RESEARCH

Open Access

New bounds for Randic and GA indices

V Lokesha¹, B Shwetha Shetty², PS Ranjini³, Ismail Naci Cangul^{4*} and Ahmet Sinan Cevik⁵

Dedicated to Professor Hari M Srivastava.

*Correspondence: cangul@uludag.edu.tr ⁴Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, Uludag University, Gorukle Campus, Bursa, 16059, Turkey Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

The main goal of this paper is to present some new lower and upper bounds for the Randic and GA indices in terms of Zagreb and modified Zagreb indices. **MSC:** 05C05; 05C20; 05C90

Keywords: Randic index; GA index; Zagreb index; modified Zagreb index

1 Introduction and preliminaries

A systematic study of *topological indices* is one of the most striking aspects in many branches of mathematics with its applications and various other fields of science and technology. A topological index is a numeric quantity from the structural graph of a molecule. Usage of topological indices in chemistry began in 1947 when H. Wiener developed the most widely known topological descriptor, namely the Wiener index, and used it to determine physical properties of types of alkanes known as paraffin (see, for instance, [1–3]).

Let *G* be a simple graph with the vertex-set V(G) and the edge-set E(G). As usual notion, the maximum vertex degree is denoted by $\Delta = \Delta(G)$, while the minimum vertex degree is denoted by $\delta = \delta(G)$. Moreover, $\delta_1 = \delta_1(G)$ denotes the minimum nonpendant vertex degree in *G*. A vertex of the graph *G* is said to be *pendant* if its neighborhood contains exactly one vertex. On the other hand, an edge of a graph is said to be *pendant* if one of its vertices is pendant.

In 1975, Randic [4] introduced the connectivity index, namely *Randic index*, to reflect molecular branching. In fact, the Randic index is defined as

$$\chi(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u d_v}}.$$
(1)

Furthermore, again by considering the degrees of vertices in *G*, Vukicević and Furtula [5] developed the *Geometric-arithmetic index*, shortly GA index, which is defined by

$$GA(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2\sqrt{d_u d_v}}{d_u + d_v}.$$
(2)

In the following, we recall two fundamental indices that will be used to present some new bounds for Randic and GA indices.



© 2013 Lokesha et al.; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The (first and second) *Zagreb indices* have been introduced by Gutman and Trinajstić [6] as the form

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{\nu \in V(G)} (d_{\nu})^2$$
 and $M_2(G) = \sum_{u\nu \in E(G)} d_u d_{\nu}$, (3)

where d_u and d_v are the *degrees* of u and v, respectively. On the other hand, for a (molecular) graph G, the *modified second Zagreb index* $M_2^*(G)$ is defined as

$$M_{2}^{*}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d_{u}d_{v}}$$
(4)

(cf. [7–10]).

This paper is organized as follows. In the forthcoming section, we present lower and upper bounds on Randic index of connected graphs and trees in terms of modified Zagreb indices given in (4). The final section deals with lower and upper bounds on GA index of connected graphs and trees in terms of Zagreb indices given in (3). We note that this paper is motivated from [11].

2 Lower and upper bounds on Randic index

Throughout this paper, we refer the book [12] for a classical result, namely the *Pólya-Szegó inequality*. From this result, we first establish the following theorem, which will be expressed the lower bound on the Randic index.

Theorem 1 Let G be a simple connected graph of order n with m edges, and let p, Δ and δ_1 denote the number of pendant vertices, maximum vertex degree and minimum nonpendant vertex degree of G, respectively. Then

$$\chi(G) \geq \frac{p}{\sqrt{\Delta}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\delta_1 \Delta(m-p)}}{\delta_1 + \Delta} \sqrt{M_2^*(G) - \frac{p}{\Delta}}.$$

Proof For $2 \le \delta_1 \le d_i, d_j \le \Delta$, we clearly have

$$rac{1}{d_i d_j} \geq rac{1}{d_i \Delta} \geq rac{1}{\Delta^2}$$

such that the equality holds if and only if $d_i = d_i = \Delta$. We also have

$$\frac{1}{d_i d_j} \le \frac{1}{d_i \delta_1} \le \frac{1}{\delta_1^2}$$

with equality holding if and only if $d_i = d_j = \delta_1$.

Since p is the number of pendant vertices in G, we have total m - p number of nonpendant edges in G. By the Pólya-Szegó inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_j, d_j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i d_j}}\right)^2 &\geq \frac{4\delta_1 \Delta(m-p)}{(\delta_1 + \Delta)^2} \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i, d_j \neq 1} \frac{1}{d_i d_j}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{4\delta_1 \Delta(m-p)}{(\delta_1 + \Delta)^2} \left(M_2^*(G) - \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i = 1} \frac{1}{d_j}\right). \end{split}$$

$$\sum_{\nu_i \nu_j \in E(G): d_j, d_j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i d_j}} \ge \frac{\sqrt{4\delta_1 \Delta(m-p)}}{(\delta_1 + \Delta)} \sqrt{M_2^*(G) - p\frac{1}{\Delta}}.$$
(5)

From (1), we get

$$\chi(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i = 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_j}} + \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i, d_j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i d_j}}.$$
(6)

For $\Delta \ge d_i$, since $\frac{1}{d_i} \ge \frac{1}{\Delta}$, by (5) and (6), we obtain

$$\chi(G) \geq \frac{p}{\sqrt{\Delta}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\delta_1 \Delta(m-p)}}{\delta_1 + \Delta} \sqrt{M_2^*(G) - \frac{p}{\Delta}},$$

as desired.

Corollary 1 Let T be a tree of order n with p pendant vertices, and let Δ and δ_1 be the maximum vertex and minimum nonpendent vertex degrees of T, respectively. Then

$$\chi(T) \geq \frac{p}{\sqrt{\Delta}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\delta_1 \Delta(n-1-p)}}{\delta_1 + \Delta} \sqrt{M_2^*(G) - \frac{p}{\Delta}}.$$

Proof Since the number of edges in a tree having *n* vertices is m = n - 1, the proof can be done similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 Let G be a simple connected graph of order n with m edges, and let p, Δ and δ_1 denote the number of pendant vertices, maximum vertex degree and minimum nonpendant vertex degree of G, respectively. Then

$$\chi(G) \leq \frac{p}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} + \sqrt{(m-p)\left(M_2^*(G) - \frac{p}{\delta_1}\right)},$$

Proof By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it is clear that

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_j, d_j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i d_j}}\right)^2 &\leq (m-p) \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_j, d_j \neq 1} \frac{1}{d_i d_j}\right) \\ &\leq (m-p) \left(M_2^*(G) - \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i = 1} \frac{1}{d_j}\right) \\ &\leq (m-p) \left(M_2^*(G) - \frac{p}{\delta_1}\right) \end{split}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\nu_i \nu_j \in E(G): d_j, d_j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i d_j}} \le \sqrt{(m-p) \left(M_2^*(G) - \frac{p}{\delta_1} \right)}.$$
(7)

$$\chi(G) \leq \frac{p}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} + \sqrt{(m-p)\left(M_2^*(G) - \frac{p}{\delta_1}\right)},$$

as required.

Now we prove another form of the upper bound for the Randic index as in the following.

Theorem 3 Let G be a simple connected graph of order n with m edges, and let p, Δ and δ_1 denote the number of pendant vertices, maximum vertex degree and minimum nonpendant vertex degree of G, respectively. Then

$$\chi(G) \le \frac{p}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} + \frac{(m-p)}{\delta_1}.$$
(8)

Proof Since $\frac{1}{\delta_1^2}$ is the maximum value of $\frac{1}{d_i d_j}$ for all edges $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, we have

$$M_{2}^{*}(G) - \sum_{v_{i}v_{j} \in E(G): d_{i}=1} \frac{1}{d_{j}} = \sum_{v_{i}v_{j} \in E(G): d_{j}, d_{j} \neq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{i}d_{j}}}$$
$$\leq \frac{m - p}{\delta_{1}^{2}}.$$
(9)

After that, by using (9) in (5), we get the bound in (8), as required.

3 Lower and upper bounds on GA index

By taking Pólya-Szegó inequality into account, the next result deals with a new lower bound on GA index in terms of Zagreb index as given in (3).

Theorem 4 Let G be a simple connected graph of order n with m edges, and let p, Δ and δ_1 denote the number of pendant vertices, maximum vertex degree and minimum nonpendant vertex degree of G, respectively. Then

$$\mathrm{GA}(G) \geq \frac{2p\sqrt{\delta_1}}{1+\Delta} + 2\sqrt{2}\frac{\delta_1\Delta}{(\delta_1^2+\Delta^2)}\sqrt{\frac{(m-p)}{\Delta}(M_2(G)-p\delta_1)}$$

Proof For $2 \leq \delta_1 \leq d_i, d_i \leq \Delta$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\Delta} \le \frac{1}{(d_i + d_i)} \le \frac{1}{2\delta_1}$$

which implies

$$\frac{d_i d_j}{(d_i + d_j)^2} \le \frac{\Delta^2}{4\delta_1^2}.$$

On the other hand, since we also have

$$rac{d_i d_j}{(d_i+d_j)^2} \geq rac{\delta_1^2}{4\Delta^2},$$

the combination of these above equalities implies that

$$\frac{\delta_1}{\Delta} \le \frac{2\sqrt{d_i d_j}}{(d_i + d_j)} \le \frac{\Delta}{\delta_1}.$$
(10)

Since p is the number of pendant vertices in G, we have total m - p number of nonpendant edges in G. By the Pólya-Szegó inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_j, d_j \neq 1} \frac{2\sqrt{d_i d_j}}{(d_i + d_j)}\right)^2 &\geq \frac{4\delta_1^2 \Delta^2(m - p)}{(\delta_1^2 + \Delta^2)^2} \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i, d_j \neq 1} \frac{4d_i d_j}{(d_i + d_j)}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{4\delta_1^2 \Delta^2(m - p)}{(\delta_1^2 + \Delta^2)^2} \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i, d_j \neq 1} \frac{4d_i d_j}{2\Delta}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{8\delta_1^2 \Delta^2(m - p)}{\Delta(\delta_1^2 + \Delta^2)^2} \left(M_2(G) - \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i = 1} d_j\right) \\ &\geq \frac{8\delta_1^2 \Delta^2(m - p)}{\Delta(\delta_1^2 + \Delta^2)^2} \left(M_2(G) - p\delta_1\right). \end{split}$$

This calculation can be rewritten basically as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{\nu_i\nu_j\in E(G):d_j,d_j\neq 1}}\frac{2\sqrt{d_id_j}}{(d_i+d_j)}\geq 2\sqrt{2}\frac{\delta_1\Delta}{(\delta_1^2+\Delta^2)}\sqrt{\frac{(m-p)}{\Delta}(M_2(G)-p\delta_1)}.$$

From (2), we obtain

$$GA(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i=1} \frac{2\sqrt{d_j}}{(1+d_j)} + \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i, d_j \neq 1} \frac{2\sqrt{d_i d_j}}{(d_i+d_j)}.$$
(11)

Now, for $\delta_1 \leq d_j \leq \Delta$, since $\sqrt{d_j} \geq \sqrt{\delta_1}$ and $\frac{1}{1+d_j} \geq \frac{1}{1+\Delta}$, by (10) and (11), we arrive at

$$\mathrm{GA}(G) \geq \frac{2p\sqrt{\delta_1}}{1+\Delta} + 2\sqrt{2}\frac{\delta_1\Delta}{(\delta_1^2+\Delta^2)}\sqrt{\frac{(m-p)}{\Delta}(M_2(G)-p\delta_1)}.$$

Hence the result.

Corollary 2 Let T be a tree of order n with p pendant vertices, and let Δ and δ_1 denote the maximum vertex degree and minimum non-pendent vertex degree of T, respectively. Then

$$\mathrm{GA}(G) \geq \frac{2p\sqrt{\delta_1}}{1+\Delta} + 2\sqrt{2}\frac{\delta_1\Delta}{(\delta_1^2+\Delta^2)}\sqrt{\frac{(n-1-p)}{\Delta}(M_2(G)-p\delta_1)}.$$

Proof For an order *n*, since the number of edges in a tree *T* is m = n - 1, the proof can be done quite similar as the proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5 Let G be a simple connected graph of order n with m edges, and let p, Δ and δ_1 denote the number of pendant vertices, maximum vertex degree and minimum non-

pendant vertex degree of G, respectively. Then

$$\mathrm{GA}(G) \leq \frac{2p\sqrt{\Delta}}{1+\delta_1} + \frac{1}{\delta_1}\sqrt{(m-p)\big(M_2(G) - p\Delta\big)}$$

Proof By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_j, d_j \neq 1} \frac{2\sqrt{d_i d_j}}{(d_i + d_j)}\right)^2 &\leq (m - p) \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_j, d_j \neq 1} \frac{4d_i d_j}{(d_i + d_j)^2}\right) \\ &\leq (m - p) \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_j, d_j \neq 1} \frac{d_i d_j}{\delta_1^2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{(m - p)}{\delta_1^2} \left(M_2(G) - \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_i = 1} d_j\right) \\ &\leq \frac{(m - p)}{\delta_1^2} \left(M_2(G) - p\Delta\right) \end{split}$$

which can be simply indicate as

$$\sum_{\substack{v_i v_j \in E(G): d_j, d_j \neq 1}} \frac{2\sqrt{d_i d_j}}{(d_i + d_j)} \le \frac{1}{\delta_1} \sqrt{(m - p) \left(M_2(G) - p\Delta\right)}.$$
(12)

Now, for $\delta_1 \leq d_j \leq \Delta$, since $\sqrt{d_j} \leq \sqrt{\Delta}$ and $\frac{1}{1+d_j} \leq \frac{1}{1+\delta_1}$, by (11) and (12) we get the result, as required.

The following theorem presents another upper bound for GA index.

Theorem 6 Let G be a simple connected graph of order n with m edges, and let p, Δ and δ_1 denote the number of pendant vertices, maximum vertex degree and minimum nonpendant vertex degree of G, respectively. Then

$$G(A) \leq rac{2p\sqrt{\Delta}}{1+\delta_1} + rac{(m-p)\Delta}{\delta_1}.$$

Proof Since Δ^2 is the maximum value of $d_i d_j$ for all edges $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, we have

$$M_{2}(G) - \sum_{v_{i}v_{j} \in E(G): d_{i}=1} d_{j} = \sum_{v_{i}v_{j} \in E(G): d_{j}, d_{j} \neq 1} d_{i}d_{j}$$

$$\leq (m-p)\Delta^{2}.$$
 (13)

Now, by using (13) in (11), we get

$$G(A) \leq \frac{2p\sqrt{\Delta}}{1+\delta_1} + \frac{(m-p)\Delta}{\delta_1}.$$

Hence, the result.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors completed the paper together. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, V S K University, Bellary, 583 104, India. ²Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishna School of Engineering and Management, Bangalore, 35, India. ³Department of Mathematics, Don Bosco Institute of Technology, Bangalore, 78, India. ⁴Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, Uludag University, Gorukle Campus, Bursa, 16059, Turkey. ⁵Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Selcuk University, Campus, Konya, 42075, Turkey.

Acknowledgements

I.N. Cangul and A.S. Cevik are partially supported by Research Project Offices of Uludag (2012-15, 2012-19 and 2012-20) and Selcuk Universities, respectively.

Received: 9 February 2013 Accepted: 26 March 2013 Published: 16 April 2013

References

- 1. Estrada, E, Torres, L, Rodriguez, L, Gutman, I: An atom-bond connectivity index. Modelling the enthalpy of formation of alkanes. Indian J. Chem. **37A**, 849-855 (1998)
- Ranjini, PS, Lokesha, V, Bindusree, M, Phani Raju, M: New bounds on Zagreb indices and the Zagreb co-indices. Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat. SPM. ISSN-2175-1188 online, SPM: www.spm.uem.br/bspm
- 3. Trinajstić, N: Chemical Graph Theory. CRC Press, Boca Raton (1992)
- 4. Randic, M: Characterization of molecular branching. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 6609-6615 (1975)
- 5. Vukicević, D, Furtula, B: Topological index based on the ratios of geometrical and arithmetical means of end-vertex degrees of edges. J. Math. Chem. 4, 1369-1376 (2009)
- Gutman, I, Trinajstić, N: Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total ¹/₄-electron energy of alternate hydrocarbons. Chem. Phys. Lett. 17, 535-538 (1972)
- 7. Das, KC, Gutman, I, Zhou, B: New upper bounds on Zagreb indices. J. Math. Chem. 46, 514-521 (2009)
- 8. Ranjini, PS, Lokesha, V: The Smarandache-Zagreb indices on the three graph operators. Int. J. Math. Combin. China 3, 1-10 (2010)
- Ranjini, PS, Lokesha, V, Cangul, IN: On the Zagreb indices of the line graphs of the subdivision graphs. Appl. Math. Comput. 218(3), 699-702 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2011.03.125
- 10. Ranjini, PS, Lokesha, V, Rajan, MA: On Zagreb indices of the subdivision graphs. Int. J. Math. Sci. Eng. Appl 4, 221-228 (2010)
- 11. Das, KC: Atom-bond connectivity index of graphs. Discrete Appl. Math. 158, 1181-1188 (2010)
- 12. Pólya, G, Szegó, G: Problems and Theorems in Analysis I: Series, Integral Calculus, Theory of Functions. Springer, New York (1972)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-180

Cite this article as: Lokesha et al.: New bounds for Randic and GA indices. Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2013 2013:180.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at springeropen.com