RESEARCH

Open Access

On generalized difference lacunary statistical convergence in a paranormed space

Selma Altundağ^{*}

*Correspondence: scaylan@sakarya.edu.tr Mathematics Department, Faculty of Arts and Science, Sakarya University, Sakarya, 54187, Turkey

Abstract

In this article, we introduce the concept of Δ^m -lacunary statistical convergence and Δ^m -lacunary strong convergence in a paranormed space. Also, we establish some connections between these concepts.

1 Introduction

In order to extend convergence of sequences, the notion of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [1] and Steinhaus [2] and several generalizations and applications of this concept have been investigated by various authors [3, 4]. This notion was studied in normed spaces by Kolk [5], in locally convex Hausdorff topological spaces by Maddox [6], in topological Hausdorff groups by Çakallı [7] and in probabilistic normed space by Karakuş [8]. Recently, Alotaibi and Alroqi [9] extended this notion in paranormed spaces.

In this article, we study the concept of statistical convergence from difference sequence spaces which are defined over paranormed space.

2 Preliminaries and definitions

Let *K* be a subset of the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} . Then the asymptotic density of *K* denoted by $\delta(K) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \le n : k \in K\}|$, where the vertical bars denote the cardinality of the enclosed set in [10].

A number sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be statistically convergent to the number *L* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $K(\varepsilon) = \{k \le n : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\}$ has asymptotic density zero, *i.e.*,

$$\lim_{n}\frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{k\leq n:|x_{k}-L|\geq\varepsilon\right\}\right|=0.$$

In this case we write st-lim x = L. This concept was studied by [11, 12].

By a lacunary $\theta = (k_r)$; r = 0, 1, 2, ..., where $k_0 = 0$, we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$. The ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be denoted by q_r .

The notion of difference sequence space $X(\Delta)$ was introduced by Kızmaz [13] as follows:

$$X(\Delta) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : (\Delta x_k) \in X \right\}$$

for $X = l_{\infty}$, *c*, *c*₀, where $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.



© 2013 Altundağ; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The notion of difference sequence spaces was further generalized by Et and Çolak [14] as follows:

$$X(\Delta^m) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta^m x_k) \in X \right\}$$

for $X = l_{\infty}$, c and c_0 , where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Delta^m x_k = \Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}$, $\Delta^0 x_k = x_k$.

The sequence *x* is said to be Δ^m -statistically convergent to the number *L* provided that for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n}\frac{1}{n}|\{k\leq n: |\Delta^{m}x_{k}-L|\geq \varepsilon\}|=0.$$

The set of all Δ^m -statistically convergent sequences was denoted by $S(\Delta^m)$ in [15]. Furthermore, this notion was studied in [16, 17].

A paranorm is a function $g: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on a linear space X such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (i) g(x) = 0 if $x = \theta$;
- (ii) g(-x) = g(x);
- (iii) $g(x + y) \le g(x) + g(y);$
- (iv) If (α_n) is a sequence of scalars with $\alpha_n \to \alpha_0$ $(n \to \infty)$ and $x_n, a \in X$ with $x_n \to a$ $(n \to \infty)$ in the sense that $g(x_n - a) \to 0$ $(n \to \infty)$, then $\alpha_n x_n \to \alpha_0 a$ $(n \to \infty)$, in the sense that $g(\alpha_n x_n - \alpha_0 a) \to 0$ $(n \to \infty)$.

A paranorm *g* for which g(x) = 0 implies $x = \theta$ is called a total paranorm on *X* and the pair (*X*, *g*) is called a total paranormed space.

Note that each seminorm (norm) p on X is a paranorm (total) but converse need not be true.

The concept of paranorm is a generalization of absolute value [18].

A modulus function *f* is a function from $[0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ such that

(i) f(x) = 0 if and only if x = 0;

(ii) $f(x + y) \le f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \ge 0$;

- (iii) *f* increasing;
- (iv) f is continuous from at the right zero.

Since $|f(x) - f(y)| \le f(|x - y|)$, it follows from condition (iv) that f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$. Furthermore, we have $f(nx) \le nf(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ from condition (ii) and so

$$f(x) = f\left(nx\frac{1}{n}\right) \le nf\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$

Hence, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{1}{n}f(x) \le f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$

A modulus may be bounded or unbounded. For example, $f(x) = x^p$ for $0 is unbounded, but <math>f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}$ is bounded. Ruckle [19] used the idea of a modulus function f to construct a class of FK spaces

$$L(f) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sum f(|x_k|) < \infty \right\}.$$

In [9], the notion of statistical convergence was defined in a paranormed space.

Definition 2.1 A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be statistically convergent to the number *L* in (*X*, *g*) if for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n}\frac{1}{n}\big|\big\{k\leq n:g(x_k-L)\geq\varepsilon\big\}\big|=0.$$

In this case, we write g(st)-lim x = L. We denote the set of all g(st)-convergent sequences by S_g [9].

Definition 2.2 A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be strongly *p*-Cesaro summable (0 to the limit*L*in <math>(X,g) if

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(g(x_j - L) \right)^p = 0,$$

and we write it as $x_k \longrightarrow L([C_1, g]_p)$. In this case, *L* is called the $[C_1, g]_p$ -lim it of *x* [9].

In this article, we shall study the concept of Δ^m -lacunary statistical convergence, Δ^m -lacunary strong convergence and Δ^m -lacunary strong convergence with respect to a modulus function in a paranormed space.

3 Generalized difference statistical convergence in a paranormed space

Definition 3.1 A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be Δ^m -statistically convergent to the number *L* in (*X*, *g*) if for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n}\frac{1}{n}\Big|\big\{k\leq n:g\big(\Delta^{m}x_{k}-L\big)\geq\varepsilon\big\}\big|=0.$$

In this case, we write $S_g(\Delta^m)$ -lim x = L. We denote the set of all Δ^m -statistically convergent sequences in (X,g) by $S_g(\Delta^m)$.

Definition 3.2 Let θ be a lacunary sequence. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be Δ^m -lacunary statistically convergent to the number *L* in (*X*, *g*) if for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r}\frac{1}{h_{r}}\left|\left\{k\in I_{r}:g\left(\Delta^{m}x_{k}-L\right)\geq\varepsilon\right\}\right|=0.$$

In this case, we write $S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$ -lim x = L. We denote the set of all Δ^m -lacunary statistically convergent sequences in (X,g) by $S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$.

Definition 3.3 A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be strongly Δ^m -Cesaro summable to the limit L in (X,g) if

$$\lim_{n}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(g(\Delta^{m}x_{k}-L))=0,$$

and we write it as $x_k \longrightarrow L(|\sigma_1|_g(\Delta^m))$. In this case *L* is called the $|\sigma_1|_g(\Delta^m)$ -lim of *x*.

Definition 3.4 A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be strongly Δ^m -lacunary strongly summable to the limit *L* in (X,g) if

$$\lim_{r}\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}(g(\Delta^m x_k-L))=0$$

and we write it as $x_k \longrightarrow L(N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m))$. In this case *L* is called the $N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$ -lim of *x*.

Theorem 3.1 Let θ be a lacunary sequence and (X,g) be a paranormed space. Then

- (i) If $x_k \longrightarrow L(N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m))$, then $x_k \longrightarrow L(S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m))$ and the inclusion is strict;
- (ii) If x is a Δ^m -bounded sequence and $x_k \longrightarrow L(S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m))$, then $x_k \longrightarrow L(N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m))$;

(iii)
$$l_g^{\infty}(\Delta^m) \cap S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m) = l_g^{\infty}(\Delta^m) \cap N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$$

Proof (i) If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_k \to L(N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m))$, we can write

$$\sum_{k \in I_r} g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \ge \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \ge \varepsilon}} g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \ge \varepsilon \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right|,$$

which yields the result.

In order to prove that the inclusion $N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m) \subset S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$ is proper, let θ be given and $X = N_0^{\theta}(\Delta, \frac{1}{h_r}) = \{x = (x_k) : |\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \Delta x_k|^{\frac{1}{h_r}} \to 0, r \to \infty\}$ with the paranorm $g(x) = |x_1| + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \Delta x_k|^{\frac{1}{h_r}} \to 0$ $\sup_r |\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \Delta x_k|^{\frac{1}{h_r}}$. Define $x = (x_k)$ to be $2h_r 1^{h_r}$ at the first term in I_r for every $r \ge 1$, $x_k = h_r(1^{h_r} - 2^{h_r} - \dots - (k-1)^{h_r})$ between the second term and $([\sqrt{h_r}] + 1)$ th term in I_r , $x_k = h_r(1^{h_r} - 2^{h_r} - \dots - (\sqrt{h_r})^{h_r})$ at the $(\sqrt{h_r} + 2)$ th term in I_r and $x_k = 0$ otherwise. We see that

$$\Delta x_k = \begin{cases} h_r 1^{h_r}, h_r 2^{h_r}, \dots, h_r [\sqrt{h_r}]^{h_r}, & \text{at the first } [\sqrt{h_r}] \text{ integers in } I_r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(\Delta x_k) = \begin{cases} 1, 2, \dots, [\sqrt{h_r}], & \text{at the first } [\sqrt{h_r}] \text{ integers in } I_r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that *x* is not Δ -bounded in (*X*, *g*). We have, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : g(\Delta x_k) \ge \varepsilon \right) \right\} \right| = \frac{\left[\sqrt{h_r}\right]}{h_r} \to 0$$

as $r \to \infty$, *i.e.*, $x_k \to 0(S_g^{\theta}(\Delta))$. On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}g(\Delta x_k)=\frac{1}{h_r}\frac{[\sqrt{h_r}]([\sqrt{h_r}]+1)}{2}\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\neq 0;$$

hence $x_k \not\rightarrow 0(N_g^{\theta}(\Delta))$.

(ii) Suppose that $x_k \to L(S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m))$ and say $g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \le M$ for all k. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} g(\Delta^m x_k - L) &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \ge \varepsilon}} g(\Delta^m x_k - L) + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ g(\Delta^m x_k - L) < \varepsilon}} g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \ge \varepsilon\}| + \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

from which the result follows.

(iii) This is an immediate consequence of (i) and (ii).

Corollary 3.1 If $x_k \to L(|\sigma_1|_g(\Delta^m))$, then $x_k \to L(S_g(\Delta^m))$. If $x \in l_g^{\infty}(\Delta^m)$ and if $x_k \to L(S_g(\Delta^m))$, then $x_k \to L(|\sigma_1|_g(\Delta^m))$.

Theorem 3.2 Let θ be a lacunary sequence and (X,g) be a paranormed space, then $S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m) = S_g(\Delta^m)$ if and only if $1 < \liminf_r q_r \le \limsup_r q_r < \infty$.

Proof Suppose that $\liminf q_r > 1$, then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $q_r \ge 1 + \delta$ for sufficiently large r, which implies that

$$\frac{h_r}{k_r} \ge \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}.$$

If $x_k \to L(S_g(\Delta^m))$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large *r*, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_r} |\{k \le k_r : g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \ge \varepsilon\}| \ge \frac{1}{k_r} |\{k \in I_r : g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \ge \varepsilon\}| \\ \ge \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \ge \varepsilon\}|, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the $S_g(\Delta^m) \subset S^{\theta}_{\sigma}(\Delta^m)$.

Conversely, suppose that $\liminf_{i} q_r = 1$. Since θ is lacunary, we can select a subsequence (k_{r_j}) of θ satisfying $\frac{k_{r_j}}{k_{r_{j-1}}} < 1 + \frac{1}{j}$ and $\frac{k_{r_{j-1}}}{k_{r_{(j-1)}}} > j$, where $r_j \ge r_{j-1} + 2$ and $X = N_0^{\theta}(\Delta, \frac{1}{h_r}) = \{x = (x_k) : |\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \Delta x_k|^{\frac{1}{h_r}} \to 0, r \to \infty\}$ with the paranorm $g(x) = |x_1| + \sup_r |\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \Delta x_k|^{\frac{1}{h_r}}$.

Now define a sequence by

$$\Delta x_k = \begin{cases} h_r + k, & k \in I_{r_{(j)}}, j = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can see that

$$g(\Delta x_k) = \begin{cases} 1, & k \in I_{r_{(j)}}, j = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and hence *x* is Δ -bounded in (*X*,*g*).

We can see that $x \notin N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$, but $x \in \sigma_g^1(\Delta^m)$. Theorem 3.1(ii) implies that $x \notin S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$, but it follows from Corollary 3.1 that $x \in S_g(\Delta^m)$. Hence $S_g(\Delta^m) \not\subset S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$ and $S_g(\Delta^m) \subset S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$ implies that $\liminf q_r > 1$.

To show for any lacunary sequence θ , $S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m) \subset S_g(\Delta^m)$ implies $\limsup q_r < \infty$, the same technique of Lemma 3 of [20] can be used. Now suppose that $\limsup q_r = \infty$. Consider the same space defined above and the sequence defined by

$$\Delta x_i = \begin{cases} h_r + i, & k_{r_j-1} < i \le 2k_{r_j-1}, j = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we get

$$g(\Delta x_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & k_{r_j-1} < i \le 2k_{r_j-1}, j = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x \in N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$, but $x \notin \sigma_g^1(\Delta^m)$. By Theorem 3.1(i) we conclude that $x \in S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$, but by Corollary 3.1 that $x \notin S_g(\Delta^m)$. Hence, $S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m) \not\subset S_g(\Delta^m)$. This completes the proof.

Definition 3.5 Let f be a modulus function. Then a sequence $x = (x_k)$ is lacunary strongly p-Cesaro summable to L with respect to f in (X,g) if

$$\lim_{r} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[f\left(g\left(\Delta^m x_k - L\right)\right) \right]^{p_k} = 0$$

In this case, we write $x_k \to L(N_g^{\theta}(f, \Delta^m, p))$. If we take $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say $x_k \to L(N_g^{\theta}(f, \Delta^m))$.

Lemma 3.1 Let f be a modulus function and let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then for each $x > \delta$ we have $f(x) \le 2f(1)\delta^{-1}x$ [21].

Theorem 3.3 Let f be a modulus function and (X,g) be a paranormed space. Then $N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m) \subset N_g^{\theta}(f, \Delta^m)$.

Proof Let $x \in N_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m)$. Then we have $\tau_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$ for some *L*. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f(u) < \varepsilon$ for *u* with $0 \le u \le \delta$. Then we can write

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(g(\Delta^m x_k - L)) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ g(\Delta^m x_k - L) \le \delta}} f(g(\Delta^m x_k - L)) + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ g(\Delta^m x_k - L) > \delta}} f(g(\Delta^m x_k - L)) \\ \leq \frac{1}{h_r} (h_r \delta) + \frac{1}{h_r} 2f(1)\delta^{-1}h_r \tau_r$$

from Lemma 3.1. Therefore $x \in N_g^{\theta}(f, \Delta^m)$.

Theorem 3.4 Let $0 < \inf_k p_k \le p_k \le \sup_k p_k < \infty$. Then $S_g^{\theta}(\Delta^m) = N_g^{\theta}(f, \Delta^m, p)$ if and only *iff is bounded.*

Proof Following the technique applied for establishing Theorem 3.16 of [22], we can prove the theorem. \Box

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

Dedicated to Professor Hari M Srivastava.

The author would like to thank the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and for their helpful suggestions.

Received: 14 December 2012 Accepted: 6 May 2013 Published: 20 May 2013

References

- 1. Fast, H: Sur la convergence statistique. Colloq. Math. 2, 241-244 (1951)
- 2. Steinhaus, H: Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique. Colloq. Math. 2, 73-74 (1951)
- 3. Fridy, JA: Lacunary statistical summability. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 173, 497-504 (1993)
- 4. Mursaleen, M, Mohiuddine, SA: On lacunary statistical convergence with respect to the intuitionistic fuzzy normed space. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 233(2), 142-149 (2009)
- 5. Kolk, E: The statistical convergence in Banach spaces. Tartu ülik. Toim. 928, 41-52 (1991)
- 6. Maddox, IJ: Statistical convergence in a locally convex space. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 104, 141-145 (1988)
- 7. Çakallı, H: On statistical convergence in topological groups. Pure Appl. Math. Sci. 43, 27-31 (1996)
- 8. Karakuş, S: Statistical convergence on probabilistic normed spaces. Math. Commun. **12**, 11-23 (2007)
- 9. Alotaibi, A, Alroqi, A: Statistical convergence in a paranormed space. J. Inequal. Appl. (2012). doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-39
- 10. Freedman, AR, Sember, JJ: Densities and summability. Pac. J. Math. 95, 293-305 (1981)
- 11. Fridy, JA: On statistical convergence. Analysis 5, 301-313 (1985)
- 12. Connor, JS: The statistical and strong p-Cesaro convergence of sequences. Analysis 8, 47-63 (1988)
- 13. Kızmaz, H: On certain sequence spaces. Can. Math. Bull. 24(2), 169-176 (1981)
- 14. Et, M, Çolak, R: On some generalized difference sequence spaces. Soochow J. Math. 21(4), 377-386 (1995)
- 15. Et, M, Nuray, F: Δ^m -statistical convergence. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **32**(6), 961-969 (2001)
- Et, M: Spaces of Cesaro difference sequences of order r defined by a modulus function in a locally convex space. Taiwan. J. Math. 10(4), 865-879 (2006)
- Et, M, Choudhary, B, Tripathy, BC: On some classes of sequences defined by sequences of Orlicz functions. Math. Inequal. Appl. 9(2), 335-342 (2006)
- 18. Mursaleen, M: Elements of Metric Spaces. Anamaya Publishers, New Delhi (2005)
- 19. Ruckle, WH: FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors in bounded. Can. J. Math. 25(5), 973-975 (1973)
- 20. Fridy, JA, Orhan, C: Lacunary statistical convergence. Pac. J. Math. 160(1), 43-51 (1993)
- 21. Pehlivan, S, Fisher, B: Some sequence spaces defined by a modulus function. Math. Slovaca 45(3), 275-280 (1995)
- 22. Tripathy, BC, Et, M: On generalized difference lacunary statistical convergence. Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math. 50(1), 119-130 (2005)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-256

Cite this article as: Altundağ: **On generalized difference lacunary statistical convergence in a paranormed space.** *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 **2013**:256.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com