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#### Abstract
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## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a complex Banach *-algebra. A $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $\delta: D(\delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ if $\delta(a b)=\delta(a)+b+a \delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, where $D(\delta)$ is a domain of $\delta$ and $D(\delta)$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$. If $\delta$ satisfies the additional condition $\delta\left(a^{*}\right)=\delta(a)^{*}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\delta$ is called a ${ }^{*}$-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$. It is well known that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a $C^{*}$ algebra and $D(\delta)$ is $\mathcal{A}$, then the derivation $\delta$ is bounded.
A $C^{*}$-dynamical system is a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathrm{G}, \alpha)$ consisting of a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, a locally compact group $G$, and a pointwise norm continuous homomorphism $\alpha$ of $G$ into the $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ of ${ }^{*}$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$. Every bounded ${ }^{*}$-derivation $\delta$ arises as an infinitesimal generator of a dynamical system for $\mathbb{R}$. In fact, if $\delta$ is a bounded ${ }^{*}$-derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, then there exists an element $h$ in the enveloping von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
\delta(x)=a d_{i h}(x)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
If, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_{t}$ is defined by $\alpha_{t}(x)=e^{i}$ th $x e^{-i}$ th for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\alpha_{t}$ is a *-automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ induced by unitaries $U_{t}=e^{i}$ th for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The action $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A}), t \rightarrow \alpha_{t}$, is a strongly continuous one-parameter group of *-automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$. For several reasons, the theory of bounded derivations of $C^{*}$-algebras is important in the quantumn mechanics (see [1-3]).

A functional equation is called stable if any function satisfying the functional equation "approximately" is near to a true solution of the functional equation. We say that a functional equation is superstable if every approximate solution is an exact solution of it (see [4]).

In 1940, Ulam [5] proposed the following question concerning stability of group homomorphisms: under what condition does there exist an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping? Hyers [6] answered the problem of Ulam for the
case where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are Banach spaces. A generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for an approximately linear mapping was given by Rassias [7]. Since then, the stability problems of various functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (see [8-19]). In particular, those of the important functional equations are the following functional equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)  \tag{1.1}\\
& 2 f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)=f(x)+f(y) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

which are called the Cauchy functional equation and the Jensen functional equation, respectively. The function $f(x)=b x$ is a solution of these functional equations. Every solution of the functional equations (1.1) and (1.2) is said to be an additive mapping.
In this paper, we introduce functional equations of *-derivations and of quadratic *-derivations. we prove the stability of *-derivations associated with the Cauchy functional equation and the Jensen functional equation and of quadratic *-derivations on Banach *-algebra. We moreover prove the superstability of *-derivations and of quadratic *-derivations on $C^{*}$-algebras.

## 2 Stability of *-derivations on Banach *-algebras

In this section, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach *-algebra. We prove the stability of *-derivations on $\mathcal{A}$.
Theorem 2.1 Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with $f(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^{4} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\varphi}(a, b, c, d):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \varphi\left(2^{n} a, 2^{n} b, 2^{n} c, 2^{n} d\right)<\infty  \tag{2.1}\\
& \|f(\lambda a+b+c d)-\lambda f(a)-f(b)-f(c) d-c f(d)\| \leq \varphi(a, b, c, d)  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left\|f\left(a^{*}\right)-f(a)^{*}\right\| \leq \varphi(a, a, a, a) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}:=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:|\lambda|=1\}$ and all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique *-derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0,0) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. Setting $a=b, c=d=0$ and $\lambda=1$ in (2.2), we have

$$
\|f(2 a)-2 f(a)\| \leq \varphi(a, a, 0,0)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. One can use induction to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f\left(2^{n} a\right)}{2^{n}}-\frac{f\left(2^{m} a\right)}{2^{m}}\right\|=\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \varphi\left(2^{k} a, 2^{k} a, 0,0\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n>m \geq 0$ and all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (2.5) and (2.1) that the sequence $\left\{\frac{f\left(2^{n} a\right)}{2^{n}}\right\}$ is Cauchy. Due to the completeness of $\mathcal{A}$, this sequence is convergent. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(a):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n} a\right)}{2^{n}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}} a\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \frac{f\left(2^{n-k} a\right)}{2^{n-k}}=\frac{1}{2^{k}} \delta(a) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Putting $c=d=0$ and replacing $a$ and $b$ by $2^{n} a$ and $2^{n} b$, respectively, in (2.2), we get

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{2^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(\lambda a+b)\right)-\lambda \frac{1}{2^{n}} f\left(2^{n} a\right)-\frac{1}{2^{n}} f\left(2^{n} b\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi\left(2^{n} a, 2^{n} b, 0,0\right)
$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\lambda a+b)=\lambda \delta(a)+\delta(b) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Putting $a=b=0$ and replacing $c$ and $d$ by $2^{n} c$ and $2^{n} d$, respectively, in (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{1}{2^{2 n}} f\left(2^{2 n} c d\right)-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}} f\left(2^{n} c\right)\left(2^{n} d\right)-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\left(2^{n} c\right) f\left(2^{n} d\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2^{2 n}} \varphi\left(0,0,2^{n} c, 2^{n} d\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi\left(0,0,2^{n} c, 2^{n} d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(c d)=\delta(c) d+c \delta(d) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $c, d \in \mathcal{A}$.
Next, let $\lambda=\lambda_{1}+\mathrm{i} \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\gamma_{1}=\lambda_{1}-\left[\lambda_{1}\right]$ and $\gamma_{2}=\lambda_{2}-\left[\lambda_{2}\right]$, where [ $\lambda$ ] denotes the integer part of $\lambda$. Then, $0 \leq \gamma_{1}<1(1 \leq i \leq 2)$. One can represent $\gamma_{i}$ as $\gamma_{i}=\frac{\lambda_{i, 1}+\lambda_{i, 2}}{2}$ such that $\lambda_{i, j} \in \mathbb{T}(1 \leq i, j \leq 2)$. From (2.7) and (2.8), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(\lambda a) & =\delta\left(\lambda_{1} a\right)+\mathrm{i} \delta\left(\lambda_{2} a\right) \\
& =\left(\left[\lambda_{1}\right] \delta(a)+\delta\left(\gamma_{1} a\right)\right)+\mathrm{i}\left(\left[\lambda_{2}\right] \delta(a)+\delta\left(\gamma_{2} a\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left[\lambda_{1}\right] \delta(a)+\frac{1}{2} \delta\left(\lambda_{1,1} a+\lambda_{1,2} a\right)\right)+\mathrm{i}\left(\left[\lambda_{2}\right] \delta(a)+\frac{1}{2} \delta\left(\lambda_{2,1} a+\lambda_{2,2} a\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left[\lambda_{1}\right] \delta(a)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1,1} \delta(a)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1,2} \delta(a)\right)+\mathrm{i}\left(\left[\lambda_{2}\right] \delta(a)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2,1} \delta(a)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2,2} \delta(a)\right) \\
& =\lambda_{1} \delta(a)+\mathrm{i} \lambda_{2} \delta(a)=\lambda \delta(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $\delta$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, and so it is a derivation on $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, it follows from (2.5) with $m=0$ and (2.6) that $\|\delta(a)-f(a)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0,0)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. It is well known that the additive mapping $\delta$ satisfying (2.4) is unique (see [3] or [19]). Replacing $a$ and $a^{*}$ by $2^{n} a$ and $2^{n} a^{*}$, respectively, in (2.3), we get

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{2^{n}} f\left(2^{n} a^{*}\right)-\frac{1}{2^{n}} f\left(2^{n} a\right)^{*}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi\left(2^{n} a, 2^{n} a, 2^{n} a, 2^{n} a\right)
$$

Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get the $\delta\left(a^{*}\right)=\delta(a)^{*}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. So $\delta$ is a *-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$, as desired. $\square$
Corollary 2.2 Let $\varepsilon$, $p$ be positive real numbers with $p<1$. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|f(\lambda a+b+c d)-\lambda f(a)-f(b)-c f(d)-f(c) d\| \leq \varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}+\|c\|^{p}+\|d\|^{p}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
& \left\|f\left(a^{*}\right)-f(a)^{*}\right\| \leq 4 \varepsilon\|a\|^{p} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique ${ }^{*}$-derivation $\delta$ on $\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{2-2^{p}}\|a\|^{p_{\text {satisfying }}}$

$$
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{2-2^{p}}\|a\|^{p}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. Putting $\phi(a, b, c, d)=\varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}+\|c\|^{p}+\|\left. d\right|^{p}\right)$ in Theorem 2.1, we get the desired result. $\square$

Similarly, we can obtain the following. We will omit the proof.
Theorem 2.3 Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with $f(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^{4} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.2), (2.3) and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2 n-1} \varphi\left(\frac{a}{2^{n}}, \frac{b}{2^{n}}, \frac{c}{2^{n}}, \frac{d}{2^{n}}\right)<\infty
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique ${ }^{*}$-derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0,0)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where

$$
\tilde{\varphi}(a, b, c, d):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n-1} \varphi\left(\frac{a}{2^{n}}, \frac{b}{2^{n}}, \frac{c}{2^{n}}, \frac{d}{2^{n}}\right)
$$

Corollary 2.4 Let $\varepsilon$, $p$ be positive real numbers with $p>2$. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping satisfying (2.10) and (2.11). Then there exists a unique *-derivation $\delta$ on $\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{2^{p}-2}\|a\|^{p_{\text {satisfying }}}$

$$
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{2^{p}-2}\|a\|^{p}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. Putting $\phi(a, b, c, d)=\varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}+\|c\|^{p}+\|\left. d\right|^{p}\right)$ in Theorem 2.3, we get the desired result.

## 3 Stability of *-derivations associated with the Jensen functional equation

The stability of the Jensen functional equation has been studied first by Kominek and then by several other mathematicians (see [11,20]).

In this section, we study the stability of "-derivation associated with the Jensen functional equation in a Banach *-algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach "-algebra. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with $f(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\varphi}(a, b):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{n}} \varphi\left(3^{n} a, 3^{n} b\right)<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|2 f\left(\frac{\lambda a+\lambda b}{2}\right)-\lambda f(a)-\lambda f(b)\right\| \leq \varphi(a, b)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left\|f\left(a^{*}\right)-f(a)^{*}\right\| \leq \varphi(a, a)  \tag{3.3}\\
& \|f(a b)-a f(b)-f(a) b\| \leq \varphi(a, b) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Then there exists a unique ${ }^{*}$-derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{3}(\tilde{\varphi}(a,-a)+\tilde{\varphi}(-a, 3 a)) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. Letting $\lambda=1$ and $b=-a$ in (3.2), we get

$$
\|-f(a)-f(-a)\| \leq \varphi(a,-a)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Letting $\lambda=1$ and replacing $a$ and $b$ by $-a$ and $3 a$, respectively, in (3.2), we get

$$
\|2 f(a)-f(-a)-f(3 a)\| \leq \varphi(-a, 3 a)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f(a)-\frac{1}{3} f(3 a)\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{3}(\|f(a)+f(-a)\|+\|2 f(a)-f(-a)-f(3 a)\|) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{3}(\varphi(a,-a)+\varphi(-a, 3 a))
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{1}{3^{n}} f\left(3^{n} a\right)-\frac{1}{3^{m}} f\left(3^{m} a\right)\right\| & \leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1}\left\|\frac{1}{3^{j}} f\left(3^{j} a\right)-\frac{1}{3^{j+1}} f\left(3^{j+1} a\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{3^{j}}\left(\varphi\left(3^{j} a,-3^{j} a\right)+\varphi\left(-3^{j} a, 3^{j+1} a\right)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $n, m$ with $n>m$ and all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (3.6) that the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{3^{n}} f\left(3^{n} a\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is complete, the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{3^{n}} f\left(3^{n} a\right)\right\}$ is convergent. So one can define the mapping $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$
\delta(a)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^{n}} f\left(3^{n} a\right)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. By (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|2 \delta\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\delta(a)-\delta(b)\right\| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^{n}}\left\|2 f\left(3^{n} \frac{a+b}{2}\right)-f\left(3^{n} a\right)-f\left(3^{n} b\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^{n}} \varphi\left(3^{n} a, 3^{n} b\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \delta\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)=\delta(a)+\delta(b) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $f(0)=0$, we have $\delta(0)=0$. Putting $b=0$ in (3.7), we get $2 \delta\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)=\delta(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and therefore $\delta(a)+\delta(b)=2 \delta\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)=\delta(a+b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, letting $m=0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6), we get (3.5).

Replacing both $a$ and $b$ in (3.2) by $3^{n} a$ and then dividing both sides of the obtained inequality by $3^{n}$, we get

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{3^{n}} f\left(\lambda 3^{n} a\right)-\frac{\lambda}{3^{n}} f\left(3^{n} a\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{3^{n}} \varphi\left(3^{n} a, 3^{n} a\right) .
$$

Passing the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\delta(\lambda a)=\lambda \delta(a)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{\mathbb { T }}$. Thus we can get $\delta(\lambda a)$ $=\lambda \delta(a)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ by the similar discussion in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Replacing $a$ in (3.3) by $3^{n} a$ and then dividing the both sides of the obtained inequality by $3^{\text {n }}$, we get

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{3^{n}} f\left(3^{n} a^{*}\right)-\frac{1}{3^{n}} f\left(3^{n} a\right)^{*}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{3^{n}} \varphi\left(3^{n} a, 3^{n} a\right) .
$$

Passing the limit as $n$ tends to infinity, we get $\delta\left(a^{*}\right)=\delta(a)^{*}$.
Similarly, replacing $a$ and $b$ in (3.4) by $3^{n} a$ and $3^{n} b$, respectively, we get

$$
\left\|\frac{f\left(3^{2 n} a b\right)}{3^{2 n}}-\frac{3^{n} a f\left(3^{n} b\right)}{3^{2 n}}-\frac{f\left(3^{n} a\right)\left(3^{n} b\right)}{3^{2 n}}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{3^{2 n}} \varphi\left(3^{n} a, 3^{n} b\right) \leq \frac{1}{3^{n}} \varphi\left(3^{n} a, 3^{n} b\right)
$$

which tends to zero, as $n$ tends to $\infty$. So we get $\delta(a b)=\delta(a) d+a \delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $\delta$ is a ${ }^{*}$-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$.

Corollary 3.2 Let $\varepsilon$, $p$ be positive real numbers with $p<1$. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|2 f\left(\frac{\lambda a+\lambda b}{2}\right)-\lambda f(a)-\lambda f(b)\right\| \leq \varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}\right),  \tag{3.8}\\
& \left\|f\left(a^{*}\right)-f(a)^{*}\right\| \leq 2 \varepsilon\|a\|^{p}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \|f(a b)-a f(b)-f(a) b\| \leq \varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique *-derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{3+3^{p}}{3-3^{p}} \varepsilon\|a\|^{p}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. Putting $\phi(a, b)=\varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|\left. b\right|^{p}\right)$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the desired result. $\square$ Similarly, we can obtain the following. We will omit the proof.
Theorem 3.3 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach *-algebra. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with $f(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{2^{p}-2}\|a\|^{p}$ satisfying (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3^{2 n} \varphi\left(\frac{a}{3^{n}}, \frac{b}{3^{n}}\right)<\infty
$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique "-derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{3}(\tilde{\varphi}(a,-a)+\tilde{\varphi}(-a, 3 a))
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}(a, b):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3^{n} \varphi\left(\frac{a}{3^{n^{\prime}}}, \frac{b}{3^{n}}\right) .
$$

Corollary 3.4 Let $\varepsilon$, $p$ be positive real numbers with $p>2$. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow$ Ais a mapping satisfying (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10). Then there exists a unique *-derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{3^{p}+3}{3^{p}-3} \varepsilon\|a\|^{p}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. Putting $\phi(a, b)=\varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}\right)$ in Theorem 3.3, we get the desired result. $\square$

## 4 Stability of quadratic *-derivations on Banach *-algebras

In this section, we prove the stability of quadratic *-derivations on a Banach "-algebra $\mathcal{A}$.
Definition 4.1 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a"-normed algebra. A mapping $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a quadratic "-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ if $\delta$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) $\delta$ is a quadratic mapping,
(2) $\delta$ is quadratic homogeneous, that is, $\delta(\lambda a)=\lambda^{2} \delta(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,
(3) $\delta(a b)=\delta(a) b^{2}+a^{2} \delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,
(4) $\delta\left(a^{*}\right)=\delta(a)^{*}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 4.2 Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with $f(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^{4} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\varphi}(a, b, c, d):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{k}} \varphi\left(2^{k} a, 2^{k} b, 2^{k} c, 2^{k} d\right)<\infty, \\
& \left\|f(\lambda a+\lambda b+c d)+f(\lambda a-\lambda b+c d)-2 \lambda^{2} f(a)-2 \lambda^{2} f(b)-2 f(c) d^{2}-2 c^{2} f(d)\right\|_{(4.1)} \\
& \quad \leq \varphi(a, b, c, d)  \tag{4.2}\\
& \left\|f\left(a^{*}\right)-f(a)^{*}\right\| \leq \varphi(a, a, a, a)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Also, if for each fixed $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the mapping $t \rightarrow f(t a)$ from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous, then there exists a unique quadratic ${ }^{*}$-derivation $\delta$ on $\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0,0)$ satisfying

$$
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0,0)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Putting $a=b, c=d=0$, and $\lambda=1$ in (4.1), we have

$$
\|f(2 a)-4 f(a)\| \leq \varphi(a, a, 0,0)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. One can use induction to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f\left(2^{n} a\right)}{4^{n}}-\frac{f\left(2^{m} a\right)}{4^{m}}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{\varphi\left(2^{k} a, 2^{k} a, 0,0\right)}{4^{k}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n>m \geq 0$ and all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (4.3) that the sequence $\left\{\frac{f\left(2^{n} a\right)}{4^{n}}\right\}$ is Cauchy. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is complete, this sequence is convergent. Define

$$
\delta(a):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n} a\right)}{4^{n}}
$$

Since $f(0)=0$, we have $\delta(0)=0$. Replacing $a$ and $b$ by $2^{n} a$ and $2^{n} b, c=d=0$, respectively, in (4.1), we get

$$
\left\|\frac{f\left(2^{n}(\lambda a+\lambda b)\right)}{4^{n}}+\frac{f\left(2^{n}(\lambda a-\lambda b)\right)}{4^{n}}-2 \lambda^{2} \frac{f\left(2^{n} a\right)}{4^{n}}-2 \lambda^{2} \frac{f\left(2^{n} b\right)}{4^{n}}\right\| \leq \frac{\varphi\left(2^{n} a, 2^{n} b, 0,0\right)}{4^{n}} .
$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\lambda a+\lambda b)+\delta(\lambda a-\lambda b)=2 \lambda^{2} \delta(a)+2 \lambda^{2} \delta(b) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Putting $\lambda=1$ in (4.4), we obtain that $\delta$ is a quadratic mapping. Setting $b:=a$ in (4.4), we get

$$
\delta(2 \lambda a)=4 \lambda^{2} \delta(a)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Hence,

$$
\delta(\lambda a)=\lambda^{2} \delta(a)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Under the assumption that $f(t a)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $a \in \mathcal{A}$, by the same reasoning as in the proof of [10], we obtain that $\delta(\lambda a)=\lambda^{2} \delta(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$
\delta(\lambda a)=\delta\left(\frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|}|\lambda| a\right)=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{|\lambda|^{2}} \delta(|\lambda| a)=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{|\lambda|^{2}}|\lambda|^{2} \delta(a)=\lambda^{2} \delta(a)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(\lambda \neq 0)$. This means that $\delta$ is quadratic homogeneous.
Replacing $c$ and $d$ by $2^{n} c$ and $2^{n} d$, respectively, and putting $a=b=0$ in (4.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{f\left(2^{n} c \cdot 2^{n} d\right)}{4^{2 n}}+\frac{f\left(2^{n} c \cdot 2^{n} d\right)}{4^{2 n}}-2 \frac{2^{2 n} c^{2} f\left(2^{n} d\right)}{4^{2 n}}-2 \frac{f\left(2^{n} c\right) 2^{2 n} d^{2}}{4^{2 n}}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\frac{f\left(2^{2 n} c d\right)}{4^{2 n}}+\frac{f\left(2^{2 n} c d\right)}{4^{2 n}}-2 \frac{2^{2 n} c^{2}}{2^{2 n}} \frac{f\left(2^{n} d\right)}{4^{n}}-2 \frac{f\left(2^{n} c\right)}{4^{n}} \frac{2^{2 n} d^{2}}{2^{2 n}}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\varphi\left(0,0,2^{n} c, 2^{n} d\right)}{4^{2 n}} \leq \frac{\varphi\left(0,0,2^{n} c, 2^{n} d\right)}{4^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $c, d \in \mathcal{A}$.

Hence, we have

$$
\left\|\delta(c d)-c^{2} \delta(d)-\delta(c) d^{2}\right\| \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi\left(0,0,2^{n} c, 2^{n} d\right)}{4^{n}}=0
$$

Thus, $\delta$ is a quadratic *-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$.
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. $\square$
Corollary 4.3 Let $\varepsilon$, $p$ be positive real numbers with $p<2$. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f(\lambda a+\lambda b+c d)+f(\lambda a-\lambda b+c d)-2 \lambda^{2} f(a)-2 \lambda^{2} f(b)-2 c^{2} f(d)-2 f(c) d^{2}\right\|_{(4.5)}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}+\|c\|^{p}+\|d\|^{p}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Also, if for each fixed $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the mapping $t \rightarrow f(t a)$ is continuous, then there exists a unique derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{4-2^{p}}\|a\|^{p}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. Putting $\phi(a, b, c, d)=\varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}+\|c\|^{p}+\|d\|^{p}\right)$ in Theorem 4.2, we get the desired result.

Similarly, we can obtain the following. We will omit the proof.
Theorem 4.4 Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping with $f(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^{4} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (4.1), (4.2) and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^{2 k} \varphi\left(\frac{a}{2^{k}}, \frac{b}{2^{k}}, \frac{c}{2^{k}}, \frac{d}{2^{k}}\right)<\infty
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$. Also, if for each fixed $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the mapping $t \rightarrow f(t a)$ from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous, then there exists a unique quadratic ${ }^{*}$-derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0,0)
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where

$$
\tilde{\varphi}(a, b, c, d):=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^{k} \varphi\left(\frac{a}{2^{k}}, \frac{b}{2^{k}}, \frac{c}{2^{k}}, \frac{d}{2^{k}}\right)
$$

Corollary 4.5 Let $\varepsilon$, $p$ be positive real numbers with $p>4$. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping satisfying (4.5). Also, if for each fixed $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the mapping $t \rightarrow f(t a)$ is continuous, then there exists a unique derivation $\delta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
\|f(a)-\delta(a)\| \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{2^{p}-4}\|a\|^{p}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. Putting $\phi(a, b, c, d)=\varepsilon\left(\|a\|^{p}+\|b\|^{p}+\|c\|^{p}+\|d\|^{p}\right)$ in Theorem 4.4, we get the desired result.

## 5 Superstability of *-derivations and of quadratic *-derivations On C*algebras

We prove the superstability of *-derivations and of quadratic *-derivations on $C^{*}$-algebras. More precisely, we introduce the concept of $(\psi, \varepsilon)$-approximate *-derivations and of ( $\psi, \varepsilon$ )-approximate quadratic *-derivations on $C^{*}$-algebras and show that every ( $\psi$, $\varepsilon$ )-approximate ${ }^{*}$-derivation is a ${ }^{*}$-derivation and that every $(\psi, \varepsilon)$-approximate quadratic *-derivation is a quadratic *-derivation. Thus, we extend the results of [21].
Definition 5.1 Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is $a *$-normed algebra and $s \in\{1,-1\}$. Let $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a mapping for which there exist a mapping $\varepsilon: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and a function $\psi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-s} \psi\left(n^{s} a, b\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-s} \psi\left(a, n^{s} b\right)=0(a, b \in \mathcal{A}) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|a \delta(b)-\varepsilon(a) b\| \leq \psi(a, b) \\
& \|\varepsilon(a) c d-a(\delta(c) d-c \delta(d))\| \leq \psi(a, c d) \\
& \left\|a \delta(b)^{*}-\varepsilon(a) b^{*}\right\| \leq \psi(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\delta$ is called a $(\psi, \varepsilon)$-approximate *-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$.
Theorem 5.2 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra. Then any $(\psi, \varepsilon)$-approximate *-derivation $\delta$ on Ais $a^{*}$-derivation.

Proof. We assume that (5.1) holds. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|b(\delta(\lambda a)-\lambda \delta(a))\| & \leq n^{-s}\left\|n^{s} b \delta(\lambda a)-\lambda n^{s} b \delta(a)\right\| \\
& \leq n^{-s}\left\|n^{s} b \delta(\lambda a)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} b\right) \lambda a\right\|+n^{-s}\left\|\varepsilon\left(n^{s} b\right) \lambda a-\lambda n^{s} b \delta(a)\right\| \\
& \leq n^{-s} \psi\left(n^{s} b, \lambda a\right)+n^{-s}|\lambda| \psi\left(n^{s} b, a\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so $b(\delta(\lambda a)-\lambda \delta(a))=0$ for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be an approximate unit of $\mathcal{A}$. If we replace $b$ with $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, then we have

$$
\left\|e_{i}(\delta(\lambda a)-\lambda \delta(a))\right\|=0
$$

for all $i \in I$. So we conclude that $\delta(\lambda a)=\lambda \delta(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
The additivity of $\delta$ follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|c(\delta(a+b)-\delta(a)-\delta(b))\| \\
&\left.\left.\leq n^{-s}\left\|n^{s} c \delta(a+b)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} c\right)(a+b)\right\|+n^{-s} \| n^{s} c \delta(a)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} c\right) a\right)\left\|+n^{-s}\right\| n^{s} c \delta(b)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} c\right) b\right) \\
& \leq n^{-s} \psi\left(n^{s} c, a+b\right)+n^{-s} \psi\left(n^{s} c, a\right)+n^{-s} \psi\left(n^{s} c, b\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the same process, using the approximate unit of $\mathcal{A}$, we have that $\delta(a+b)-\delta(a)$ $-\delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

The following computation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| & z(\delta(a b)-\delta(a) b-a \delta(b)) \| \\
& \leq n^{-s}\left\|n^{s} z \delta(a b)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} z\right)(a b)\right\|+n^{-s}\left\|\varepsilon\left(n^{s} z\right) a b-n^{s} z(\delta(a) b+a \delta(b))\right\| \\
& \leq n^{-s} \psi\left(n^{s} z, a b\right)+n^{-s} \psi\left(n^{s} z, a b\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

yields that $\delta(a b)=\delta(a) b+a \delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Finally, on the involution, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z\left(\delta\left(a^{*}\right)-\delta(a)^{*}\right)\right\| \leq & n^{-s}\left\|n^{s} z \delta\left(a^{*}\right)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} z\right) a^{*}\right\| \\
& +n^{-s}\left\|\varepsilon\left(n^{s} z\right) a^{*}-n^{s} z \delta(a)^{*}\right\| \\
\leq & n^{-s} \psi\left(n^{-s} z, a^{*}\right)+n^{-s} \psi\left(n^{s} z, a\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\delta(a)^{*}=\delta(a) *$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
Therefore, $\delta$ is a *-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$.
Corollary 5.3 Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra and that $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping for which there exist nonnegative real numbers $\alpha, \beta$ and positive real numbers $p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}$, $q_{2}$ with $p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|a \delta(b)-\varepsilon(a) b\| \leq \alpha\left(\|a\|^{p_{1}}+\|b\|^{p_{2}}\right)+\beta\|a\|^{q_{1}}\|b\|^{q_{2}}, \\
& \|\varepsilon(a) c d-a(\delta(c) d-c \delta(d))\| \leq \alpha\left(\|a\|^{p_{1}}+\|c d\|^{p_{2}}\right)+\beta\|a\|^{q_{1}}\|c d\|^{q_{2}}, \\
& \left\|a \delta(b)^{*}-\varepsilon(a) b^{*}\right\| \leq \alpha\left(\|a\|^{p_{1}}+\|b\|^{p_{2}}\right)+\beta\|a\|^{q_{1}}\|b\|^{q_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\delta$ is a *-derivation of $\mathcal{A}$.
Next, we prove the superstability of quadratic *-derivations on $C^{*}$-algebras.
Definition 5.4 Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is $a$ "-normed algebra and $s \in\{-1,1\}$. Let $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a mapping for which there exist a function $\psi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ and a mapping $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-2 s} \psi\left(n^{s} a, b\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-2 s} \psi\left(a, n^{s} b\right)=0(a, b \in \mathcal{A})$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-2 s} \psi\left(n^{s} a, b\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-2 s} \psi\left(a, n^{s} b\right)=0(a, b \in \mathcal{A}) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|a^{2} \delta(b)-\varepsilon(a) b^{2}\right\| \leq \psi(a, b) \\
& \left\|\varepsilon(a)(c d)^{2}-a^{2}\left(\delta(c) d^{2}-c^{2} \delta(d)\right)\right\| \leq \psi(a, c d) \\
& \left\|a^{2} \delta\left(b^{*}\right)-\varepsilon(a)\left(b^{2}\right)^{*}\right\| \leq \psi(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$. Then $\delta$ is called a ( $\psi, \varepsilon$ )-approximate quadratic *-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$. Theorem 5.5 Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra and $s \in\{-1,1\}$. Let $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a $(\psi$, غ)-approximate quadratic *-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\delta$ is a quadratic *-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. We assume that (5.2) holds. We first show that $\delta$ is quadratic homogeneous. To do this, pick $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|b^{2}\left(\delta(\lambda a)-\lambda^{2} \delta(a)\right)\right\| & =n^{-2 s}\left\|n^{2 s} b^{2} \delta(\lambda a)-\lambda^{2} n^{2 s} b^{2} \delta(a)\right\| \\
& \leq n^{-2 s}\left\|n^{2 s} b^{2} \delta(\lambda a)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} b\right)(\lambda a)^{2}\right\|+n^{-2 s}\left\|\lambda^{2} \varepsilon\left(n^{s} b\right) a^{2}-\lambda^{2} n^{2 s} b^{2} \delta(a)\right\| \\
& \leq n^{-2 s} \psi\left(n^{s} b, \lambda a\right)+n^{-2 s}|\lambda|^{2} \psi\left(n^{s} b, a\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\left\|b^{2}\left(\delta(\lambda a)-\lambda^{2} \delta(a)\right)\right\| \leq n^{-2 s} \psi\left(n^{s} b, \lambda a\right)+|\lambda|^{2} n^{-2 s} \psi\left(n^{s} b, a\right)
$$

which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be an approximate unit of $\mathcal{A}$. Then, $\left\{f\left(e_{i}\right) \mid i \in I\right\}$ is also an approximate unit of $\mathcal{A}$ for every polynomial $f$. Considering $e_{i}$ instead of $b$ in the above inequality, we conclude that $\delta(\lambda a)=\lambda^{2} \delta(a)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

The quadraticity of $\delta$ follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| & d^{2}(\delta(a+b)+\delta(a-b)-2 \delta(a)-2 \delta(b)) \| \\
= & n^{-2 s}\left\|n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(a+b)+n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(a-b)-2 n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(a)-2 n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(b)\right\| \\
\leq & n^{-2 s}\left[\left\|n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(a+b)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} d\right)(a+b)^{2}\right\|\right. \\
& +n^{-2 s}\left\|n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(a-b)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} d\right)(a-b)^{2}\right\| \\
& \left.+2 n^{-2 s}\left\|\delta\left(n^{s} d\right) a^{2}-n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(a)\right\|+2 n^{-2 s}\left\|\delta\left(n^{s} d\right) b^{2}-n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(b)\right\|\right] \\
\leq & n^{-2 s}\left[\psi\left(n^{s} d, a+b\right)+\psi\left(n^{s} d, a-b\right)+2 \psi\left(a, n^{s} d\right)+2 \psi\left(b, n^{s} d\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b, d \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, we have $\delta(a+b)+\delta(a-b)-2 \delta(a)-2 \delta(b)=0$ for all

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| d^{2}\left(\delta(a b)-\left(\delta(a) b^{2}+a^{2} \delta(b)\right) \|=\right. & n^{-2 s}\left\|n^{2 s} d^{2}\left(\delta(a b)-\delta(a) b^{2}-a^{2} \delta(b)\right)\right\| \\
\leq & n^{-2 s}\left[\left\|n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(a b)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} d\right)(a b)^{2}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+n^{-2 s}\left\|\varepsilon\left(n^{s} d\right)(a b)^{2}-n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(a) b^{2}+n^{2 s} d^{2} a^{2} \delta(b)\right\|\right] \\
\leq & n^{-2 s}\left[\psi\left(n^{s} d, a b\right)+\psi\left(n^{s} d, a b\right)\right] \\
\| d^{2}\left(\delta(a b)-\left(\delta(a) b^{2}+a^{2} \delta(b)\right) \|=\right. & n^{-2 s}\left\|n^{2 s} d^{2}\left(\delta(a b)-\delta(a) b^{2}-a^{2} \delta(b)\right)\right\| \\
\leq & n^{-2 s}\left[\left\|n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(a b)-\varepsilon\left(n^{s} d\right)(a b)^{2}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+n^{-2 s}\left\|\varepsilon\left(n^{s} d\right)(a b)^{2}-n^{2 s} d^{2} \delta(a) b^{2}+n^{2 s} d^{2} a^{2} \delta(b)\right\|\right] \\
\leq & n^{-2 s}\left[\psi\left(n^{s} d, a b\right)+\psi\left(n^{s} d, a b\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b, d \in \mathcal{A}$. So $\delta(a b)=\delta(a) b^{2}+a^{2} \delta(b)$.
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.2.
Therefore, $\delta$ is a quadratic *-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$.
Corollary 5.6 Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra and that $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping for which there exist a nonnegative real number $\alpha$ and a positive real number $p$ with $p<2$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|a^{2} \delta(b)-\delta(a) b^{2}\right\| \leq \alpha\|a\|^{p}\|b\|^{p}, \\
& \left\|\varepsilon(a)(c d)^{2}-a^{2}\left(\delta(c) d^{2}-c^{2} \delta(d)\right)\right\| \leq \alpha\|a\|^{p}\|c d\|^{p}, \\
& \left\|a^{2} \delta\left(b^{*}\right)-\varepsilon(a)\left(b^{2}\right)^{*}\right\| \leq \alpha\|a\|^{p}\|b\|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$. Then $\delta$ is a quadratic *-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$.
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