RESEARCH Open Access

# A note on the Königs domain of compact composition operators on the Bloch space

Matthew M Jones

Correspondence: m.m.jones@mdx. ac.uk Department of Mathematics, Middlesex University, The Burroughs, London, NW4 4BT, UK

#### **Abstract**

Let  $\mathbb D$  be the unit disk in the complex plane. We define  $\mathcal B_0$  to be the little Bloch space of functions f analytic in  $\mathbb D$  which satisfy  $\lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2)|f(z)|=0$ . If  $\varphi:\mathbb D\to\mathbb D$  is analytic then the composition operator  $C_\phi:f\mapsto f\circ\phi$  is a continuous operator that maps  $\mathcal B_0$  into itself. In this paper, we show that the compactness of  $C_\phi$ , as an operator on  $\mathcal B_0$ , can be modelled geometrically by its principal eigenfunction. In particular, under certain necessary conditions, we relate the compactness of  $C_\phi$  to the geometry of  $\Omega=\sigma(\mathbb D)$ , where  $\sigma$  satisfies Schöder's functional equation  $\sigma\circ\phi=\phi'(0)\sigma$ .

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification**: Primary 30D05; 47B33 Secondary 30D45.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  be the unit disk in the complex plane and  $\mathbb{T}$  its boundary. We define the Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}$  to be the Banach space of functions, f, analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with

$$||f||_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty.$$

This space has many important applications in complex function theory, see [1] for an overview of many of them. We denote by  $\mathcal{B}_0$  the little Bloch space of functions in  $\mathcal{B}$  that satisfy  $\lim_{|z|\to 1} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| = 0$ . This space coincides with the closure of the polynomials in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Suppose now that  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$  is analytic, then we may define the operator,  $C_{\phi}$ , acting on  $\mathcal{B}_0$  as  $f \mapsto f \circ \phi$ . It was shown in [2] that every such operator maps  $\mathcal{B}_0$  continuously into itself. Moreover, it was proved that  $C_{\phi}$  is compact on  $\mathcal{B}_0$  if and only if  $\phi$  satisfies

$$\lim_{|z| \to 1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} |\varphi'(z)| = 0. \tag{1}$$

Recall that the hyperbolic geometry on  $\mathbb D$  is defined by the distance

$$\operatorname{disk}(z,w)=\inf\int_{\Gamma}\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(\eta)|\mathrm{d}\eta|$$

where the infimum is taken over all sufficiently smooth arcs that have endpoints z and w.



Here,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(\eta) = (1 - |\eta|^2)^{-1}$  is the Poincaré density of  $\mathbb{D}$ . The hyperbolic derivative of  $\phi$  is given by  $\phi'(z)/(1 - |\phi(z)|^2)$  and functions that satisfy (1) are called little hyperbolic Bloch functions or written  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$ .

The Schröder functional equation is the equation

$$\sigma \circ \varphi = \gamma \sigma. \tag{2}$$

Note that this is just the eigenfunction equation for  $C_{\phi}$ . Kænigs' theorem states that if  $\phi$  has fixed point at the origin then (2) has a unique solution for  $\gamma = \phi'(0)$  which we call the *Kænigs function* and denote by  $\sigma$  from here on. In the study of the geometric properties of  $\phi$  in relation to the operator theoretic properties of  $C_{\phi}$ , it has become evident that the Kænigs function is much more fruitful to study than  $\phi$  itself. In particular, see [3] for a discussion of the Kænigs function in relation to compact composition operators on the Hardy spaces.

If we let  $\Omega = \sigma(\mathbb{D})$  be the *Kænigs domain* of  $\phi$ , then (2) may be interpreted as implying that the action of  $\phi$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is equivalent to multiplication by  $\gamma$  on  $\Omega$ . It is due to this that the pair  $(\Omega, \gamma)$  is often called the geometric model for  $\phi$ .

In this paper, we study the geometry of  $\Omega$  when  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$ . In order to do this, we will use the hyperbolic geometry of  $\Omega$ . If  $f: \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$  is a universal covering map and  $\Omega$  is a hyperbolic domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then the Poincaré density on  $\Omega$  is derived from the equation

$$\lambda_{\Omega}(f(z))|f'(z)| = \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z),$$

which is independent of the choice of f. Since this equation, in terms of differentials, is  $\lambda_{\Omega}(w)|dw| = \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z)|dz|$  (for w = f(z)), we see that the hyperbolic distance on  $\mathbb{D}$  defined above carries over to a hyperbolic distance on  $\Omega$ . For a more thorough treatment of the hyperbolic metric, see [4].

In [5], the Königs domain of a compact composition operator on the Hardy space was studied and the following result was proved.

**Theorem A.** Let  $\phi$  be a univalent self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  with a fixed point in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Suppose that for some positive integer  $n_0$  there are at most finitely many points of  $\mathbb{T}$  at which  $\varphi_{n_0}$  has an angular derivative. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1. Some power of  $C_{\phi}$  is compact on the Hardy space  $H^2$ ;
- 2.  $\sigma$  lies in  $H^p$  for every  $p < \infty$ ;
- 3.  $\Omega = \sigma(\mathbb{D})$  does not contain a twisted sector.

Here,  $\Omega$  is said to contain a *twisted sector* if there is an unbounded curve  $\Gamma \in \Omega$  with

$$\delta_{\Omega}(w) \geq \varepsilon |w|$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $w \in \Gamma$ , where  $\delta_{\Omega}$  is the distance from w to the boundary of  $\Omega$  as defined below. The purpose of this paper is to provide a similar result to this in the context of the Bloch space.

## 2 Simply connected domains

Throughout this section, we assume that  $\Omega$  is an unbounded simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $0 \in \Omega$ . As in the previous section,  $\sigma$  represents the Riemann mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$ 

onto  $\Omega$  with  $\sigma(0) = 0$  and  $\sigma'(0) > 0$ . We will also define  $\phi$  via the Schröder functional equation. Throughout we let

$$\delta_{\Omega}(w) = \inf_{\zeta \notin \Omega} |w - \zeta|,$$

so that  $\delta_{\Omega}(w)$  is the Euclidean distance from w to the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\phi$  be a univalent function mapping  $\mathbb{D}$  into  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Suppose that the closure of  $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$  intersects  $\mathbb{T}$  only at finitely many fixed points and is contained in a Stolz angle of opening no greater than  $\alpha\pi$  there.

If  $|\phi'(0)| > 16 \tan(\alpha \pi/2)$  then the following are equivalent

1.  $C_{\phi}$  is compact on  $\mathcal{B}$ ;

$$2. \lim_{\substack{w \to \infty \\ w \in \gamma\Omega}} \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} = 0;$$

3. For every n > 0,  $\sigma^n \in \mathcal{B}_0$ .

Remark: It has recently been shown by Smith [6] that compactness of  $C_{\phi}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is equivalent to compactness of  $C_{\phi}$  on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ , BMOA and VMOA when  $\phi$  is univalent and so in the above theorem, the first condition could read:  $C_{\phi}$  is compact on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_0$ , BMOA and VMOA Before proceeding, we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1**. Under the hypotheses of the theorem, w and  $\gamma w$  tend to the same prime end at  $\infty$ , and  $\partial \gamma \Omega \subseteq \Omega$ .

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from the fact that the closure of  $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$  touches  $\mathbb{T}$  only at fixed points. Suppose now that the second assertion is false and there are distinct prime ends  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  with  $\rho_1 = \gamma \rho_2$ . Then under the boundary correspondence given by  $\sigma$  there are distinct points  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  with

$$\sigma(\eta) = \gamma \sigma(\zeta) = \sigma(\varphi(\zeta)).$$

It follows that  $\varphi(\zeta) \in \mathbb{T}$  and therefore  $\zeta$  is a fixed point of  $\phi$ . Hence, we have the contradiction  $\rho_1 = \rho_2$ .

*Proof.* We first prove that 1 is equivalent to 2.

By the results of Madigan and Matheson [2], and Smith [6] cited above  $C_{\phi}$  is compact on  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1} \frac{1-|z|^2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} |\varphi'(z)| = 0.$$

However, by Schröder's equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} |\varphi'(z)| &= \frac{\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(\varphi(z))}{\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z)} |\varphi'(z)| \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{\Omega}(\sigma \circ \varphi(z))}{\lambda_{\Omega}(\sigma(z))} \frac{|\sigma' \circ \varphi(z)\varphi'(z)|}{|\sigma'(z)|} \\ &= |\gamma| \frac{\lambda_{\Omega}(\gamma w)}{\lambda_{\Omega}(w)} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Omega$  is simply connected,  $\lambda_{\Omega}$  (w)  $\boxtimes 1/\delta_{\Omega}$  (w) and so  $C_{\phi}$  is compact on  $\mathcal B$  if and only if

$$\lim_{w \to \partial \Omega} \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Since  $\gamma\Omega \subseteq \Omega$ ,  $\gamma w \to \partial\Omega$  implies that  $w \to \partial\Omega$ . Therefore, (3) holds if and only if

$$\lim_{\gamma w \to \partial \Omega} \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} = 0.$$

By the Lemma, we see that  $\gamma w \to \partial \Omega$  means  $w \to \infty$  and  $w \in \gamma \Omega$ , and we have shown that 1 and 2 are equivalent.

Suppose that 2 holds and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then we can find a R > 0 so that  $\delta_{\Omega}(w) < \varepsilon \delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)$  for all |w| > R, since there are only a finite number of prime ends at  $\infty$ . Choose  $w \in \Omega$  arbitrarily with modulus greater than R and let n satisfy  $|\gamma|^{-n}R < |w| \le |\gamma|^{-n-1}R$ .

Then we have that  $\delta_{\Omega}(w) < \varepsilon^n \delta_{\Omega}(\gamma^n w)$  and hence

$$\frac{-\log \delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\log |w|} > \frac{-n\log \varepsilon - \log \delta_{\Omega}(\gamma^n w)}{-(n+1)\log |\gamma| + \log R}.$$

Now as  $w \to \infty$  in  $\gamma\Omega$ ,  $\gamma^n w$  lies in a closed set properly contained in  $\Omega$  and therefore  $\delta_{\Omega}$  ( $\gamma^n w$ ) is bounded below by a constant independent of w. We thus have that

$$\lim_{w \to \infty} \inf \frac{-\log \delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\log |w|} > \frac{-\log \varepsilon}{-\log |\gamma|}$$

and since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, the left-hand side of the above inequality must tend to  $\infty$ . Hence, we have shown that  $\lim_{w\to\infty} |w|^{\beta} \delta_{\Omega}(w) = 0$  for every  $\beta > 0$ .

Now  $\sigma^n \in \mathcal{B}_0$  may be interpreted geometrically as  $\lim_{w\to\partial\Omega} n|w|^{n-1}\delta_{\Omega}$  (w) = 0 and this follows from the above argument. Therefore, 2 implies 3.

To show that 3 implies 2, we need to show that if

$$\lim_{w\to\infty} f(w) = \lim_{w\to\infty} \frac{-\log \delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\log |w|} = \infty$$

then 2 holds.

To complete the proof, we require the following lemma whose proof we merely sketch.

**Lemma 2**. Under the hypotheses of the theorem,

$$\limsup_{w\to\infty}\frac{\delta_\Omega(w)}{\delta_\Omega(\gamma w)}\leq K<1.$$

Sketch of Proof. First note that

$$\limsup_{|w|\to 1} \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} \leq \frac{16}{|\varphi'(0)|} \limsup_{|z|\to 1} \frac{\delta_{\varphi(\mathbb{D})}(z)}{\delta_{\mathbb{D}}(z)}.$$

Now if  $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$  lies in a non-tangential angle of opening  $\alpha\pi$  at  $\zeta$ , then a short calculation shows that

$$\limsup_{z \to \zeta} \frac{\delta_{\varphi(\mathbb{D})}(z)}{\delta_{\mathbb{D}}(z)} \le \tan \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$$

and the assertion follows.

Now with f defined above, we have

$$f(\gamma w) - f(w) = \frac{-\log \delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)}{\log |\gamma w|} - \frac{-\log \delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\log |w|}$$
$$\sim \frac{\log \delta_{\Omega}(w)/\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)}{\log |w|} < 0$$

for large enough w. Hence,

$$\frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} = \frac{|\gamma|^{f(\gamma w)}}{|w|^{f(w)-f(\gamma w)}} \le |\gamma|^{f(\gamma w)} \to 0$$

as  $w \to \infty$  and so 2 holds.

It is of interest to consider the growth of  $\sigma$  since condition 3 would imply that it has very slow growth. The following corollary follows from 3 and the fact that functions in  $\mathcal{B}_0$  grow at most of order log 1/(1 - |z|).

**Corollary 1.** Suppose that  $\phi$  satisfies the hypotheses of the Theorem and that any of the equivalent conditions holds, then for r = |z|.

$$\log|\sigma(z)| = o\left(\log\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right).$$

We also provide the following restatement of the hypotheses of Theorem 1 to illustrate the main properties of the Königs domain.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\Omega$  be an unbounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\gamma\Omega \subseteq \Omega$  and  $0 \in \Omega$ . Suppose that has  $\Omega$  only finitely many prime ends at  $\infty$  and

$$\limsup_{w\to\infty}\frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)}<1.$$

In addition, suppose that  $\partial \gamma \Omega \subseteq \Omega$ . If  $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ ,  $\sigma(0) = 0$ ,  $\sigma'(0) > 0$ , and  $\phi$  is defined by Schröder's equation, then the following are equivalent.

1.  $C_{\phi}$  is compact on  $\mathcal{B}$ ;

$$2. \lim_{\substack{w \to \infty \\ w \in \gamma\Omega}} \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} = 0;$$

3. For every n > 0,  $\sigma^n \in \mathcal{B}_0$ .

The hypothesis on the boundary of  $\Omega$  is vital. If we do not assume that  $\partial \gamma \Omega \subseteq \Omega$ , then we deduce from the proof of the Theorem that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$  is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\gamma w \to \partial\Omega} \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} = 0. \tag{4}$$

In this situation, the finite part of the boundary of  $\Omega$  plays a complicated role in the behaviour of  $\phi$ . We conclude this section by constructing a domain that displays very bad boundary properties. This answers a question of Madigan and Matheson in [2].

In [2] it was shown that if  $\partial \phi(D)$  touches  $\mathbb{T} = \partial \mathbb{D}$  in a cusp, then  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$ . However, it is not sufficient that  $\partial \phi(D)$  touches  $\mathbb{T}$  at an angle greater that 0. The question was raised of whether or not it is possible that  $\overline{\varphi(D)} \cap \mathbb{T}$  can be infinite.

With the hypothesis that  $\partial \gamma \Omega \subseteq \Omega$  the prime ends at  $\infty$  correspond to points of  $\overline{\varphi(D)}$  that touch  $\mathbb{T}$ . Therefore,  $\overline{\varphi(D)} \cap \mathbb{T}$  is at most countable. A natural question to ask is whether or not  $\Lambda(\overline{\varphi(D)} \cap \mathbb{T})$  can ever be positive, where  $\Lambda$  represents linear measure.

This example is well known in the setting of the unit disk, see [7, Corollary 5.3]. We describe here the construction in terms of the Königs domain.

**Theorem 2.** There is a univalent function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$  such that  $\overline{\varphi(D)} \cap \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}$ .

*Proof.* We construct the domain  $\Omega$  so that it satisfies (4). Let  $0 < \gamma < 1$  be given. We will define a nested sequence  $\Theta_n \subset \mathbb{T}$ , n = 1, 2, ... so that

$$\partial\Omega = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \left\{ re^{i\theta} : \gamma^{-n} \leq r < \infty, \theta \in \Theta_n \right\},\tag{5}$$

where  $\Theta_n \subseteq \Theta_{n+1}$  for all n = 1, 2, ...

First let N > 2 be chosen arbitrarily and let  $\Theta_1 = \{2\pi k/N : k = 0, ..., N - 1\}$ .

Suppose now that  $\Theta_n$  has been defined, then let  $\Theta_{n+1}$  be such that  $\Theta_n \subset \Theta_{n+1}$  and whenever  $\theta \in \Theta_n$  is isolated, we define a sequence  $\theta_k \in \Theta_{n+1}$ , k=1, 2, ..., so that  $\theta_k \to \theta$  as  $k \to \infty$  and for each k there is a j so that  $\theta - \theta_k = \theta_{j-\theta}$ . Moreover, assume that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k}{(\theta - \theta_k)^2} = 0. \tag{6}$$

In this way, we define the sequence of sets  $\Theta_n$ , n=1, 2, ... We will, furthermore, assume that for each  $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$ , there is a sequence  $\theta_n \in \Theta_n$ , n=1, 2, ..., such that  $\theta_n \to \theta$ .

We claim that this gives the desired domain  $\Omega$  with boundary defined by (5).

To see this, let  $\gamma w \in \Omega$  be arbitrary, then by construction, we may find a  $\zeta \in \partial \Omega$  so that  $\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w) = |\zeta - \gamma w|$ . It is readily seen that for such  $\zeta$ , there is an n so that  $\zeta \in \{re^{i\theta} : r \geq \gamma^{-n}\}$  for some  $\theta \in \Theta_n$  and moreover,  $\theta$  is isolated in  $\Theta_n$ .

If we now consider w, we may find a sequence  $\theta_k \to \theta$  as  $k \to \infty$  so that  $\{re^{i\theta_k}: r \ge \gamma^{-n-1}\} \in \partial\Omega$  for all k hence we may fix a k so that  $\delta_\Omega$  (w) = |w - n| for  $\eta = re^{i\theta_k}$ .

By estimating the line segment  $[w, \eta]$  by the arc of  $r\mathbb{T}$  joining w to  $\eta$ , we see that  $\delta_{\Omega}$   $(w) \boxtimes |w| |\alpha - \theta_k|$  where  $w = re^{i\alpha}$ . Therefore, we have the estimate  $\delta_{\Omega}$   $(w) \le |w| |\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k|$ . By a similar argument, we deduce the estimate  $\delta_{\Omega}$   $(\gamma w) \boxtimes |\gamma w| |\theta - \theta_k|$  and so

$$\left| \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} \le \gamma^{-1} \left| \frac{\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k}{\theta - \theta_k} \right| \le \gamma^{-1} |\theta - \theta_k|$$

by (6) and so the construction is complete.

We claim that if  $\sigma: \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$  is defined as usual and  $\phi$  is given by Schröder's equation, then  $\overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} \cap \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}$ .

In fact, if  $\theta \in \Theta_n$  is isolated, then the ray  $R = \{re^{i\theta} : r \geq \gamma^{-n-1}\}$  is contained in a single prime end of  $\Omega$ . Therefore, to each such ray, there exists a point  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  that corresponds to R under  $\sigma$ . Since  $\gamma R \subseteq \partial \Omega$ , we thus have that  $\zeta$  corresponds to a prime end p under  $\phi$  with  $p \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$ .

On the other hand, if  $\theta \in \Theta_n$  is isolated, then  $R' = \{re^{i\theta} : \gamma^{-n} \le r < \gamma^{-n-1}\}$  satisfies  $\gamma R' \cap \partial \Omega = \emptyset$ , and so there is an arc  $\rho_{\theta} \subset \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\sigma(\rho_{\theta}) = R'$  and  $\rho_{\theta}$  has an end-point in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Hence, each  $\eta \in \mathbb{T}$  is contained in a prime end of  $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$  and

$$\varphi(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta_n \text{ isolated}} \rho_{\theta}.$$

The result follows.  $\Box$ 

# 3 Multiply connected domains

The geometric arguments of the previous section potentially lend themselves to multiply connected domains in the following way. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a domain in  $\mathbb C$  with  $0 \in \Omega$  and  $\gamma\Omega \subset \Omega$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb D\setminus\{0\}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a universal covering map of  $\mathbb D$  onto  $\Omega$  with  $\sigma(0) = 0$ . Then  $\sigma'(0) \neq 0$  and we may define  $\phi$  via (2). Now we have

$$\frac{1-|z|^2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2}|\varphi'(z)|=|\gamma|\frac{\lambda_\Omega(\gamma w)}{\lambda_\Omega(w)}.$$

However, if  $\Omega$  is not simply connected, then  $\sigma$  is an infinitely sheeted covering of  $\Omega$  and therefore the equation  $\sigma(z) = 0$  has infinitely many distinct solutions,  $z_n$ , n = 0, 1,

••••

Now, since

$$\frac{1-|z_n|^2}{1-|\varphi(z_n)|^2}|\varphi'(z_n)|=|\gamma|>0$$

for all  $n \ge 0$ , we see that  $\varphi \notin \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$ . Thus, we have proved the following result.

**Proposition 1**. Suppose that  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is a domain satisfying  $0 \in \Omega$  and  $\gamma \Omega \subseteq \Omega$ , and let  $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$  be a universal covering map with  $\sigma(0) = 0$ .

If  $\phi$ , as defined by (2) is in  $\mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$ then  $\Omega$  is simply connected.

## 4 Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Received: 31 January 2011 Accepted: 10 August 2011 Published: 10 August 2011

### References

- 1. Anderson, JM: Bloch Functions: The Basic Theory. Operators and Function Theory. D Reidel. 1–17 (1985)
- Madigan, K, Matheson, A: Compact Composition Operators on the Bloch Space. Trans Am Math Soc. 347, 2679–2687 (1995). doi:10.2307/2154848
- 3. Shapiro, JH: Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory. Springer. (1993)
- 4. Ahlfors, LV: Conformal Invariants, Topics in Geometric Function Theory. McGraw-Hill. (1973)
- JH, Shapiro, W, Smith, A, Stegenga: Geometric models and compactness of composition operators. J Funct Anal. 127, 21–62 (1995). doi:10.1006/jfan.1995.1002
- Smith, W: Compactness of composition operators on BMOA. Proc Am Math Soc. 127, 2715–2725 (1999). doi:10.1090/ S0002-9939-99-04856-X
- Bourdon, P, Cima, J, Matheson, A: Compact composition operators on BMOA. Trans Am Math Soc. 351, 2183–2196 (1999). doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-99-02387-9

## doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2011-31

Cite this article as: Jones: A note on the Königs domain of compact composition operators on the Bloch space. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2011 2011:31.