 & 44 & -2 & -4 & -2 & -1 & 0 & -2 & -3 & -3 \\
-1 & -9 & 29 & -3 & -4 & 0 & -5 & -4 & -1 & -1 \\
-2 & -3 & -10 & 36 & -12 & 0 & -5 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & -3 & -1 & -9 & 44 & -16 & -3 & -4 & -4 & -3 \\
-3 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -12 & 48 & -18 & -1 & 0 & -2 \\
-2 & -1 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -16 & 45 & -9 & -4 & -1 \\
-1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -3 & -1 & -5 & 38 & -20 & -1 \\
-2 & -1 & 0 & -3 & -4 & -5 & -2 & -10 & 47 & -19 \\
-1 & -4 & -4 & -4 & 0 & -3 & -4 & -3 & -7 & 31
\end{array}\right),
$$

It is easily proved that $A$ and $B$ are nonsingular $M$-matrices and $A$ is a doubly stochastic matrix.
(i) If we apply Theorem 4.8 of [2], we have

$$
\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{b_{i i}-m_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right|}{a_{i i}}\right\}=0.0027
$$

If we apply Theorem 2.4 of [8], we have

$$
\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq\left(1-\rho\left(J_{A}\right) \rho\left(J_{B}\right)\right) \min _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{a_{i i}}{b_{i i}}=0.3485 .
$$

But, if we apply Theorem 1, we have

$$
\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{b_{i i}-w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right|}{a_{i i}}\right\}=0.0435 .
$$

If we apply Corollary 1, we have

$$
\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{b_{i i}-w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|b_{j i}\right|}{1+\sum_{j \neq i} w_{j i}}\right\}=0.2172 .
$$

If we apply Theorem 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq & \min _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{\alpha_{i i} b_{i i}+\alpha_{j j} b_{j j}-\left[\left(\alpha_{i i} b_{i i}-\alpha_{i j} b_{j j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(w_{i} \sum_{k \neq i}\left|b_{k i}\right| \alpha_{i i}\right)\left(w_{j} \sum_{k \neq j}\left|b_{k j}\right| \alpha_{j j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
= & 0.7212 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If we apply Theorem 3.2 of [3], we get

$$
\tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{a_{i i}-u_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{j i}\right|}{1+\sum_{j \neq i} u_{j i}}\right\}=0.3269 .
$$

But, if we apply Corollary 2, we get

$$
\tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\frac{a_{i i}-w_{i} \sum_{j \neq i}\left|a_{j i}\right|}{1+\sum_{j \neq i} w_{j i}}\right\}=0.3605 .
$$

If we apply Corollary 3, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right) \geq & \min _{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2}\left\{\alpha_{i i} a_{i i}+\alpha_{i j} a_{j j}-\left[\left(\alpha_{i i} a_{i i}-\alpha_{j j} a_{j j}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4\left(w_{i} \sum_{k \neq i}\left|b_{k i}\right| \alpha_{i i}\right)\left(w_{j} \sum_{k \neq j}\left|b_{k j}\right| \alpha_{j j}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
= & 0.4072 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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