

RESEARCH

Open Access

The constrained multiple-sets split feasibility problem and its projection algorithms

Yaqin Zheng¹, Jinwei Shi^{2*} and Yeong-Cheng Liou³

*Correspondence:

jinwei_shi@yahoo.com.cn

²North China Electric Power University, Baoding, 071003, China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

The projection algorithms for solving the constrained multiple-sets split feasibility problem are presented. The strong convergence results of the algorithms are given under some mild conditions. Especially, the minimum norm solution of the constrained multiple-sets split feasibility problem can be found.

1 Introduction

Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces. Let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N be N nonempty closed convex subsets of H_1 and let Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_M be M nonempty closed convex subsets of H_2 . Let $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a bounded linear operator. The multiple-sets split feasibility problem is formulated as follows:

$$\text{Find an } x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N C_i \text{ such that } Ax \in \bigcap_{j=1}^M Q_j. \quad (1.1)$$

A special case If $N = M = 1$, then the multiple-sets split feasibility problem is reduced to the split feasibility problem which is formulated as finding a point x with the property

$$x \in C \quad \text{and} \quad Ax \in Q.$$

The split feasibility problem in finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces was first introduced by Censor and Elfving [1] for modeling inverse problems which arise from phase retrievals and in medical image reconstruction [2]. It has been found that the multiple-sets split feasibility problem and the split feasibility problem can be used to model the intensity-modulated radiation therapy [3–6]. Various algorithms have been invented to solve the multiple-sets split feasibility problem and the split feasibility problem, see, *e.g.*, [7–24] and references therein.

The popular algorithm that solves the multiple-sets split feasibility problem and the split feasibility problem is Byrne's CQ algorithm [11] which is found to be a gradient-projection method in convex minimization. Motivated by this idea, in this paper, we present the composite projection algorithms for solving the constrained multiple-sets split feasibility problem. The strong convergence results of the algorithms are given under some mild conditions. Especially, the minimum norm solution of the constrained multiple-sets split feasibility problem can be found.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Concepts

Let H be a real Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the norm $\| \cdot \|$, respectively, and let Ω be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Recall that the (nearest point or metric) projection from H onto Ω , denoted by P_Ω , is defined in such a way that, for each $x \in H$, $P_\Omega(x)$ is the unique point in Ω with the property

$$\|x - P_\Omega(x)\| = \min\{\|x - y\| : y \in \Omega\}.$$

It is known that P_Ω satisfies

$$\langle x - y, P_\Omega(x) - P_\Omega(y) \rangle \geq \|P_\Omega(x) - P_\Omega(y)\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

Moreover, P_Ω is characterized by the following properties:

$$\langle x - P_\Omega(x), y - P_\Omega(x) \rangle \leq 0$$

for all $x \in H$ and $y \in \Omega$.

We also recall that a mapping $f : \Omega \rightarrow H$ is said to be ρ -contractive if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \rho\|x - y\|$ for some constant $\rho \in [0, 1)$ and for all $x, y \in \Omega$. A mapping $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$. A mapping T is called averaged if $T = (1 - \delta)I + \delta U$, where $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $U : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is nonexpansive. In this case, we also say that T is δ -averaged. A bounded linear operator B is said to be strongly positive on H if there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\langle Bx, x \rangle \geq \alpha\|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Let A be an operator with domain $D(A)$ and range $R(A)$ in H .

(i) A is monotone if for all $x, y \in D(A)$,

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq 0.$$

(ii) Given a number $\nu > 0$, A is said to be ν -inverse strongly monotone (ν -ism) (or co-coercive) if

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \nu\|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad x, y \in H.$$

It is easily seen that a projection P_Ω is a 1-ism and hence P_Ω is $\frac{1}{2}$ -averaged.

We will need to use the following notation:

- $\text{Fix}(T)$ stands for the set of fixed points of T ;
- $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ stands for the weak convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to x ;
- $x_n \rightarrow x$ stands for the strong convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to x .

2.2 Mathematical model

Now, we consider the mathematical model of the multiple-sets split feasibility problem. Let $x \in C_1$. Assume that $Ax \in Q_1$. Then we get $(I - P_{Q_1})Ax = 0$, which implies $\gamma A^*(I -$

$P_{Q_1})Ax = 0$, hence x satisfies the fixed point equation $x = (I - \gamma A^*(I - P_{Q_1})A)x$. At the same time, note that $x \in C_1$. Thus,

$$x = P_{C_1}(I - \gamma A^*(I - P_{Q_1})A)x.$$

Now, we know x solves the split feasibility problem if and only if x solves the above fixed point equation. This result reminds us that the multiple-sets split feasibility problem is equivalent to a common fixed point problem of finitely many nonexpansive mappings. On the other hand, x solves the multiple-sets split feasibility problem implies that x satisfies two properties:

- (i) the distance from x to each C_i is zero and
- (ii) the distance from Ax to each Q_j is also zero.

First, we consider the following proximity function:

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \|x - P_{C_i}x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j \|Ax - P_{Q_j}Ax\|^2,$$

where $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_j\}$ are positive real numbers, and P_{C_i} and P_{Q_j} are the metric projections onto C_i and Q_j , respectively. It is clear that the proximity function g is convex and differentiable with the gradient

$$\nabla g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i (I - P_{C_i})x + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})Ax.$$

We can check that the gradient $\nabla g(x)$ is L -Lipschitz continuous with constant

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j \|A\|^2.$$

Note that x^* is a solution of the multiple-sets split feasibility problem (1.1) if and only if $g(x^*) = 0$. Since $g(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in H_1$, a solution of the multiple-sets split feasibility problem (1.1) is a minimizer of g over any closed convex subset, with minimum value of zero. This motivates us to consider the following minimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \Omega} g(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where Ω is a closed convex subset of H_1 whose intersection with the solution set of the multiple-sets split feasibility problem is nonempty, and get a solution of the so-called constrained multiple-sets split feasibility problem

$$x^* \in \Omega \text{ such that } x^* \text{ solves (1.1)}. \tag{2.2}$$

2.3 The well-known lemmas

The following lemmas will be helpful for our main results in the next section.

Lemma 2.1 [25] *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space X and let $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ with $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Suppose that $x_{n+1} =$*

$(1 - \beta_n)z_n + \beta_n x_n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - x_n\| = 0$.

Lemma 2.2 [26] *Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : K \rightarrow K$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then T is demiclosed on K , i.e., if $x_n \rightharpoonup x \in K$ weakly and $x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow 0$, then $x = Tx$.*

Lemma 2.3 [27] *Assume that $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that $a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n)a_n + \delta_n$, where $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence such that*

- (1) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$;
- (2) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n / \gamma_n \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

3 Main results

Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces. Let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N be N nonempty closed convex subsets of H_1 and let Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_M be M nonempty closed convex subsets of H_2 . Let $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a bounded linear operator. Assume that the multiple-sets split feasibility problem is consistent, i.e., it is solvable. Now, we are devoted to solving the constrained multiple-set split feasibility problem (2.2).

For solving (2.2), we introduce the following iterative algorithm.

Algorithm 3.1 Let $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ be a ρ -contraction. Let $B : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ be a self-adjoint, strongly positive bounded linear operator with coefficient $\alpha > 0$. Let σ and γ be two constants such that $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{L}$ and $0 < \sigma\rho < \alpha$. For arbitrary initial point $x_0 \in H_1$, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ iteratively by

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{n+1} = & P_{\Omega} \left(I - \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i (I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^* (I - P_{Q_j}) A \right) \right) \\
 & \times P_{\Omega} (\xi_n \sigma f + (I - \xi_n B) x_n),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $n \geq 0$, where $\{\xi_n\}$ is a real sequence in $(0, 1)$.

Fact 3.2 The mapping $I - \gamma (\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i (I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^* (I - P_{Q_j}) A)$ is $\frac{\gamma L}{2}$ -averaged.

In order to check Fact 3.2, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3 (Baillon-Haddad) [28] *If $h : H \rightarrow R$ has an L -Lipschitz continuous gradient ∇h , then ∇h is $\frac{1}{L}$ -ism.*

Lemma 3.4 *Given $T : H \rightarrow H$ and let $V = I - T$ be the complement of T . Given also $S : H \rightarrow H$.*

- (i) *T is nonexpansive if and only if V is $\frac{1}{2}$ -inverse strongly monotone (in short, $\frac{1}{2}$ -ism).*
- (ii) *If S is v -ism, then for $\gamma > 0$, γS is $\frac{v}{\gamma}$ -ism.*
- (iii) *S is averaged if and only if the complement $I - S$ is v -ism for some $v > \frac{1}{2}$.*

Lemma 3.5 *Given operators $S, T, V : H \rightarrow H$.*

- (i) *If $S = (1 - \alpha)T + \alpha V$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and if T is averaged and V is nonexpansive, then S is averaged.*

- (ii) S is firmly nonexpansive if and only if the complement $I - S$ is firmly nonexpansive. If S is firmly nonexpansive, then S is averaged.
- (iii) If $S = (1 - \alpha)T + \alpha V$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, T is firmly nonexpansive and V is nonexpansive, then S is averaged.
- (iv) If S and T are both averaged, then the product (composite) ST is averaged.

Proof of Fact 3.2 Since gradient $\nabla g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(I - P_{C_i})x + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})Ax$ has an L -Lipschitz constant $L = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j \|A\|^2$, by Lemma 3.4, ∇g is $\frac{1}{L}$ -ism and $\gamma(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})A)$ is $\frac{1}{\gamma L}$ -ism. Again, from Lemma 3.4(iii), we deduce that $I - \gamma(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})A)$ is $\frac{\gamma L}{2}$ -averaged. \square

Now, we prove the convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Theorem 3.6 *Suppose that $S \neq \emptyset$. Assume that the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfies the control conditions:*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = 0$ and
- (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n = \infty$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.1) converges to a solution x^ of (2.2), where x^* also solves the following VI:*

$$x^* \in S \text{ such that } \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, \tilde{x} - x^* \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } \tilde{x} \in S, \tag{3.2}$$

where S is the set of solutions of (2.2).

Proof Let $x^* \in S$. Since B is strongly positive bounded linear operator with coefficient $\alpha > 0$, we have $\|I - \xi_n B\| \leq 1 - \alpha \xi_n$ (without loss of generality, we may assume $\xi_n \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$). Thus, by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| \\ &= \left\| P_{\Omega} \left(I - \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i (I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})A \right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times P_{\Omega} (\xi_n \sigma f + (I - \xi_n B)x_n - x^*) \right\| \\ &\leq \| \xi_n \sigma f(x_n) + (I - \xi_n B)x_n - x^* \| \\ &\leq \xi_n \sigma \|f(x_n) - f(x^*)\| + \|I - \xi_n B\| \|x_n - x^*\| + \xi_n \| \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^* \| \\ &\leq \xi_n \sigma \rho \|x_n - x^*\| + (1 - \xi_n \alpha) \|x_n - x^*\| + \xi_n \| \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^* \| \\ &= [1 - (\alpha - \sigma \rho) \xi_n] \|x_n - x^*\| + (\alpha - \sigma \rho) \xi_n \|f(x^*) - Bx^*\| / (\alpha - \sigma \rho). \end{aligned}$$

An induction yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &\leq \max \left\{ \|x_n - x^*\|, \frac{\|f(x^*) - Bx^*\|}{\alpha - \sigma \rho} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \|x_0 - x^*\|, \frac{\|f(x^*) - Bx^*\|}{\alpha - \sigma \rho} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is bounded.

It is well-known that the metric projection P_Ω is firmly nonexpansive, hence averaged. By Fact 3.2, $I - \gamma(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})A)$ is $\frac{\gamma L}{2}$ -averaged. From Lemma 3.5, the composite of three averaged mappings is averaged. So, $P_\Omega(I - \gamma(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})A))P_\Omega$ is an averaged mapping. Thus, there must exist a positive constant $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$P_\Omega\left(I - \gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})A\right)\right)P_\Omega = (1 - \delta)I + \delta U,$$

where U is a nonexpansive mapping. Set $y_n = \xi_n \sigma f(x_n) + (I - \xi_n B)x_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= ((1 - \delta)I + \delta U)(\xi_n \sigma f(x_n) + (I - \xi_n B)x_n) \\ &= (1 - \delta)x_n + \xi_n(1 - \delta)(\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n) + \delta U y_n \\ &= (1 - \delta)x_n + \delta\left(\frac{1 - \delta}{\delta} \xi_n(\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n) + U y_n\right) \\ &= (1 - \delta)x_n + \delta z_n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$z_n = \frac{(1 - \delta)\xi_n}{\delta}(\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n) + U y_n.$$

By virtue of $\xi_n \rightarrow 0$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) and the boundedness of the sequences $\{f(x_n)\}$ and $\{Bx_n\}$, we firstly observe that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n \|\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n\| = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - U y_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 - \delta)\xi_n}{\delta} \|\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n\| = 0.$$

Next, we estimate $\|z_{n+1} - z_n\|$. Note that

$$z_{n+1} - z_n = \frac{(1 - \delta)\xi_{n+1}}{\delta}(\sigma f(x_{n+1}) - Bx_{n+1}) + U y_{n+1} - \frac{(1 - \delta)\xi_n}{\delta}(\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n) - U y_n.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| &\leq \frac{1 - \delta}{\delta} (\xi_{n+1} \|\sigma f(x_{n+1}) - Bx_{n+1}\| + \xi_n \|\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n\|) + \|U y_{n+1} - U y_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \delta}{\delta} (\xi_{n+1} \|\sigma f(x_{n+1}) - Bx_{n+1}\| + \xi_n \|\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n\|) + \|y_{n+1} - y_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y_{n+1} - y_n = \xi_{n+1} \sigma f(x_{n+1}) + (I - \xi_{n+1} B)x_{n+1} - \xi_n \sigma f(x_n) - (I - \xi_n B)x_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| &\leq \|\xi_{n+1} \sigma f(x_{n+1}) + (I - \xi_{n+1} B)x_{n+1} - \xi_n \sigma f(x_n) - (I - \xi_n B)x_n\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - \delta}{\delta} (\xi_{n+1} \|\sigma f(x_{n+1}) - Bx_{n+1}\| + \xi_n \|\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n\|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \xi_{n+1} \|\sigma f(x_{n+1}) - Bx_{n+1}\| + \xi_n \|\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1-\delta}{\delta} (\xi_{n+1} \|\sigma f(x_{n+1}) - Bx_{n+1}\| + \xi_n \|\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &\leq \xi_{n+1} \|\sigma f(x_{n+1}) - Bx_{n+1}\| + \xi_n \|\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1-\delta}{\delta} (\xi_{n+1} \|\sigma f(x_{n+1}) - Bx_{n+1}\| + \xi_n \|\sigma f(x_n) - Bx_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = 0$ and the sequences $\{f(x_n)\}, \{Bx_n\}$ are bounded, we deduce

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ux_n - x_n\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| P_\Omega \left(I - \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i (I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^* (I - P_{Q_j}) A \right) \right) P_\Omega(x_n) - x_n \right\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the sequence $\{x_n\}$, we know that $x_n \in \Omega$. Hence, $P_\Omega(x_n) = x_n$. So,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| P_\Omega \left(I - \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i (I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^* (I - P_{Q_j}) A \right) \right) x_n - x_n \right\| = 0.$$

Next we prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle \leq 0.$$

In order to get this inequality, we need to prove the following:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, x_n - x^* \rangle \leq 0,$$

where x^* is the unique solution of VI(3.2). For this purpose, we choose a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, x_{n_i} - x^* \rangle.$$

Since $\{x_{n_i}\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence of $\{x_{n_i}\}$ which converges weakly to a point \tilde{x} . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{x_{n_i}\}$ converges weakly to \tilde{x} . Since

$P_\Omega(I - \gamma(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})A))$ is nonexpansive, by Lemma 2.2, we have $x_{n_i} \rightarrow \tilde{x} \in \text{Fix}(P_\Omega(I - \gamma(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})A)))$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, x_n - x^* \rangle &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, x_{n_i} - x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, \tilde{x} - x^* \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|x_n - P_\Omega(y_n)\| = \|P_\Omega(x_n) - P_\Omega(y_n)\| \leq \|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle \leq 0.$$

Note that

$$\|P_\Omega(y_n) - x^*\|^2 = \langle P_\Omega(y_n) - y_n, P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle + \langle y_n - x^*, P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle.$$

From the property of the metric P_Ω , we have $\langle P_\Omega(y_n) - y_n, P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle \leq 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\Omega(y_n) - x^*\|^2 &\leq \langle y_n - x^*, P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi_n \sigma(f(x_n) - f(x^*)) + (I - \xi_n B)(x_n - x^*), P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \xi_n(\sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*), P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq (\xi_n \sigma \|f(x_n) - f(x^*)\| + \|I - \xi_n B\| \|x_n - x^*\|) \|P_\Omega(y_n) - x^*\| \\ &\quad + \langle \xi_n(\sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*), P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \xi_n(\alpha - \sigma\rho)) \|x_n - x^*\| \|P_\Omega(y_n) - x^*\| \\ &\quad + \langle \xi_n(\sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*), P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \xi_n(\alpha - \sigma\rho)}{2} \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|P_\Omega(y_n) - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + \langle \xi_n(\sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*), P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|P_\Omega(y_n) - x^*\|^2 \leq [1 - (\alpha - \sigma\rho)\xi_n] \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\xi_n \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle.$$

Finally, we show that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$. From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &= \left\| P_\Omega \left(I - \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^*(I - P_{Q_j})A \right) \right) P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \|P_\Omega(y_n) - x^*\|^2 \\ &\leq [1 - (\alpha - \sigma\rho)\xi_n] \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha - \sigma\rho)\xi_n \frac{2}{\alpha - \sigma\rho} \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle \\ &= (1 - \gamma_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \delta_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_n = (\alpha - \sigma\rho)\xi_n$ and $\delta_n = (\alpha - \sigma\rho)\xi_n \frac{2}{\alpha - \sigma\rho} \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \gamma_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_n}{\gamma_n} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{\alpha - \sigma\rho} \langle \sigma f(x^*) - Bx^*, P_\Omega(y_n) - x^* \rangle \leq 0$, all conditions of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied. Therefore, we immediately deduce that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$. This completes the proof. \square

From (3.1) and Theorem 3.6, we can deduce easily the following results.

Algorithm 3.7 For an arbitrary initial point $x_0 \in H_1$, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ iteratively by

$$x_{n+1} = P_\Omega \left(I - \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i (I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^* (I - P_{Q_j}) A \right) \right) \times P_\Omega (\xi_n \sigma f(x_n) + (1 - \xi_n)x_n), \tag{3.3}$$

for all $n \geq 0$, where $\{\xi_n\}$ is a real sequence in $(0, 1)$.

Corollary 3.8 Suppose that $S \neq \emptyset$. Assume that the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfies the conditions

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = 0$ and
- (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \xi_n = \infty$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.3) converges to a point x^* , which solves the following variational inequality:

$$x^* \in S \text{ such that } \langle \sigma f(x^*) - x^*, \tilde{x} - x^* \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } \tilde{x} \in S.$$

Algorithm 3.9 For an arbitrary initial point x_0 , we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ iteratively by

$$x_{n+1} = P_\Omega \left(I - \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i (I - P_{C_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j A^* (I - P_{Q_j}) A \right) \right) P_\Omega ((1 - \xi_n)x_n), \tag{3.4}$$

for all $n \geq 0$, where $\{\xi_n\}$ is a real sequence in $(0, 1)$.

Corollary 3.10 Suppose that $S \neq \emptyset$. Assume that the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfies the conditions

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = 0$ and
- (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \xi_n = \infty$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.4) converges to a point $x^* \in S$ which is the minimum norm element in S .

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹College of Science, Agricultural University of Hebei, Baoding, 071001, China. ²North China Electric Power University, Baoding, 071003, China. ³Department of Information Management, Cheng Shiu University, Kaohsiung, 833, Taiwan.

Acknowledgements

Jinwei Shi was supported in part by the scientific research fund of the Educational Commission of Hebei Province of China (No. 936101101) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51077053). Yeong-Cheng Liou was supported in part by NSC 101-2628-E-230-001-MY3 and NSC 101-2622-E-230-005-CC3.

Received: 30 January 2013 Accepted: 14 May 2013 Published: 31 May 2013

References

1. Censor, Y, Elfving, T: A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in a product space. *Numer. Algorithms* **8**, 221-239 (1994)
2. Byrne, C: Iterative oblique projection onto convex subsets and the split feasibility problem. *Inverse Probl.* **18**, 441-453 (2002)
3. Censor, Y, Elfving, T, Kopf, N, Bortfeld, T: The multiple-sets split feasibility problem and its applications for inverse problems. *Inverse Probl.* **21**, 2071-2084 (2005)
4. Censor, Y, Motova, A, Segal, A: Perturbed projections and subgradient projections for the multiple-sets split feasibility problem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **327**, 1244-1256 (2007)
5. Censor, Y, Bortfeld, T, Martin, B, Trofimov, A: A unified approach for inversion problems in intensity modulated radiation therapy. *Phys. Med. Biol.* **51**, 2353-2365 (2006)
6. Xu, HK: A variable Krasnosel'skii-Mann algorithm and the multiple-set split feasibility problem. *Inverse Probl.* **22**, 2021-2034 (2006)
7. Xu, HK: Iterative methods for the split feasibility problem in infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. *Inverse Probl.* **26**, 105018 (2010)
8. Yao, Y, Chen, R, Marino, G, Liou, YC: Applications of fixed point and optimization methods to the multiple-sets split feasibility problem. *J. Appl. Math.* **2012**, Article ID 927530 (2012)
9. Yao, Y, Wu, J, Liou, YC: Regularized methods for the split feasibility problem. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 140679 (2012). doi:10.1155/2012/140679
10. Yao, Y, Yang, PX, Kang, SM: Composite projection algorithms for the split feasibility problem. *Math. Comput. Model.* **57**, 693-700 (2013)
11. Byrne, C: An unified treatment of some iterative algorithm algorithms in signal processing and image reconstruction. *Inverse Probl.* **20**, 103-120 (2004)
12. Qu, B, Xiu, N: A note on the CQ algorithm for the split feasibility problem. *Inverse Probl.* **21**, 1655-1665 (2005)
13. Yang, Q: The relaxed CQ algorithm solving the split feasibility problem. *Inverse Probl.* **20**, 1261-1266 (2004)
14. Zhao, J, Yang, Q: Several solution methods for the split feasibility problem. *Inverse Probl.* **21**, 1791-1799 (2005)
15. Wang, F, Xu, HK: Approximating curve and strong convergence of the CQ algorithm for the split feasibility problem. *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2010). doi:10.1155/2010/102085
16. Dang, Y, Gao, Y: The strong convergence of a KM-CQ-like algorithm for a split feasibility problem. *Inverse Probl.* **27**, 015007 (2011)
17. Yu, X, Shahzad, N, Yao, Y: Implicit and explicit algorithms for solving the split feasibility problem. *Optim. Lett.* **6**, 1447-1462 (2012)
18. Dang, Y, Gao, Y, Han, Y: A perturbed projection algorithm with inertial technique for split feasibility problem. *J. Appl. Math.* **2012**, Article ID 207323 (2012)
19. Dang, Y, Gao, Y: An extrapolated iterative algorithm for multiple-set split feasibility problem. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 149508 (2012)
20. Dang, Y, Gao, Y: The strong convergence of a KM-CQ-like algorithm for a split feasibility problem. *Inverse Probl.* **27**(1), Article ID 015007 (2011)
21. Yao, Y, Kim, TH, Chebbi, S, Xu, HK: A modified extragradient method for the split feasibility and fixed point problems. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **13**(3), 383-396 (2012)
22. Wang, Z, Yang, Q, Yang, Y: The relaxed inexact projection methods for the split feasibility problem. *Appl. Math. Comput.* (2010). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2010.11.058
23. Ceng, LC, Yao, JC: Relaxed and hybrid viscosity methods for general system of variational inequalities with split feasibility problem constraint. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 43 (2013)
24. Ceng, LC, Ansari, QH, Yao, JC: Relaxed extragradient methods for finding minimum-norm solutions of the split feasibility problem. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **75**, 2116-2125 (2012)
25. Suzuki, T: Strong convergence theorems for infinite families of nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2005**, 103-123 (2005)
26. Geobel, K, Kirk, WA: *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 28. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990)
27. Xu, HK: Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **66**, 240-256 (2002)
28. Baillon, JB, Haddad, G: Quelques proprietes des operateurs anglebornes et n -cycliquement monotones. *Isr. J. Math.* **26**, 137-150 (1977)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-272

Cite this article as: Zheng et al.: The constrained multiple-sets split feasibility problem and its projection algorithms. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 **2013**:272.