

DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES METHOD TO n Th-ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

GUANGWA WANG, MINGRU ZHOU, AND LI SUN

Received 31 March 2005; Revised 18 October 2005; Accepted 7 December 2005

By the theory of differential inequality, bounding function method, and the theory of topological degree, this paper presents the existence criterions of solutions for the general n th-order differential equations under nonlinear boundary conditions, and extends many existing results.

Copyright © 2006 Guangwa Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

From Nagumo [10], there have been many accomplishments on the study of the existence of solutions for boundary value problems (BVPs) using the theory of differential inequality (cf. [1–9, 11–17]). However, for the n th-order nonlinear differential equations with the nonlinear boundary conditions, results are very few. The authors made some attempts to solve the n th-order Robin problem [14]. Now we are concerned with the n th-order nonlinear BVP:

$$\begin{aligned}y^{(n)} &= f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}), \\P_i(y(a), y'(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\P_n(y(b), y'(b), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(b)) &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where $t \in I = [a, b]$, $f(t, \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) \in C(I \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, $P_i(\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, $P_n(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$.

Our method is not only modifying the nonlinear function in the original equations, but also transforming the original nonlinear boundary conditions into some new boundary conditions which are easy to discuss. Thus, we get the new BVP which will be discussed firstly, then the judgement of the existence of solutions for the original BVP will be attained naturally. This technique dealing with the nonlinear problem is simpler and

2 Differential inequalities method to n th-order BVPs

clearer compared with the method of shooting. However, it has scarcely been used in the available reference materials.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give out some basic concepts and the preparative theorem. In Section 3, the main result is presented and proved. In Section 4, a more general BVP is studied. Finally, in Section 5, we use the results to solve an example which cannot be solved by [1–17].

2. Preparative theorem

2.1. Basic concepts. We first define a function

$$\delta(r, x, s) \equiv \begin{cases} r & \text{if } x < r, \\ x & \text{if } r \leq x \leq s, \\ s & \text{if } s < x, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $r, x, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \leq s$.

Definition 2.1. Assume that $\alpha(t), \beta(t) \in C^n(I, \mathbb{R})$. The pair of functions $(\alpha(t), \beta(t))$ is called a bounding function pair (or simply, a bounding pair) of BVP (1.1) in case there exists $N > 0$ such that for all $u(t) \in C^n(I, \mathbb{R})$:

- (i) $\alpha^{(j)}(t) \leq \beta^{(j)}(t)$, $t \in I$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$;
- (ii) $\alpha^{(n)}(t) \geq f(t, \bar{u}(t), \bar{u}'(t), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-3)}(t), \alpha^{(n-2)}(t), \alpha^{(n-1)}(t)), \beta^{(n)}(t) \leq f(t, \bar{u}(t), \bar{u}'(t), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-3)}(t), \beta^{(n-2)}(t), \beta^{(n-1)}(t))$, where $\bar{u}^{(j)}(t) = \delta(\alpha^{(j)}(t), u^{(j)}(t), \beta^{(j)}(t))$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-3$;
- (iii) $P_i(\bar{u}(a), \dots, \bar{u}^{(i-2)}(a), \alpha^{(i-1)}(a), \alpha^{(i)}(a), \bar{u}^{(i+1)}(a), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-1)}(a)) \leq 0 \leq P_i(\bar{u}(a), \dots, \bar{u}^{(i-2)}(a), \beta^{(i-1)}(a), \beta^{(i)}(a), \bar{u}^{(i+1)}(a), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-1)}(a)), P_n(\bar{u}(b), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-3)}(b), \alpha^{(n-2)}(b), \alpha^{(n-1)}(b)) \leq 0 \leq P_n(\bar{u}(b), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-3)}(b), \beta^{(n-2)}(b), \beta^{(n-1)}(b))$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, $\bar{u}^{(n-2)}(a) = \delta(\alpha^{(n-2)}(a), u^{(n-2)}(a), \beta^{(n-2)}(a))$, $\bar{u}^{(n-1)}(a) = \delta(-N, u^{(n-1)}(a), N)$.

Definition 2.2. A continuous function $f(t, \xi_0, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$ is said to satisfy a Nagumo condition with respect to variable ξ_{n-1} on the set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(t, \xi_0, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) \mid t \in I; |\xi_j| \leq r_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, r_j \text{ is a positive constant; } \xi_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (2.2)$$

in case there exists function $\Phi(t) \in C([0, +\infty], (0, +\infty))$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, \xi_0, \dots, \xi_{n-1})| &\leq \Phi(|\xi_{n-1}|), \\ \int^{+\infty} \frac{s ds}{\Phi(s)} &= +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

2.2. The modified problem. Assume that there are two functions $\alpha(t), \beta(t)$ satisfying

$$\alpha^{(j)}(t) \leq \beta^{(j)}(t), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2. \quad (2.4)$$

We define function

$$\bar{f}(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}) \equiv f(t, \bar{y}, \bar{y}', \dots, \bar{y}^{(n-1)}) + h(y^{(n-2)}), \quad (2.5)$$

where $\bar{y}^{(j)}(t) = \delta(\alpha^{(j)}(t), y^{(j)}(t), \beta^{(j)}(t))$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$) and $\bar{y}^{(n-1)}(t) = \delta(-N, y^{(n-1)}(t), N)$. N is a positive constant such that

$$N > \max_{t \in I} \left\{ \frac{2M}{b-a}, |\alpha^{(n-1)}(t)|, |\beta^{(n-1)}(t)| \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\int_{2M/(b-a)}^N \frac{s ds}{\Phi(s)} > 2M, \quad (2.7)$$

in which $M > \max_{t \in I} \{|\alpha^{(n-2)}(t)|, |\beta^{(n-2)}(t)|\}$. $h(y^{(n-2)})$ is continuous, bounded, and

$$h(y^{(n-2)}) \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if } y^{(n-2)} < \alpha^{(n-2)}, \\ = 0 & \text{if } \alpha^{(n-2)} \leq y^{(n-2)} \leq \beta^{(n-2)}, \\ > 0 & \text{if } y^{(n-2)} > \beta^{(n-2)}. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

Such function $h(\cdot)$ is easy to obtain, for example, let

$$h(y^{(n-2)}) \equiv \frac{y^{(n-2)} - \bar{y}^{(n-2)}}{1 + |y^{(n-2)} - \bar{y}^{(n-2)}|}. \quad (2.9)$$

In addition, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}_i(y(t), y'(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t)) & \\ & \equiv \delta(\alpha^{(i-1)}(t), y^{(i-1)}(t) - P_i(y(t), y'(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t)), \beta^{(i-1)}(t)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ \bar{P}_n(y(t), y'(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t)) & \\ & \equiv \delta(\alpha^{(n-2)}(t), y^{(n-2)}(t) - P_n(y(t), y'(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t)), \beta^{(n-2)}(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Then we consider the following modified problem:

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(n)} &= \bar{f}(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}), \\ y^{(i-1)}(a) &= \bar{P}_i(y(a), y'(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ y^{(n-2)}(b) &= \bar{P}_n(y(b), y'(b), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(b)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

2.3. Preparative theorem.

LEMMA 2.3. Assume that

- (A1) BVP (1.1) has a bounding pair $(\alpha(t), \beta(t))$ on the interval I by Definition 2.1;
- (A2) the function $f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ in BVP (1.1) satisfies the Nagumo condition with respect to $y^{(n-1)}(t)$ by Definition 2.2.

Then BVP (2.11) has a solution $y(t) \in C^n(I, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(i)}(t) \leq y^{(i)}(t) \leq \beta^{(i)}(t), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \\ |y^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq N, \quad t \in I, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where N is the positive constant given in the definition of \bar{f} .

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is a simple consequence of the following three propositions.

PROPOSITION 2.4. The modified BVP (2.11) has a solution $y(t) \in C^n(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(n)} &= \lambda \bar{f}(t, y, \dots, y^{(n-1)}) \equiv g(t), \\ y^{(i-1)}(a) &= \lambda \bar{P}_i(y(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) \equiv g_i(a), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ y^{(n-2)}(b) &= \lambda \bar{P}_n(y(b), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(b)) \equiv g_n(b), \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. From the representations of \bar{f} , \bar{P}_i , and \bar{P}_n , we know that $y^{(n)}(t)$, $y^{(i-1)}(a)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$), and $y^{(n-2)}(b)$ all are bounded. Also, by the mean value theorem, we may ensure that $y^{(n-1)}(t), \dots, y'(t), y(t)$ all are bounded functions in I . In fact, by the mean value theorem, there exists some $\xi \in (a, b)$ satisfying

$$y^{(n-2)}(b) - y^{(n-2)}(a) = y^{(n-1)}(\xi)(b - a), \tag{2.14}$$

then $y^{(n-1)}(\xi)$ is bounded. From

$$y^{(n-1)}(t) - y^{(n-1)}(\xi) = y^{(n)}(\eta)(t - \xi) \quad \forall t \in [a, b], \tag{2.15}$$

$y^{(n-1)}(t)$ is bounded. Thus, from

$$y^{(i)}(t) - y^{(i)}(a) = y^{(i+1)}(\zeta)(t - a), \quad \eta \in (a, b), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \tag{2.16}$$

it is easy to see that $y^{(n-2)}(t), \dots, y'(t), y(t)$ all are bounded in I .

Let $\Omega = \{y(t) \in C^n(I, \mathbb{R}) \mid \|y^{(i)}(t)\| < K, \text{ for all } t \in I, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, K \text{ is some sufficiently large positive constant}\}$. Then Ω is a bounded open set. BVP (2.13) can be equivalently written as the following integral equation:

$$y(t) = c_1 + c_2 t + c_3 t^2 + \dots + c_n t^{n-1} + \int_a^t \int_a^{t_{n-1}} \dots \int_b^{t_1} g(s) ds dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1} \equiv T_\lambda y, \tag{2.17}$$

where T_λ is an integral operator with a parameter λ and (c_1, \dots, c_n) is determined by the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 + c_2 a + c_3 a^2 + \cdots + c_n a^{n-1} &= g_1(a), \\ c_2 + c_3 \cdot 2a + \cdots + c_n (n-1) a^{n-2} &= g_2(a), \\ &\vdots \\ c_{n-1} (n-2)(n-3) \cdots 3 + c_n (n-1)! a &= g_{n-1}(a), \\ c_{n-1} (n-2)(n-3) \cdots 3 + c_n (n-1)! b &= g_n(b) - \int_a^b \int_b^{t_1} g(s) ds dt_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Let $H(\lambda, y) = (I - T_\lambda)(y)$, then $H : [0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, where I is identity mapping. Let $h_\lambda(y) = H(\lambda, y)$, then $0 \notin h_\lambda(\partial\Omega)$. In fact, for all $y \in \partial\Omega$, $\|y\| \geq K$. Noticing that K is sufficiently large, we have

$$\|h_\lambda(y)\| = \|y - T_\lambda y\| \geq \|y\| - \|T_\lambda y\| \geq K - \|T_\lambda y\| > 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]. \quad (2.19)$$

Thus, $0 \notin h_\lambda(\partial\Omega)$. By the homotopy invariance theorem of topological degree, $\deg(h_\lambda, \Omega, 0)$ is a constant, in particular, $\deg(h_1, \Omega, 0) = \deg(h_0, \Omega, 0)$. Noticing that $0 \in \Omega$, by the normality of topological degree, we have

$$\deg(h_1, \Omega, 0) = \deg(h_0, \Omega, 0) = \deg(I - T_0, \Omega, 0) = \deg(I, \Omega, 0) = 1. \quad (2.20)$$

Hence, by the solvability theorem of topological degree, it is clear that there exists some $y(t)$ satisfying (2.17), then this proposition is proved. \square

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Every solution $y(t)$ of the modified BVP (2.11) satisfies*

$$\alpha^{(i)}(t) \leq y^{(i)}(t) \leq \beta^{(i)}(t), \quad t \in I, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2. \quad (2.21)$$

Proof. First, we show that

$$\alpha^{(n-2)}(t) \leq y^{(n-2)}(t) \leq \beta^{(n-2)}(t), \quad t \in I. \quad (2.22)$$

If $\alpha^{(n-2)}(t) \leq y^{(n-2)}(t)$ is not true, then there exists some $\xi \in [a, b]$, such that

$$\max_{t \in I} (\alpha^{(n-2)}(t) - y^{(n-2)}(t)) = \alpha^{(n-2)}(\xi) - y^{(n-2)}(\xi) > 0. \quad (2.23)$$

Then $\xi \neq a, b$ by the boundary conditions of BVP (2.11). Thus

$$\alpha^{(n-1)}(\xi) - y^{(n-1)}(\xi) = 0, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\alpha^{(n)}(\xi) - y^{(n)}(\xi) \leq 0. \quad (2.25)$$

6 Differential inequalities method to n th-order BVPs

However, on the other hand, from the definition of $\alpha(t)$ and that $y(t)$ is a solution of (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(n)}(\xi) - y^{(n)}(\xi) &\geq f\left(\xi, \bar{y}(\xi), \dots, \bar{y}^{(n-3)}(\xi), \alpha^{(n-2)}(\xi), \alpha^{(n-1)}(\xi)\right) \\ &\quad - f\left(\xi, \bar{y}(\xi), \dots, \bar{y}^{(n-3)}(\xi), \bar{y}^{(n-2)}(\xi), \bar{y}^{(n-1)}(\xi)\right) - h\left(y^{(n-2)}(\xi)\right) \\ &= -h\left(y^{(n-2)}(\xi)\right) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

This contradicts (2.25). Hence,

$$\alpha^{(n-2)}(t) \leq y^{(n-2)}(t), \quad t \in I. \tag{2.27}$$

A similar proof shows that

$$y^{(n-2)}(t) \leq \beta^{(n-2)}(t), \quad t \in I. \tag{2.28}$$

To sum up, (2.22) is true. From (2.22), the function $y^{(n-3)}(t) - \alpha^{(n-3)}(t)$ is increasing in I . Noticing

$$\alpha^{(n-3)}(a) \leq y^{(n-3)}(a), \tag{2.29}$$

we know that $\alpha^{(n-3)}(t) \leq y^{(n-3)}(t)$. A similar proof shows $y^{(n-3)}(t) \leq \beta^{(n-3)}(t)$. Using the same argument, it follows that $\alpha^{(i)}(t) \leq y^{(i)}(t) \leq \beta^{(i)}(t)$, $i = n-4, n-5, \dots, 2, 1$. Thus, the proof of Proposition 2.5 is completed. \square

PROPOSITION 2.6. *For every solution $y(t)$ of the modified BVP (2.11) holds*

$$|y^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq N, \quad t \in I. \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists some $\tau \in [a, b]$ such that

$$|y^{(n-1)}(\tau)| > N. \tag{2.31}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $y^{(n-1)}(\tau) > N$. There exists $\xi \in (a, b)$, such that

$$y^{(n-1)}(\xi) = \frac{y^{(n-2)}(b) - y^{(n-2)}(a)}{b - a} \leq \frac{2M}{b - a} < N. \tag{2.32}$$

Hence, there exists some subinterval $[c, d]$ (or $[d, c]$) $\subset [a, b]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(n-1)}(c) &= \frac{2M}{b - a}, & y^{(n-1)}(d) &= N, \\ \frac{2M}{b - a} &\leq y^{(n-1)}(t) \leq N, & \forall t \in [c, d] \text{ (or } [d, c]). \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

From condition (A2),

$$\left| \int_c^d \frac{y^{(n-1)}(s)y^{(n)}(s)}{\Phi(|y^{(n-1)}(s)|)} ds \right| \leq \left| \int_c^d y^{(n-1)}(s) ds \right| = |y^{(n-2)}(d) - y^{(n-2)}(c)| \leq 2M. \quad (2.34)$$

On the other hand, from (2.7) we know that

$$\left| \int_c^d \frac{y^{(n-1)}(s)y^{(n)}(s)}{\Phi(|y^{(n-1)}(s)|)} ds \right| = \left| \int_{2M/(b-a)}^N \frac{r dr}{\Phi(r)} \right| = \int_{2M/(b-a)}^N \frac{r dr}{\Phi(r)} > 2M. \quad (2.35)$$

This inequality contradicts the above one and Proposition 2.6 holds. \square

3. Main theorem

Now, the main result of this paper is given in the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that the conditions (A1), (A2) in Lemma 2.3 hold and added to (A3). The function $P_i(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-1})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) satisfies*

- (i) $P_i(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-1})$ is increasing in η_{i-1} and decreasing in η_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$;
- (ii) $P_{n-1}(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-1})$ is decreasing in η_{n-1} ;
- (iii) $P_n(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-1})$ is increasing in η_{n-1} .

Then BVP (1.1) has a solution $y(t) \in C^n(I, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(i)}(t) \leq y^{(i)}(t) \leq \beta^{(i)}(t), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \\ |y^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq N, \quad t \in I, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where N is the positive constant given in the definition of \bar{f} .

Proof. From Lemma 2.3 and the definition of \bar{f} , the solution $y(t)$ of the modified BVP (2.11) satisfies (1.1). As soon as it is proved that $y(t)$ satisfies the boundary conditions of (1.1) under condition (A3), we may say that $y(t)$ is a solution of BVP (1.1).

First, we prove

$$P_i(y(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2. \quad (3.2)$$

Case 1. Suppose that

$$\alpha^{(i-1)}(a) \leq y^{(i-1)}(a) - P_i(y(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) \leq \beta^{(i-1)}(a). \quad (3.3)$$

Then

$$y^{(i-1)}(a) = \bar{P}_i(y(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) = y^{(i-1)}(a) - P_i(y(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)). \quad (3.4)$$

Thus

$$P_i(y(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

8 Differential inequalities method to n th-order BVPs

Case 2. Suppose that there exists some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$ such that

$$\alpha^{(i-1)}(a) > y^{(i-1)}(a) - P_i(y(a), y'(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)). \quad (3.6)$$

Then

$$y^{(i-1)}(a) = \bar{P}_i(y(a), y'(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) = \alpha^{(i-1)}(a). \quad (3.7)$$

Hence

$$P_i(y(a), y'(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

From Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 and condition (A3),

$$P_i(\bar{y}(a), \dots, \bar{y}^{(i-2)}(a), \alpha^{(i-1)}(a), \alpha^{(i)}(a), \bar{y}^{(i+1)}(a), \dots, \bar{y}^{(n-1)}(a)) > 0. \quad (3.9)$$

It is easy to see that the last inequality contradicts Definition 2.1(iii). Therefore, Case 2 is not true.

Case 3. Suppose that there exists some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$ such that

$$y^{(i-1)}(a) - P_i(y(a), y'(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) > \beta^{(i-1)}(a). \quad (3.10)$$

Then by the analogous analysis, we have

$$P_i(\bar{y}(a), \dots, \bar{y}^{(i-2)}(a), \beta^{(i-1)}(a), \beta^{(i)}(a), \bar{y}^{(i+1)}(a), \dots, \bar{y}^{(n-1)}(a)) \leq P_i(y(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) < 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Obviously, the last inequality contradicts Definition 2.1(iii). Therefore, this case cannot hold.

To sum up, (3.2) holds.

A similar proof shows that

$$P_{n-1}(y(a), y'(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a)) = 0, \quad P_n(y(b), y'(b), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(b)) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

The proof is completed. \square

4. A generalized problem

Now, we consider the following boundary value problem with more general boundary conditions:

$$y^{(n)} = f(t, y, \dots, y^{(n-1)}), \quad P_i(y(a), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a), y(b), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(b)) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where $t \in I$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, f and P_i are continuous functions.

Similarly to Definition 2.1, we give the following.

Definition 4.1. Assume $\alpha(t), \beta(t) \in C^n(I, \mathbb{R})$. The pair of functions $(\alpha(t), \beta(t))$ is called a bounding function pair of BVP (4.1) in case that for all $u(t) \in C^n(I, \mathbb{R})$

- (i) the same as Definition 2.1(i);
- (ii) the same as Definition 2.1(ii);
- (iii)'

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_i(\bar{u}(a), \dots, \alpha^{(i-1)}(a), \alpha^{(i)}(a), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-1)}(a), \bar{u}(b), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-1)}(b)) \\
 & \leq 0 \leq P_i(\bar{u}(a), \dots, \beta^{(i-1)}(a), \beta^{(i)}(a), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-1)}(a), \bar{u}(b), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-1)}(b)), \\
 & P_n(\bar{u}(a), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-1)}(a), \bar{u}(b), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-3)}(b), \alpha^{(n-2)}(b), \alpha^{(n-1)}(b)) \\
 & \leq 0 \leq P_n(\bar{u}(a), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-1)}(a), \bar{u}(b), \dots, \bar{u}^{(n-3)}(b), \beta^{(n-2)}(b), \beta^{(n-1)}(b)),
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

For BVP (4.1), we have the following existence theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. Assume that

- (A1)' BVP (4.1) has a bounding function pair $(\alpha(t), \beta(t))$ in the interval I by Definition 4.1;
- (A2)' the function $f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ in BVP (4.1) satisfies the Nagumo condition with respect to $y^{(n-1)}(t)$ by Definition 2.2;
- (A3)' the function $P_i(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-1}, \zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{n-1})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) satisfies
 - (i) $P_i(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-1}, \zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{n-1})$ is increasing in η_{i-1} and decreasing in η_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$;
 - (ii) $P_{n-1}(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-1}, \zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{n-1})$ is decreasing in η_{n-1} ;
 - (iii) $P_n(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-1}, \zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{n-1})$ is increasing in ζ_{n-1} .

Then BVP (4.1) has a solution $y(t) \in C^n(I, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha^{(i)}(t) & \leq y^{(i)}(t) \leq \beta^{(i)}(t), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \\
 |y^{(n-1)}(t)| & \leq N, \quad t \in I,
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where N is the positive constant given in the definition of \bar{f} .

Proof. Consider the modified problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 y^{(n)} & = \bar{f}(t, y, \dots, y^{(n-1)}), & y^{(i-1)}(a) & = \bar{P}_i(a), & y^{(n-2)}(b) & = \bar{P}_n(b), \\
 & & i & = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The modified function $\bar{f}(t, y, \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ is defined as BVP (2.11), and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{P}_i(t) & \equiv \bar{P}_i(y(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t), y(b+a-t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(b+a-t)) \\
 & \equiv \delta(\alpha^{(i-1)}(t), y^{(i-1)}(t) - P_i(y(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t), \\
 & \quad y(b+a-t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(b+a-t)), \beta^{(i-1)}(t)),
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}_n(t) &\equiv \bar{P}_n\left(y(b+a-t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(b+a-t), y(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t)\right) \\ &\equiv \delta\left(\alpha^{(n-2)}(t), y^{(n-2)}(t) - P_n\left(y(b+a-t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(b+a-t), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. y(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t)\right), \beta^{(n-2)}(t)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Using the same argument as the proof of Lemma 2.3, it follows that under the conditions (A1)' and (A2)', BVP (4.4) has a solution $y(t)$ satisfying the two inequalities in the conclusions of Lemma 2.3. Furthermore, in an analogous way to the proof of Theorem 3.1, it follows that the solution $y(t)$ of BVP (4.4) is a solution of BVP (4.1). Consequently, the proof of Theorem 4.2 is completed. The details of the proof will be omitted. \square

5. An example

In this section, we study an example by making use of Theorems 3.1 and 4.2.

Example 5.1. Consider the 4th-order nonlinear boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(iv)} &= (t-y)^2 - t(1+t^2)y' + \frac{112}{\sin 2}(1+(y')^2)\sin(y'') + (t+t^2)^2(1+(y''')^2), \\ 4y(1) - \frac{1}{8}(y'(1))^3 - y''(1) + \frac{k}{6}y(2) &= A, \\ 5y'(1) - \frac{1}{2}y''(1) + \frac{k}{8}(y'(2))^2 &= B, \\ y(1) + 2y''(1) - y'''(1) - \frac{k}{2}y''(2) &= C, \\ ky(1) - y'(2) - 4(y''(2))^2 + 4(y'''(2))^3 &= D, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $t \in [1, 2]$, k is a constant.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) &= (t - \xi_0)^2 - t(1+t^2)\xi_1 + \frac{112}{\sin 2}(1 + \xi_1^2)\sin \xi_2 + (t+t^2)^2(1 + \xi_3^2), \\ P_1(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) &= 4\eta_0 - \frac{1}{8}\eta_1^3 - \eta_2 + \frac{k}{6}\zeta_0 - A, \\ P_2(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) &= 5\eta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\eta_2 + \frac{k}{8}\zeta_1^2 - B, \\ P_3(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) &= \eta_0 + 2\eta_2 - \eta_3 - \frac{k}{2}\zeta_2 - C, \\ P_4(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) &= k\eta_0 - \zeta_1 - 4\zeta_2^2 + 4\zeta_3^3 - D. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Let

$$\alpha(t) = -t^2, \quad \beta(t) = t. \quad (5.3)$$

Then, for the case of $k = 0$, $A \in [-1, 31/8]$, $B \in [-9, 5]$, $C \in [-3, -1]$, $D \in [-12, -1]$, and the case of $k = 1$, $A \in [-2/3, 77/24]$, $B \in [-7, 5]$, $C \in [-2, -1]$, $D \in [-11, -2]$, it is easy to prove that $(\alpha(t), \beta(t))$ is a bounding pair of BVP (5.1) and all assumptions of Theorems 3.1 and 4.2 are fulfilled, respectively. Hence, for any of the two cases, BVP (5.1) has at least one solution $y(t)$ satisfying

$$-t^2 \leq y(t) \leq t, \quad -2t \leq y'(t) \leq 1, \quad -2 \leq y''(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [1, 2]. \quad (5.4)$$

Acknowledgments

Sincere thanks to the anonymous Referee for his/her careful reading and the Editors for their careful and patient work. This work is supported by the NSF (04XLB03, 05XLA02, and 05XLB02) of Xuzhou Normal University.

References

- [1] A. Cabada, M. do R. Grossinho, and F. Minhós, *On the solvability of some discontinuous third order nonlinear differential equations with two point boundary conditions*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **285** (2003), no. 1, 174–190.
- [2] K. W. Chang and F. A. Howes, *Nonlinear Singular Perturbation Phenomena: Theory and Applications*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 56, Springer, New York, 1984.
- [3] Z. Du, W. Ge, and X. Lin, *Existence of solutions for a class of third-order nonlinear boundary value problems*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **294** (2004), no. 1, 104–112.
- [4] J. Ehme, P. W. Eloe, and J. Henderson, *Upper and lower solution methods for fully nonlinear boundary value problems*, Journal of Differential Equations **180** (2002), no. 1, 51–64.
- [5] L. H. Erbe, *Existence of solutions to boundary value problems for second order differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis **6** (1982), no. 11, 1155–1162.
- [6] Ch. Fabry and P. Habets, *Upper and lower solutions for second-order boundary value problems with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Nonlinear Analysis **10** (1986), no. 10, 985–1007.
- [7] F. A. Howes, *Differential inequalities and applications to nonlinear singular perturbation problems*, Journal of Differential Equations **20** (1976), no. 1, 133–149.
- [8] W. G. Kelley, *Some existence theorems for n th-order boundary value problems*, Journal of Differential Equations **18** (1975), no. 1, 158–169.
- [9] Z. Lin and M. Zhou, *Perturbation Methods in Applied Mathematics*, Jiangsu Education Press, Nanjing, 1995.
- [10] M. Nagumo, *Über die Differentialgleichung $y'' = f(x, y, y')$* , Proceedings of the Physico-Mathematical Society of Japan **19** (1937), 861–866.
- [11] M. A. O'Donnell, *Semi-linear systems of boundary value problems*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **15** (1984), no. 2, 316–332.
- [12] M. H. Pei, *Nonlinear two-point boundary value problems for n th-order nonlinear differential equations*, Acta Mathematica Sinica **43** (2000), no. 5, 921–930.
- [13] F. Sadyrbaev, *Nonlinear fourth-order two-point boundary value problems*, The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics **25** (1995), no. 2, 757–781.

12 Differential inequalities method to n th-order BVPs

- [14] G. Wang, M. Zhou, and L. Sun, *Existence of solutions of two-point boundary value problems for the systems of n th-order differential equations*, Journal of Nanjing University. Mathematical Bi-quarterly **19** (2002), no. 1, 68–79 (Chinese).
- [15] X. Yang, *The method of lower and upper solutions for systems of boundary value problems*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **144** (2003), no. 1, 169–172.
- [16] ———, *Upper and lower solutions for periodic problems*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **137** (2003), no. 2-3, 413–422.
- [17] X. Zhang, *An existence theorem and estimation of the solution of the Robin problem for a class of n th order equations*, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica **14** (1991), no. 3, 397–403 (Chinese).

Guangwa Wang: Department of Mathematics, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China
E-mail address: wgw7653@xznu.edu.cn

Mingru Zhou: Department of Mathematics, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China
E-mail address: zhoumr@xznu.edu.cn

Li Sun: Department of Mathematics, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China
E-mail address: slwgw-7653@xznu.edu.cn