

SUPPLEMENTS TO KNOWN MONOTONICITY RESULTS AND INEQUALITIES FOR THE GAMMA AND INCOMPLETE GAMMA FUNCTIONS

A. LAFORGIA AND P. NATALINI

Received 29 June 2005; Accepted 3 July 2005

We denote by $\Gamma(a)$ and $\Gamma(a; z)$ the gamma and the incomplete gamma functions, respectively. In this paper we prove some monotonicity results for the gamma function and extend, to $x > 0$, a lower bound established by Elbert and Laforgia (2000) for the function $\int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt = [\Gamma(1/p) - \Gamma(1/p; x^p)]/p$, with $p > 1$, only for $0 < x < (9(3p + 1)/4(2p + 1))^{1/p}$.

Copyright © 2006 A. Laforgia and P. Natalini. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and background

In a paper of 1984, Kershaw and Laforgia [4] investigated, for real α and positive x , some monotonicity properties of the function $x^\alpha [\Gamma(1 + 1/x)]^x$ where, as usual, Γ denotes the gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(a) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{a-1} dt, \quad a > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

In particular they proved that for $x > 0$ and $\alpha = 0$ the function $[\Gamma(1 + 1/x)]^x$ decreases with x , while when $\alpha = 1$ the function $x[\Gamma(1 + 1/x)]^x$ increases. Moreover they also showed that the values $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, in the properties mentioned above, cannot be improved if $x \in (0, +\infty)$. In this paper we continue the investigation on the monotonicity properties for the gamma function proving, in Section 2, the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *The functions $f(x) = \Gamma(x + 1/x)$, $g(x) = [\Gamma(x + 1/x)]^x$ and $h(x) = \Gamma'(x + 1/x)$ decrease for $0 < x < 1$, while increase for $x > 1$.*

In Section 3, we extend a result previously established by Elbert and Laforgia [2] related to a lower bound for the integral function $\int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt$ with $p > 1$. This function can be expressed by the gamma function (1.1) and incomplete gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(a; z) = \int_z^\infty e^{-t} t^{a-1} dt, \quad a > 0, z > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

2 Supplements to the gamma and incomplete gamma functions

In fact we have

$$\int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt = \frac{\Gamma(1/p) - \Gamma(1/p; x^p)}{p}. \quad (1.3)$$

If $p = 2$ it reduces, by means of a multiplicative constant, to the well-known error function $\operatorname{erf}(x)$

$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt \quad (1.4)$$

or to the complementary error function $\operatorname{erfc}(x)$

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2} dt = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt. \quad (1.5)$$

Many authors established inequalities for the function $\int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt$. Gautschi [3] proved the following lower and upper bounds

$$\frac{1}{2}[(x^p + 2)^{1/p} - x] < e^{x^p} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^p} dt \leq a_p \left[\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{1}{a_p}} - x \right], \quad (1.6)$$

where $p > 1, x \geq 0$ and

$$a_p = \left[\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \right]^{p/(p-1)}. \quad (1.7)$$

The integral in (1.6) can be expressed in the following way

$$\int_x^\infty e^{-t^p} dt = \frac{1}{p} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}; x^p\right) = \frac{1}{p} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) - \int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt. \quad (1.8)$$

Alzer [1] found the following inequalities

$$\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) (1 - e^{-x^p})^{1/p} < \int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt < \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) (1 - e^{-\alpha x^p})^{1/p}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $p > 1, x > 0$ and

$$\alpha = \left[\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \right]^{-p}. \quad (1.10)$$

Feng Qi and Sen-lin Guo [5] established, among others, the following lower bounds for $p > 1$

$$\frac{1}{2} x (1 + e^{-x^p}) \leq \int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt, \quad (1.11)$$

if $0 < x < (1 - 1/p)^{1/p}$, while

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{1/p} (1 + e^{1/p-1}) + \left(x - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{1/p}\right) e^{-((x+(1-1/p)^{1/p})/2)^p} \leq \int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt, \quad (1.12)$$

if $x > (1 - 1/p)^{1/p}$.

Elbert and Laforgia established in [2] the following estimations for the functions $\int_0^x e^{t^p} dt$ and $\int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt$

$$1 + \frac{u(x^p)}{p+1} < \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x e^{t^p} dt < 1 + \frac{u(x^p)}{p}, \quad \text{for } x > 0, p > 1, \quad (1.13)$$

$$1 - \frac{v(x^p)}{p+1} < \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt, \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \left(\frac{9(3p+1)}{4(2p+1)}\right)^{1/p}, p > 1, \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$u(x) = \int_0^x \frac{e^t - 1}{t} dt, \quad v(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t} dt. \quad (1.15)$$

In Section 3 we prove the following extension of the lower bound (1.14).

THEOREM 1.2. *For $p > 1$, the inequality (1.14) holds for $x > 0$.*

We conclude this paper, Section 4, showing some numerical results related to this last theorem.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. It is easy to note that $\min_{x>0}(x + 1/x) = 2$, consequently $\Gamma'(x + 1/x) > 0$ for every $x > 0$. We have

$$f'(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \Gamma' \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

Since $f'(x) < 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ and $f'(x) > 0$ for $x > 1$ it follows that $f(x)$ decreases for $0 < x < 1$, while increases for $x > 1$.

Now consider $G(x) = \log[g(x)]$. We have $G(x) = x \log[\Gamma(x + 1/x)]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} G'(x) &= \log \left[\Gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) \right] + \left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) \psi \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right), \\ G''(x) &= 2\psi \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) + \left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \psi' \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Since $G'(1) = 0$ and $G''(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ it follows that $G'(x) < 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ and $G'(x) > 0$ for $x \in (1, +\infty)$. Therefore $G(x)$, and consequently $g(x)$, decrease for $0 < x < 1$, while increase for $x > 1$.

Finally

$$h'(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \Gamma'' \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right). \quad (2.3)$$

4 Supplements to the gamma and incomplete gamma functions

Since $\Gamma''(x + 1/x) > 0$, hence $h'(x) < 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ and $h'(x) > 0$ for $x > 1$. It follows that $h(x)$ decreases on $0 < x < 1$, while increases for $x > 1$. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

By means the series expansion of the exponential function e^{-t^p} , we have

$$\int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{np+1}}{(np+1)n!},$$

$$v(x^p) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{x^{np}}{nn!},$$
(3.1)

consequently the inequality (1.14) is equivalent to the following

$$1 - \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{x^{np}}{nn!} < \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{np+1}}{(np+1)n!},$$
(3.2)

that is,

$$1 - \frac{x^p}{p+1} + \frac{x^{2p}}{(p+1)2 \cdot 2!} - \frac{x^{3p}}{(p+1)3 \cdot 3!} + \cdots < 1 - \frac{x^p}{p+1} + \frac{x^{2p}}{(2p+1)2!} - \frac{x^{3p}}{(3p+1)3!} + \cdots.$$
(3.3)

Since for every integer n

$$\frac{1}{(np+1)n!} - \frac{1}{n(p+1)n!} = -\frac{n-1}{(p+1)n \cdot n!(np+1)},$$
(3.4)

by putting $z = x^p$ the inequality (1.14) is equivalent to

$$s(z) = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{n-1}{(np+1)n \cdot n!} z^n > 0;$$
(3.5)

it is clear that the series to the right-hand side of (3.5) is convergent for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$. We can observe that, for $p > 1$,

$$(p+1)s_3(z) = \sum_{n=2}^3 (-1)^n \frac{n-1}{(np+1)n \cdot n!} z^n = z^2 \left(\frac{1}{4(2p+1)} - \frac{z}{9(3p+1)} \right) > 0$$
(3.6)

when $0 < z < 9(3p+1)/4(2p+1)$. As a consequence of a well known property of Leibniz type series we have $0 < s_3(z) < s(z)$ for $0 < z < 9(3p+1)/4(2p+1)$ just like was proved by Elbert and Laforgia in [2].

It is easy to observe that $z = 0$ represents a relative minimum point for the function $s(z)$ defined in (3.5). In fact we have $s(z) > 0$ for $z < 0$ and $0 < z < 9(3p+1)/4(2p+1)$.

Now we can prove Theorem 1.2 by using the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *The function $s(z)$, defined in (3.5), have not any relative maximum point in the interval $(0, +\infty)$.*

Proof. For any $n \geq 1$ consider the partial sum of series (3.5)

$$(p+1)s_{2n}(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{2n} (-1)^k \frac{k-1}{(kp+1)k \cdot k!} z^k \quad (3.7)$$

and multiply this expression by $pz^{1/p}$; we have

$$pz^{1/p}(p+1)s_{2n}(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{2n} (-1)^k \frac{k-1}{k \cdot k!((kp+1)/p)} z^{(kp+1)/p}. \quad (3.8)$$

Deriving and dividing by $z^{1/p-1}$ we obtain

$$(p+1)(s_{2n}(z) + pz s'_{2n}(z)) = \sum_{k=2}^{2n} (-1)^k \frac{k-1}{k \cdot k!} z^k. \quad (3.9)$$

A new derivation give us the following expression

$$(p+1)((p+1)s'_{2n}(z) + pz s''_{2n}(z)) = \sum_{k=2}^{2n} (-1)^k \frac{k-1}{k!} z^{k-1}. \quad (3.10)$$

Dividing by z and re-writing, in equivalent way, the indexes into the sum to the right-hand side, the last expression yields

$$(p+1)\left((p+1)\frac{s'_{2n}(z)}{z} + ps''_{2n}(z)\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} (-1)^k \frac{k+1}{(k+2)!} z^k. \quad (3.11)$$

Now consider the following series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{k+1}{(k+2)!} z^k; \quad (3.12)$$

we have for every $z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{k+1}{(k+2)!} z^k &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{z^k}{(k+1)!} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{z^k}{(k+2)!} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{z}{2} + \frac{z^2}{3!} - \frac{z^3}{4!} + \dots\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{z}{3!} + \frac{z^2}{4!} - \frac{z^3}{5!} + \dots\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \left(z - \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3!} - \frac{z^4}{4!} + \dots\right) - \frac{1}{z^2} \left(\frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^4}{4!} - \frac{z^5}{5!} + \dots\right) \\ &= \frac{1 - e^{-z}}{z} - \frac{e^{-z} - 1 + z}{z^2} = \frac{f(z)}{z^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where $f(z) = 1 - (z+1)e^{-z}$.

6 Supplements to the gamma and incomplete gamma functions

Since $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(z) = ze^{-z} > 0$ for $z > 0$, it follows that $f(z) > 0 \forall z \in (0, +\infty)$. From (3.11), by $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$(p+1) \left((p+1) \frac{s'(z)}{z} + ps''(z) \right) = \frac{f(z)}{z^2}, \quad (3.14)$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{R}$. If we assume that $\bar{z} > 0$ is a relative maximum point of $s(z)$ then $s'(\bar{z}) = 0$ and $s''(\bar{z}) < 0$, but this produces an evident contradiction when we substitute $z = \bar{z}$ in (3.14). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $s(z) > 0 \forall z \in (0, 9(3p+1)/4(2p+1))$, if we assume the existence of a point $\bar{z} > 9(3p+1)/4(2p+1)$ such that $s(\bar{z}) < 0$ then there exists at least a point $\zeta \in (9(3p+1)/4(2p+1), \bar{z})$ such that $s(\zeta) = 0$. Let ζ , eventually, be the smallest positive zero of $s(z)$, hence we have $s(0) = s(\zeta) = 0$ and $s(z) > 0 \forall z \in (0, \zeta)$. It follows therefore, that there exists a relative maximum point $z_0 \in (0, \zeta)$ for the function $s(z)$, but this is in contradiction with Lemma 3.1. \square

4. Concluding remark on Theorem 1.2

In this concluding section we report some numerical results, obtained by means the computer algebra system Mathematica ©, which justify the importance of the result obtained by means of Theorem 1.2. We briefly put

$$I(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t^p} dt, \quad (4.1)$$

while denote with

$$A(x) = \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) (1 - e^{-x^p})^{1/p} \quad (4.2)$$

the lower bound established by Alzer [1], with

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{p} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) - e^{-x^p} a_p \left[\sqrt{x^2 + \frac{1}{a_p}} - x \right] \quad (4.3)$$

that one established by Gautschi [3], with

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{1/p} (1 + e^{1/p-1}) + \left(x - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{1/p}\right) e^{-((x+(1-1/p)^{1/p})/2)^p} \quad (4.4)$$

that one established by Qi-Guo [5] when $x > (1 - 1/p)^{1/p}$, and finally with

$$E(x) = 1 - \frac{\nu(x^p)}{p+1} \quad (4.5)$$

that one established by Elbert-Laforgia [2].

Therefore the following numerical results are obtained:

(i) for $p = 50$ and $x = 1.026 > (9(3p + 1)/4(2p + 1))^{1/p} = 1.023456$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) - E(x) &= 0.000272222, \\ I(x) - A(x) &= 0.000417332, \\ I(x) - G(x) &= -0.0108717, \\ I(x) - Q(x) &= 0.301341; \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

(ii) for $p = 100$ and $x = 1.013 > (9(3p + 1)/4(2p + 1))^{1/p} = 1.01222$,

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) - E(x) &= 0.0000690398, \\ I(x) - A(x) &= 0.000205222, \\ I(x) - G(x) &= -0.0107205, \\ I(x) - Q(x) &= 0.308547; \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

(iii) for $p = 200$ and $x = 1.0065 > (9(3p + 1)/4(2p + 1))^{1/p} = 1.0061$,

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) - E(x) &= 0.0000173853, \\ I(x) - A(x) &= 0.000101731, \\ I(x) - G(x) &= -0.106414, \\ I(x) - Q(x) &= 0.312265. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

In these three numerical examples we can note that there exist values of $x > (9(3p + 1)/4(2p + 1))^{1/p}$ such that $E(x)$ represents the best lower bound of $I(x)$ with respect to $A(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $G(x)$. Moreover we state that this is always true in general, more precisely we state the following conjecture: for any $p > 1$, there exists a right neighbourhood of $(9(3p + 1)/4(2p + 1))^{1/p}$ such that $E(x)$ represents the best lower bound of $I(x)$ with respect to $A(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $G(x)$.

References

- [1] H. Alzer, *On some inequalities for the incomplete gamma function*, Mathematics of Computation **66** (1997), no. 218, 771–778.
- [2] Á. Elbert and A. Laforgia, *An inequality for the product of two integrals relating to the incomplete gamma function*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **5** (2000), no. 1, 39–51.
- [3] W. Gautschi, *Some elementary inequalities relating to the gamma and incomplete gamma function*, Journal of Mathematics and Physics **38** (1959), 77–81.
- [4] D. Kershaw and A. Laforgia, *Monotonicity results for the gamma function*, Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali **119** (1985), no. 3-4, 127–133 (1986).

8 Supplements to the gamma and incomplete gamma functions

- [5] F. Qi and S.-L. Guo, *Inequalities for the incomplete gamma and related functions*, *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications* **2** (1999), no. 1, 47–53.

A. Laforgia: Department of Mathematics, Roma Tre University, Largo San Leonardo Murialdo 1, 00146 Rome, Italy

E-mail address: laforgia@mat.uniroma3.it

P. Natalini: Department of Mathematics, Roma Tre University, Largo San Leonardo Murialdo 1, 00146 Rome, Italy

E-mail address: natalini@mat.uniroma3.it