RESEARCH Open Access

On periodic one-parameter groups of linear operators in a Banach space and applications

Abdullah Çavuş*, Djavvat Khadjiev and Mehmet Kunt

*Correspondence: cavus@ktu.edu.tr Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey

Abstract

Let *D* be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous periodic one-parameter group of linear operators in a Banach space. Main results: An analog of the resolvent operator (= quasi-resolvent operator of *D*) is defined for points of the spectrum of *D* and its evident form is given. The theorem on integral for the operator *D*, theorems on the existence of periodic solutions of a linear differential equation of the *n*th order with constant coefficients and systems of linear differential equations with constant coefficients in Banach spaces are obtained. In the case of the existence of periodic solutions, evident forms of all periodic solutions of a linear differential equation of the *n*th order with constant coefficients and systems of linear differential equations with constant coefficients in Banach spaces are given in terms of resolvent and quasi-resolvent operators of *D*.

MSC: 42A; 43; 47D

Keywords: Fourier series; infinitesimal generator; resolvent operator; periodic solution; theorem on integral

1 Introduction

One-parameter groups of linear operators and periodic one-parameter groups of linear operators in topological vector spaces were investigated by Stone [1], Dunford [2], Gelfand [3] and others (see [4-20]).

Let T be the one-dimensional torus $\{e^{it}: -\pi \le t < \pi\}$. Further we consider T as the additive group $Q/2\pi Z \simeq \{t: -\pi \le t < \pi\}$ with its Euclidean topology, where Q is the field of real numbers. Let $\alpha(t)$ ($t \in T$) be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of bounded linear operators in a Banach space H, and let D be an infinitesimal generator of the group $\alpha(t)$. The evident form of the resolvent operator $R(\mu, D)$ of D is known (see [7], Lemma 2.25)

$$R(\mu, D) = (1 - e^{-\mu t})^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\mu t} \alpha(t) x \, dt,$$

where μ is an element of the resolvent set of D. For the element μ of the resolvent set of D and arbitrary element $a \in H$, the element $R(\mu, D)a$ is the evident form of the unique solution of the equation $Dx - \mu x = a$.

In the present paper, we obtain conditions of the existence of a solution of the equation $Dx - \mu x = a$ for points of the spectrum of D. We define an analog of the resolvent operator (= quasi-resolvent operator) for points of the spectrum of D and, in the case of the existence of a solution, we give the evident form of all solutions by using a quasi-resolvent



operator of D. We apply resolvent and quasi-resolvent operators to a solution of a linear differential equation P(D)x = a of the nth order with constant coefficients and to a system of linear differential equations of the first order with constant coefficients in a Banach space H.

Contents of the present paper is the following. In Section 2 we give generalizations of Fejer's theorem and Riemann-Lebesque's lemma for a strongly continuous linear representation of T in a Banach space. These results are used in the next sections.

In Section 3 we give a definition of the infinitesimal generator D of a strongly continuous linear representation of T in a Banach space H and the domain H(D) of the definition of D. For D and any $\lambda \in C$, we introduce the operator $R_{\lambda}: H \to H$ by formula (1) below for the point λ of the resolvent set of D and by (2) for the point of the spectrum of D. We show that the linear operator R_{λ} is bounded and has properties (3) and (4) below.

In Section 4 we prove that $H(D) = R_{\lambda}(H)$ for all $\lambda \in C$, the spectrum $\sigma(D)$ of the operator D is a point spectrum and $\sigma(D) = \{im \in \operatorname{Spec}(H)\}$, where $\operatorname{Spec}(H)$ is the spectrum of the linear representation α . It is proved that R_{λ} is equal to the resolvent operator of D for all points λ of the resolvent set of D. We obtain the theorem on an integral for D.

In Section 5 we give conditions of the existence of a periodic solution of a linear differential equation of the *n*th order with constant coefficients. In the case of existence, the evident form of all periodic solutions is given.

In Section 6 we give conditions of the existence of a periodic solution of a system of linear differential equations of the first order with constant coefficients. In the case of existence, the evident form of all periodic solutions is given.

For simplicity, we prove our main results for an isometric strongly continuous linear representation. But they are true for any strongly continuous linear representation.

2 Fejer's theorem and Riemann-Lebesque's lemma for a strongly continuous linear representation of *T* in a Banach space

Denote the group of all invertible bounded linear operators $A: H \to H$ of a complex Banach space H by GL(H). The following Definitions 1-4 are known [21].

Definition 1 A homomorphism $\alpha: T \to GL(H)$ is called a linear representation of T on a Banach space H.

Definition 2 Linear representations $\alpha: T \to GL(H)$ and $\beta: T \to GL(V)$ are called equivalent if there exists a bounded invertible linear operator $B: H \to V$ such that $B\alpha(t) = \beta(t)B$ for all $t \in T$.

Definition 3 A linear representation α of T on a Banach space H is called isometric if $\|\alpha(t)x\| = \|x\|$ for all $t \in T$ and $x \in H$.

Definition 4 A linear representation α of T on a Banach space H is called strongly continuous if $\lim_{t\to 0} \alpha(t)x = x$ for all $x \in H$.

It is known that every strongly continuous linear representation of T on a Banach space is equivalent to a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space [21].

Let α be a strongly continuous linear representation of T on a Banach space H, and let Z be the ring of all integers and $n \in Z$. Put

$$H_n := \{ x \in H : \alpha(t)x = e^{int}x \ \forall t \in T \}.$$

Let $x \in H$ and $n \in Z$. By the theorem in ([22], p.314), Riemann's integral

$$F_n(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-int} \alpha(t) x \, dt$$

exists and $F_n(x) \in H_n$.

Proposition 1 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H. Then

- 1. $\alpha(t)F_n(x) = F_n(\alpha(t)x) = e^{int}F_n(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in H$ and $t \in T$;
- 2. $F_n \cdot F_m = 0$ and $F_n^2 = F_n$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \neq n$;
- 3. $||F_n(x)|| < ||x||$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in H$.

Proof It is easy, so it is omitted.

A series in the form $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x_k$, $x_k \in H_k$, is called the Fourier series of an element $x \in H$, if $x_k = F_k(x)$ for all $k \in Z$. It is written in the form

$$x \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x_k$$
 or $x \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} F_k(x)$.

For $x \in H$ and for an integer number $n \ge 0$, let us put

$$s_{n}(x) := \sum_{k=n}^{k=-n} F_{k}(x), \qquad \psi_{n}(x) := \frac{s_{0}(x) + s_{1}(x) + \dots + s_{n}(x)}{n+1},$$

$$K_{n}(t) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{(n+1)}{2} t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right)^{2}, \qquad \text{Spec}(x) := \left\{ in : n \in Z, F_{n}(x) \neq 0 \right\} \quad \text{for } x \in H,$$

$$\text{Spec}(H) := \bigcup_{x \neq \theta, x \in H} \text{Spec}(x), \qquad H_{f} := \left\{ x \in H : \text{Spec}(x) \text{ is finite} \right\}.$$

 H_f is a subspace of H.

In the present paper, we assume that Spec(H) is infinite. The case of the finite Spec(H) is investigated easy and it is omitted.

Theorem 1 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi_n(x) = x$ for every $x \in H$ and $\overline{H_f} = H$.

Proof In a standard manner, we obtain the equality

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) \alpha(t) x \, dt.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to 0} \alpha(t)x = x$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < \delta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\|\alpha(t)x - x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$. We have

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{\sin\frac{(n+1)}{2}t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right)^2 \le \frac{1}{(n+1)\sin^2\frac{\delta}{2}}$$

for all $t \in [\delta, \pi]$. Since α is a strongly continuous isometric linear representation, $K_n(t) = K_n(-t)$ for all $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$, $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) dt = 1$ and $\sin \frac{\delta}{2} \leq \sin \frac{t}{2}$ for all $t \in [\delta, \pi)$, it follows that

$$\|\psi_{n}(x) - x\| = \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_{n}(t)\alpha(t)x \, dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_{n}(t)x \, dt \right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{-\delta} \|K_{n}(t)(\alpha(t)x - x)\| \, dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \|K_{n}(t)(\alpha(t)x - x)\| \, dt$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \|K_{n}(t)(\alpha(t)x - x)\| \, dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \|K_{n}(t)\| \|\alpha(t)x - x\| \, dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \|K_{n}(t)\| \|\alpha(t)x - x\| \, dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi (n+1) \sin^{2} \frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \|\alpha(t)x - x\| \, dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K_{n}(t)\varepsilon \, dt$$

$$\leq \frac{2\|x\|}{(n+1) \sin^{2} \frac{\delta}{2}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}.$$

Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi_n(x) = x$. This, in view of $\psi_n(x) \in H_f$ for all n, implies that $\overline{H_f} = H$.

Remark 1 Theorem 1 is known for the homogeneous Banach spaces on T ([6], p.87; [23], pp.14-15). For a strongly continuous linear representation of T in a locally convex space, it is obtained in [10].

Corollary 1 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H and $x, y \in H$. If $F_n(x) = F_n(y)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then x = y.

Proof Since $F_n(x) = F_n(y)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $\psi_n(x) = \psi_n(y)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence Theorem 1 gives x = y. □

Theorem 2 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_n(x) = 0$ for each $x \in H$.

Proof Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\lim_{t \to 0} \alpha(t)x = x$, there exists a natural number $N(\varepsilon)$ such that $\|\alpha(-\frac{\pi}{n})x - x\| < \varepsilon$ for all natural numbers $n \ge N(\varepsilon)$. Since

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi + \frac{\pi}{n}}^{\pi + \frac{\pi}{n}} e^{-int} \alpha(t) x \, dt = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-int} \alpha\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) x \, dt,$$

we have

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-int} \alpha \left(t + \frac{\pi}{n} \right) \left(\alpha \left(-\frac{\pi}{n} \right) x - x \right) dt.$$

So,
$$||F_n(x)|| \le ||\alpha(-\frac{\pi}{n})(x) - x|| < \varepsilon$$
 for all $n \ge N(\varepsilon)$.

Remark 2 This theorem is a generalization of Riemann-Lebesque's lemma ([23], p.13).

3 The operator R_{λ}

Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H.

Definition 5 ([7], p.45) A point $x \in H$ is called a differentiable point of α if there exists

$$Dx := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\alpha(t)x - x}{t} \quad \text{in } H.$$

Denote the set of all differentiable points of α by H(D). The set Spec(H) is called the spectrum of D. The set $C \setminus \text{Spec}(H)$ is called the resolvent set of D.

Proposition 2 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H. Then

- (i) H(D) is a linear subspace of H, $H_f \subset H(D)$ and $\overline{H(D)} = H$;
- (ii) H(D) is $\alpha(T)$ -invariant and $\alpha(t)Dx = D\alpha(t)x$ for all $t \in T$, $x \in H(D)$;
- (iii) $DF_n(x) = F_n(Dx) = inF_n(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in H(D)$.

Proof (i) It is obvious that H(D) is a linear subspace of H. Let $x \in H_f$. Then x can be expressed in the form $\sum_{\ell=-m}^m F_{\ell}(x)$ for some m. Since $F_{\ell}(x) \in H_{\ell}$, we get

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\alpha(t)x - x}{t} = \lim_{t\to 0} \sum_{\ell=-m}^{m} \left(\frac{e^{i\ell t} - 1}{t}\right) F_{\ell}(x) = \sum_{\ell=-m}^{m} i\ell F_{\ell}(x).$$

Hence $x \in H(D)$ and $Dx = \sum_{\ell=-m}^{m} i\ell F_{\ell}(x)$. Therefore $H_f \subset H(D)$. By Theorem 1 $\overline{H_f} = \overline{H(D)} = H$.

(ii) Let $x \in H(D)$. Since $\alpha(t)$ is strongly continuous, we have

$$\alpha(t)Dx = \alpha(t)\lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\alpha(s)x - x}{s} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\alpha(s)\alpha(t)x - \alpha(t)x}{s} = D\alpha(t)x.$$

Hence $\alpha(t)x \in H(D)$ and $\alpha(t)Dx = D\alpha(t)x$.

(iii) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in H(D)$. Using the continuity of F_n and Proposition 1, we get

$$DF_n(x) = F_n(Dx) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{e^{int} F_n(x) - F_n(x)}{t} = inF_n(x).$$

Remark 3 It is easily seen that H = H(D) if and only if Spec(H) is finite.

Definition 6 The operator D is called an infinitesimal generator of a linear representation α (see [7], p.45).

Proposition 3 Let $x \in H(D)$. Then the function $G_x(t) := \alpha(t)x$ is differentiable on T and $G'_x(t) = \alpha(t)G'_x(0) = \alpha(t)Dx$.

Proof Since α is strongly continuous, we have

$$G'_{x}(t) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\alpha(t+s)x - \alpha(t)x}{s} = \alpha(t) \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\alpha(s)x - x}{s} = \alpha(t)Dx.$$

Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H. For any $x \in H$ and $\lambda \in C$, there exists the following vector-valued Riemann's integral:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda t} \left(\int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} \alpha(s) x \, ds \right) dt.$$

We consider linear operators R_{λ} on H defined by

$$R_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{1 - e^{2\pi\lambda}} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda t} \left(\int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} \alpha(s) x \, ds \right) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi (1 - e^{2\pi\lambda})} \int_0^{2\pi} \alpha(t) x \, dt \tag{1}$$

for all $\lambda \in C$ such that $\lambda \neq im$, $m \in Z$ and

$$R_{im}(x) = \frac{1+\pi}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-imt} \alpha(t) x \, dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^t e^{-ims} \alpha(s) x \, ds \right) dt \tag{2}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In Theorem 4(iv) below, we prove that R_{λ} is equal to the resolvent operator of D for every point λ of the resolvent set of D. The operator $R_{im}(x)$ is called the quasi-resolvent operator of D for the point im of the spectrum of D. The operator R_{λ} was introduced in [9, 13].

Theorem 3 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H. Then

- (i) the operator R_{λ} , defined by (1) and (2), is bounded for all $\lambda \in C$;
- (ii)

$$R_{\lambda}(F_n(x)) = F_n(R_{\lambda}(x)) = \frac{1}{in - \lambda} F_n(x)$$
(3)

for all $\lambda \neq in$, $\lambda \in C$, $n \in Z$; (iii)

$$R_{in}(F_n(x)) = F_n(R_{in}(x)) = F_n(x) \tag{4}$$

for all $n \in Z$ and $x \in H$;

(iv)
$$R_{\lambda}R_{\mu}(x) = R_{\mu}R_{\lambda}(x)$$
 for all $x \in H$.

Proof (i) Let $L:=\int_0^{2\pi}\|e^{\lambda t}\|(\int_0^t\|e^{-\lambda s}\|\,ds)\,dt$. Then it is obvious that

$$||R_{\lambda}(x)|| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(||\lambda||L+1)}{||1-e^{2\pi\lambda}||}||x|| & \text{if } \lambda \in C \text{ and } \lambda \neq im, m \in Z, \\ (1+2\pi)||x|| & \text{if } \lambda = im, m \in Z. \end{cases}$$

Therefore R_{λ} is bounded in H for all $\lambda \in C$.

(ii) Let $x \in H_f$, $\lambda \in C$ and $\lambda \neq im$ for all $m \in Z$. Then $x = \sum_{\ell=-k}^k F_{\ell}(x)$ for some k. Since $F_{\ell}(x) \in H_{\ell}$, using Proposition 1, we get

$$R_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{1 - e^{2\pi\lambda}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{\lambda t} \left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda s} \left(\sum_{\ell = -k}^{k} \alpha(s) F_{\ell}(x) \right) ds \right) dt$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi (1 - e^{2\pi\lambda})} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{\ell = -k}^{k} \alpha(t) F_{\ell}(x) \right) dt$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{\lambda}{1 - e^{2\pi\lambda}} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda t} \Biggl(\sum_{\ell = -k}^k \int_0^t e^{(i\ell - \lambda)s} F_\ell(x) \, ds \Biggr) \, dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi (1 - e^{2\pi\lambda})} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{\ell = -k}^k e^{i\ell t} F_\ell(x) \, dt \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{1 - e^{2\pi\lambda}} \sum_{\ell = -k}^k \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\ell t}}{i\ell - \lambda} F_\ell(x) \, dt - \frac{\lambda}{1 - e^{2\pi\lambda}} \sum_{\ell = -k}^k \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{i\ell - \lambda} F_\ell(x) \, dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi (1 - e^{2\pi\lambda})} \sum_{\ell = -k}^k \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\ell t} F_\ell(x) \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{e^{2\pi\lambda} - 1} F_0(x) + \sum_{\ell = -k}^k \frac{1}{i\ell - \lambda} F_\ell(x) - \frac{1}{e^{2\pi\lambda} - 1} F_0(x) = \sum_{\ell = -k}^k \frac{1}{i\ell - \lambda} F_\ell(x). \end{split}$$

Hence, using $F_m \circ F_l = 0$ for $l \neq m$ (Proposition 1), we get $F_n(R_\lambda(x)) = \frac{1}{in-\lambda}F_n(x) = R_\lambda(F_n(x))$. Let x be an arbitrary element of H. By Theorem 1, $\lim_{p\to\infty}\psi_p(x) = x$. Since $\psi_p(x) \in H_f$, we have $F_n(R_\lambda(\psi_p(x))) = \frac{1}{in-\lambda}F_n(\psi_p(x))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, from $\lim_{p\to\infty}\psi_p(x) = x$, using the continuity of operators F_n and R_λ , we get $F_n(R_\lambda(x)) = \frac{1}{in-\lambda}F_n(x) = R_\lambda(F_n(x))$.

Now we prove equality (3) for $\lambda = im$, $m \in Z$, $n \neq m$. Let $x \in H_f$ and $F_m(x) = 0$. Then $x = \sum_{\ell \neq m, \ell = -k}^k F_\ell(x)$ for some $k \in N$ and $\alpha(t)x = \sum_{\ell \neq m, \ell = -k}^k e^{i\ell t} F_\ell(x)$. Hence

$$R_{im}(x) = \frac{1+\pi}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell \neq m, \ell=-k}^{k} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(\ell-m)t} F_{\ell}(x) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{\ell \neq m, \ell=-k}^{k} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(\ell-m)s} F_{\ell}(x) \right) ds$$

$$= \sum_{\ell \neq m, \ell=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i\ell - im} F_{\ell}(x).$$

It implies that

$$R_{im}(F_n(x)) = F_n(R_{im}(x)) = \sum_{\ell \neq m, \ell = -k}^k \frac{1}{i\ell - im} F_n(F_\ell(x))$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{in - im} F_n(x), & n \neq m, ||n|| \leq k, \\ 0, & n = m \text{ or } n > k. \end{cases}$$
(5)

Let $F_m(x) \neq 0$. Then $x = F_m(x) + \sum_{\ell \neq m, \ell = -k}^k F_\ell(x)$ for some $k \in N$. Since $F_m(x - F_m(x)) = 0$, using equalities (5) and (2), we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\ell\neq m,\ell=-k}^k \frac{1}{i\ell-im} F_\ell\big(x-F_m(x)\big) = R_{im}\big(x-F_m(x)\big), \\ &\sum_{\ell\neq m,\ell=-k}^k \frac{1}{i\ell-im} F_\ell(x) \\ &= \frac{1+\pi}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-imt} \alpha(t) \big(x-F_m(t)\big) \, dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^t e^{-ims} \alpha(s) \big(x-F_m(x)\big) \, ds\right) dt \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1+\pi}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-imt} \alpha(t) x \, dt - \frac{1+\pi}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-imt} \alpha(t) F_{m}(x) \, dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-ims} \alpha(s) F_{m}(x) \, ds \right) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-ims} \alpha(s) x \, ds \right) dt \\ &= (1+\pi) F_{m}(x) - (1+\pi) F_{m}(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} t F_{m}(x) \, dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-ims} \alpha(s) x \, ds \right) dt \\ &= \pi F_{m}(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-ims} \alpha(s) x \, ds \right) dt. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\ell \neq m, \ell = -k}^{k} \frac{1}{i\ell - im} F_{\ell}(x) + F_{m}(x) = (1 + \pi) F_{m}(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-ims} \alpha(s)(x) \, ds \right) dt$$
$$= R_{im}(x).$$

This equality implies that

$$R_{im}(F_n(x)) = F_n(R_{im}(x)) = \frac{1}{in - im} F_n(x)$$

$$\tag{6}$$

for all $n, m \in Z$, $n \neq m$, and $R_{im}(F_m(x)) = F_m(R_{im}(x)) = F_m(x)$ for all $m \in Z$, $x \in H_f$. Let x be an arbitrary element of H. By Theorem 1, $\psi_p(x) \in H_f$ and equality (6), we obtain

$$R_{im}(F_n(x)) = F_n(R_{im}(x)) = \lim_{p \to \infty} F_n(R_{im}(\psi_p(x))) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{1}{in - im} F_n(\psi_p(x))$$
$$= \frac{1}{in - im} F_n(x)$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq m, x \in \mathbb{H}$.

- (iii) The proof of equality (4) is similar to the proof of equality (3).
- (iv) Using (3) and (4), we obtain $F_n R_{\lambda} R_{\mu}(x) = F_n R_{\mu} R_{\lambda}(x)$ for $x \in H$, $n \in Z$, $\lambda \in C$, $\mu \in C$. Hence, by Corollary 1, we have $R_{\lambda} R_{\mu}(x) = R_{\mu} R_{\lambda}(x)$ for all $x \in H$, $\lambda \in C$, $\mu \in C$.

Corollary 2 Let $a \in H$, $im \in \text{Spec}(H)$ and $\lambda \in C$. Then $F_m R_{\lambda}(a) = 0$ if and only if $F_m(a) = 0$.

Proof It follows easily from Theorem 3.
$$\Box$$

Proposition 4 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H. Then

(i)
$$R_{\lambda} - R_0 = \lambda(R_{\lambda} \circ R_0) - \frac{1}{\lambda} F_0$$
 for all $\lambda \in C$, $\lambda \neq im$, $m \in Z$;

(ii)
$$R_{im}-R_0=im(R_{im}\circ R_0)-\frac{1}{im}F_0-\frac{1}{im}F_m$$
 for all $m\in Z,\ m\neq 0$.

Proof (i) Let $x \in H$, $\lambda \in C$ and $\lambda \neq im$, $m \in Z$. For $n \neq 0$, using Theorem 4 and $F_nF_0 = 0$, we obtain

$$F_n\left(\lambda R_\lambda \circ R_0(x) - \frac{1}{\lambda}F_0(x)\right) = F_n\left(\lambda R_\lambda \circ R_0(x)\right) - \frac{1}{\lambda}F_n\left(F_0(x)\right) = \frac{\lambda}{in(in-\lambda)}F_n(x)$$
$$= \frac{1}{in-\lambda}F_n(x) - \frac{1}{in}F_n(x) = F_n\left(R_\lambda(x) - R_0(x)\right).$$

Similarly,

$$F_{0}(R_{\lambda}(x) - R_{0}(x)) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}F_{0}(x) - F_{0}(R_{0}(x)) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}F_{0}(F_{0}(x)) - F_{0}(R_{0}(x))$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\lambda}F_{0}(F_{0}(x)) + F_{0}(\lambda R_{\lambda} \circ R_{0}(x))$$

$$= F_{0}(\lambda R_{\lambda} \circ R_{0}(x) - \frac{1}{\lambda}F_{0}(x)).$$

Hence $F_n(R_\lambda(x) - R_0(x)) = F_n(\lambda R_\lambda \circ R_0(x) - \frac{1}{\lambda} F_0(x))$ for every $n \in Z$. By Corollary 1 we have $R_\lambda(x) - R_0(x) = \lambda R_\lambda \circ R_0(x) - \frac{1}{\lambda} F_0(x)$ for all $x \in H$, $\lambda \in C$ and $\lambda \neq im$, $m \in Z$.

A proof of (ii) is similar. \Box

4 The theorem on resolvent and quasi-resolvent operators

Theorem 4 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H. Then

- (i) $H(D) = R_{\lambda}(H)$ for all $\lambda \in C$;
- (ii) $R_{im}(D-im)x = x$ and $(D-im)R_{im}(y) = y$ for all $im \in \text{Spec}(H)$ and $x \in H(D)$, $y \in H$ such that $F_m(x) = F_m(y) = 0$;
- (iii) $R_{\lambda}(D-\lambda)x = x$ and $(D-\lambda)R_{\lambda}(y) = y$ for all $\lambda \in C \setminus \operatorname{Spec}(H)$ and $x \in H(D)$, $y \in H$;
- (iv) $R_{\lambda}(x) = (1 e^{-2\pi\lambda})^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\lambda s} \alpha(s) x \, ds \text{ for all } \lambda \in C \setminus \text{Spec}(H);$
- (v) the spectrum $\sigma(D)$ of D is a point spectrum and $\sigma(D) = \operatorname{Spec}(H)$.

Proof (i) We need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1 $DR_0(x) = x - F_0(x)$ for all $x \in H_f$.

Proof An element $x \in H_f$ has the form $x = \sum_{\ell=-k}^k F_\ell(x)$ for some k. Using Theorem 3 and Proposition 2, we obtain $F_n(DR_0(x)) = F_n(x)$ for all $n \neq 0$ and $F_0(DR_0(x)) = 0$. Hence $DR_0(x) = x - F_0(x)$ for any $x \in H_f$. Lemma is proved.

Lemma 2 Let $x \in H$ such that $F_0(x) = 0$. Then

$$\alpha(t)R_0(x) = \int_0^t \alpha(s)x \, ds + R_0(x). \tag{7}$$

Proof Let us define the functions f_n , $f:[0,2\pi) \to H$ by $f_n(t):=\alpha(t)R_0(\psi_n(x))$ and $f(t):=\alpha(t)R_0(x)$. Since α is a strongly continuous isometric linear representation, we have

$$\|\alpha(t)x - \alpha(t)\psi_n(x)\| = \|x - \psi_n(x)\| \tag{8}$$

and

$$||f(t) - f_n(t)|| = ||R_0(x) - R_0(\psi_n(x))||.$$
 (9)

Equality (8) implies that

$$\left\| \int_0^t \alpha(s) x \, ds - \int_0^t \alpha(s) \psi_n(x) \, ds \right\| \le 2\pi \, \|x - \psi_n(x)\|. \tag{10}$$

Using $F_0(x) = 0$, Proposition 3, Theorem 3, $R_0(\psi_n(x)) \in H_f$, $F_0(\psi_n(x)) = F_0(x)$ and Lemma 1, we get

$$f'_n(t) = (\alpha(t)R_0(\psi_n(x))' = \alpha(t)DR_0(\psi_n(x)) = \alpha(t)(\psi_n(x) - F_0(x)) = \alpha(t)\psi_n(x).$$

Hence

$$f_n(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(s)\psi_n(x) \, ds + C,$$

where $C_n \in H$. Putting t = 0, we obtain $C_n = f_n(0) = \alpha(0)R_0(\psi_n(x)) = R_0(\psi_n(x))$ and

$$f_n(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(s)\psi_n(x) \, ds + R_0\left(\psi_n(x)\right). \tag{11}$$

Using equalities (8), (9), (11) and inequality (10), we obtain

$$\left\| f(t) - \left(\int_0^t \alpha(s)x \, ds + R_0(x) \right) \right\| = \left\| f(t) - f_n(t) + \left(f_n(t) - \int_0^t \alpha(s)x \, ds - R_0(x) \right) \right\|$$

$$\leq \left\| f(t) - f_n(t) \right\| + \left\| \int_0^t \alpha(s) \left(\psi_n(x) - x \right) \, ds \right\|$$

$$+ \left\| R_0 \left(\psi_n(x) - x \right) \right\| \leq 2 \left(\pi + \| R_0 \| \right) \| \psi_n(x) - x \|$$

for all $t \in T$. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi_n(x) = x$, it follows that

$$f(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(s)x \, ds + R_0(x)$$

and Lemma 2 is proved.

We continue the proof of the theorem.

(i) From equality (7) we obtain that the function f(t) is differentiable and $f'(t) = \alpha(t)x$. Using Proposition 3, we have

$$\alpha(t)x = f'(t) = \alpha(t)f'(0) = \alpha(t)\lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\alpha(s)R_0(x) - R_0(x)}{s} = \alpha(t)D(R_0(x)).$$

Hence $R_0(x) \in H(D)$ for all $x \in H$ such that $F_0(x) = 0$. Now let $x \in H$ be an arbitrary element. Since $F_0(x - F_0(x)) = 0$, we have $R_0(x - F_0(x)) \in H(D)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 3 and Proposition 2, $R_0F_0(x) = F_0(x) \in H_0 \subset H(D)$. Since $x = (x - F_0(x)) + F_0(x)$ and H(D) is a linear subspace of H, we get $R_0(x) \in H(D)$. Hence $R_0(H) \subset H(D)$.

Conversely, let $x \in H(D)$. By Proposition 2 and Theorem 3, $Dx \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} inF_n(x)$ and $R_0(Dx) \sim \sum_{n\neq 0, n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_n(x)$. Hence $R_0(Dx) + F_0(x) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_n(x)$. Using Corollary 1 and equality (4), we get $R_0(Dx) + F_0(x) = x$, $R_0(F_0(x)) = F_0(x)$ and $R_0(Dx + F_0(x)) = x$. Let us put $a := Dx + F_0(x)$. Then $R_0(a) = x$. This means that $x \in R_0(H)$, that is, $H(D) \subset R_0(H)$; and consequently $H(D) = R_0(H)$.

Now we prove that $R_0(H) = R_{im}(H)$ for all $m \in Z$. By claim (ii) of Proposition 4 and claim (iv) of Theorem 3, $R_{im}(x) = R_0(x) + imR_0R_{im}(x) - \frac{1}{im}F_0(x) - \frac{1}{im}F_m(x)$. Since $F_0(x) \in H_0$, $F_m(x) \in H_m$ and $H_f \subset H(D) = R_0(H)$, we get $R_{im}(H) \subset R_0(H)$. By Theorem 3, $R_{im}(H_f) = R_0(H)$

 $H_f \subset R_{im}(H)$. Claim (ii) of Proposition 4 implies that $R_0(x) = R_{im}(x) - imR_{im}R_0(x) + \frac{1}{im}F_0(x) + \frac{1}{im}F_m(x)$. Hence $R_0(H) \subset R_{im}(H)$ and $H(D) = R_{im}(H)$. Similarly, using claims (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4, we obtain $H(D) = R_{\lambda}(H)$ for all $\lambda \in C$, $\lambda \neq im$, $m \in Z$. Thus $H(D) = R_{\lambda}(H)$ for all $\lambda \in C$.

(ii) Let $x \in H(D)$, $F_m(x) = 0$. By Proposition 2, $Dx \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} ikF_k(x)$. Hence $Dx - imx \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (ik - im)F_k(x)$. Using $F_m(x) = 0$ and Theorem 3, we get $R_{im}(D - im)x \sim \sum_{k\neq m,k=-\infty}^{\infty} F_k(x)$. By Corollary 1, $R_{im}(D - im)x = x$. Thus $R_{im}(D - im)x = x$ for all $x \in H(D)$ such that $F_m(x) = 0$.

Let $x \in H$, $F_m(x) = 0$. Since $x \sim \sum_{k \neq m, k = -\infty}^{\infty} F_k(x)$, we have $R_{im}(x) \sim \sum_{k \neq m, k = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ik - im} F_k(x)$. According to the statement (i) of this theorem, $R_{im}(x) \in H(D)$. Using Proposition 2, we get $(D - im)R_{im}(x) \sim \sum_{k \neq m, k = -\infty}^{\infty} F_k(x)$, and hence $(D - im)R_{im}(x) = x$. Thus $(D - im)R_{im}(x) = x$ for all $x \in H$ such that $F_m(x) = 0$.

- (iii) The proof of claim (iii) is similar to the one of (ii).
- (iv) Equalities (iii) mean that R_{λ} is the resolvent operator for all $\lambda \in C \setminus \text{Spec}(H)$. Hence equality (iv) follows from the form of the resolvent operator in ([7], Lemma 2.25).
 - (v) follows from (ii) and (iii). The proof of the theorem is completed. \Box

Remark 4 Equality (iv) means that for points λ of the resolvent set of D, R_{λ} is the other form of the resolvent operator of D.

Theorem 5 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H, $a \in H$ and $m \in Z$. Then the equation Dx - imx = a has a solution if and only if $F_m(a) = 0$. In the case $F_m(a) = 0$, a general solution of the equation Dx - imx = a has the form $x = R_{im}(a) + c$, where c is an arbitrary element of H_m .

Proof Suppose that the equation Dx - imx = a has a solution x. By Proposition 2 we have $Dx \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} ikF_k(x)$ and $Dx - imx \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (ik - im)F_k(x)$. Hence $F_m(a) = F_m(Dx - imx) = 0$.

For the converse, we assume that $F_m(a) = 0$. By Theorem 4 we have $(D - im)R_{im}(a) = a$. Therefore $x = R_{im}(a)$ is a solution of the equation Dx - imx = a. Let $y \in H$ be a solution of the equation Dy - imy = 0. Then $Dy - imy \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (ik - im)F_k(y) = \sum_{k\neq m,k=-\infty}^{\infty} (ik - im)F_k(y) = 0$. Hence $F_k(y) = 0$ for all $k \neq m$, that is, $y = F_m(y) \in H_m$. On the other hand, Dy - imy = 0 for all $y \in H_m$. Thus a general solution of the equation Dx - imx = a has the form $x = R_{im}(a) + c$, where c is an arbitrary element of H_m .

Remark 5 This theorem is the theorem on integral for periodic one-parameter groups of operators.

The following theorem is known (see [7], Theorem 2.26)

Theorem 6 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H and $a \in H(D)$. Then the Fourier series of the element a is convergent to a in H.

Proof In a standard manner, we have the equality

$$s_n(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_n(t) \alpha(t) a \, dt$$
, where $D_n(t) := \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})t}{\sin \frac{1}{2}t}$.

Using $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_n(t) dt = 1$, we obtain $s_n(a) - a = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_n(t) (\alpha(t)a - a) dt$. Let us consider the function

$$g(t) := \frac{1}{2tg\frac{t}{2}} - \frac{1}{t}.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to 0} g(t) = 0$, defining g(0) = 0, we obtain that g(t) is a continuous function on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Using the equality

$$D_n(t) = \frac{\sin nt}{t} + g(t)\sin nt + \frac{1}{2}\cos nt,$$

we get

$$s_n(a) - a = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \psi(t) \sin nt \, dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) \sin nt \left(\alpha(t)a - a\right) dt + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos nt \left(\alpha(t)a - a\right) dt, \tag{12}$$

where $\psi(t) := \frac{\alpha(t)a-a}{t}$ for $t \neq 0$ and $\psi(0) := D(a)$. Functions $\psi(t)$, $\alpha(t)a-a$ and $g(t)(\alpha(t)a-a)$ are continuous vector-valued functions on T. So, using Theorem 2 and equality (12), we obtain $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = a$.

Remark 6 Our proof of this theorem differs from that in ([7], Theorem 2.26).

Corollary 3 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T on a Banach space H, x be an arbitrary element of H and $x \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x_k$. Then the series $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ik-\lambda} x_k$ is convergent to $R_{\lambda}(x)$ in Hfor all $\lambda \in C \setminus \operatorname{Spec}(H)$ and the series $x_m + \sum_{k \neq m, k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ik-m} x_k$ is convergent to $R_{im}(x)$ in H for all $im \in \operatorname{Spec}(H)$.

Proof Let $\lambda \in C \setminus \operatorname{Spec}(H)$. According to Theorem 4, we have $R_{\lambda}(x) \in H(D)$. By Theorem 6 and $R_{\lambda}(x) \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ik-\lambda} x_k$, the series $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ik-\lambda} x_k$ is convergent to $R_{\lambda}(x)$ in H. The proof of the second statement is similar.

Corollary 4 Let α be a strongly continuous isometric linear representation of T in a Banach space H and $x \in H$.

- (i) Let $\lambda \in C \setminus \text{Spec}(H)$. Then $x \in H(D)$ if and only if there exists $y \in H$ such that $x = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ik-1} F_k(y)$;
- (ii) Let $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(H)$. Then $x \in H(D)$ if and only if there exists $y \in H$ such that $x = y_m + \sum_{k \neq m, k = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ik im} F_k(y)$.

The proof follows from Theorem 4, Corollary 2 and Proposition 2. \Box

5 Periodic solutions of the linear differential equation P(D)x = a of the nth order with constant coefficients

Let $\alpha(t)$ be a strongly continuous linear representation of T in a Banach space H and D be the infinitesimal generator of α . For $a \in H$, we consider a solution of the linear differential equation

$$P(D)x = a (13)$$

in H, where

$$P(D) = c_0 I + c_1 D + \dots + c_{n-1} D^{n-1} + D^n, \tag{14}$$

 $c_i \in C$, i = 0, ..., n-1, I is the unit operator in H.

Theorem 7 Let P(D) be a linear differential operator (14), $a \in H$, let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ be the roots of the polynomial P(z), and let m_i be the multiplicity of λ_i , $m_1 + \cdots + m_k = n$. Then

- (i) In the case $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \notin \operatorname{Spec}(H)$, for any $a \in H$, there exists the unique solution of equation (13) in H, and it is $x = R_{\lambda_1}^{m_1} \cdots R_{\lambda_n}^{m_k}(a)$.
- (ii) In the case $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \operatorname{Spec}(H)$ (r > 0) and $\lambda_{r+1}, \ldots, \lambda_k \notin \operatorname{Spec}(H)$, a solution of equation (13) exists if and only if

$$F_{i\lambda_1}(a) = \dots = F_{i\lambda_r}(a) = 0. \tag{15}$$

For $a \in H$, satisfying condition (15), a general solution of equation (13) has the form

$$x = R_{\lambda_1}^{m_1} \cdots R_{\lambda_r}^{m_r} R_{\lambda_{r+1}}^{m_{r+1}} \cdots R_{\lambda_n}^{m_k} + b_1 + \cdots + b_r,$$
(16)

where b_i is an arbitrary element of $H_{i\lambda_i}$, i = 1, ..., r.

Proof (i) P(D) may be written in the form $P(D) = (D - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (D - \lambda_n I)$. Then equation (13) has the form

$$(D - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (D - \lambda_n I) x = a. \tag{17}$$

By Theorem 4(iii),

$$R_{\lambda}(D - \lambda I)x = x \tag{18}$$

for all $x \in H(D)$ and $\lambda \notin \operatorname{Spec}(H)$. Using equality (18) to equation (17), we obtain $x = R_{\lambda_1} \cdots R_{\lambda_n} a$.

(ii) Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \operatorname{Spec}(H)$ (r > 0) and $\lambda_{r+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n \notin \operatorname{Spec}(H)$. Using $R_{\lambda_{r+1}}, \ldots, R_{\lambda_n}$ to (17), we obtain

$$(D - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (D - \lambda_r I) x = R_{\lambda_{r,1}} \cdots R_{\lambda_n} a. \tag{19}$$

This equation we can be written in the form

$$(D - \lambda_1 I)^{m_1} \cdots (D - \lambda_k I)^{m_k} x = R_{\lambda_{k+1}} \cdots R_{\lambda_n} a, \tag{20}$$

where $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Lemma 3 Let $a \in H$, $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(H)$ and m > 1. Then the equation

$$(D - \lambda I)^m x = a \tag{21}$$

has a solution if and only if $F_{i\lambda}(a) = 0$. In the case $F_{i\lambda}(a) = 0$, a general solution of this equation is $x = R_{\lambda}^{m}(a) + b$, where b is an arbitrary element of $H_{i\lambda}$.

Proof Put $y = (D - \lambda I)^{m-1}x$. Then equation (21) has the form $(D - \lambda I)y = a$. By Theorem 5 this equation has a solution if and only if $F_{i\lambda}(a) = 0$. In the case $F_{i\lambda}(a) = 0$, a general solution is $y = R_{\lambda}(a) + b_1$, where b_1 is an arbitrary element of $H_{i\lambda}$. Let $F_{i\lambda}(a) = 0$. Then equation (21) reduces to the equation

$$(D - \lambda I)^{m-1} x = R_{\lambda}(a) + b_1. \tag{22}$$

Put $z = (D - \lambda I)^{m-2}x$. Then this equation has the form

$$(D - \lambda I)z = R_{\lambda}(a) + b_1. \tag{23}$$

By Theorem 5, this equation is solvable if and only if $F_{i\lambda}(R_{\lambda}(a) + b_1) = F_{i\lambda}(R_{\lambda}(a)) + F_{i\lambda}(b_1) = F_{i\lambda}(a) + F_{i\lambda}(b_1) = 0$. Therefore a general solution of equation (23) has the form $z = R_{\lambda}^2(a) + b_2$, where b_2 is an arbitrary element of $H_{i\lambda}$. By induction, we obtain that a general solution of equation (21) has the form $x = R_{\lambda}^m(a) + b$, where b is an arbitrary element of $H_{i\lambda}$. The lemma is proved.

By this lemma, a solution of equation (20) reduces to a solution of the equation

$$(D - \lambda_2 I)^{m_2} \cdots (D - \lambda_k I)^{m_k} x = R_{\lambda_1}^{m_1} R_{\lambda_{r+1}} \cdots R_{\lambda_n} a + b_1,$$
 (24)

where b_1 is an arbitrary element of $H_{i\lambda_1}$. Using Lemma 3, by induction we obtain that a general solution of equation (20) has the form (16).

6 Periodic solutions of the system of linear differential equations with constant coefficients

Let $(a_1,...,a_n)$ be a vector-line, where $a_i \in H$, i = 1,...,n. Denote by \top the operator of transposition of a matrix. The $(a_1,...,a_n)^{\top}$ denotes a vector-column of $a_i \in H$, i = 1,...,n. Denote by $H^{\top n}$ the set of all vectors $(a_1,...,a_n)^{\top}$, where $a_i \in H$, i = 1,...,n. For $a = (a_1,...,a_n)^{\top} \in H^{\top n}$ put $Da = (Da_1,...,Da_n)^{\top}$.

We consider the following system of linear differential equations:

$$Dx = Ax + a, (25)$$

where $a \in H^{\top n}$, $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)^{\top}$ and A is a complex $n \times n$ matrix.

Definition 7 Systems Dx = Ax + a and Dy = By + b, where A, B are complex $n \times n$ -matrices, $a, b \in H^{\top n}$ are called equivalent, if there exists a complex $n \times n$ -matrix K such that $\det K \neq 0$, $A = K^{-1}BK$ and $a = K^{-1}b$.

In this case y = Kx.

Proposition 5 Every system (25) is equivalent to the system of the form Dy = By + b, where the matrix B has the Jordan form.

Proof For *A* there exists a complex $n \times n$ -matrix *K* such that $A = K^{-1}BK$, where *B* is the Jordan normal form of *A*. From Dx = Ax + a, we obtain Dy = By + b, where y = Kx and b = Ka.

By this proposition, a solution of system (25) reduces to a solution of the system of the form (25), where B has the Jordan normal form. Let B have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & B_m \end{pmatrix}, \tag{26}$$

where B_j is a Jordan block of the n_j th order, $n_1 + \cdots + n_m = n$. Then a solution of system (25), where B has the form (26), reduces to a solution of the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases}
Du_1 = B_1u_1 + b_1; \\
Du_2 = B_2u_2 + b_2; \\
\vdots \\
Du_m = B_mu_m + b_m,
\end{cases}$$
(27)

where B_j is a Jordan block of the n_j th order, $b_j \in H^{\top n_j}$, $u_j \in H^{\top n_j}$ and $x = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_m)^{\top}$. Therefore a solution of system (27) reduces to a solution of the equation of the form

$$Dx = Gx + b, (28)$$

where *B* is a Jordan block of the *q*th order with eigenvalue λ : $g_i^i = \lambda$, i = 1, ..., q; $g_{i+1}^i = 1$, i = 1, ..., q - 1; $g_i^i = 0$, j - i < 0 and j - i > 1.

Theorem 8 Let Dx = Gx + b be a system of the form (28), where G is a Jordan block of the gth order with eigenvalue λ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_q)^{\top} \in H^{\top q}$. Then

(i) for the case $\lambda \in C \setminus \text{Spec}(H)$, the system has the unique solution $x = (x_1, \dots, x_q)^{\top} \in H^{\top q}$, where:

$$x_1 = R_{\lambda}b_1 + R_{\lambda}^2b_2 + \dots + R_{\lambda}^qb_q;$$

$$x_2 = R_{\lambda}b_2 + R_{\lambda}^2b_3 + \dots + R_{\lambda}^{q-1}b_q;$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_q = R_{\lambda}b_q,$$

(ii) for the case $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(H)$, $\lambda = ip$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the system has a solution if and only if $F_p b_q = 0$,

(iii) for the case $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(H)$, $\lambda = ip$, $p \in Z$ and $F_pb_q = 0$, a general solution $x = (x_1, \dots, x_q)^{\top} \in H^{\top q}$ of the system has the form

$$\begin{cases} x_{1} = \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} R_{ip}^{k}(b_{k} - F_{p}b_{k}) + R_{ip}^{q}(b_{q}) + c; \\ x_{q-r} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} R_{ip}^{k}(b_{q-r-1+k} - F_{p}b_{q-r-1+k}) + R_{ip}^{r+1}(b_{q} - F_{p}b_{q-r-1}), \\ r = 1, \dots, q-2; \\ x_{q} = R_{ip}b_{q} - F_{p}b_{q-1}, \end{cases}$$

$$(29)$$

where c is an arbitrary element of H_n .

Proof System (28) has the form

$$\begin{cases}
Dx_{1} - \lambda x_{1} = x_{2} + b_{1}; \\
Dx_{2} - \lambda x_{2} = x_{3} + b_{2}; \\
\vdots \\
Dx_{q-1} - \lambda x_{q-1} = x_{q} + b_{q-1}; \\
Dx_{q} - \lambda x_{q} = b_{q}.
\end{cases}$$
(30)

Let $\lambda \in C \setminus \text{Spec}(H)$. From (30), using the operator R_{λ} , we obtain

$$x_q = R_{\lambda}b_q$$
, $x_{q-1} = R_{\lambda}b_{q-1} + R_{\lambda}^2b_q$, ..., $x_1 = \sum_{k=1}^q R_{\lambda}^kb_k$.

Let $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(H)$. Then $\lambda = ip$ for some $p \in Z$. By Theorem 5, the equation

$$Dx_a - ipx_a = b_a, (31)$$

is solvable if and only if $F_p b_q = 0$.

Let $F_pb_q=0$. By Theorem 5, a general solution of (31) has the form $x_q=R_{ip}b_q+c_q$, where c_q is an arbitrary element of H_{ip} . In this case, the equation $Dx_{q-1}-ipx_{q-1}=x_q+b_{q-1}$ has the form

$$Dx_{a-1} - ipx_{a-1} = R_{in}b_a + c_a + b_{a-1}. (32)$$

By Theorem 5, this equation has a solution if and only if

$$F_{ip}(R_{ip}b_q + c_q + b_{q-1}) = 0. (33)$$

By $F_{ip}(b_q) = 0$ and Theorem 3(ii), (iii), we have $F_{ip}R_{ip}b_q = R_{ip}F_{ip}b_q = 0$. Hence (33) is equivalent to $F_{ip}(c_q + b_{q-1}) = 0$. Then $F_{ip}c_q = -F_{ipb_{q-1}}$. By $c_q \in H_{ip}$, we obtain $c_q = F_{ip}c_q = -F_{ip}b_{q-1}$. Thus equation (33) is solvable if and only if $c_q = -F_{ip}b_{q-1}$. Then equation (32) has the form $Dx_{q-1} - ipx_{q-1} = (b_{q-1} - F_{ip}b_{q-1}) + R_{ip}b_q$. By Theorem 5, a general solution of this equation is $x_{q-1} = R_{ip}(b_{q-1} - F_{ip}b_{q-1}) + R_{ip}^2b_q + c_{q-1}$, where c_{q-1} is an arbitrary element of H_{ip} . Then the equation $Dx_{q-2} - ipx_{q-2} = x_{q-1} + b_{q-2}$ has the form $Dx_{q-2} - ipx_{q-2} = (b_{q-2} + c_{q-1}) + R_{ip}(b_{q-1} - F_{ip}b_{q-1}) + R_{ip}^2b_q$. As above, this equation is solvable if and only if $c_{q-1} = -F_{ip}b_{q-2}$. Similarly, by induction, we obtain a solution of system (30) in the form (29).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors did not provide this information.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the commission of Scientific Research Projects of Karadeniz Technical University, Project number: 2010.111.3.1/Faculty of Science.

Received: 12 December 2012 Accepted: 7 March 2013 Published: 15 April 2013

References

- 1. Stone, MH: On one-parameter unitary groups in Hilbert space. Ann. Math. 33(2), 643-648 (1932)
- 2. Dunford, N: On one parameter groups of linear transformations. Ann. Math. 39(2), 569-573 (1938)
- Gelfand, I: On one-parametrical groups of operators in a normed space. C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS, N.S. 25, 713-718 (1939)
- 4. Bart, H: Periodic strongly continuous semigroups. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 115, 311-318 (1977)
- 5. Bart, H, Goldberg, S: Characterizations of almost periodic strongly continuous groups and semigroups. Math. Ann. **236**, 105-116 (1978)
- 6. Edwards, R: Fourier Series: a Modern Introduction, Part I. Springer, Berlin (1971)
- 7. Engel, K-J, Nagel, R: One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations. Springer, New York (2000)
- 8. Fukamiya, M: On one-parameter groups of operators. Proc. Imp. Acad. Sci. 16, 262-265 (1940)
- 9. Khadjiev, D: The widest continuous integral. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326, 1101-1115 (2007)
- Khadjiev, D, Aripov, RG: Linear representations of the rotation group of the circle in locally convex spaces. Doklady Acad. Nauk Resp. Uzbekistan 5, 5-8 (1997)
- 11. Khadjiev, D, Çavuş, A: The imbedding theorem for continuous linear representations of the rotation group of the circle in Banach spaces. Doklady Acad. Nauk Resp. Uzbekistan 7, 8-11 (2000)
- 12. Khadjiev, D, Çavuş, A: Fourier series in Banach spaces. In: Lavrent'ev, MM (ed.) III-Posed and Non-Classical Problems of Mathematical Physics and Analysis. Inverse and III-Posed Problems Series, pp. 71-80. Proceedings of the International Conference Samarkand, Uzbekistan. VSP, Utrecht (2003)
- Khadjiev, D, Çavuş, A: The resolvent operator for the infinitesimal generator of a continuous linear representation of the torus in a Banach space. Doklady Acad. Nauk Resp. Uzbekistan N2, 10-13 (2003)
- Khadjiev, D, Karakbaev, U: The description of types of Fourier series in symmetric spaces. Doklady Acad. Nauk Uzbek SSR 7. 11-13 (1991)
- 15. Melnikov, EV: On one-parameter groups of operators in locally convex spaces. Sib. Math. J. 26(6(154)), 167-170 (1985)
- 16. Nagy, B: On Stone's theorem in a Banach space. Acta Sci. Math. **57**(1-4), 207-213 (1993)
- 17. Nathan, DS: One-parameter groups of transformations in abstract vector spaces. Duke Math. J. 1, 518-526 (1935)
- 18. Romanoff, NP: On one-parameter groups of linear transformations. Ann. Math. 48(2), 216-233 (1947)
- 19. Wallen, LJ: One-parameter groups and the characterization of the sine function. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 102(1), 59-60 (1988)
- 20. Yosida, K: On the differentiability and the representation of one-parameter semi-groups of linear operators. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 1, 15-21 (1948)
- 21. Lybich, Yl: Introduction to the Theory of Banach Representations of Groups. Birkhauser, Berlin (1988)
- 22. Lusternik, LA, Sobolev, VJ: Elements of Functional Analysis. Gordon & Breach, New York (1968)
- 23. Katznelson, Y: An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis. Dover, New York (1976)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-172

Cite this article as: Çavuş et al.: On periodic one-parameter groups of linear operators in a Banach space and applications. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 **2013**:172.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com