

RESEARCH

Open Access

# A Liouville-type theorem for an integral system on a half-space $R_+^n$

Linfen Cao<sup>1\*</sup> and Zhaohui Dai<sup>2</sup>

\*Correspondence: caolf2010@yahoo.com  
<sup>1</sup>College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, P.R. China  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

Let  $R_+^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional upper half Euclidean space, and let  $\alpha$  be any real number satisfying  $0 < \alpha < n$ . In our previous paper (Cao and Dai in *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 389:1365-1373, 2012), we considered the single equation

$$u(x) = \int_{R_+^n} \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x^*-y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) u^r(y) dy, \tag{0.1}$$

where  $x^* = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n)$  is the reflection of the point  $x$  about the  $\partial R_+^n$ . We obtained the monotonicity and nonexistence of positive solutions to equation (0.1) under some integrability conditions when  $r > \frac{n}{n-\alpha}$ . In (Zhuo and Li in *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 381:392-401, 2011), the authors discussed the following system of integral equations in  $R_+^n$ :

$$\begin{cases} u(x) = \int_{R_+^n} \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x^*-y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) v^q(y) dy, \\ v(x) = \int_{R_+^n} \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x^*-y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) u^p(y) dy \end{cases} \tag{0.2}$$

with  $\frac{1}{q+1} + \frac{1}{p+1} = \frac{n-\alpha}{n}$ . They obtained rotational symmetry of positive solutions of (0.2) about some line parallel to  $x_n$ -axis under the assumption  $u \in L^{p+1}(R_+^n)$  and  $v \in L^{q+1}(R_+^n)$ . In this paper, we derive nonexistence results of such positive solutions for (0.2). In particular, we present a simple and more general method for the study of symmetry and monotonicity which has been extensively used in various forms on a half-space.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 35B05; 35B45

**Keywords:** Liouville-type theorem; HLS inequality; systems of integral equations; monotonicity; moving planes method

## 1 Introduction

By a Liouville-type theorem, we here mean the statement of nonexistence of nontrivial (bounded or not) solutions on the whole space or on a half-space. In the last two decades, Liouville-type theorems have been widely used, in conjunction with rescaling arguments, to derive *a priori* estimates for solutions of boundary value problems.

Let  $R_+^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional upper half Euclidean space

$$R_+^n = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n | x_n > 0\}.$$

In our previous paper [1], we studied the integral equation in  $R_+^n$ :

$$u(x) = \int_{R_+^n} \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x^*-y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) u^r(y) dy, \quad u(x) > 0, x \in R_+^n. \tag{1.1}$$

For  $r > \frac{n}{n-\alpha}$  ( $0 < \alpha < n$ ), we obtained the following Liouville-type theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** [1] *Suppose  $r > \frac{n}{n-\alpha}$ . If the solution  $u$  of (1.1) satisfies  $u \in L^{\frac{n(r-1)}{\alpha}}(R_+^n)$  and is nonnegative, then  $u \equiv 0$ .*

The result above motivates us to further study positive solutions of the systems of integral equations in  $R_+^n$ ,

$$\begin{cases} u(x) = \int_{R_+^n} \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x^*-y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) v^q(y) dy, \\ v(x) = \int_{R_+^n} \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x^*-y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) u^p(y) dy, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  satisfy

$$\frac{1}{q+1} + \frac{1}{p+1} = \frac{n-\alpha}{n}.$$

This is the so-called critical case.

In [2] Zhuo and Li discussed regularity and rotational symmetry of solutions for integral system (1.2).

**Theorem 1.2** [2] *Let  $(u, v)$  be a pair of positive solutions of (1.2) with  $p, q \geq 1$ . Assume that  $u \in L^{p+1}(R_+^n)$  and  $v \in L^{q+1}(R_+^n)$ , then every positive solution  $(u, v)$  of (1.2) is rotationally symmetric about some line parallel to  $x_n$ -axis.*

They also showed close relationships between integral equation (1.2) and the following PDEs system:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u = v^q, & u > 0, \text{ in } R_+^n; \\ (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v = u^p, & v > 0, \text{ in } R_+^n; \\ u = (-\Delta)u = \dots = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}u = 0, & \text{ on } \partial R_+^n; \\ v = (-\Delta)v = \dots = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}v = 0, & \text{ on } \partial R_+^n, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\alpha$  is an even number.

**Theorem 1.3** [2] *Let  $(u, v)$  be a pair of solutions of (1.2) up to a constant, then  $(u, v)$  satisfies (1.3).*

In this paper, we use a simple and more general method to derive that the solution pair  $(u, v)$  of (1.2) is strictly monotonically increasing with respect to the variable  $x_n$  and further present the nonexistence of positive solutions of (1.2) under some integrability conditions.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $(u, v)$  be a pair of positive solutions of (1.2) with  $p, q \geq 1$ . Assume that  $u \in L^{p+1}(R_+^n)$  and  $v \in L^{q+1}(R_+^n)$ , then both  $u$  and  $v$  are strictly monotonically increasing with respect to the variable  $x_n$ .*

**Theorem 1.5** *Let  $(u, v)$  be a pair of positive solutions of (1.2) with  $p, q \geq 1$ . Assume that  $u \in L^{p+1}(R_+^n)$  and  $v \in L^{q+1}(R_+^n)$  are nonnegative, then  $u = v \equiv 0$ .*

## 2 Properties of the function $G(x, y)$

In this section, we introduce some properties of the function  $G(x, y)$  which is defined on a half-space. By using the properties, one could find a simple and general method for the study of symmetry and monotonicity which has been used in various forms defined in a half-space. More precisely, for  $x, y \in R_+^n$ , define

$$G(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|x^* - y|^{n-\alpha}},$$

where  $x^* = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n)$  is a reflection of the point  $x$  about the  $\partial R_+^n$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a positive real number. Define

$$\Sigma_\lambda = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n \mid 0 < x_n < \lambda\},$$

$$T_\lambda = \{x \in R_+^n \mid x_n = \lambda\}$$

and

$$\Sigma_\lambda^C = R_+^n \setminus \Sigma_\lambda,$$

the complement of  $\Sigma_\lambda$  in  $R_+^n$ .

Let

$$x^\lambda = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 2\lambda - x_n)$$

be a reflection of the point  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  about the plane  $T_\lambda$ .

To this end, for  $x, y \in R_+^n$ , define

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$$

and

$$\theta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 4x_n y_n, & \text{if } x, y \in R_+^n, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin R_+^n \text{ or } y \notin R_+^n. \end{cases}$$

Then, for  $x, y \in R_+^n$ ,  $x \neq y$ , we have the following expression:

$$G(x, y) = H(d(x, y), \theta(x, y)).$$

Here  $H : (0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ ,

$$H(s, t) = \frac{1}{s^\gamma} - \frac{1}{(s + t)^\gamma}, \quad \gamma = \frac{n - \alpha}{2}.$$

The following lemma states some properties of the function  $G(x, y)$ . Here we present a proof.

**Lemma 2.1**

(i) For any  $x, y \in \Sigma_\lambda, x \neq y$ , we have

$$G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) > \max\{G(x^\lambda, y), G(x, y^\lambda)\} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - G(x, y) > |G(x^\lambda, y) - G(x, y^\lambda)|. \tag{2.2}$$

(ii) For any  $x \in \Sigma_\lambda, y \in \Sigma_\lambda^c$ , it holds

$$G(x^\lambda, y) > G(x, y). \tag{2.3}$$

*Proof* Since  $x, y \in \Sigma_\lambda$ , it is easy to verify that

$$d(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) = d(x, y) < d(x^\lambda, y) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\theta(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) > \theta(x^\lambda, y) > \theta(x, y). \tag{2.5}$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - \theta(x^\lambda, y) &= 4(2\lambda - x_n)(2\lambda - y_n) - 4(2\lambda - x_n)y_n \\ &= 8(2\lambda - x_n)(\lambda - y_n) > 0, \\ \theta(x^\lambda, y) - \theta(x, y) &= 4(2\lambda - x_n)y_n - 4x_ny_n \\ &= 8(\lambda - x_n)y_n > 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) &\geq \max\{\theta(x^\lambda, y), \theta(x, y^\lambda)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\theta(x^\lambda, y), \theta(x, y^\lambda)\} \geq \theta(x, y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Consider

$$G(x, y) = H(s, t) = \frac{1}{s^\gamma} - \frac{1}{(s + t)^\gamma}$$

with

$$s = d(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad t = \theta(x, y).$$

Then, for  $s, t > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial s} = (-\gamma) \left( \frac{1}{s^{\gamma+1}} - \frac{1}{(s+t)^{\gamma+1}} \right) < 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \frac{\gamma}{(s+t)^{\gamma+1}} > 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial t \partial s} = -\frac{\gamma(\gamma+1)}{(s+t)^{\gamma+2}} < 0. \tag{2.9}$$

(i) From (2.4), (2.5), (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain (2.1).

While by (2.6) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - G(x, y) &= \int_{\theta(x, y)}^{\theta(x^\lambda, y^\lambda)} \frac{\partial H(d(x, y), t)}{\partial t} dt \\ &> \int_{\theta(x, y)}^{\theta(x^\lambda, y^\lambda)} \frac{\partial H(d(x^\lambda, y), t)}{\partial t} dt \\ &\geq \int_{\theta(x, y^\lambda)}^{\theta(x^\lambda, y)} \frac{\partial H(d(x^\lambda, y), t)}{\partial t} dt \\ &= |H(d(x^\lambda, y), \theta(x^\lambda, y)) - H(d(x, y^\lambda), \theta(x, y^\lambda))| \\ &= |G(x^\lambda, y) - G(x, y^\lambda)|. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that  $d(x^\lambda, y) = d(x, y^\lambda)$ .

(ii) Noticing that for  $x \in \Sigma_\lambda$  and  $y \in \Sigma_\lambda^C$ , we have

$$|x^\lambda - y| < |x - y| \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(x^\lambda, y) > \theta(x, y).$$

Then (2.3) follows immediately from (2.7) and (2.8).

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

**Remark** The properties of the function  $G(x, y)$  defined on a half-space are very similar to the properties of Green’s function for a poly-harmonic operator on the ball with Dirichlet boundary conditions. One could find this interesting relation from [3, 4] and [5].

### 3 The proof of main theorems

In this section, by using the method of moving planes in integral forms, we derive the nonexistence of positive solutions to integral system (1.2) and obtain a new Liouville-type theorem on a half-space. To prove the theorems, we need several lemmas.

Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\Sigma_\lambda = \{x \in R^n \mid 0 < x_n < \lambda\},$$

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_\lambda = \{x_\lambda \mid x \in \Sigma_\lambda\}.$$

Set

$$u_\lambda(x) = u(x^\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\lambda(x) = v(x^\lambda).$$

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $(u, v)$  be any pair of positive solutions of (1.2). For any  $x \in \Sigma_\lambda$ , we have*

$$u(x) - u_\lambda(x) \leq \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} [G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - G(x, y^\lambda)][v^q(y) - v_\lambda^q(y)] dy, \tag{3.1}$$

$$v(x) - v_\lambda(x) \leq \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} [G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - G(x, y^\lambda)][u^p(y) - u_\lambda^p(y)] dy. \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof* Obviously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} G(x, y)v^q(y) dy + \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} G(x, y^\lambda)v_\lambda^q(y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^c \setminus \tilde{\Sigma}_\lambda} G(x, y)v^q(y) dy, \\ u_\lambda(x) &= \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} G(x^\lambda, y)v^q(y) dy + \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda)v_\lambda^q(y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^c \setminus \tilde{\Sigma}_\lambda} G(x^\lambda, y)v^q(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by properties (2.2) and (2.3) of the function  $G(x, y)$  and the pair of positive solutions of (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) - u_\lambda(x) &\leq \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} [G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - G(x, y^\lambda)](v^q(y) - v_\lambda^q(y)) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^c \setminus \tilde{\Sigma}_\lambda} [G(x, y) - G(x^\lambda, y)]v^q(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} [G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - G(x, y^\lambda)](v^q(y) - v_\lambda^q(y)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we could derive the second inequality in the lemma. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.4* To prove Theorem 1.4, we compare  $(u(x), v(x))$  and  $(u_\lambda(x), v_\lambda(x))$  on  $\Sigma_\lambda$ . The proof consists of two steps.

In the first step, we start from the very low end of our region  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , i.e.,  $x_n = 0$ . We will show that for  $\lambda$  sufficiently small,

$$u_\lambda(x) \geq u(x) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\lambda(x) \geq v(x), \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_\lambda. \tag{3.3}$$

In the second step, we will move our plane  $T_\lambda$  toward the positive direction of  $x_n$ -axis as long as inequality (3.3) holds.

*Step 1.* Define

$$\Sigma_\lambda^u = \{x | x \in \Sigma_\lambda, u(x) > u_\lambda(x)\},$$

and

$$\Sigma_\lambda^v = \{x | x \in \Sigma_\lambda, v(x) > v_\lambda(x)\}.$$

We show that for sufficiently small positive  $\lambda$ ,  $\Sigma_\lambda^u$  and  $\Sigma_\lambda^v$  must both be measure zero. In fact, by Lemma 3.1, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) - u_\lambda(x) &\leq \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} [G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - G(x, y^\lambda)](v^p(y) - v_\lambda^p(y)) \, dy \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_\lambda \setminus \Sigma_\lambda^v} [G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - G(x, y^\lambda)](v^p(y) - v_\lambda^p(y)) \, dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^v} [G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - G(x, y^\lambda)](v^p(y) - v_\lambda^p(y)) \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^v} [G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) - G(x, y^\lambda)](v^p(y) - v_\lambda^p(y)) \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^v} G(x^\lambda, y^\lambda)[v^p(y) - v_\lambda^p(y)] \, dy \\ &\leq p \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^v} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} \psi_\lambda^{p-1}(y)[v(y) - v_\lambda(y)] \, dy \\ &\leq p \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^v} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} v^{p-1}(y)[v(y) - v_\lambda(y)] \, dy, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi_\lambda(y)$  is valued between  $v(y)$  and  $v_\lambda(y)$ . Therefore, on  $\Sigma_\lambda^v$  we have

$$0 \leq v_\lambda(y) \leq \psi_\lambda(y) \leq v(y).$$

It follows from the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality that

$$\|u_\lambda - u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)} \leq C \|v^{q-1}(v_\lambda - v)\|_{L^{(q+1)/q}(\Sigma_\lambda^v)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Then by the Hölder inequality,

$$\|u_\lambda - u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)} \leq C \|v\|_{L^{q+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^v)}^{q-1} \|v_\lambda - v\|_{L^{q+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^v)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, one can show that

$$\|v_\lambda - v\|_{L^{q+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^v)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)}^{p-1} \|u_\lambda - u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we arrive at

$$\|u_\lambda - u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)} \leq C \|v\|_{L^{q+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^v)}^{q-1} \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)}^{p-1} \|u_\lambda - u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)}. \tag{3.7}$$

By the conditions that  $u \in L^{p+1}(R_+^n)$  and  $v \in L^{q+1}(R_+^n)$ , we can choose sufficiently small positive  $\lambda$  such that

$$C \|v\|_{L^{q+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^v)}^{q-1} \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)}^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now, inequality (3.7) implies  $\|u_\lambda - u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)} = 0$ , and therefore  $\Sigma_\lambda^u$  must be measure zero. Similarly, one can show that  $\Sigma_\lambda^v$  is measure zero. Therefore, (3.3) holds. This completes Step 1.

*Step 2.* (Move the plane to the limiting position to derive symmetry and monotonicity.)

Inequality (3.3) provides a starting point to move the plane  $T_\lambda$ . Now, we start from the neighborhood of  $x_n = 0$  and move the plane up as long as (3.3) holds to the limiting position. We will show that the solution  $u(x)$  must be symmetric about the limiting plane and be strictly monotonically increasing with respect to the variable  $x_n$ . More precisely, define

$$\lambda_0 = \sup\{\lambda \mid u(x) \leq u_\mu(x) \text{ and } v(x) \leq v_\mu(x), \forall x \in \Sigma_\mu, \mu \leq \lambda\}.$$

Suppose that for such a  $\lambda_0$ , we will show that both  $u(x)$  and  $v(x)$  must be symmetric about the plane  $T_{\lambda_0}$  by using a contradiction argument. Assume that on  $\Sigma_{\lambda_0}$ , we have

$$u(x) \leq u_{\lambda_0}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) \leq v_{\lambda_0}(x), \quad \text{but} \quad u(x) \not\equiv u_{\lambda_0}(x) \quad \text{or} \quad v(x) \not\equiv v_{\lambda_0}(x).$$

We show that the plane can be moved further up. More precisely, there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  depending on  $n, \alpha$ , and the solution  $(u(x), v(x))$  such that

$$u(x) \leq u_\lambda(x) \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) \leq v_\lambda(x) \quad \text{on } \Sigma_\lambda \text{ for all } \lambda \text{ in } [\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \epsilon). \tag{3.8}$$

In the case

$$v(x) \not\equiv v_{\lambda_0}(x) \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{\lambda_0},$$

by Lemma 3.1, we have in fact  $u(x) < u_{\lambda_0}(x)$  in the interior of  $\Sigma_{\lambda_0}$ . Let

$$\overline{\Sigma_{\lambda_0}^u} = \{x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_0} \mid u(x) \geq u_{\lambda_0}(x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\Sigma_{\lambda_0}^v} = \{x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_0} \mid v(x) \geq v_{\lambda_0}(x)\}.$$

Then, obviously,  $\overline{\Sigma_{\lambda_0}^u}$  has measure zero and  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \Sigma_\lambda^u \subset \overline{\Sigma_{\lambda_0}^u}$ . The same is true for that of  $v$ . From (3.5) and (3.6), we deduce

$$\|u_\lambda - u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)} \leq C \|v\|_{L^{q+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^v)}^{q-1} \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)}^{p-1} \|u_\lambda - u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)}. \tag{3.9}$$

Again, the conditions that  $u \in L^{p+1}(R_+^n)$  and  $v \in L^{q+1}(R_+^n)$  ensure that one can choose  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small, so that for all  $\lambda$  in  $[\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \epsilon)$ ,

$$C \|v\|_{L^{q+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^v)}^{q-1} \|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)}^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now, by (3.9), we have  $\|u_\lambda - u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Sigma_\lambda^u)} = 0$ , therefore  $\Sigma_\lambda^u$  must be measure zero. Similarly,  $\Sigma_\lambda^v$  must also be measure zero. This verifies (3.8), therefore both  $u(x)$  and  $v(x)$  are symmetric about the plane  $T_{\lambda_0}$ . Also, the monotonicity easily follows from the argument. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.5* To prove the theorem, firstly we will show that the plane cannot stop at  $x_n = \lambda_0$  for some  $\lambda_0 < +\infty$ , that is, we will prove that  $\lambda_0 = +\infty$ .

Suppose that  $\lambda_0 < +\infty$ , the process of Theorem 1.4 shows that the plane  $x_n = 2\lambda_0$  is the symmetric points of the boundary  $\partial R_+^n$  with respect to the plane  $T_{\lambda_0}$ , and we derive that



$u(x) = 0$  and  $v(x) = 0$  when  $x$  is on the plane  $x_n = 2\lambda_0$ . This contradicts the pair of positive solutions  $(u(x), v(x))$  of (1.2), thus  $\lambda_0 = +\infty$ .

Besides, we know that both  $u(x)$  and  $v(x)$  of positive solutions of (1.2) are strictly monotonically increasing in the positive direction of  $x_n$ -axis, but  $u \in L^{p+1}(R_+^n)$  and  $v \in L^{q+1}(R_+^n)$ , so we come to the conclusion that the pair of positive solutions  $(u(x), v(x))$  of (1.2) does not exist.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5. □

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

CL participated in the method of moving planes studies. DZ carried out the applications of inequalities and drafted the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, P.R. China.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, P.R. China.

#### Acknowledgements

Most of this work was completed when the first author was visiting Yeshiva University, and she would like to thank the Department of Mathematics for the hospitality. Besides, the authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor Wenxiong Chen for his hospitality and many valuable discussions. This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11171091; No. 11001076), NSF of Henan Provincial Education Committee (No. 2011A110008) and Foundation for University Key Teacher of Henan Province.

Received: 13 October 2012 Accepted: 17 January 2013 Published: 4 February 2013

#### References

1. Cao, L, Dai, Z: A Liouville-type theorem for an integral equation on a half-space  $R_+^n$ . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **389**, 1365-1373 (2012)
2. Zhuo, R, Li, DY: A system of integral equations on half space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **381**, 392-401 (2011)
3. Chen, W, Zhu, J: Radial symmetry and regularity of solutions for poly-harmonic Dirichlet problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **377**(2), 744-753 (2011)
4. Boggio, T: Sulle Fuzioni di Green d'ordine  $m$ . *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **20**, 97-135 (1905)
5. Cao, L, Chen, W: Liouville type theorems for poly-harmonic Navier problems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* (2013, to appear)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-37

**Cite this article as:** Cao and Dai: A Liouville-type theorem for an integral system on a half-space  $R_+^n$ . *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 **2013**:37.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---