

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Approximation of homomorphisms and derivations on non-Archimedean random Lie $C^*$ -algebras via fixed point method

Jung Im Kang<sup>1</sup> and Reza Saadati<sup>2\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: [rsaadati@eml.cc](mailto:rsaadati@eml.cc)  
<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, using fixed point methods, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of random homomorphisms in random  $C^*$ -algebras and random Lie  $C^*$ -algebras and of derivations on non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebras and non-Archimedean random Lie  $C^*$ -algebras for an  $m$ -variable additive functional equation.

**MSC:** Primary 39A10; 39B52; 39B72; 46L05; 47H10; 46B03

**Keywords:** additive functional equation; fixed point; non-Archimedean random space; homomorphism in  $C^*$ -algebras and Lie  $C^*$ -algebras; generalized Hyers-Ulam stability; derivation on  $C^*$ -algebras and Lie  $C^*$ -algebras

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

By a *non-Archimedean field* we mean a field  $K$  equipped with a function (valuation)  $|\cdot|$  from  $K$  into  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $|r| = 0$  if and only if  $r = 0$ ,  $|rs| = |r||s|$  and  $|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}$  for all  $r, s \in K$ . Clearly,  $|1| = |-1| = 1$  and  $|n| \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the trivial valuation we mean the mapping  $|\cdot|$  taking everything but 0 into 1 and  $|0| = 0$ . Let  $X$  be a vector space over a field  $K$  with a non-Archimedean non-trivial valuation  $|\cdot|$ . A function  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a *non-Archimedean norm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (ii) for any  $r \in K$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\|$ ;
- (iii) the strong triangle inequality (ultrametric) holds; namely

$$\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a non-Archimedean normed space. From the fact that

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \max\{\|x_{j+1} - x_j\| : m \leq j \leq n-1\} \quad (n > m)$$

holds, a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy if and only if  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$  converges to zero in a non-Archimedean normed space. By a complete non-Archimedean normed space, we mean the one in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

For any nonzero rational number  $x$ , there exists a unique integer  $n_x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x = \frac{a}{b}p^{n_x}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers not divisible by  $p$ . Then  $|x|_p := p^{-n_x}$  defines a non-

Archimedean norm on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to the metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|_p$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , which is called the  $p$ -adic number field.

A non-Archimedean Banach algebra is a complete non-Archimedean algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  which satisfies  $\|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . For more detailed definitions of non-Archimedean Banach algebras, we refer the reader to [1, 2].

If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a non-Archimedean Banach algebra, then an involution on  $\mathcal{U}$  is a mapping  $t \rightarrow t^*$  from  $\mathcal{U}$  into  $\mathcal{U}$  which satisfies

- (i)  $t^{**} = t$  for  $t \in \mathcal{U}$ ;
- (ii)  $(\alpha s + \beta t)^* = \overline{\alpha} s^* + \overline{\beta} t^*$ ;
- (iii)  $(st)^* = t^* s^*$  for  $s, t \in \mathcal{U}$ .

If, in addition,  $\|t^* t\| = \|t\|^2$  for  $t \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  is a non-Archimedean  $C^*$ -algebra.

The stability problem of functional equations was originated from a question of Ulam [3] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Let  $(G_1, *)$  be a group and let  $(G_2, \diamond, d)$  be a metric group (a metric which is defined on a set with a group property) with the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that if a mapping  $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies the inequality  $d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , then there is a homomorphism  $H: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  with  $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in G_1$ ? If the answer is affirmative, we would say that the equation of a homomorphism  $H(x * y) = H(x) \diamond H(y)$  is stable (see also [4–6]).

We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory. Let  $\Omega$  be a set. A function  $d: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a *generalized metric* on  $\Omega$  if  $d$  satisfies

- (1)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in \Omega$ ;
- (3)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem 1.1** [7] *Let  $(\Omega, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space, and let  $J: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  be a contractive mapping with the Lipschitz constant  $L < 1$ . Then for each given element  $x \in \Omega$ , either  $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$  for all nonnegative integers  $n$  or there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that*

- (1)  $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty, \forall n \geq n_0$ ;
- (2) *the sequence  $\{J^n x\}$  converges to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $J$ ;*
- (3)  $y^*$  *is the unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set  $\Gamma = \{y \in \Omega \mid d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$ ;*
- (4)  $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy)$  for all  $y \in \Gamma$ .

In this paper, using the fixed point method, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of homomorphisms and derivations in non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebras and non-Archimedean random Lie  $C^*$ -algebras for the following additive functional equation (see [8]):

$$\sum_{i=1}^m f\left(mx_i + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m x_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i\right) = 2f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m mx_i\right) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2). \tag{1.1}$$

## 2 Random spaces

In the section, we adopt the usual terminology, notations, and conventions of the theory of random normed spaces as in [9–21]. Throughout this paper,  $\Delta^+$  is the space of distribution functions, that is, the space of all mappings  $F: \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $F$  is left-continuous and non-decreasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(0) = 0$  and  $F(+\infty) = 1$ .  $D^+$  is a subset of  $\Delta^+$  consisting of all functions  $F \in \Delta^+$  for which  $l^-F(+\infty) = 1$ , where  $l^-f(x)$  denotes the left

limit of the function  $f$  at the point  $x$ , that is,  $l^-f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x^-} f(t)$ . The space  $\Delta^+$  is partially ordered by the usual point-wise ordering of functions, i.e.,  $F \leq G$  if and only if  $F(t) \leq G(t)$  for all  $t$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The maximal element for  $\Delta^+$  in this order is the distribution function  $\varepsilon_0$  given by

$$\varepsilon_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.1** [20] A mapping  $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous triangular norm (briefly, a continuous  $t$ -norm) if  $T$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $T$  is commutative and associative;
- (b)  $T$  is continuous;
- (c)  $T(a, 1) = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (d)  $T(a, b) \leq T(c, d)$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

Typical examples of continuous  $t$ -norms are  $T_p(a, b) = ab$ ,  $T_M(a, b) = \min(a, b)$  and  $T_L(a, b) = \max(a + b - 1, 0)$  (the Lukasiewicz  $t$ -norm).

**Definition 2.2** [21] A non-Archimedean random normed space (briefly, NA-RN-space) is a triple  $(X, \mu, T)$ , where  $X$  is a vector space,  $T$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm, and  $\mu$  is a mapping from  $X$  into  $D^+$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (RN1)  $\mu_x(t) = \varepsilon_0(t)$  for all  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (RN2)  $\mu_{\alpha x}(t) = \mu_x(\frac{t}{|\alpha|})$  for all  $x \in X, \alpha \neq 0$ ;
- (RN3)  $\mu_{x+y}(t) \geq T(\mu_x(t), \mu_y(t))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and all  $t \geq 0$ .

Every normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  defines a non-Archimedean random normed space  $(X, \mu, T_M)$ , where

$$\mu_x(t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x\|}$$

for all  $t > 0$ , and  $T_M$  is the minimum  $t$ -norm. This space is called the induced random normed space.

**Definition 2.3** [22] A non-Archimedean random normed algebra  $(X, \mu, T, T')$  is a non-Archimedean random normed space  $(X, \mu, T)$  with an algebraic structure such that

- (RN-4)  $\mu_{xy}(t) \geq T'(\mu_x(t), \mu_y(t))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ , in which  $T'$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm.

Every non-Archimedean normed algebra  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  defines a non-Archimedean random normed algebra  $(X, \mu, T_M)$ , where

$$\mu_x(t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x\|}$$

for all  $t > 0$  iff

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\| + t \|y\| + t \|x\| \quad (x, y \in X; t > 0).$$

This space is called an induced non-Archimedean random normed algebra.

**Definition 2.4**

- (1) Let  $(X, \mu, T_M)$  and  $(Y, \mu, T_M)$  be non-Archimedean random normed algebras. An  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *homomorphism* if  $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .
- (2) An  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called a *derivation* if  $f(xy) = f(x)y + xf(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.5** Let  $(\mathcal{U}, \mu, T, T')$  be a non-Archimedean random Banach algebra, then an involution on  $\mathcal{U}$  is a mapping  $u \rightarrow u^*$  from  $\mathcal{U}$  into  $\mathcal{U}$  which satisfies

- (i)  $u^{**} = u$  for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ;
- (ii)  $(\alpha u + \beta v)^* = \bar{\alpha}u^* + \bar{\beta}v^*$ ;
- (iii)  $(uv)^* = v^*u^*$  for  $u, v \in \mathcal{U}$ .

If, in addition,  $\mu_{u^*u}(t) = T'(\mu_u(t), \mu_u(t))$  for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $t > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  is a non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Definition 2.6** Let  $(X, \mu, T)$  be an NA-RN-space.

- (1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be *convergent* to  $x$  in  $X$  if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $\mu_{x_n-x}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$  whenever  $n \geq N$ .
- (2) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is called a *Cauchy sequence* if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $\mu_{x_n-x_{n+1}}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$  whenever  $n \geq m \geq N$ .
- (3) An RN-space  $(X, \mu, T)$  is said to be *complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent to a point in  $X$ .

**3 Stability of homomorphisms and derivations in non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebras**

Throughout this section, assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebra with the norm  $\mu^{\mathcal{A}}$  and that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebra with the norm  $\mu^{\mathcal{B}}$ .

For a given mapping  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , we define

$$D_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_m) := \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda f\left(mx_i + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m x_j\right) + f\left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^m x_i\right) - 2f\left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^m mx_i\right)$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{v \in \mathbb{C} : |v| = 1\}$  and all  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Note that a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is called a *homomorphism* in non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebras if  $H$  satisfies  $H(xy) = H(x)H(y)$  and  $H(x^*) = H(x)^*$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of homomorphisms in non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebras for the functional equation  $D_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a mapping for which there are functions  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow D^+$ ,  $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow D^+$ , and  $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow D^+$  such that  $|m| < 1$  is far from zero and

$$\mu_{D_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_m)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) \geq \varphi_{x_1, \dots, x_m}(t), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\mu_{f(xy)-f(x)f(y)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) \geq \psi_{x,y}(t), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\mu_{f(x^*)-f(x)^*}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) \geq \eta_x(t), \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$ , all  $x_1, \dots, x_m, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ . If there exists an  $L < 1$  such that

$$\varphi_{m x_1, \dots, m x_m}(|m|Lt) \geq \varphi_{x_1, \dots, x_m}(t), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\psi_{m x, m y}(|m|^2Lt) \geq \psi_{x, y}(t), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\eta_{m x}(|m|Lt) \geq \eta_x(t), \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $x, y, x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ , then there exists a unique random homomorphism  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\mu_{f(x)-H(x)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) \geq \varphi_{x, 0, \dots, 0}(|m| - |m|L)t \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof* It follows from (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), and  $L < 1$  that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{m^n x_1, \dots, m^n x_m}(|m|^n t) = 1, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{m^n x, m^n y}(|m|^{2n} t) = 1, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{m^n x}(|m|^n t) = 1, \tag{3.10}$$

for all  $x, y, x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ .

Let us define  $\Omega$  to be the set of all mappings  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and introduce a generalized metric on  $\Omega$  as follows:

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{ k \in (0, \infty) : \mu_{g(x)-h(x)}^{\mathcal{B}}(kt) > \phi_{x, 0, \dots, 0}(t), \forall x \in \mathcal{A}, t > 0 \}.$$

It is easy to show that  $(\Omega, d)$  is a generalized complete metric space (see [23]).

Now, we consider the function  $J : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  defined by  $Jg(x) = \frac{1}{m}g(mx)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g \in \Omega$ . Note that for all  $g, h \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(g, h) < k &\implies \mu_{g(x)-h(x)}^{\mathcal{B}}(kt) > \phi_{x, 0, \dots, 0}(t) \\ &\implies \mu_{\frac{1}{m}g(mx)-\frac{1}{m}h(mx)}^{\mathcal{B}}(kt) > |m|\phi_{m x, 0, \dots, 0}(|m|t) \\ &\implies \mu_{\frac{1}{m}g(mx)-\frac{1}{m}h(mx)}^{\mathcal{B}}(kLt) > \phi_{m x, 0, \dots, 0}(t) \\ &\implies d(Jg, Jh) < kL. \end{aligned}$$

From this it is easy to see that  $d(Jg, Jk) \leq Ld(g, h)$  for all  $g, h \in \Omega$ , that is,  $J$  is a self-function of  $\Omega$  with the Lipschitz constant  $L$ .

Putting  $\mu = 1$ ,  $x = x_1$  and  $x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_m = 0$  in (3.1), we have

$$\mu_{f(mx)-mf(x)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) \geq \phi_{x, 0, \dots, 0}(t)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ . Then

$$\mu_{f(x)-\frac{1}{m}f(mx)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) \geq \phi_{x, 0, \dots, 0}(|m|t)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ , that is,  $d(Jf, f) \leq \frac{1}{|m|} < \infty$ . Now, from the fixed point alternative, it follows that there exists a fixed point  $H$  of  $J$  in  $\Omega$  such that

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|m|^n} f(m^n x) \tag{3.11}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(J^n f, H) = 0$ .

On the other hand, it follows from (3.1), (3.8), and (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{D_\lambda H(x_1, \dots, x_m)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\frac{1}{|m|^n} Df(m^n x_1, \dots, m^n x_m)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{m^n x_1, \dots, m^n x_m}(|m|^n t) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar method to the above, we get  $\lambda H(mx) = H(m\lambda x)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$  and all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus, one can show that the mapping  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

It follows from (3.2), (3.9), and (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{H(xy) - H(x)H(y)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{f(m^{2n} xy) - f(m^n x)f(m^n y)}^{\mathcal{B}}(|m|^{2n} t) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{m^n x, m^n y}(|m|^{2n} t) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . So,  $H(xy) = H(x)H(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus,  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a homomorphism satisfying (3.7) as desired.

Also by (3.3), (3.10), (3.11) and by a similar method, we have  $H(x^*) = H(x)^*$ . □

**Corollary 3.2** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{D_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_m)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) &\geq \frac{t}{t + \theta \cdot (\|x_1\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r + \|x_2\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r + \dots + \|x_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r)}, \\ \mu_{f(xy) - f(x)f(y)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) &\geq \frac{t}{t + \theta \cdot (\|x\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r \cdot \|y\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r)}, \\ \mu_{f(x^*) - f(x)^*}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) &\geq \frac{t}{t + \theta \cdot \|x\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$ , all  $x_1, \dots, x_m, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ . Then there exists a unique homomorphism  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\mu_{f(x) - H(x)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) \geq \frac{(|m| - |m|^r)t}{(|m| - |m|^r)t + \theta |m| - |m|^r \|x\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof* The proof follows from Theorem 3.1. By taking

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{x_1, \dots, x_m}(t) &= \frac{t}{t + \theta \cdot (\|x_1\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r + \|x_2\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r + \dots + \|x_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r)}, \\ \psi_{x, y}(t) &:= \frac{t}{t + \theta \cdot (\|x\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r \cdot \|y\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\eta_x(t) = \frac{t}{t + \theta \cdot \|x\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_m, x, y \in A$ ,  $L = |m|^{r-1}$  and  $t > 0$ , we get the desired result.  $\square$

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of derivations on non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebras for the functional equation  $D_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a mapping for which there are functions  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow D^+$ ,  $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow D^+$ , and  $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow D^+$  such that  $|m| < 1$  is far from zero and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{D_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_m)}^{\mathcal{A}}(t) &\geq \varphi_{x_1, \dots, x_m}(t), \\ \mu_{f(xy) - f(x)y - xf(y)}^{\mathcal{A}}(t) &\geq \psi_{x,y}(t), \\ \mu_{f(x^*) - f(x)^*}^{\mathcal{A}}(t) &\geq \eta_x(t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$  and all  $x_1, \dots, x_m, x, y \in A$  and  $t > 0$ . If there exists an  $L < 1$  such that (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) hold, then there exists a unique derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\mu_{f(x) - \delta(x)}^{\mathcal{A}}(t) \geq \varphi_{x, 0, \dots, 0}((|m| - |m|L)t)$$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $t > 0$ .

#### 4 Stability of homomorphisms and derivations in non-Archimedean Lie $C^*$ -algebras

A non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , endowed with the Lie product

$$[x, y] := \frac{xy - yx}{2}$$

on  $\mathcal{C}$ , is called a *Lie non-Archimedean random  $C^*$ -algebra*.

**Definition 4.1** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be random Lie  $C^*$ -algebras. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is called a *non-Archimedean Lie  $C^*$ -algebra homomorphism* if  $H([x, y]) = [H(x), H(y)]$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Throughout this section, assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a non-Archimedean random Lie  $C^*$ -algebra with the norm  $\mu^{\mathcal{A}}$  and that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a non-Archimedean random Lie  $C^*$ -algebra with the norm  $\mu^{\mathcal{B}}$ .

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of homomorphisms in non-Archimedean random Lie  $C^*$ -algebras for the functional equation  $D_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a mapping for which there are functions  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow D^+$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow D^+$  such that (3.1) and (3.3) hold and*

$$\mu_{f([x,y]) - [f(x), f(y)]}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) \geq \psi_{x,y}(t) \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$ , all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ . If there exists an  $L < 1$  and (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) hold, then there exists a unique homomorphism  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that (3.7) holds.

*Proof* By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can find the mapping  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  given by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(m^n x)}{|m|^n} \tag{4.2}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . It follows from (3.5) and (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{H([x,y])-[H(x),H(y)]}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{f(m^{2n}[x,y])-[f(m^n x),f(m^n y)]}^{\mathcal{B}}(|m|^{2n}t) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{m^n x, m^n y}(|m|^{2n}t) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ , then

$$H([x, y]) = [H(x), H(y)]$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus,  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a Lie  $C^*$ -algebra homomorphism satisfying (3.7), as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3** *Let  $r > 1$  and  $\theta$  be nonnegative real numbers, and let  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{D_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_m)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) &\geq \frac{t}{t + \theta(\|x_1\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r + \|x_2\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r + \dots + \|x_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r)}, \\ \mu_{f([x,y])-[f(x),f(y)]}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) &\geq \frac{t}{t + \theta \cdot \|x\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r \cdot \|y\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r}, \\ \mu_{f(x^*)-f(x)^*}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) &\geq \frac{t}{t + \theta \cdot \|x\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$ , all  $x_1, \dots, x_m, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ . Then there exists a unique homomorphism  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\mu_{f(x)-H(x)}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) \geq \frac{(|m| - |m|^r)t}{(|m| - |m|^r)t + \theta \|x\|_{\mathcal{A}}^r}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof* The proof follows from Theorem 4.2 and a method similar to Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

**Definition 4.4** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a non-Archimedean random Lie  $C^*$ -algebra. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a *Lie derivation* if  $\delta([x, y]) = [\delta(x), y] + [x, \delta(y)]$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of derivations on non-Archimedean random Lie  $C^*$ -algebras for the functional equation  $D_\lambda f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.5** *Let  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a mapping for which there are functions  $\varphi : A^m \rightarrow D^+$  and  $\psi : A^2 \rightarrow D^+$  such that (3.1) and (3.3) hold and*

$$\mu_{f([x,y])-[f(x),y]-[x,f(y)]}^{\mathcal{A}}(t) \geq \psi_{x,y}(t), \tag{4.3}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . If there exists an  $L < 1$  and (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) hold, then there exists a unique Lie derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that (3.7) holds.

*Proof* By the same reasoning as the proof of Theorem 4.2, there exists a unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  satisfying (3.7); the mapping  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is given by

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(m^n x)}{|m|^n} \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

It follows from (3.5) and (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\delta([x,y]) - [\delta(x),y] - [x,\delta(y)]}^{\mathcal{A}}(t) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{f(m^{2n}[x,y]) - [f(m^n x), m^n y] - [m^n x, f(m^n y)]}^{\mathcal{A}}(|m|^{2n}t) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{m^n x, m^n y}(|m|^{2n}t) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t > 0$ , then

$$\delta([x, y]) = [\delta(x), y] + [x, \delta(y)]$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus,  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a Lie derivation satisfying (3.7).  $\square$

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors carried out the proof. All authors conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, KT Daeduk 2 Research Center, 463-1 Jeonmin-Dong, Yuseong-Gu, Daejeon, 305-811, Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Iran University Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 18 April 2012 Accepted: 15 October 2012 Published: 29 October 2012

#### References

- Eshaghi Gordji, M, Alizadeh, Z: Stability and superstability of ring homomorphisms on non-Archimedean Banach algebras. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2011**, Article ID 123656 (2011). doi:10.1155/2011/123656
- Shilkret, N: Non-Archimedean Banach algebras. PhD thesis, Polytechnic University, ProQuest LLC (1968)
- Ulam, SM: A Collection of the Mathematical Problems. Interscience, New York (1960)
- O'Regan, D, Rassias, JM, Saadati, R: Approximations of ternary Jordan homomorphisms and derivations in multi- $C^*$  ternary algebras. *Acta Math. Hung.* **134**(12), 99-114 (2012)
- Rassias, JM: On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings. *J. Funct. Anal.* **46**, 126-130 (1982)
- Rassias, TM: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **72**, 297-300 (1978)
- Diaz, J, Margolis, B: A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **74**, 305-309 (1968)
- Zamani Eskandani, G: On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of an additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **345**(1), 405-409 (2008)
- Chang, SS, Cho, YJ, Kang, SM: *Nonlinear Operator Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*. Nova Science Publishers, New York (2001)
- Cho, YJ, Kang, JI, Saadati, R: Fixed points and stability of additive functional equations on the Banach algebras. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **14**, 1103-1111 (2012)
- Cho, YJ, Park, C, Saadati, R: Functional inequalities in non-Archimedean in Banach spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **60**, 1994-2002 (2010)
- Cho, YJ, Saadati, R, Vahidi, J: Approximation of homomorphisms and derivations on non-Archimedean Lie  $C^*$ -algebras via fixed point method. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2012**, Article ID 373904 (2012)

13. Azadi Kenary, H, Jang, SY, Park, C: A fixed point approach to the Hyers-Ulam stability of a functional equation in various normed spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, 67 (2011)
14. Azadi Kenary, H, Rezaei, H, Talebzadeh, S, Park, C: Stability for the Jensen equation in  $C^*$ -algebras: a fixed point alternative approach. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, 17 (2012)
15. Miheţ, D: The probabilistic stability for a functional equation in a single variable. *Acta Math. Hung.* **123**, 249-256 (2009)
16. Miheţ, D: The fixed point method for fuzzy stability of the Jensen functional equation. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **160**, 1663-1667 (2009)
17. Miheţ, D, Radu, V: On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343**, 567-572 (2008)
18. Najati, A, Cho, YJ: Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the pexiderized Cauchy functional equation in non-Archimedean spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 309026 (2011)
19. Park, C, Cho, YJ, Kenary, HA: Orthogonal stability of a generalized quadratic functional equation in non-Archimedean spaces. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **14**, 526-535 (2012)
20. Schweizer, B, Sklar, A: *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*. North-Holland, New York (1983)
21. Sherstnev, AN: On the notion of a random normed space. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **149**, 280-283 (1963) (in Russian)
22. Mirmostafaei, AK: Perturbation of generalized derivations in fuzzy Menger normed algebras. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **195**, 109-117 (2012)
23. Cădariu, L, Radu, V: Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4**(1), Art. 4 (2003)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-251

**Cite this article as:** Kang and Saadati: Approximation of homomorphisms and derivations on non-Archimedean random Lie  $C^*$ -algebras via fixed point method. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2012 **2012**:251.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---