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#### Abstract

Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. The condition on $\beta$ is determined so that $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{k}(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z)(-1<k \leq 3)$ implies $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$. Similarly, the condition on $\beta$ is determined so that $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{n}(z)$ or $p(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{n}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ $(n=0,1,2)$ implies $p(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ or $\sqrt{1+z}$. In addition to that, the condition on $\beta$ is derived so that $p(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ when $p(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$. A few more problems of the similar flavor are also considered. MSC: 30C80; 30C45
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of analytic functions defined on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ normalized by the condition $f(0)=0=f^{\prime}(0)-1$. For two analytic functions $f$ and $g$, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$ or $g$ is superordinate to $f$, denoted by $f \prec g$, if there is a Schwarz function $w$ with $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$. If $g$ is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D})$. For an analytic function $\varphi$ whose range is starlike with respect to $\varphi(0)=1$ and is symmetric with respect to the real axis, let $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ denote the class of Ma-Minda starlike functions consisting of all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \prec \varphi(z)$. For special choices of $\varphi, \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ reduces to well-known subclasses of starlike functions. For example, when $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, \mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]:=\mathcal{S}^{*}((1+A z) /(1+B z))$ is the class of Janowski starlike functions [1] (see [2]) and $\mathcal{S}^{*}[1-2 \alpha,-1]$ is the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ of starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and $\mathcal{S}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}(0)$ is the class of starlike functions. For $\varphi(z):=\sqrt{1+z}$, the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{S L}$ introduced by Sokół and Stankiewicz [3] and studied recently by Ali et al. $[4,5]$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ if $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ lies in the region bounded by the right half-plane of the lemniscate of Bernoulli given by $\left|w^{2}-1\right|<1$. Analytically, $\mathcal{S L}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}:\left|\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)^{2}-1\right|<1\right\}$. For $b \geq 1 / 2$ and $a \geq 1$, a more general class $S^{*}[a, b]$ of the functions $f$ satisfying $\left|\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)^{a}-b\right|<b$ was considered by Paprocki and Sokół [6]. Clearly, $S^{*}[2,1]=: \mathcal{S L}$. For some radius problems related with the lemniscate of Bernoulli, see $[3,5,7,8]$. Estimates for the initial coefficients of functions in the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ are available in [8].
Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$. Recently Ali et al. [4] determined conditions for $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ when $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{k}(z)$ with $k=0,1,2$ or $(1-\beta) p(z)+$ $\beta p^{2}(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z)$ is subordinated to $\sqrt{1+z}$. Motivated by the works in [4-8], in Section 2 the condition on $\beta$ is determined so that $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ when $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{k}(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+$ $B z)(-1<k \leq 3)$. Similarly, the condition on $\beta$ is determined so that $p(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z)$

[^0]when $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{n}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}, n=0,1,2$. Further, the condition on $\beta$ is obtained in each case so that $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ when $p(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{n}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}, n=0,1,2$. At the end of this section, the problem $p(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ implies $p(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ is also considered.
Silverman [9] introduced the class $\mathcal{G}_{b}$ by
$$
\mathcal{G}_{b}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}:\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)}-1\right|<b\right\}
$$
and proved $\mathcal{G}_{b} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}(2 /(1+\sqrt{1+8 b})), 0<b \leq 1$. Further, this result was improved by Obradovič and Tuneski [10] by showing $\mathcal{G}_{b} \subset S^{*}[0, b] \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}(2 /(1+\sqrt{1+8 b})), 0<b \leq 1$. Tuneski [11] further obtained the condition for $\mathcal{G}_{b} \subset S^{*}[A, B]$. Inspired by the work of Silverman [9], Nunokawa et al. [12] obtained the sufficient conditions for a function in the class $\mathcal{G}_{b}$ to be strongly starlike, strongly convex, or starlike in $\mathbb{D}$. By setting $p(z)=$ $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$, the inclusion $\mathcal{G}_{b} \subset S^{*}[A, B]$ can be written as
$$
1+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{2}(z)} \prec 1+b z \quad \Longrightarrow \quad p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} .
$$

Recently Ali et al. [13], obtained the condition on the constants $A, B, D, E \in[-1,1]$ and $\beta$ so that $p(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ when $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{n}(z) \prec(1+D z) /(1+E z), n=0,1$. In Section 3, alternate and easy proofs of results [13, Lemmas 2.1, 2.10] are discussed. Further, this section is concluded with the condition on $A, B, D, E \in[-1,1]$ and $\beta$ such that $1+$ $\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{2}(z) \prec(1+D z) /(1+E z)$ implies $p(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z)$.

The following results are required in order to prove our main results.

Lemma 1.1 [14, Corollary 3.4h, p.135] Let $q$ be univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, and let $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\mathbb{D})$. Let $z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))$ be starlike. If $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}, p(0)=q(0)$ and satisfies

$$
z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)) \prec z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))
$$

then $p \prec q$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
The following is a more general form of the above lemma.

Lemma 1.2 [14, Corollary 3.4i, p.134] Let $q$ be univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, and let $\varphi$ and $v$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\mathbb{D})$ with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\mathbb{D})$. Set

$$
Q(z):=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)), \quad h(z):=v(q(z))+Q(z)
$$

## Suppose that

(1) $h$ is convex or $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and
(2) $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)) \prec v(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p \prec q$ and $q$ is the best dominant.

Lemma 1.3 [14, Corollary 3.4a, p.120] Let $q$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, let $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\mathbb{D})$ and suppose
(1) $\operatorname{Re} \phi[q(z)]>0$ and either
(2) $q$ is convex, or
(3) $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \cdot \phi[q(z)]$ is starlike.

If $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, with $p(0)=q(0), p(\mathbb{D}) \subset D$ and

$$
p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi[p(z)] \prec q(z)
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$.

## 2 Results associated with the lemniscate of Bernoulli

In the first result, condition on $\beta$ is obtained so that the subordination

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{k}(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(-1<B<A \leq 1)
$$

implies $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $|\beta| \geq 2^{(k+3) / 2}(A-B)+|B \beta|,-1<k \leq 3$. Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{k}(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(-1<B<A \leq 1),
$$

then $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.

Proof Let $q(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$. A computation shows that the function

$$
Q(z):=\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{k}(z)}=\frac{\beta z}{2(1+z)^{(k+1) / 2}} \quad(-1<k \leq 3)
$$

is starlike in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. Consider the subordination

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{k}(z)} \prec 1+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{k}(z)} .
$$

Thus in view of Lemma 1.1, it follows that $p(z) \prec q(z)$. In order to prove our result, we need to prove

$$
\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \prec 1+\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{k}(z)}=1+\frac{\beta z}{2(1+z)^{(k+1) / 2}}:=h(z)
$$

Let $w=\Phi(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$. Then $\Phi^{-1}(w)=\frac{w-1}{A-B w}$. The subordination $\Phi(z) \prec h(z)$ is equivalent to $z \prec \Phi^{-1}(h(z))$. Thus in order to prove the result, we need only to show $\left|\Phi^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| \geq 1$. For $z=e^{i t},-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$, we have

$$
\left|\Phi^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| \geq \frac{|\beta|}{2(A-B)(2 \cos (t / 2))^{(k+1) / 2}+|B \beta|}=: g(t) .
$$

A calculation shows that $g(t)$ attains its minimum at $t=0$. Further, the value of $g(t)$ at $\pi$ or $-\pi$ comes out to be $1 /|B|$ which is naturally greater than the value at the extreme point $t=0$ because if $g(0) \geq g(\pi)$, then $(A-B)|\beta| \leq 0$ which is absurd. Thus

$$
g(0)=\frac{|\beta|}{2^{(k+3) / 2}(A-B)+|B \beta|} \geq 1
$$

for $|\beta| \geq 2^{(k+3) / 2}(A-B)+|B \beta|$. Hence $\Phi(z) \prec h(z)$, and the proof is complete now.

Next result depicts the condition on $\beta$ such that $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ implies $p(z) \prec$ $(1+A z) /(1+B z)(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$. On subsequent lemmas, similar results are obtained by considering the expressions $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)$ and $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{2}(z)$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $(A-B) \beta \geq \sqrt{2}(1+|B|)^{2}+(1-B)^{2}$ and $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying

$$
1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}
$$

then $p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$.
Proof Define the function $q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)
$$

with $q(0)=1$. A computation shows that

$$
Q(z)=\beta z q^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\frac{1-B z}{1+B z} .
$$

Let $z=r e^{i t}, r \in(0,1),-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1-B z}{1+B z}\right) & =\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1-B r e^{i t}}{1+B r e^{i t}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1-B^{2} r^{2}}{\left|1+B r e^{i t}\right|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1-B^{2} r^{2}>0(|B| \leq 1,0<r<1)$ and so $\operatorname{Re}\left(z Q^{\prime}(z) / Q(z)\right)>0$, this shows that $Q$ is starlike in $\mathbb{D}$. It follows from Lemma 1.1 that the subordination

$$
1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+\beta z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

implies $p(z) \prec q(z)$. Now we need to prove the following in order to prove the lemma:

$$
\sqrt{1+z} \prec 1+\beta z q^{\prime}(z)=1+\beta \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}}=: h(z) .
$$

Let $w=\Phi(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$. Then $\Phi^{-1}(w)=w^{2}-1$. The subordination $\Phi(z) \prec h(z)$ is equivalent to the subordination $z \prec \Phi^{-1}(h(z))$. Now in order to prove the result, it is enough to show $\left|\Phi^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| \geq 1, z=e^{i t},-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$. Now

$$
\left|\Phi^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\left(1+\beta \frac{(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right| \geq 1 \quad \text { implies that } \quad\left|1+\beta \frac{(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)^{2}}\right| \geq \sqrt{2}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|1+\beta \frac{(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)^{2}}\right| & =\frac{\left|1+(2 B+\beta(A-B)) e^{i t}+B^{2} e^{2 i t}\right|}{\left|1+2 B e^{i t}+B^{2} e^{2 i t}\right|} \\
& \geq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(2 B+\beta(A-B)+B^{2} e^{i t}+e^{-i t}\right)}{1+2|B|+B^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 B+\beta(A-B)+\left(1+B^{2}\right) \cos t}{(1+|B|)^{2}} \\
& \geq \frac{2 B+\beta(A-B)-\left(1+B^{2}\right)}{(1+|B|)^{2}} \geq \sqrt{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(A-B) \beta \geq \sqrt{2}(1+|B|)^{2}+(1-B)^{2}$. Therefore $\Phi(z) \prec h(z)$ and this completes the proof.

Lemma 2.3 Let $(A-B) \beta \geq(\sqrt{2}-1)(1+|A|)(1+|B|)$ and $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z},
$$

then $p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$.
Proof Let the function $q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$
q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)
$$

A computation shows that

$$
Q(z):=\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(1+A z)(1+B z)}
$$

and

$$
\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\frac{1-A B z^{2}}{(1+A z)(1+B z)}
$$

Let $z=r e^{i t}, r \in(0,1),-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1-A B z^{2}}{(1+A z)(1+B z)}\right) & =\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1-A B r^{2} e^{2 i t}}{\left(1+A r e^{i t}\right)\left(1+B r e^{i t}\right)}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(1-A B r^{2}\right)\left(1+(A+B) r \cos t+A B r^{2}\right)}{\left|1+A r e^{i t}\right|^{2}\left|1+B r e^{i t}\right|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1+A B r^{2}+(A+B) r \cos t \geq(1-A r)(1-B r)>0$ for $A+B \geq 0$ and, similarly, $1+A B r^{2}+$ $(A+B) r \cos t \geq(1+A r)(1+B r)>0$ for $A+B \leq 0$, it follows that $Q$ is starlike in $\mathbb{D}$. Lemma 1.1 suggests that the subordination

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec 1+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

implies $p(z) \prec q(z)$. Now we have to prove

$$
\sqrt{1+z} \prec 1+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=1+\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(1+A z)(1+B z)}=: h(z) .
$$

Let $w=\Phi(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$. Then $\Phi^{-1}(w)=w^{2}-1$. The subordination $\Phi(z) \prec h(z)$ is equivalent to the subordination $z \prec \Phi^{-1}(h(z))$. Now in order to prove the result, it is enough to show $\left|\Phi^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| \geq 1,-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Phi^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\left(1+\frac{\beta(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+A e^{i t}\right)\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)}\right)^{2}-1\right| \geq 1 \quad \text { implies that } \\
& \left|1+\frac{\beta(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+A e^{i t}\right)\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)}\right| \geq \sqrt{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|1+\frac{\beta(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+A e^{i t}\right)\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)}\right| & \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{\beta(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+A e^{i t}\right)\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)}\right) \\
& \geq 1+\frac{(A-B) \beta}{(1+|A|)(1+|B|)} \geq \sqrt{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(A-B) \beta \geq(\sqrt{2}-1)(1+|A|)(1+|B|)$. Therefore $\Phi(z) \prec h(z)$ and this completes the proof.

Lemma 2.4 Let $(A-B) \beta \geq(\sqrt{2}-1)(1+|A|)^{2}+(1-A)^{2}$ and $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{2}(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z},
$$

then $p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$.

Proof Let the function $q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$
q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)
$$

with $q(0)=1$. Then

$$
Q(z)=\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{2}(z)}=\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(1+A z)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\frac{1-A z}{1+A z} .
$$

Let $z=r e^{i t},-\pi \leq t \leq \pi, 0<r<1$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1-A z}{1+A z}\right)=\frac{1-A^{2} r^{2}}{\left|1+A r e^{i t}\right|^{2}}
$$

Since $1-A^{2} r^{2}>0(|A| \leq 1,0<r<1)$. Hence $\operatorname{Re}\left(z Q^{\prime}(z)\right) / Q(z)>0$, this shows that $Q$ is starlike in $\mathbb{D}$. An application of Lemma 1.1 reveals that the subordination

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{2}(z)} \prec 1+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{2}(z)}
$$

implies $p(z) \prec q(z)$. Now our result is established if we prove

$$
\sqrt{1+z} \prec 1+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{2}(z)}=1+\beta \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+A z)^{2}}=: h(z) .
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.2, and therefore it is skipped here.

In the next result, the condition on $\beta$ is obtained so that $p(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ implies $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$. On subsequent lemmas, similar results are discussed by considering the expressions $p(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)$ and $p(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{2}(z)$.

Lemma 2.5 Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying $p(z)+$ $\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}, \beta>0$. Then $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.

Proof Define the function $q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $q(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ with $q(0)=1$. Since $q(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w: \mid w^{2}-\right.$ $1 \mid<1\}$ is the right half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli, $q(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex set, and hence $q$ is a convex function. Let us define $\phi(w)=\beta$, then

$$
\operatorname{Re} \phi[q(z)]=\beta>0
$$

Consider the function $Q$ defined by

$$
Q(z):=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=\beta \frac{z}{2 \sqrt{1+z}} .
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right) & =1-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z}{2(1+z)}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{3}{4}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the function $Q$ is starlike, and the result now follows by an application of Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 2.6 Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying

$$
p(z)+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z}, \quad \beta>0 .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.

Proof As before, let $q$ be given by $q(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ with $q(0)=1$. Then $q$ is a convex function. Let us define $\phi(w)=\beta / w$. Since $q(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w:\left|w^{2}-1\right|<1\right\}$ is the right half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli, so

$$
\operatorname{Re} \phi[q(z)]=\frac{\beta}{|\sqrt{1+z}|^{2}} \operatorname{Re}(\sqrt{1+z})>0
$$

Consider the function $Q$ defined by

$$
Q(z):=\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\beta \frac{z}{2(1+z)} .
$$

Further,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)=1-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z}{1+z}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}>0
$$

Thus the function $Q$ is starlike, and the result now follows by an application of Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 2.7 Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying

$$
p(z)+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{2}(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z}, \quad \beta>0 .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.

Proof Let $q$ be given by $q(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ with $q(0)=1$. Then $q$ is a convex function. Let us define $\phi(w)=\beta / w^{2}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Re} \phi[q(z)]=\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\beta}{1+z}\right)>\frac{\beta}{2}>0 .
$$

Consider the function $Q$ defined by

$$
Q(z):=\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{2}(z)}=\beta \frac{z}{2(1+z)^{\frac{3}{2}}} .
$$

Further,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)=1-\frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z}{1+z}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}>0
$$

Thus the function $Q$ is starlike, and the result now follows by an application of Lemma 1.3.

In the next result, the condition on $\beta$ is obtained such that $p(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ implies that $p(z) \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z)$.

Lemma 2.8 Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,(A-B) \beta \geq \sqrt{2}(1+|A|)(1+|B|)+|A|^{2}-1$ and

$$
\frac{1}{\beta} \geq \max \left\{0, \frac{A-B}{(1+|A|)(1+|B|)}-\frac{1-|B|}{1+|B|}\right\} .
$$

Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying

$$
p(z)+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$.

Proof Define the function $q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $q(z)=(1+A z) /(1+B z),-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. Consider the subordination

$$
p(z)+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec q(z)+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} .
$$

Thus, in view of Lemma 1.2, the above subordination can be written as (1.1) by defining the functions $v$ and $\varphi$ as $\nu(w):=w$ and $\varphi(w):=\beta / w(\beta \neq 0)$. Clearly, the functions $\nu$ and $\varphi$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi(w) \neq 0$. Let the functions $Q(z)$ and $h(z)$ be defined by

$$
Q(z):=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))=\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

and

$$
h(z):=v(q(z))+Q(z)=q(z)+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} .
$$

A computation shows that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. Further,

$$
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\frac{1}{\beta}+1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} .
$$

Let $z=e^{i t},-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{i t} h^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)}{Q\left(e^{i t}\right)}\right) & =\frac{1}{\beta}+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1-B e^{i t}}{1+B e^{i t}}-\frac{(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+A e^{i t}\right)\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\beta}+\frac{1-|B|}{1+|B|}-\frac{A-B}{(1+|A|)(1+|B|)}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by Lemma 1.2, it follows that $p(z) \prec q(z)$. In order to prove our result, we need to prove that

$$
\Phi(z):=\sqrt{1+z} \prec q(z)+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(1+A z)(1+B z)}:=h(z) .
$$

The subordination $\Phi(z) \prec h(z)$ is equivalent to the subordination $z \prec \Phi^{-1}(h(z))$. Now in order to prove the result, it is enough to show $\left|\Phi^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| \geq 1,-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$. Now

$$
\left|\Phi^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\left(\frac{1+A e^{i t}}{1+B e^{i t}}+\frac{\beta(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+A e^{i t}\right)\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)}\right)^{2}-1\right| \geq 1
$$

implies

$$
\left|\frac{1+A e^{i t}}{1+B e^{i t}}+\frac{\beta(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+A e^{i t}\right)\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)}\right| \geq \sqrt{2} .
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1+A e^{i t}}{1+B e^{i t}}+\frac{\beta(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+A e^{i t}\right)\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)}\right| & \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1+A e^{i t}}{1+B e^{i t}}+\frac{\beta(A-B) e^{i t}}{\left(1+A e^{i t}\right)\left(1+B e^{i t}\right)}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1-|A|}{1+|B|}+\frac{(A-B) \beta}{(1+|A|)(1+|B|)} \geq \sqrt{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(A-B) \beta \geq \sqrt{2}(1+|A|)(1+|B|)+|A|^{2}-1$. This completes the proof.

## 3 Sufficient condition for Janowski starlikeness

The following first two results (Lemmas 3.1, 3.2) are essentially due to Ali et al. [13, Lemmas 2.1, 2.10]. However, an alternate proof of the same result, which is much easier than that given by Ali et al. [13], is presented below.

Lemma 3.1 Assume that $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq E<D \leq 1$ and $\beta(A-B) \geq(D-E)\left(1+B^{2}\right)+$ $|2 B(D-E)-E \beta(A-B)|$. Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying

$$
1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z}, \quad \beta \neq 0
$$

Then $p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$.

Proof Define the function $q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \quad-1 \leq B<A \leq 1
$$

Then $q$ is convex in $\mathbb{D}$ with $q(0)=1$. Further computation shows that

$$
Q(z)=\beta z q^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}}
$$

and $Q$ is starlike in $\mathbb{D}$. It follows from Lemma 1.1 that the subordination

$$
1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+\beta z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

implies $p(z) \prec q(z)$. In view of the above result, it is sufficient to prove

$$
\frac{1+D z}{1+E z} \prec 1+\beta z q^{\prime}(z)=1+\beta \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}}=h(z) .
$$

Let $w=\Phi(z)=\frac{1+D z}{1+E z}$. Then $\Phi^{-1}(w)=\frac{w-1}{D-E w}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{-1}(h(z)) & =\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{D(1+B z)^{2}-E(1+B z)^{2}-\beta E(A-B) z} \\
& =\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(D-E)\left(1+B^{2} z^{2}\right)+(2 B(D-E)-\beta E(A-B)) z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $z=e^{i t}, \pi \leq t \leq \pi$. Thus

$$
\left|\Phi^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| \geq \frac{|\beta|(A-B)}{(D-E)\left(1+B^{2}\right)+|(2 B(D-E)-\beta E(A-B))|} \geq 1
$$

for $|\beta|(A-B) \geq(D-E)\left(1+B^{2}\right)+|(2 B(D-E)-E \beta(A-B))|$. Hence $q(\mathbb{D}) \subset h(\mathbb{D})$, that is, $q(z) \prec h(z)$, this completes the proof.

It should be noted that Ali et al. [13] made the assumption $A B>0$ in order to prove the result [13, Lemma 2.10], whereas in the following lemma this condition has been dropped.

Lemma 3.2 Assume that $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq E<D \leq 1$ and $\beta(A-B) \geq(D-E)(1+$ $|A B|)+|(A+B)(D-E)-E \beta(A-B)|$. Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z}, \quad \beta \neq 0 .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$.
Proof As above, define the function $q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \quad-1 \leq B<A \leq 1
$$

Then $q$ is convex in $\mathbb{D}$ with $q(0)=1$. A computation shows that

$$
Q(z)=\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(1+A z)(1+B z)}
$$

and $Q$ is starlike in $\mathbb{D}$. It follows from Lemma 1.1 that the subordination

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec 1+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

implies $p(z) \prec q(z)$. Now we need to prove

$$
\frac{1+D z}{1+E z} \prec 1+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=1+\beta \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}}=h(z) .
$$

Let $w=\Phi(z)=\frac{1+D z}{1+E z}$. Then $\Phi^{-1}(w)=\frac{w-1}{D-E w}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{-1}(h(z)) & =\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(D-E)(1+A z)(1+B z)-\beta E(A-B) z} \\
& =\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(D-E)\left(1+A B z^{2}\right)+((A+B)(D-E)-\beta E(A-B)) z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $z=e^{i t}, \pi \leq t \leq \pi$. Thus

$$
\left|\Phi^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| \geq \frac{|\beta|(A-B)}{(D-E)(1+|A B|)+|(A+B)(D-E)-\beta E(A-B)|} \geq 1
$$

for $|\beta|(A-B) \geq(D-E)(1+|A B|)+|(A+B)(D-E)-E \beta(A-B)|$. Hence $q(\mathbb{D}) \subset h(\mathbb{D})$, that is, $q(z) \prec h(z)$, this completes the proof.

Lemma 3.3 Assume that $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq E<D \leq 1$ and $|\beta|(A-B) \geq(D-E)\left(1+A^{2}\right)+$ $|2 A(D-E)-E \beta(A-B)|$. Let $p$ be an analytic function defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0)=1$ satisfying

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{2}(z)} \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z} .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$.

Proof Define the function $q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \quad-1 \leq B<A \leq 1 .
$$

Then $q$ is convex in $\mathbb{D}$ with $q(0)=1$. A computation shows that

$$
Q(z)=\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{2}(z)}=\frac{\beta(A-B) z}{(1+A z)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\frac{1-A z}{1+A z} .
$$

As before, a computation shows $Q$ is starlike in $\mathbb{D}$. It follows from Lemma 1.1 that the subordination

$$
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{2}(z)} \prec 1+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{2}(z)}
$$

implies $p(z) \prec q(z)$. To prove result, it is enough to show that

$$
\frac{1+D z}{1+E z} \prec 1+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{2}(z)}=1+\beta \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+A z)^{2}}=h(z) .
$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1, and therefore it is skipped here.

Remark 3.4 When $\beta=1$, Lemma 3.3 reduces to [13, Lemma 2.6] due to Ali et al.
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