RESEARCH Open Access

# Some identities of higher-order Bernoulli, Euler, and Hermite polynomials arising from umbral calculus

Dae San Kim<sup>1</sup>, Taekyun Kim<sup>2\*</sup>, Dmitry V Dolgy<sup>3</sup> and Seog-Hoon Rim<sup>4</sup>

\*Correspondence: tkkim@kwac.kr <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kwangwoon University, Seoul, 139-701, Republic of Korea Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

#### **Abstract**

In this paper, we study umbral calculus to have alternative ways of obtaining our results. That is, we derive some interesting identities of the higher-order Bernoulli, Euler, and Hermite polynomials arising from umbral calculus to have alternative ways.

MSC: 05A10; 05A19

Keywords: Bernoulli polynomial; Euler polynomial; Abel polynomial

#### 1 Introduction

As is well known, the Hermite polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

$$e^{2xt-t^2} = e^{H(x)t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!},$$
(1.1)

with the usual convention about replacing  $H^n(x)$  by  $H_n(x)$  (see [1, 2]). In the special case, x = 0,  $H_n(0) = H_n$  are called the *nth Hermite numbers*. The Bernoulli polynomials of order r are given by the generating function to be

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1}\right)^r e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (r \in \mathbb{R}).$$

$$(1.2)$$

From (1.2), the *n*th Bernoulli numbers of order *r* are defined by  $B_n^{(r)}(0) = B_n^{(r)}$  (see [1–16]). The higher-order Euler polynomials are also defined by the generating function to be

$$\left(\frac{2}{e^t+1}\right)^r e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (r \in \mathbb{R}),\tag{1.3}$$

and  $E_n^{(r)}(0) = E_n^{(r)}$  are called the *nth Euler numbers* of order r (see [1–16]). The first Stirling number is given by

$$(x)_n = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1) = \sum_{l=0}^n S_1(n,k)x^l \quad \text{(see [8, 13])},$$



and the second Stirling number is defined by the generating function to be

$$(e^t - 1)^n = n! \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} S_2(l, n) \frac{t^l}{l!}$$
 (see [8, 10, 13]). (1.5)

For  $\lambda$  ( $\neq$ 1)  $\in$   $\mathbb{C}$ , the *Frobenius-Euler polynomials* are given by

$$\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e^t-\lambda}\right)^r e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n^{(r)}(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (r \in \mathbb{R}) \text{ (see [3,7])}.$$

$$(1.6)$$

In the special case, x = 0,  $H_n^{(r)}(0|\lambda) = H_n^{(r)}(\lambda)$  are called the *nth Frobenius-Euler numbers* of order r.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all formal power series in the variable t over  $\mathbb{C}$  with

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k!} t^k \middle| a_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Let us assume that  $\mathbb{P}$  is the algebra of polynomials in the variable x over  $\mathbb{C}$  and that  $\mathbb{P}^*$ is the vector space of all linear functionals on  $\mathbb{P}$ .  $\langle L|p(x)\rangle$  denotes the action of the linear functional L on a polynomial p(x), and we remind that the vector space structure on  $\mathbb{P}^*$  is defined by

$$\langle L + M | p(x) \rangle = \langle L | p(x) \rangle + \langle M | p(x) \rangle$$
  
 $\langle cL | p(x) \rangle = c \langle L | p(x) \rangle$ 

where c is a complex constant (see [8, 10, 13]).

The formal power series  $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k!} t^k \in \mathcal{F}$  defines a linear functional on  $\mathbb{P}$  by setting

$$\langle f(t)|x^n\rangle = a_n \quad \text{for all } n \ge 0 \text{ (see [8, 10, 13])}.$$
 (1.7)

Then, by (1.7), we get

$$\langle t^k | x^n \rangle = n! \delta_{n,k} \quad (n, k \ge 0), \tag{1.8}$$

where  $\delta_{n,k}$  is the Kronecker symbol (see [8, 10, 13]). Let  $f_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle L|x^k \rangle}{k!} t^k$  (see [13]). For  $f_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle L|x^k \rangle}{k!} t^k$ , we have  $\langle f_L(t)|x^n \rangle = \langle L|x^n \rangle$ . The map  $L \mapsto f_L(t)$  is a vector space isomorphism from  $\mathbb{P}^*$  onto  $\mathcal{F}$ . Henceforth,  $\mathcal{F}$  will be thought of as both a formal power series and a linear functional. We will call  $\mathcal F$  the *umbral* algebra. The umbral calculus is the study of umbral algebra (see [8, 10, 13]).

The order o(f(t)) of the non-zero power series f(t) is the smallest integer k for which the coefficient of  $t^k$  does not vanish. A series f(t) having o(f(t)) = 1 is called a *delta series*, and a series f(t) having o(f(t)) = 0 is called an *invertible series*. Let f(t) be a delta series and let g(t) be an invertible series. Then there exists a unique sequence  $S_n(x)$  of polynomials such that  $\langle g(t)f(t)^k|S_n(x)\rangle = n!\delta_{n,k}$ , where  $n,k \geq 0$ . The sequence  $S_n(x)$  is called a *Sheffer*  sequence for (g(t), f(t)), which is denoted by  $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$ . By (1.7) and (1.8), we see that  $\langle e^{yt}|p(x)\rangle = p(y)$ . For  $f(t) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$ , we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f(t)|x^k \rangle}{k!} t^k, \qquad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle t^k | p(x) \rangle}{k!} x^k, \tag{1.9}$$

and, by (1.9), we get

$$p^{(k)}(0) = \langle t^k | p(x) \rangle, \qquad \langle 1 | p^{(k)}(x) \rangle = p^{(k)}(0). \tag{1.10}$$

Thus, from (1.10), we have

$$t^{k}p(x) = p^{(k)}(x) = \frac{d^{k}p(x)}{dx^{k}}.$$
(1.11)

In [8, 10, 13], we note that  $\langle f(t)g(t)|p(x)\rangle = \langle g(t)|f(t)p(x)\rangle$ .

For  $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(t))}e^{y\bar{f}(t)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_k(y)}{k!} t^k \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{C},$$
(1.12)

where  $\bar{f}(t)$  is the compositional inverse of f(t). For  $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$  and  $r_n(x) = (h(t), l(t))$ , let us assume that

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{n,k} r_k(x) \quad \text{(see [8, 10, 13])}. \tag{1.13}$$

Then we have

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{h(\bar{f}(t))}{g(\bar{f}(t))} l(\bar{f}(t))^k \middle| x^n \right\rangle \quad (\text{see [13]}). \tag{1.14}$$

Equations (1.13) and (1.14) are called the alternative ways of Sheffer sequences.

In this paper, we study umbral calculus to have alternative ways of obtaining our results. That is, we derive some interesting identities of the higher-order Bernoulli, Euler, and Hermite polynomials arising from umbral calculus to have alternative ways.

### 2 Some identities of higher-order Bernoulli, Euler, and Hermite polynomials

In this section, we use umbral calculus to have alternative ways of obtaining our results. Let us consider the following Sheffer sequences:

$$E_n^{(r)}(x) \sim \left( \left( \frac{e^t + 1}{2} \right)^r, t \right), \qquad H_n(x) \sim \left( e^{\frac{1}{4}t^2}, \frac{t}{2} \right).$$
 (2.1)

Then, by (1.13), we assume that

$$E_n^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n,k} H_k(x). \tag{2.2}$$

From (1.14) and (2.2), we have

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}t^{2}}}{(e^{t+1})^{r}} \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^{k} \middle| x^{n} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!2^{k}} \left( \left( \frac{2}{e^{t}+1} \right)^{r} e^{\frac{1}{4}t^{2}} \middle| t^{k} x^{n} \right)$$

$$= 2^{-k} {n \choose k} \left( \left( \frac{2}{e^{t}+1} \right)^{r} \middle| e^{\frac{1}{4}t^{2}} x^{n-k} \right)$$

$$= 2^{-k} {n \choose k} \left( \left( \frac{2}{e^{t}+1} \right)^{r} \middle| \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{4^{l} l!} t^{2l} x^{n-k} \right)$$

$$= 2^{-k} {n \choose k} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^{2l} l!} (n-k)_{2l} \left( 1 \middle| \left( \frac{2}{e^{t}+1} \right)^{r} x^{n-k-2l} \right)$$

$$= 2^{-k} {n \choose k} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^{2l} l!} (n-k)_{2l} E_{n-k-2l}^{(r)}$$

$$= n! \sum_{0 \le l \le n-k} \sum_{l \ge n-k} \frac{E_{n-k-l}^{(r)}}{(\frac{l}{2})! 2^{k+l} k! (n-k-l)!}. \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore, by (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** *For*  $n \ge 0$ , *we have* 

$$E_n^{(r)}(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{0 \le l \le n-k, l : \text{even}} \frac{E_{n-k-l}^{(r)}}{k!(n-k-l)! 2^{k+l}(\frac{l}{2})!} \right\} H_k(x).$$

Let us consider the following two Sheffer sequences:

$$B_n^{(r)}(x) \sim \left( \left( \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \right)^r, t \right), \qquad H_n(x) \sim \left( e^{\frac{1}{4}t^2}, \frac{t}{2} \right).$$
 (2.4)

Let us assume that

$$B_n^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n,k} H_k(x). \tag{2.5}$$

By (1.14) and (2.4), we get

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}t^2}}{(\frac{e^t - 1}{t})^r} \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^k | x^n \right)$$

$$= 2^{-k} \binom{n}{k} \left\langle \left( \frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^r \middle| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^l \frac{1}{l!} t^{2l} x^{n-k} \right\rangle$$

$$= 2^{-k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{2}\right]} \frac{1}{l!4^l} (n-k)_{2l} \left\langle \left( \frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^r \middle| x^{n-k-2l} \right\rangle$$

$$= 2^{-k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{2}\right]} \frac{(n-k)!}{l! 2^{2l} (n-k-2l)!} \left\langle 1 \middle| \left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1}\right)^r x^{n-k-2l} \right\rangle$$

$$= 2^{-k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{2}\right]} \frac{(n-k)!}{l! 2^{2l} (n-k-2l)!} B_{n-k-2l}^{(r)}$$

$$= n! \sum_{0 \le l \le n-k, l \text{ even}} \frac{B_{n-k-l}^{(r)}}{k! (n-k-l)! 2^{k+l} (\frac{l}{2})!}.$$
(2.6)

Therefore, by (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** *For*  $n \ge 0$ , *we have* 

$$B_n^{(r)}(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{0 < l < n-k.l. \text{ even}} \frac{B_{n-k-l}^{(r)}}{k!(n-k-l)! 2^{k+l}(\frac{l}{2})!} \right\} H_k(x).$$

Consider

$$H_n^{(r)}(x|\lambda) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right)^r, t\right), \qquad H_n(x) \sim \left(e^{\frac{1}{4}t^2}, \frac{t}{2}\right).$$
 (2.7)

Let us assume that

$$H_n^{(r)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n,k} H_k(x). \tag{2.8}$$

By (1.14), we get

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}t^{2}}}{(\frac{e^{t-\lambda}}{1-\lambda})^{r}} \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^{k} \middle| x^{n} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!2^{k}} \left( \left( \frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} e^{\frac{1}{4}t^{2}} \middle| t^{k} x^{n} \right)$$

$$= 2^{-k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{2}\right]} \frac{(n-k)_{2l}}{l!4^{l}} \left( 1 \middle| \left( \frac{1-\lambda}{e^{t}-\lambda} \right)^{r} x^{n-k-2l} \right)$$

$$= n! \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{2}\right]} \frac{H_{n-k-2l}^{(r)}(\lambda)}{l!2^{2l+k}(n-k-2l)!k!}$$

$$= n! \sum_{0 \le l \le n-k, l : \text{even}} \frac{H_{n-k-l}^{(r)}(\lambda)}{\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)!2^{k+l}(n-k-l)!k!}.$$
(2.9)

Therefore, by (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3** *For*  $n \ge 0$ , *we have* 

$$H_n^{(r)}(x|\lambda) = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{0 < l < n-k, l : \text{even}} \frac{H_{n-k-l}^{(r)}(\lambda)}{k!(n-k-l)!(\frac{l}{2})!2^{k+l}} \right\} H_k(x).$$

Let us assume that

$$H_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{n,k} E_k^{(r)}(x). \tag{2.10}$$

From (1.14), (2.1), and (2.10), we have

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{\left(\frac{e^{2t}+1}{2}\right)^r}{e^{\frac{1}{4}(2t)^2}} (2t)^k \middle| x^n \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{\left(\frac{e^t+1}{2}\right)^r}{e^{\frac{1}{4}t^2}} t^k \middle| (2x)^n \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} 2^n \left( \left(\frac{e^t+1}{2}\right)^r e^{-\frac{1}{4}t^2} \middle| t^k x^n \right)$$

$$= 2^n \binom{n}{k} \left( \left(\frac{e^t+1}{2}\right)^r \middle| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!4^l} t^{2l} x^{n-k} \right)$$

$$= 2^{n-r} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!2^{2l}} (n-k)_{2l} \left( \left(e^t+1\right)^r \middle| x^{n-k-2l} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{l=0}^{\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)} \frac{\binom{n}{i} \binom{r}{j} 2^k (-1)^l (n-k)!}{l!(n-k-2l)!} (2j)^{n-k-2l}. \tag{2.11}$$

Therefore, (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4** *For*  $n \ge 0$ , *we have* 

$$H_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{2}\right]} \frac{\binom{n}{k} \binom{r}{j} 2^k (-1)^l (n-k)! (2j)^{n-k-2l}}{l! (n-k-2l)!} \right\} E_k^{(r)}(x).$$

Note that  $H_n(x) \sim (e^{\frac{1}{4}t^2}, \frac{t}{2})$ . Thus, we have

$$e^{\frac{1}{4}t^2}H_n(x) \sim \left(1, \frac{t}{2}\right), \text{ and } (2x)^n \sim \left(1, \frac{t}{2}\right).$$
 (2.12)

From (2.12), we have

$$e^{\frac{1}{4}t^2}H_n(x) = (2x)^n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad H_n(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}t^2}(2x)^n.$$
 (2.13)

By (2.11) and (2.13), we also see that

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{\left(\frac{e^{2t}+1}{2}\right)^r}{e^{\frac{1}{4}(2t)^2}} (2t)^k \middle| x^n \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \left(\frac{e^t+1}{2}\right)^r t^k \middle| e^{-\frac{1}{4}t^2} (2x)^n \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!2^{r}} \langle (e^{t} + 1)^{r} | t^{k} H_{n}(x) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{r}} \binom{n}{k} 2^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \binom{r}{j} H_{n-k}(j). \tag{2.14}$$

Therefore, by (2.10) and (2.14), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5** *For*  $n \ge 0$ , *we have* 

$$H_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} 2^k \left[ \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} H_{n-k}(j) \right] E_k^{(r)}(x).$$

Let us assume that

$$H_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{n,k} B_k^{(r)}(x). \tag{2.15}$$

From (1.14), (2.4), and (2.15), we have

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{\left(\frac{e^{2t}-1}{2t}\right)^r}{e^{\frac{1}{4}(2t)^2}} (2t)^k \middle| x^n \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{\left(\frac{e^t-1}{t}\right)^r}{e^{\frac{1}{4}t^2}} t^k \middle| (2x)^n \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \left(\frac{e^t-1}{t}\right)^r t^k \middle| e^{-\frac{1}{4}t^2} (2x)^n \right\rangle. \tag{2.16}$$

From (2.13) and (2.16), we have

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \left( \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \right)^r t^k \middle| H_n(x) \right\rangle. \tag{2.17}$$

For r > n, by (1.5) and (2.17), we get

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \left(e^{t} - 1\right)^{k} \middle| \left(\frac{e^{t} - 1}{t}\right)^{r-k} H_{n}(x) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \left(e^{t} - 1\right)^{k} \middle| \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{(r-k)!}{(l+r-k)!} S_{2}(l+r-k,r-k) t^{l} H_{n}(x) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{(r-k)!}{(l+r-k)!} S_{2}(l+r-k,r-k) 2^{l} (n)_{l} \left\langle \left(e^{t} - 1\right)^{k} \middle| H_{n-l}(x) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{(r-k)!}{(l+r-k)!} S_{2}(l+r-k,r-k) 2^{l} \frac{n!}{(n-l)!} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} H_{n-l}(j)$$

$$= n! \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{(r-k)! S_{2}(l+r-k,r-k) (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} 2^{l} H_{n-l}(j)}{(l+r-k)! k! (n-l)!}.$$
(2.18)

Therefore, by (2.15) and (2.18), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6** For  $r > n \ge 0$ , we have

$$H_n(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(r-k)! S_2(l+r-k,r-k)(-1)^{k-j} {k \choose j} 2^l H_{n-l}(j)}{(l+r-k)! k! (n-l)!} \right\} B_k^{(r)}(x).$$

Let us assume that  $r \le n$ . For  $0 \le k < r$ , by (2.18), we get

$$C_{n,k} = n! \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{(r-k)! S_2(l+r-k,r-k) (-1)^{k-j} {k \choose j} 2^l H_{n-l}(j)}{(l+r-k)! k! (n-l)!}.$$
 (2.19)

For  $r \le k \le n$ , by (2.17), we get

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{r} {r \choose j} (-1)^{r-j} \left\langle e^{it} | D^{k-r} H_n(x) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{2^{k-r} n!}{k! (n-k+r)!} \sum_{j=0}^{r} {r \choose j} (-1)^{r-j} H_{n-k+r}(j). \tag{2.20}$$

Therefore, by (2.15), (2.19), and (2.20), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.7** *For*  $n \ge r$ , *we have* 

$$\begin{split} H_n(x) &= n! \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(r-k)! S_2(l+r-k,r-k) (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} 2^l H_{n-l}(j)}{(l+r-k)! k! (n-l)!} \right\} B_k^{(r)}(x) \\ &+ n! \sum_{k=r}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{(-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} 2^{k-r} H_{n-k+r}(j)}{k! (n-k+r)!} \right\} B_k^{(r)}(x). \end{split}$$

Let us assume that

$$H_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{n,k} H_k^{(r)}(x|\lambda). \tag{2.21}$$

Then, by (1.14), (2.7), and (2.21), we get

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{\left(\frac{e^{2t} - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right)^r}{e^{\frac{1}{4}(2t)^2}} (2t)^k \middle| x^n \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{\left(\frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right)^r}{e^{\frac{1}{4}t^2}} t^k \middle| (2x)^n \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \left(\frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right)^r t^k \middle| e^{-\frac{1}{4}t^2} (2x)^n \right\rangle. \tag{2.22}$$

By (2.13) and (2.22), we get

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \left( \frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^r t^k \middle| H_n(x) \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{k! (1 - \lambda)^r} \left\langle \left( e^t - \lambda \right)^r \middle| t^k H_n(x) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{k} 2^k}{(1-\lambda)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-\lambda)^{r-j} \langle e^{jt} | H_{n-k}(x) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{k} 2^k}{(1-\lambda)^r} \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-\lambda)^{r-j} H_{n-k}(j). \tag{2.23}$$

Therefore, by (2.21) and (2.23), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.8** *For*  $n \ge 0$ , *we have* 

$$H_n(x) = \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^r} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} 2^k \left[ \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-\lambda)^{r-j} H_{n-k}(j) \right] H_k^{(r)}(x|\lambda).$$

**Remark** From (2.22), we have

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \left( \frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^r t^k \middle| (2x)^n \right\rangle = \frac{2^n}{k!} \left\langle \left( \frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^r e^{-\frac{1}{4}t^2} \middle| t^k x^n \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{(n)_k}{k!} 2^n \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{2}\right]} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!4^l} \left\langle \left( \frac{e^t - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^r \middle| t^{2l} x^{n-k} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{k} 2^n}{(1 - \lambda)^r} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{2}\right]} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!2^{2l}} (n - k)_{2l} \left\langle \left( e^t - \lambda \right)^r \middle| x^{n-k-2l} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda)^r} \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{2}\right]} \frac{\binom{n}{k} \binom{r}{j} 2^k (-1)^l (-\lambda)^{r-j} (n - k)! (2j)^{n-k-2l}}{l!(n - k - 2l)!}. \tag{2.24}$$

Thus, by (2.21) and (2.24), we get

$$H_n(x) = \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^r} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{2}\right]} \frac{\binom{n}{k} \binom{r}{j} 2^k (-1)^l (-\lambda)^{r-j} (n-k)! (2j)^{n-k-2l}}{l! (n-k-2l)!} \right\} H_k^{(r)}(x|\lambda).$$

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript and typed, read, and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul, 121-742, Republic of Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kwangwoon University, Seoul, 139-701, Republic of Korea. <sup>3</sup>Hanrimwon, Kwangwoon University, Seoul, 139-701, Republic of Korea. <sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics Education, Kyungpook National University, Taegu, 702-701, Republic of Korea.

#### Acknowledgements

This paper is supported in part by the Research Grant of Kwangwoon University in 2013.

Received: 3 January 2013 Accepted: 12 April 2013 Published: 26 April 2013

#### References

- Kim, DS, Kim, T, Rim, S-H, Lee, S-H: Hermite polynomials and their applications associated with Bernoulli and Euler numbers. Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. 2012, Article ID 974632 (2012). doi:10.1155/2012/974632
- Kim, T, Choi, J, Kim, YH, Ryoo, CS: On q-Bernstein and q-Hermite polynomials. Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. 14(2), 215-221 (2011)

- 3. Araci, S, Acikgoz, M: A note on the Frobenius-Euler numbers and polynomials associated with Bernstein polynomials. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 22(3), 399-406 (2012)
- Araci, S, Erdal, D, Seo, J: A study on the fermionic p-adic q-integral representation on Z<sub>p</sub> associated with weighted q-Bernstein and q-Genocchi polynomials. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011, Article ID 649248 (2011)
- Bayad, A: Modular properties of elliptic Bernoulli and Euler functions. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 20(3), 389-401 (2010)
- 6. Can, M, Cenkci, M, Kurt, V, Simsek, Y: Twisted Dedekind type sums associated with Barnes' type multiple Frobenius-Eulerl-functions. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 18(2), 135-160 (2009)
- 7. Carlitz, L: The product of two Eulerian polynomials. Math. Mag. 368, 37-41 (1963)
- 8. Dere, R, Simsek, Y: Applications of umbral algebra to some special polynomials. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 22, 433-438 (2012)
- Ding, D, Yang, J: Some identities related to the Apostol-Euler and Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 20(1), 7-21 (2010)
- Kim, DS, Kim, T: Some identities of Frobenius-Euler polynomials arising from umbral calculus. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2012, 196 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2012-196
- Ozden, H, Cangul, IN, Simsek, Y: Remarks on q-Bernoulli numbers associated with Daehee numbers. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 18(1), 41-48 (2009)
- 12. Rim, S-H, Joung, J, Jin, J-H, Lee, S-J: A note on the weighted Carlitz's type *q*-Euler numbers and *q*-Bernstein polynomials. Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **15**, 195-201 (2012)
- 13. Roman, S: The Umbral Calculus. Dover, New York (2005)
- 14. Ryoo, C: Some relations between twisted *q*-Euler numbers and Bernstein polynomials. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **21**(2), 217-223 (2011)
- Simsek, Y: Special functions related to Dedekind-type DC-sums and their applications. Russ. J. Math. Phys. 17, 495-508 (2010)
- Simsek, Y: Generating functions of the twisted Bernoulli numbers and polynomials associated with their interpolation functions. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 16(2), 251-278 (2008)

#### doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-211

Cite this article as: Kim et al.: Some identities of higher-order Bernoulli, Euler, and Hermite polynomials arising from umbral calculus. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 2013:211.

## Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com