# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# On $\sigma$ -type zero of Sheffer polynomials

Ajay K Shukla<sup>\*</sup>, Shrinivas J Rapeli and Pratik V Shah

\*Correspondence: ajayshukla2@rediffmail.com Department of Mathematics, S. V. National Institute of Technology, Surat, 395 007, India

# Abstract

The main object of this paper is to investigate some properties of  $\sigma$ -type polynomials in one and two variables.

MSC: 33C65; 33E99; 44A45; 46G25

**Keywords:** Appell sets; differential operator; Sheffer polynomials; generalized Sheffer polynomials

# **1** Introduction

In 1945, Thorne [1] obtained an interesting characterization of Appell polynomials by means of the Stieltjes integral. Srivastava and Manocha [2] discussed the Appell sets and polynomials. Dattoli *et al.* [3] studied the properties of the Sheffer polynomials. Recently Pintér and Srivastava [4] gave addition theorems for the Appell polynomials and the associated classes of polynomial expansions and some cases have also been discussed by Srivastava and Choi [5] in their book.

Appell sets may be defined by the following equivalent condition:  $\{P_n(x)\}$ , n = 0, 1, 2, ... is an Appell set [6–8] ( $P_n$  being of degree exactly n) if either

(i) 
$$P'_n(x) = P_{n-1}(x), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
, or

(ii) there exists a formal power series  $A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \ (a_0 \neq 0)$  such that

$$A(t)\exp(xt)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}P_n(x)t^n.$$

## Sheffer's A-type classification

Let  $\phi_n(x)$  be a simple set of polynomials and let  $\phi_n(x)$  belong to the operator

$$J(x,D) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k(x) D^{k+1},$$

with  $T_k(x)$  of degree  $\leq k$ . If the maximum degree of the coefficients  $T_k(x)$  is *m*, then the set  $\phi_n(x)$  is of Sheffer A-type *m*. If the degree of  $T_k(x)$  is unbounded as  $k \to \infty$ , we say that  $\phi_n(x)$  is of Sheffer A-type  $\infty$ .

## Polynomials of Sheffer A-type zero

Let  $\phi_n(x)$  be of Sheffer A-type zero. Then  $\phi_n(x)$  belong to the operator

$$J(D) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k D^{k+1},$$



© 2013 Shukla et al.; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. in which  $c_k$  are constants. Here  $c_0 \neq 0$  and  $J\phi_n = \phi_{n-1}$ . Furthermore, since  $c_k$  are independent of x for every k, a function J(t) exists with the formal power series expansion

$$J(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}c_kt^{k+1},\quad c_0\neq 0.$$

Let H(t) be the formal inverse of J(t); that is,

$$H\bigl(J(t)\bigr)=J\bigl(H(t)\bigr)=t.$$

**Theorem** (Rainville [9]) A necessary and sufficient condition that  $\phi_n(x)$  be of Sheffer A-type zero is that  $\phi_n(x)$  possess the generating function indicated in

$$A(t)\exp(xH(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\phi_n(x)t^n,$$

in which H(t) and A(t) have (formal) expansions

$$H(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^{n+1}, \quad h_0 \neq 0, \qquad A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n, \quad a_0 \neq 0.$$

**Theorem** (Al-Salam and Verma [10]) Let  $\{P_n(x)\}$  be a polynomial set. In order for  $\{P_n(x)\}$  to be a Sheffer A-type zero, it is necessary and sufficient that there exist (formal) power series

$$H(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j t^j, \quad h_1 \neq 0, \qquad A_s(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^{(s)} t^j \quad (not \ all \ a_0^{(s)} \ are \ zero)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^r A_j(t) \exp(xH(\varepsilon_j t)) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty P_n(x)t^n,$$

where

$$J(D)P_n(x) = P_{n-r}(x)$$
 (*n* = *r*, *r* + 1,...) where  $J(D) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k D^{k+r}, a_0 \neq 0$ 

and r is a fixed positive integer. The function A(t) may be called the determining function for the set  $\{P_n(x)\}$ .

## Polynomial of $\sigma$ -type zero [9, 11]

Let  $\{p_n(x)\}$  be a simple set of polynomials that belongs to the operator

$$\begin{split} J(x,\sigma) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k(x) \sigma^{k+1}, \\ \sigma &= D \prod_{i=1}^{q} (xD+b_i-1), \qquad D = \frac{d}{dx}, \qquad \big( J(x,\sigma) p_n(x) = p_{n-1}(x) \big), \end{split}$$

where  $b_i$  are constants, not equal to zero or a negative integer, and  $T_k(x)$  are polynomials of degree  $\leq k$ . We can say that this set is of  $\sigma$ -type m if the maximum degree of  $T_k(x)$  is m, m = 0, 1, 2, ...

A necessary and sufficient condition that  $\phi_n(x)$  be of  $\sigma$ -type zero, with

$$\sigma = D \prod_{i=1}^{q} (xD + b_i - 1),$$

is that  $\phi_n(x)$  possess the generating function

$$A(t)_0 F_q(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_q;xH(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(x)t^n,$$

in which H(t) and A(t) have (formal) expansions

$$H(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}h_nt^{n+1}, \quad h_0\neq 0,$$

and

$$A(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_nt^n,\quad a_0\neq 0.$$

Since  $\phi_n(x)$  belongs to the operator  $J(\sigma) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \sigma^{k+1}$ , where  $c_k$  are constant and  $c_0 \neq 0$ .

#### 2 Main results

**Theorem 1** If  $p_n(x)$  is a polynomial set, then  $p_n(x)$  is of  $\sigma$ -type zero with  $\sigma = D \prod_{m=1}^{q} (xD + b_m - 1)$ . It is necessary and sufficient condition that there exist formal power series

$$H(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^{n+1}, \quad h_0 \neq 0,$$

and

$$A_{i}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{(i)} t^{n} \quad (not \ all \ a_{0}^{(i)} \ are \ zero)$$

such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} A_{i}(t)_{0} F_{q}(-;b_{1},b_{2},\ldots,b_{q};xH(\varepsilon_{i}t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(x)t^{n},$$
(1)

where  $\theta = xD$ .

*Proof* Let  $y_i = {}_0F_q(-; b_1, b_2, ..., b_q; z_i)$ , where i = 1, 2, ..., r, be a solution of the following differential equation:

$$\left[\theta\prod_{m=1}^{q}(xD+b_m-1)-z_i\right]y_i=0,\qquad \theta=xD,\qquad D=\frac{d}{dx}.$$

On substituting  $z_i = xH(\varepsilon_i t)$  and keeping *t* as a constant, where

$$\sigma = D \prod_{m=1}^{q} (xD + b_m - 1), \qquad \theta = xD,$$

we get

$$\left[xD\prod_{m=1}^{q}(\theta+b_m-1)-xH(z_i)\right]y_i=0.$$

This can also be written as

$$\sigma y_i = H(\varepsilon_i t) y_i$$

or

$$\sigma_0 F_q(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q; xH(\varepsilon_i t)) = H(\varepsilon_i t)_0 F_q(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q; xH(\varepsilon_i t)).$$

Operating  $J(\sigma)$  on both sides of Equation (1) yields

$$J(\sigma) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x)t^n = J(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^r A_i(t)_0 F_q(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_q;xH(\varepsilon_i t))$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^r A_i(t)J(H(\varepsilon_i t))_0 F_q(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_q;xH(\varepsilon_i t))$$
$$= t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x)t^n$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n-1}(x)t^n.$$

Therefore,  $J(\sigma)p_0(x) = 0$  and  $J(\sigma)p_n(x) = p_{n-1}(x)$ ,  $n \ge 1$ .

Since  $J(\sigma)$  is independent of x, using the definition of  $\sigma$ -type [9, 11], we arrive at the conclusion that  $p_n(x)$  is  $\sigma$ -type zero.

Conversely, suppose  $p_n(x)$  is of  $\sigma$ -type zero and belongs to the operator  $J(\sigma)$ . Now  $q_n(x)$  is a simple set of polynomials, we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} {}_{0}F_{q}(-;b_{1},b_{2},\ldots,b_{q};xH(\varepsilon_{i}t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(x)t^{n},$$
(2)

where  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_r$  are the roots of unity.

Since  $q_n(x)$  is a simple set, there exists a sequence  $c_k$  [10], independent of n, such that

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n-k} q_k(x)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}p_n(x)t^n=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^n c_{n-k}q_k(x)t^n.$$

On replacing *n* by n + k, this becomes

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x)t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_n q_k(x)t^{n+k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k(x)t^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n.$$

Setting  $c_n = a_n^{(i)}$  (*i* is independent of *n*, where i = 1, 2, ..., r), this becomes

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x)t^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k(x)t^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(i)}t^n, \text{ by using Equation (2), we get}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^r A_i(t)_0 F_q\left(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_q;xH(\varepsilon_i t)\right).$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2** A necessary and sufficient condition that  $p_n(x)$  be of  $\sigma$ -type zero and there exist a sequence  $h_k$ , independent of x and n, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{i}^{n} h_{n-1} \psi(\varepsilon_{i} t) = \sigma p_{n}(x),$$
(3)

where  $\psi(\varepsilon_i t) = A_i(t)_0 F_q(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q; xH(\varepsilon_i t)).$ 

*Proof* If  $p_n(x)$  is of  $\sigma$ -type zero, then it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x)t^n = \sum_{i=1}^r A_i(t)_0 F_q(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_q;xH(\varepsilon_i t)).$$

This can be written as

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma p_n(x) t^n &= \sum_{i=1}^r A_i(t) \sigma_0 F_q\left(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q; x H(\varepsilon_i t)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r H(\varepsilon_i t) A_i(t)_0 F_q\left(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q; x H(\varepsilon_i t)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n \varepsilon_i^{n+1} t^{n+1}\right) A_i(t)_0 F_q\left(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q; x H(\varepsilon_i t)\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r (\varepsilon_i^n h_{n-1}) \psi(\varepsilon_i t) t^n. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\sigma p_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_i^n h_{n-1} \psi(\varepsilon_i t).$$

This completes the proof.

# 3 Sheffer polynomials in two variables [12]

Let  $p_n(x, y)$  be of  $\sigma$ -type zero. Then  $p_n(x, y)$  belongs to an operator  $J(\sigma) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \sigma^{k+1}$ , in which  $c_k$  are constants and  $c_0 \neq 0$ .

Since

$$J(\sigma)p_n(x,y) = p_{n-1}(x,y), \quad n \ge 1,$$

where

$$D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \qquad D_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad \theta = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \qquad \phi = y \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$
$$\sigma_x = D_x \prod_{m=1}^p (\theta + b_m - 1), \qquad \sigma_y = D_y \prod_{s=1}^q (\theta + b_s - 1),$$

and

$$J((G+H)(t)) = ((G+H)J(t)) = t, \qquad \sigma = \sigma_x + \sigma_y.$$

**Theorem 3** A necessary and sufficient condition that  $p_n(x, y)$  be of  $\sigma$ -type zero, with

$$\sigma_x = D_x \prod_{m=1}^p (\theta + b_m - 1), \qquad \sigma_y = D_y \prod_{s=1}^q (\theta + b_s - 1), \qquad \sigma = \sigma_x + \sigma_y,$$

is that  $p_n(x, y)$  possess a generating function in

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} A_i(t)_0 F_p\left(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p; xG(\varepsilon_i t)\right)_0 F_q\left(-; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q; yH(\varepsilon_i t)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x, y) t^n, \quad (4)$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n t^{n+1}, \quad g_0 \neq 0, \\ H(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n t^{n+1}, \quad h_0 \neq 0, \\ A_i(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(i)} t^n \quad (not \ all \ a_0^{(i)} \ are \ zero) \end{aligned}$$

and i is independent of n.

*Proof* Let  $u_i = {}_0F_p(-; b_1, b_2, ..., b_p; z_i)$  and  $v_i = {}_0F_q(-; c_1, c_2, ..., c_q; w_i)$  be the solutions of the following differential equations:

$$\left[\theta_{z}\prod_{m=1}^{p}(\theta_{z}+b_{m}-1)-z_{i}\right]u_{i}=0,\qquad \theta_{z}=z\frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

and

$$\left[\phi_w\prod_{s=1}^q(\phi_w+c_s-1)-z_i\right]w_i=0,\qquad \phi_w=w\frac{\partial}{\partial w}.$$

On substituting  $z_i = xG(\varepsilon_i t)$ ,  $w_i = yH(\varepsilon_i t)$  and keeping t as a constant, where  $\theta = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \theta_z$ ,  $\phi = y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \phi_w$ , we get

$$\theta \prod_{m=1}^{p} (\theta + b_m - 1) u_i = x G(\varepsilon_i t) u_i$$

and

$$\phi \prod_{s=1}^{q} (\phi + c_s - 1) w_i = y H(\varepsilon_i t) w_i.$$

This can also be written as

$$\begin{split} &\sigma_0 F_p \Big(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p; x G(\varepsilon_i t) \Big)_0 F_q \Big(-; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q; y H(\varepsilon_i t) \Big) \\ &= \Big\{ G(\varepsilon_i t) + H(\varepsilon_i t) \Big\}_0 F_p \Big(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p; x G(\varepsilon_i t) \Big)_0 F_q \Big(-; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q; y H(\varepsilon_i t) \Big). \end{split}$$

Operating  $J(\sigma)$  on both sides of Equation (4) yields

$$\begin{split} J(\sigma) &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x,y) t^n \\ &= J(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^r A_i(t)_0 F_p(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_p;xG(\varepsilon_i t))_0 F_q(-;c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_q;yH(\varepsilon_i t)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r A_i(t) J((G+H)(\varepsilon_i t))_0 F_p[-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_p;xG(\varepsilon_i t)]_0 F_q[-;c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_q;yH(\varepsilon_i t)] \\ &= t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x,y) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n-1}(x,y) t^n. \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $J(\sigma)p_0(x, y) = 0$  and  $J(\sigma)p_n(x, y) = p_{n-1}(x, y), n \ge 1$ .

Since  $J(\sigma)$  is independent of *x* and *y*, thus we arrive at the conclusion that  $p_n(x, y)$  is of  $\sigma$ -type zero.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} {}_{0}F_{p}(-;b_{1},b_{2},\ldots,b_{p};xG(\varepsilon_{i}t))_{0}F_{q}(-;c_{1},c_{2},\ldots,c_{q};yH(\varepsilon_{i}t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(x,y)t^{n}.$$
(5)

Since  $q_n(x, y)$  is a simple set, there exists a sequence  $c_k$ , independent of n, such that

$$p_n(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n-k} q_k(x,y)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x,y) t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n-k} q_k(x,y) t^n.$$

On replacing *n* by n + k, this becomes

$$=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}c_nq_k(x,y)t^{n+k}$$
$$=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}q_k(x,y)t^k\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_nt^n.$$

Setting  $c_n = a_n^{(i)}$  (*i* is independent of *n*, where i = 1, 2, ..., r), this becomes

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k(x,y) t^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(i)} t^n$$
  
$$= \sum_{i=1}^r A_i(t)_0 F_p(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_p;xG(\varepsilon_i t))_0 F_q(-;c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_q;yH(\varepsilon_i t)).$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4** A necessary and sufficient condition that  $p_n(x, y)$  be of  $\sigma$ -type zero and there exist sequences  $g_k$  and  $h_k$ , independent of x, y and n, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \varepsilon_i^n (g_{n-1} + h_{n-1}) \upsilon(\varepsilon_i t) = \sigma p_n(x, y), \tag{6}$$

where  $\upsilon(\varepsilon_i t) = A_i(t)_0 F_p(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p; xG(\varepsilon_i t))_0 F_q(-; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q; yH(\varepsilon_i t)).$ 

*Proof* If  $p_n(x, y)$  is of  $\sigma$ -type zero, then it follows from Theorem 3 that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x,y)t^n = \sum_{i=1}^r A_i(t)_0 F_p\left(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_p; xG(\varepsilon_i t)\right)_0 F_q\left(-;c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_q; yH(\varepsilon_i t)\right).$$

This can be written as

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma p_n(x,y) t^n &= \sum_{i=1}^r A_i(t) \sigma_0 F_p(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_p;xG(\varepsilon_i t))_0 F_q(-;c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_q;yH(\varepsilon_i t)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (G+H)(\varepsilon_i t) A_i(t)_0 F_p(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_p;xG(\varepsilon_i t)) \\ &\times {}_0 F_q(-;c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_q;yH(\varepsilon_i t)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (g_n+h_n)\varepsilon_i^{n+1}t^{n+1} \right) A_i(t)_0 F_p(-;b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_p;xG(\varepsilon_i t)) \\ &\times {}_0 F_q(-;c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_q;yH(\varepsilon_i t)) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r (\varepsilon_i^n(g_{n-1}+h_{n-1})) \upsilon(\varepsilon_i t)t^n. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\sigma p_n(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\prime} \varepsilon_i^n (g_{n-1} + h_{n-1}) \upsilon(\varepsilon_i t),$$

where  $\upsilon(\varepsilon_i t) = A_i(t)_0 F_p(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p; xG(\varepsilon_i t))_0 F_q(-; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q; yH(\varepsilon_i t))$ . This completes the proof.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

Dedicated to Professor Hari M Srivastava.

The authors are indebted to the referees for their valuable suggestions which led to a better presentation of paper.

#### Received: 29 January 2013 Accepted: 19 April 2013 Published: 14 May 2013

#### References

- 1. Thorne, CJ: A property of Appell sets. Am. Math. Mon. 52, 191-193 (1945)
- 2. Srivastava, HM, Manocha, HL: A Treatise on Generating Functions. Wiley, New York (1984)
- Dattoli, G, Migliorati, M, Srivastava, HM: Sheffer polynomials, monomiality principle, algebraic methods and the theory of classical polynomials. Math. Comput. Model. 45(9-10), 1033-1041 (2007)
- Pintér, Á, Srivastava, HM: Addition theorems for the Appell polynomials and the associated classes of polynomial expansions. Aequ. Math. (2012). doi:10.1007/s00010-012-0148-8
- 5. Srivastava, HM, Choi, J: Zeta and q-Zeta Functions and Associated Series and Integrals. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2012)
- 6. Galiffa, JD: The Sheffer B-type 1 orthogonal polynomial sequences. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Central Florida (2009)
- 7. Goldberg, JL: On the Sheffer A-type of polynomials generated by  $A(t)\psi(xB(t))$ . Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 17, 170-173 (1966)
- 8. Sheffer, IM: Note on Appell polynomials. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 51, 739-744 (1945)
- 9. Rainville, ED: Special Functions. Macmillan Co., New York (1960)
- 10. Al Salam, WA, Verma, A: Generalized Sheffer polynomials. Duke Math. J. 37, 361-365 (1970)
- 11. Mc Bride, EB: Obtaining Generating Functions. Springer, New York (1971)
- 12. Alidad, B: On some problems of special functions and structural matrix analysis. Ph.D. Dissertation, Aligarh Muslim University (2008)

#### doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-241

Cite this article as: Shukla et al.: On  $\sigma$ -type zero of Sheffer polynomials. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 2013:241.