# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# The stability of functional equation $\min\{f(x + y), f(x - y)\} = |f(x) - f(y)|$

Barbara Przebieracz

Correspondence: barbara. przebieracz@us.edu.pl Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Śląski Bankowa 14, Katowice PI-40-007, Poland

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove the stability of the functional equation min  $\{f(x + y), f(x - y)\} = |f(x) - f(y)|$  in the class of real, continuous functions of real variable. **MSC2010:** 39B82; 39B22

Keywords: stability of functional equations, absolute value of additive mappings

### 1. Introduction

In the paper [1], Simon and Volkmann examined functional equations connected with the absolute value of an additive function, that is,

$$\max\{f(x+\gamma), f(x-\gamma)\} = f(x) + f(\gamma), \quad x, \gamma \in G,$$
(1.1)

$$\min\{f(x+\gamma), f(x-\gamma)\} = |f(x) - f(\gamma), \quad x, \gamma \in G,$$
(1.2)

and

$$\max\{f(x+y), f(x-y)\} = f(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$
(1.3)

where *G* is an abelian group and  $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$ . The first two of them are satisfied by f(x) = |a(x)|, where  $a: G \to \mathbb{R}$  is an additive function; moreover, the first one characterizes the absolute value of additive functions. The solutions of Equation (1.2) are appointed by Volkmann during the Conference on Inequalities and Applications in Noszwaj (Hungary, 2007), under the assumption that  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. Namely, we have

**Theorem 1.1** (Jarczyk and Volkmann [2]). Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function satisfying Equation (1.2). Then either there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that f(x) = c|x|,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , or f is periodic with period 2p given by f(x) = c|x| with some constant c > 0,  $x \in [-p, p]$ .

Actually, it is enough to assume continuity at a point, since this implies continuity on  $\mathbb{R}$ , see [2]. Moreover, some measurability assumptions force continuity. Baron in [3] showed that if *G* is a metrizable topological group and  $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$  is Baire measurable and satisfies (1.2) then *f* is continuous. Kochanek and Lewicki (see [4]) proved that if *G* is metrizable locally compact group and  $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$  is Haar measurable and satisfies (1.2), then *f* is continuous.

As already mentioned in [2], Kochanek noticed that every function f defined on an abelian group G which is of the form  $f = g \circ a$ , where  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a solution of (1.2)

# SpringerOpen<sup>♥</sup>

© 2011 Przebieracz; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

described by Theorem 1.1 and  $a: G \to \mathbb{R}$  is an additive function, is a solution of Equation (1.2).

Solutions of the Equation (1.3), according to [1], with the additional assumption that *G* is divisible by 6, are either  $f \equiv 0$  or  $f = \exp(|a|)$ , where  $a: G \to \mathbb{R}$  is an additive function. Without this additional assumption, however, we have the following remark (see [5]).

*Remark* 1.1. Let  $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$ , where *G* is an abelian group. Then, *f* satisfies

 $\max\{f(x+y), f(x-y)\} = f(x)f(y)$ 

if and only if

• 
$$f \equiv 0$$

or

•  $f = \exp \left| a \right|$ , for some additive function a

or

• there is a subgroup  $G_0$  of G, such that

$$x, y \notin G_0 \Rightarrow (x + y \in G_0 \lor x - y \in G_0), \quad x, y \in G,$$

and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in G_0; \\ -1, & x \notin G_0. \end{cases}$$

The result concerning stability of (1.1) was presented by Volkmann during the 45th ISFE in Bielsko-Biala (Poland, 2007) (for the proof see [2]) and superstability of (1.3) was proved in [6].

In this paper, we deal with the stability of Equation (1.2) in the class of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## 2. Main Result

We are going to prove

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $\delta \ge 0$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function satisfying

$$|\min\{f(x+y), f(x-y)\} - |f(x) - f(y)|| \le \delta, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$
(2.1)

then either f is bounded (and in such a case is "close" to the solution  $F \equiv 0$  of (1.2)) or there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$|f(x) - c|x|| \le 21\delta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.2}$$

that is, f is "close" to the solution F(x) = c|x| of (1.2).

We will write  $\alpha \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \beta$  instead of  $|\alpha - \beta| \le \delta$  to shorten the notation. Notice that

• if 
$$\alpha \stackrel{\delta_1}{\sim} \beta \stackrel{\delta_2}{\sim} \gamma$$
 then  $\alpha \stackrel{\delta_1+\delta_2}{\sim} \gamma$ ,  
• if  $\alpha < \beta \stackrel{\delta_2}{\sim} \gamma$  then  $\alpha \leq \gamma + \delta$ 

$$\alpha \leq \beta \sim \gamma$$
 then  $\alpha \leq \gamma + \delta$ ,

In the following lemma, we list some properties of functions satisfying (2.1) in more general settings.

**Lemma 2.1**. Let G be an abelian group,  $\delta, \varepsilon \ge 0$  and let  $f : G \to \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary function satisfying

$$\min\{f(x+\gamma), f(x-\gamma)\} \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} |f(x) - f(\gamma)|, \quad x, \gamma \in G.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Then

(i) 
$$f(x) \ge -\delta, x \in G$$
,  
(ii)  $f(0) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 0$ ,  
(iii)  $f(x) \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} f(-x), x \in G$ ,  
(iv)  $f(x) \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} |f(x)|, x \in G$ ,  
(v) for every  $x, p \in G$  it holds

$$f(p) \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\sim} 0 \implies [f(x+p) \stackrel{_{3\delta+\varepsilon}}{\sim} f(x) \text{ and } f(x-p) \stackrel{_{3\delta+\varepsilon}}{\sim} f(x)]$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from

$$f(x) = \min\{f(x+0), f(x-0)\} \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} |f(x) - f(0)| \ge 0, \quad x \in G.$$
(2.4)

The second one we get putting x = 0 in (2.4). Next, notice that, using (ii), we have

$$|f(x) - f(-x)| \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \min\{f(0), f(2x)\} \le f(0) \le \delta, \quad x \in G,$$

which proves (iii). Moreover,

$$|f(x)| \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} |f(x) - f(0)| \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \min\{f(x), f(x)\} = f(x), \quad x \in G,$$

so we obtain (iv). To prove (v), let us assume that  $f(p) \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\sim} 0$  and choose an arbitrary  $x \in G$ . Since

$$f(x) \stackrel{2\delta}{\sim} |f(x)| \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\sim} |f(x) - f(p)| \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \min\{f(x-p), f(x+p)\},$$

we have either

$$f(x) \stackrel{3\delta+\varepsilon}{\sim} f(x+p) \le f(x-p) \tag{2.5}$$

or

$$f(x) \stackrel{3\delta+\varepsilon}{\sim} f(x-p) \leq f(x+p).$$

Let us consider the first possibility, the second can be dealt with in the analogous way. Notice that

$$f(x-p) \leq |f(x-p)| \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\sim} |f(x-p) - f(p)| \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \min\{f(x-2p), f(x)\} \leq f(x),$$

which yields

$$f(x-p) \leq f(x) + \delta + \varepsilon.$$

The last inequality together with (2.5) finishes the proof of (v).  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* First, we notice that for every  $x,y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  such that x < y < z, we have

$$(f(x) = f(z) > f(y) + 4\delta) \Rightarrow (\exists_{p \ge y + x} f(p) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 0).$$

$$(2.6)$$

Indeed, assume that  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ , x < y < z and  $f(x) = f(z) > f(y) + 4\delta$ . Let us choose the greatest  $x' \in [x, y]$  with f(x') = f(x) and the smallest  $z' \in [y, z]$  with f(z') = f(z). The continuity of f assures the existence of  $x'', z'' \in [x', z'], x'' < z''$ , such that f(x'') = f(z'') and z'' - x'' = y - x'. Of course,

$$z'' + x'' = y + (x'' - x') + x'' \ge y + x.$$

Moreover, in view of (2.1), we have

$$0 = |f(z'') - f(x'')| \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \min\{f(z'' - x''), f(z'' + x'')\}.$$
(2.7)

So, if  $f(z'' - x'') \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 0$ , by Lemma 2.1(v), we would have

$$f(x) = f(x') \stackrel{4\delta}{\sim} f(x' + (z'' - x'')) = f(x' + y - x') = f(y)$$

which is impossible. Therefore, (2.7) implies  $f(z'' + x'') \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 0$ . Now, it is enough to put p = z'' + x''.

Assume that f is unbounded. We will show that

$$f(x) \le f(y) + 6\delta, \quad 0 < x < y.$$
 (2.8)

Suppose on the contrary that there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , 0 < x < y, with  $f(x) > f(y) + 6\delta$ . From the unboundness of f and parts (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2.1, we infer that  $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty$ . So we can find  $z_1 > y$  with  $f(z_1) = f(x)$ . From (2.6) (with  $z = z_1$ ), we deduce that there exists  $p_1 \ge y + x$  such that  $f(p_1) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 0$ . Let us suppose that we have already defined  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ , ...,  $p_n$  in such a way that  $f(p_k) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 0$  and  $p_k \ge y + kx$ , k = 1, 2, ..., n. Notice that, in view of Lemma 2.1(i),

$$f(x) > f(y) + 6\delta \ge -\delta + 6\delta = \delta + 4\delta \ge f(p_n) + 4\delta$$

so we can find  $z_n > p_n$  with  $f(z_n) = f(x)$ . By (2.6) (with  $y = p_n$  and  $z = z_n$ ), we obtain that there exists  $p_{n+1} \ge p_n + x \ge y + (n + 1)x$  such that  $f(p_{n+1}) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 0$ . Hence, we proved that there is a sequence  $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  increasing to infinity such that  $f(p_n) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Choose p > 0 satisfying  $f(p) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 0$  and such that

$$M := \max f([0, p]) > 7\delta.$$

$$(2.9)$$

Let this maximum be taken at an  $x \in (0, p)$ . Notice that  $f(2x) \le M + 4\delta$ . This is obvious if  $2x \le p$ , in the opposite case, if 2x > p, it follows from Lemma 2.1 part (v) and the fact that in such a case  $2x - p \in [0, p)$ , more precisely,

$$f(2x) = f(2x - p + p) \stackrel{4\delta}{\sim} f(2x - p) \le \max f([0, p]) = M$$

Let us now choose y > p with f(y) = 2M. We have

$$M = |f(y) - f(x)| \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} \min\{f(y - x), f(y + x)\},\$$

whence either  $f(y-x) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} M$  or  $f(y+x) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} M$ . Let us consider the first possibility, the second is analogous. From  $\min\{f(y-2x), 2M\} = \min\{f(y-2x), f(y)\} \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} |f(y-x) - f(x)| = |f(y-x) - M| \le \delta$  we deduce that  $f(y-2x) \le 2\delta$ . But  $2\delta \ge f(y-2x) \ge \min\{f(y-2x), f(y+2x)\} \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} |f(y) - f(2x)| \ge M - 4\delta$  which contradicts (2.9) and, thereby, ends the proof of (2.8).

We infer that

$$f(y-x) \le f(y+x) + 6\delta, \quad 0 < x < \gamma,$$

whence

$$\min\{f(y-x), f(y+x)\} \stackrel{60}{\sim} f(y-x), \quad 0 < x < y.$$

Notice that (2.8) implies

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \stackrel{12\delta}{\sim} f(y) - f(x), \quad 0 < x < y.$$

Thereby,

 $f(y-x) \stackrel{6\delta}{\sim} \min\{f(y-x), f(y+x)\} \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} |f(x) - f(y) \stackrel{12\delta}{\sim} f(y) - f(x), \text{ for } 0 < x < y, \text{ whence}$  $f(y-x) \stackrel{19\delta}{\sim} f(y) - f(x) \text{ for } 0 < x < y. \text{ Consequently,}$ 

 $f(x+\gamma) \stackrel{19\delta}{\sim} f(x) + f(\gamma), \quad x, \gamma > 0.$ 

Since *f* restricted to  $(0, \infty)$  is 19 $\delta$  -approximately additive, there is an additive function *a*:  $(0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) \stackrel{19\delta}{\sim} a(x)$  (see [7]). Moreover, since *f* is continuous, a(x) = cx for some positive *c*. Assertions (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.1 finish the proof of (2.2).  $\Box$ 

*Remark.* Kochanek noticed (oral communication) that we can decrease easily 21 $\delta$  appearing in (2.2) to 19 $\delta$ , by repeating the consideration from the proof, which we did for positive real halfline, for the negative real halfline. We would obtain  $f(x) \stackrel{19\delta}{\sim} cx$ , for x > 0,  $f(0) \stackrel{\delta}{\sim} 0$ , and  $f(x) \stackrel{19\delta}{\sim} -c'x$ , for x < 0, where c, c' are some positive constants. But, since  $f(x) \stackrel{21\delta}{\sim} c|x|$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can deduce that c' = c.

#### Acknowledgements

#### **Competing interests**

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

#### Received: 23 February 2011 Accepted: 21 July 2011 Published: 21 July 2011

#### References

- Simon (Chaljub-Simon), A, Volkmann, P: Caractérisation du module d'une fonction à l'aide d'une équation fonctionnelle. Aequationes Math. 47, 60–68 (1994). doi:10.1007/BF01838140
- Jarczyk, W, Volkmann, P: On functional equations in connection with the absolute value of additive functions. Ser Math Catovic Debrecen. 33, 1–11 http://www.math.us.edu.pl/smdk (2010)

This paper was supported by University of Silesia (Stability of some functional equations). The author wishes to thank prof. Peter Volkmann for valuable discussions. The author gratefully acknowledges the many helpful suggestions of an anonymous referee.

- Baron, K: On Baire measurable solutions of some functional equations. Central Eur J Math. 7, 804–808 (2009). doi:10.2478/s11533-009-0042-3
- Kochanek, T, Lewicki, M: On measurable solutions of a general functional equation on topological groups. Publicationes Math Debrecen. 78(2), 527–533 (2011)
- 5. Przebieracz, B: On some Pexider-type functional equations connected with absolute value of additive functions Part I. Bull Austral Math Soc. (in press)
- 6. Przebieracz, B: Superstability of some functional equation. Ser Math Catovic Debrecen. **31**, 1–4 http://www.math.us.edu. pl/smdk (2010)
- Kuczma, M: An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities, Cauchy's equation and Jensen's inequality. Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach No. 489, PWN, Warszawa-Kraków-Katowice. (1985)

#### doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2011-22

**Cite this article as:** Przebieracz: The stability of functional equation  $\min\{f(x + y), f(x - y)\} = |f(x) - f(y)|$ . Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2011 2011:22.

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com