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Let $\mathbb{R}^{+}=[0, \infty)$, let $R: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a continuous, nonnegative, and increasing function, and let $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ be the orthonormal polynomials with the weight $w_{\rho}(x)=x^{\rho} e^{-R(x)}, \rho>-1 / 2$. For the zeros $\left\{x_{k, n, \rho}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ of $p_{n, \rho}(x)=p_{n}\left(w_{\rho}^{2} ; x\right)$, we estimate $p_{n, \rho}^{(j)}\left(x_{k, n, \rho}\right)$, where $j$ is a positive integer. Moreover, we investigate the various weighted $L_{p}$-norms $(0<p \leqslant \infty)$ of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$.

## 1. Introduction and Main Results

Let $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}^{+}=[0, \infty)$. Let $R: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a continuous, nonnegative, and increasing function. Consider the exponential weights $w_{\rho}(x)=x^{\rho} \exp (-R(x)), \rho>-1 / 2$, and then we construct the orthonormal polynomials $\left\{p_{n, \rho}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with the weight $w_{\rho}(x)$. In this paper, for the zeros $\left\{x_{k n}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ of $p_{n, \rho}(x)=p_{n}\left(w_{\rho}^{2} ; x\right)$ we estimate $p_{n, \rho}^{(j)}\left(x_{k n}\right)$, where $j$ is a positive integer. Moreover, we investigate the various weighted $L_{p}$-norms ( $0<p \leqslant \infty$ ) of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$.

We say that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is quasi-increasing if there exists $C>0$ such that $f(x) \leqslant C f(y)$ for $0<x<y$. The notation $f(x) \sim g(x)$ means that there are positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ such that for the relevant range of $x, C_{1} \leqslant f(x) / g(x) \leqslant C_{2}$. The similar notation is used for sequences and sequences of functions.

Throughout, $C, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ denote positive constants independent of $n, x, t$. The same symbol does not necessarily denote the same constant in different occurrences. We denote the class of polynomials with degree $n$ by $p_{n}$.

First, we introduce some classes of weights.
Levin and Lubinsky $[1,2]$ introduced the class of weights on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$as follows. Let $I=$ $[0, d)$, where $0<d \leqslant \infty$.

Definition 1.1 (see $[1,2]$ ). We assume that $R: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ has the following properties. Let $Q(t)=R\left(t^{2}\right)$,
(a) $\sqrt{x} R(x)$ is continuous in $I$, with limit 0 at 0 and $R(0)=0$,
(b) $R^{\prime \prime}(x)$ exists in $(0, d)$, while $Q^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is positive in $(0, \sqrt{d})$,
(c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow d-} R(x)=\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x):=\frac{x R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is quasi-increasing in $(0, d)$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x) \geqslant \Lambda>\frac{1}{2}, \quad x \in(0, d) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|R^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|}{R^{\prime}(x)} \leqslant C_{1} \frac{R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)}, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in(0, d) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we write $w \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$. If there also exists a compact subinterval $J^{*} \ni 0$ of $I^{*}=(-\sqrt{d}, \sqrt{d})$, and $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right|} \geqslant C_{2} \frac{\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right|}{Q(t)}, \quad \text { a.e. } t \in I^{*} \backslash J^{*} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we write $w \in \mathscr{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$.
We consider the case $d=\infty$, that is, the space $\mathbb{R}^{+}=[0, \infty)$, and we strengthen Definition 1.1 slightly.

Definition 1.2. We assume that $R: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$has the following properties:
(a) $R(x), R^{\prime}(x)$ are continuous, positive in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, with $R(0)=0, R^{\prime}(0)=0$,
(b) $R^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ exists in $\mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\}$,
(c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} R(x)=\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x):=\frac{x R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is quasi-increasing in $\mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x) \geqslant \Lambda>\frac{1}{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R^{\prime \prime}(x)}{R^{\prime}(x)} \leqslant C_{1} \frac{R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)}, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists a compact subinterval $J \ni 0$ of $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, and $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R^{\prime \prime}(x)}{R^{\prime}(x)} \geqslant C_{2} \frac{R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)}, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash J, \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we write $w \in \mathscr{L}_{2}$.
Let us consider the weight $w \in \mathscr{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ in Definition 1.2. Levin and Lubinsky [2, Theorem 1.3] have given the following theorem.

Theorem A (see [3, Theorem 1.3]). Let $w \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ and $\rho>-1 / 2$. There exists $n_{0}$ such that uniformly for $n \geqslant n_{0}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} w_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{j n}\left(a_{n}-x_{j n}\right)\right]^{-1 / 4} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we will estimate the higher-order derivatives of the orthonormal polynomials $p_{n}\left(w_{\rho}^{2} ; x\right)$. However, we need to focus on a smaller class of weights.

Definition 1.3. Let $w=\exp (-R) \in \mathscr{L}_{2}$ and $v \geqslant 2$ be an integer. For the exponent $R$, we assume the following:
(a) $R^{(j)}(x)>0$, for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathcal{v}$ and $x>0$, and $R^{(j)}(0)=0,0 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathcal{v}-1$.
(b) there exist positive constants $C_{i}>0$ such that for $i=1,2, \ldots, v-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{(i+1)}(x) \leqslant C_{i} R^{(i)}(x) \frac{R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)}, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\}, \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) there exist positive constants $C, 0<c_{1} \leqslant 1$ and $0 \leqslant \delta<1$ such that for $x \in\left(0, c_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{(v)}(x) \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\delta}, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) there exists $c_{2}>0$ such that we have one among the following:
(d1) $T(x) / \sqrt{x}$ is quasi-increasing on $\left(c_{2}, \infty\right)$,
(d2) $R^{(v)}(x)$ is nondecreasing on $\left(c_{2}, \infty\right)$.
Then, we write $w(x)=e^{-R(x)} \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{v}$.
Example 1.4 (see $[1,4]$ ). Let $v \geqslant 2$ be a fixed integer. There are some typical examples satisfying all conditions of Definition 1.3 constructed as follows: let $\alpha>1, l \geqslant 1$, where $l$ is an integer. Then, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{l, \alpha}(x)=\exp _{l}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)-\exp _{l}(0) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\exp _{l}(x)=\exp (\exp (\exp \cdots \exp (x)) \cdots)$ is the $l$ th iterated exponential.
(1) When $\alpha>v$, we consider $w(x)=e^{-R_{l, \alpha}(x)}$, then $w \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{v}$.
(2) When $\alpha \leqslant v, \alpha$ is an integer, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{l, \alpha}(x)=\exp _{l}\left(|x|^{\alpha}\right)-\exp _{l}(0)-\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{R_{l, \alpha}^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^{j} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $w(x)=e^{-R_{l, \alpha}(x)} \in \tilde{\mathscr{\varrho}}_{\nu}$.
In the rest of this paper, we consider the classes $\mathscr{\perp}_{2}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{v}$; let $w \in \mathscr{\perp}_{2}$ or $w \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{v}$ $(v \geqslant 2)$. For $\rho>-1 / 2$, we set $w_{\rho}(x):=x^{\rho} w(x)$. Then, we can construct the orthonormal polynomials $p_{n, \rho}(x)=p_{n}\left(w_{\rho}^{2} ; x\right)$ of degree $n$ with respect to $w_{\rho}^{2}(x)$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{n, \rho}(u) p_{m, \rho}(u) w_{\rho}^{2}(u) d u=\delta_{n m} \quad(\text { Kronecker's delta }) n, m=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote the zeros of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<x_{n, n, \rho}<\cdots<x_{2, n, \rho}<x_{1, n, \rho}<\infty \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Mhaskar-Rahmanov-Saff numbers $a_{v}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} a_{v} t R^{\prime}\left(a_{v} t\right)\{t(1-t)\}^{-1 / 2} d t, \quad v>0 \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we will consider the orthonormal polynomials $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ with respect to the weight class $\widetilde{\mathscr{L}}_{v}$. Our main themes in this paper are to estimate the higher-order derivatives of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ at the zeros of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ and to investigate the various weighted $L_{p}$-norms $(0<p \leqslant$ $\infty)$ of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$. More precisely, we will estimate the higher-order derivatives of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ at all zeros of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ for two cases of an odd order and of an even order. In addition, we will give
asymptotic relation of the odd order derivatives of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ at the zeros of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ in a certain finite interval. These estimations will play an important role in investigating convergence or divergence of higher-order Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials (see [3, 5-17]).

Then, our main purpose is to obtain estimations with respect to $p_{n, \rho}^{(j)}\left(x_{k, n, \rho}\right), k=$ $1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, v$ as follows.

Theorem 1.5. Let $w(x)=\exp (-R(x)) \in \mathscr{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ and $\rho>-1 / 2$. For each $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $j=0,1, \ldots, v-1$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n, \rho}^{(j)}\left(x_{k, n, \rho}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{2 n}-x_{k, n, \rho}}}\right)^{j-1} x_{k, n, \rho}^{-(j-1) / 2}\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n, \rho}\right)\right| . \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.6. Let $w(x)=\exp (-R(x)) \in \tilde{\mathscr{\Omega}}_{\nu}$ and $\rho>-1 / 2$. Assume that $1+2 \rho-\delta / 2 \geqslant 0$ for $\rho<-1 / 4$, and if $T(x)$ is bounded, then assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} \leqslant C n^{2 /(1+v-\delta)}, \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqslant \delta<1$ is defined in (1.13). For each $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $j=0,1, \ldots, v-1$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n, \rho}^{(j)}\left(x_{k, n, \rho}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{2 n}}-\sqrt{x_{k, n, \rho}}}+\frac{T\left(a_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{j-1} x_{k, n, \rho}^{-(j-1) / 2}\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n, \rho}\right)\right|, \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in particular if $j$ is even, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|p_{n, \rho}^{(j)}\left(x_{k, n, \rho}\right)\right| \leqslant & C\left(\frac{T\left(a_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{a_{n} x_{k, n, \rho}}}+R^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n, \rho}\right)+\frac{1}{x_{k, n, \rho}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{2 n}}-\sqrt{x_{k, n, \rho}}}+\frac{T\left(a_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{j-2} x_{k, n, \rho}^{-(j-2) / 2}\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n, \rho}\right)\right| . \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1.7. Let $w(x)=\exp (-R(x)) \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{\nu}$ and $\rho>-1 / 2$. Let $(1 / \varepsilon)\left(a_{n} / n^{2}\right) \leqslant x_{k, n, \rho} \leqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$, $0<\varepsilon<1 / 4$, and $v=2,3, \ldots, s=0,1, \ldots,(v-1) / 2$. Then, under the same conditions as the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, there exist $\beta(n, k), 0<D_{1} \leqslant \beta(n, k) \leqslant D_{2}$ for absolute constants $D_{1}$, $D_{2}$ such that the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n, \rho}^{(2 s+1)}\left(x_{k, n, p}\right)=(-1)^{s} \beta_{2 n}^{s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{2 s}\left(1+\rho_{s}\left(\varepsilon, x_{k, n, p}, n\right)\right) p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n, p}\right) x_{k, n, \rho^{\prime}}^{-s} \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|\rho_{s}\left(\varepsilon, x_{k, n, p}, n\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Define

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{n}(x)=\max \left\{\eta_{n}, 1-\left(\frac{x}{a_{n}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}, \quad \eta_{n}=\left\{n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right\}^{-2 / 3}, \\
z^{+}= \begin{cases}z, & z>0, \\
0, & z \leqslant 0 .\end{cases} \tag{1.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{n}(x)=\frac{x / a_{n}}{1+x / a_{n}} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the class of weights, $\mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}\right)$, which is defined in Definition 2.1 below. Levin and Lubinsky have obtained the following theorem.

Theorem B (see [18, Theorem 13.6]). Assume that $W \in \mathscr{F}\left(C^{2}\right)$. Let $0<p<\infty$. Then uniformly for $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\left\|P_{n} W\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \sim \begin{cases}a_{n}^{1 / p-1 / 2}, & p<4  \tag{1.26}\\ a_{n}^{-1 / 4}(\log (n+1))^{1 / 4}, & p=4 \\ a_{n}^{1 / p-1 / 2}\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{(2 / 3)(1 / 4-1 / p)}, & p>4\end{cases}
$$

We remark that Levin and Lubinsky have shown Theorem B for more wider class $\mathcal{F}(\operatorname{lip}(1 / 2)) \supseteq \mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}\right)$. In the following, we investigate the various weighted $L_{p}$-norms $(0<$ $p \leqslant \infty)$ of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$.

Theorem 1.8. Let $w \in \perp_{2}$. Let $0<p<\infty$ and $\rho>-1 / 2$. Then one has for $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\left\|\Theta_{n}^{1 / 4}(x) p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \sim a_{n}^{1 / p-1 / 2} \begin{cases}1, & p<4  \tag{1.27}\\ \left(\log \left(1+n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / 4}, & p=4 \\ \left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{(2 / 3)(1 / 4-1 / p)}, & p>4\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 1.9. Let $w \in \mathscr{L}_{2}$. Let $0<p<\infty$ and $\rho>-1 / 2$. Then one has for $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \sim a_{n}^{1 / p-1 / 2} \begin{cases}1, & p<4  \tag{1.28}\\ (\log n)^{1 / 4}, & p=4 \\ n^{2(1 / 4-1 / p)}, & p>4\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 1.10. Let $w \in \complement_{2}, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant r$, and $n \geqslant 1$. Suppose that $\rho>-1 / 2$. For $0 \leqslant s \leqslant r$ and $n \geqslant 1$, one has

$$
\left\|\Theta_{n}^{r / 4}(x) \Phi_{n}^{(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(x)\left|p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right|^{s}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \sim \begin{cases}a_{n}^{1 / p-r / 2} \log n, & \text { if } s=r, p r \geqslant 4,  \tag{1.29}\\ a_{n}^{1 / p-s / 2}, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

and for $p=\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Theta_{n}^{r / 4}(x) \Phi_{n}^{(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(x)\left|p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right|^{s}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \sim a_{n}^{-s / 4} . \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will introduce the weight class $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{v}$ as an analogy of the class $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{\nu}$ and the known results of orthonormal polynomials with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{v}$ in order to prove the main results. In Section 3, we will prove Theorems 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7. Finally, we will prove the results for the various weighted $L_{p}$-norms $(0<p \leqslant \infty)$ of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$, that is, Theorems 1.8, 1.9, and 1.10, in Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

Levin and Lubinsky introduced the classes $\mathscr{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$ and $\mathscr{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ as an analogy of the classes $\mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{f}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ which they already defined on $I^{*}=(-\sqrt{d}, \sqrt{d})$. They defined the following.

Definition 2.1 (see [18]). We assume that $Q: I^{*} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ has the following properties:
(a) $Q(t)$ is continuous in $I^{*}$, with $Q(0)=0$,
(b) $Q^{\prime \prime}(t)$ exists and is positive in $I^{*} \backslash\{0\}$,
(c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \sqrt{d}-} Q(t)=\infty, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}(t):=\frac{t Q^{\prime}(t)}{Q(t)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is quasi-increasing in $(0, \sqrt{d})$, with

$$
T^{*}(t) \geqslant \Lambda^{*}>1, \quad t \in I^{*} \backslash\{0\},
$$

(e) there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right|} \leqslant C_{1} \frac{\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right|}{Q(t)}, \quad \text { a.e. } t \in I^{*} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we write $W \in \mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}\right)$. If there also exists a compact subinterval $J^{*} \ni 0$ of $I^{*}$, and $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right|} \geqslant C_{2} \frac{\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right|}{Q(t)}, \quad \text { a.e. } t \in I^{*} \backslash J^{*} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, we write $W \in \mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}+\right)$.
Then we see that $w \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}\right)$ and $w \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ from [1, Lemma 2.2]. In addition, we easily have the following.

Lemma 2.2. Let $Q(t)=R\left(t^{2}\right), x=t^{2}$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in \mathscr{L}_{2} \Longrightarrow W \in \mathscr{F}\left(C^{2}+\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W(t)=w(x), x=t^{2}$.
On $\mathbb{R}$, we can consider the corresponding class to $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{v}$ as follows.
Definition 2.3 (cf. [19]). Let $W=\exp (-Q) \in \mathscr{F}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ and $v \geqslant 2$ be an integer. Let $Q$ be a continuous and even function on $\mathbb{R}$. For the exponent $Q$, we assume the following:
(a) $Q^{(j)}(t)>0$, for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant \nu$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\}$,
(b) there exist positive constants $C_{i}>0$ such that for $i=1,2, \ldots, v-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{(i+1)}(t) \leqslant C_{i} Q^{(i)}(t) \frac{Q^{\prime}(t)}{Q(t)}, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \backslash\{0\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) there exist positive constants $C, c_{1}>0$ and $0 \leqslant \delta^{*}<1$ such that for $t \in\left(0, c_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{(v)}(t) \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\delta^{*}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) there exists $c_{2}>0$ such that one has one among the following:
(d1) $T^{*}(t) / t$ is quasi-increasing on $\left(c_{2}, \infty\right)$,
$(\mathrm{d} 2) Q^{(v)}(t)$ is nondecreasing on $\left(c_{2}, \infty\right)$.
Then, we write $W(t)=e^{-Q(t)} \in \tilde{\mathscr{F}}_{v}$.

Let $W \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{v}$ and $v \geqslant 2$. For $\rho^{*}>-1 / 2$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\rho^{*}}(t):=|t|^{\rho^{*}} W(t) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we can construct the orthonormal polynomials $P_{n, \rho^{*}}(t)=P_{n}\left(W_{\rho^{*}}^{2} ; t\right)$ of degree $n$ with respect to $W_{\rho^{*}}(t)$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{n, \rho^{*}}(v) P_{m, \rho^{*}}(v) W_{\rho^{*}}^{2}(v) d t=\delta_{n m}, \quad n, m=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote the zeros of $P_{n, \rho^{*}}(t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\infty<t_{n n}<\cdots<t_{2 n}<t_{1 n}<\infty \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Jung and Sakai [5, Theorems 3.3 and 3.6] estimate $P_{n, \rho^{*}}^{(j)}\left(t_{k, n}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, v$, and we will obtain analogous estimations with respect to $p_{n, \rho}^{(j)}\left(x_{k, n}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, v$ in Theorems 1.6 and 1.7.

There are many properties of $P_{n, \rho^{*}}(t)=P_{n}\left(W_{\rho^{*}} ; t\right)$ with respect to $W_{\rho^{*}}(t), W \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{v}$, $v=2,3, \ldots$ of Definition 2.3 in [4-6,19-21]. They were obtained by transformations from the results in [1, 2]. In this paper, we consider $w=\exp (-R) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{v}$ and $p_{n, \rho}(x)=p_{n}\left(w_{\rho} ; x\right)$. In [5] we got the estimations of $P_{n, \rho^{*}}^{(j)}\left(t_{k n}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, v-1$ with the weight $W_{\rho^{*}}(t) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{v}$. By a transformation of the results with respect to $P_{n, \rho^{*}}(t)$, we estimate $p_{n, \rho}^{(j)}\left(x_{k n}\right)$, $k=1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, v-1$. In order to it we will give the transformation theorems in this section. In the following, we will give some applications of them.

Theorem 2.4 (see [21, Theorem 2.1]). Let $W(t)=w(x)$ with $x=t^{2}$. Then, the orthonormal polynomials $P_{n, \rho^{*}}(t)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ can be entirely reduced to the orthonormal polynomials $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$as follows: for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2 n, 2 \rho+(1 / 2)}(t)=p_{n, \rho}(x), \quad P_{2 n+1,2 \rho-(1 / 2)}(t)=t p_{n, \rho}(x) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we will use the fact that $w_{\rho}(x)=x^{\rho} \exp (-R(x))$ is transformed into $W_{2 \rho+1 / 2}(t)=|t|^{2 \rho+1 / 2} \exp (-Q(t))$ as meaning that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{n, \rho}(x) p_{m, \rho}(x) w_{\rho}^{2}(x) d x & =2 \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{n, \rho}\left(t^{2}\right) p_{m, \rho}\left(t^{2}\right) t^{4 \rho+1} W^{2}(t) d t  \tag{2.13}\\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{2 n, 2 \rho+1 / 2}(t) P_{2 m, 2 \rho+1 / 2}(t) W_{2 \rho+1 / 2}^{2}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2.5. Let $Q(t)=R(x), x=t^{2}$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x)=\exp (-R(x)) \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{v} \Longrightarrow W(t)=\exp (-Q(t)) \in \tilde{\mathscr{F}}_{v} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{(v)}(t) \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\delta} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqslant \delta<1$ is defined in (1.13).
Proof. Let $w \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{2}$. Then, from Lemma 2.2, one has $W \in \mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}+\right)$. Let $[x]$ denote the maximum integer as $[x] \leqslant x$ (Gaussian symbol). For $1 \leqslant j \leqslant v$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{(j)}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{[j / 2]} c_{i j} R^{(j-i)}(x) t^{j-2 i}, \quad c_{i j}>0(i=0,1, \ldots,[j / 2]), x=t^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we easily see that (a) of Definition 2.3 holds. Let $x=t^{2}$. Since $R^{(\ell)}(x)$ is increasing for $x>0$ and $\ell=0,1, \ldots, v-1$, there exists $\xi$ with $0<\xi<x$ such that for $k=0,1, \ldots, v-2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R^{(k)}(x)}{x}=R^{(k+1)}(\xi) \leqslant C R^{(k+1)}(x) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, since for $0 \leqslant k<j \leqslant v-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{(k)}(x) \leqslant C x^{j-k} R^{(j)}(x) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has by (b) of Definition 1.3 that

$$
\begin{align*}
Q^{(j)}(t) & =\sum_{i=0}^{[j / 2]} c_{i j} R^{(j-i)}(x) t^{j-2 i} \leqslant C R^{(j)}(x) t^{j} \leqslant C R^{(j-1)}(x) t^{j-1}\left(\frac{t R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)}\right)  \tag{2.19}\\
& \leqslant C Q^{(j-1)}(t) \frac{Q^{\prime}(t)}{Q(t)}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant v-1
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, one has by (2.16), (d) of Definition 1.2, and (b) of Definition 1.3 that

$$
\begin{align*}
Q^{(v)}(t) & =c_{0, v} R^{(v)}(x) t^{\nu}+\sum_{i=1}^{[v / 2]} c_{i, v} R^{(v-i)}(x) t^{\nu-2 i} \\
& \leqslant c_{0, v} R^{(v)}(x) t^{\nu}+C R^{(\nu-1)}(x) t^{\nu-2} \\
& \leqslant C R^{(v-1)}(x) t^{\nu-1}\left(\frac{t R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)}\right)  \tag{2.20}\\
& \leqslant C Q^{(v-1)}(t) \frac{Q^{\prime}(t)}{Q(t)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, one has (b) in Definition 2.3. We know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{[v / 2]} c_{i, v} R^{(v-i)}(x) t^{v-2 i} \leqslant C, \quad t \in\left(0, c_{1}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $t^{\nu-\delta} \leqslant C$ on $t \in\left(0, c_{1}\right)$, one has from (1.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{(v)}(x) t^{\nu} \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)^{\delta} t^{v} \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)^{\delta} t^{\delta} \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\delta} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, one has by (2.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{(v)}(t) \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\delta} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqslant \delta<1$ is defined in (1.13). The inequalities (d1) and (d2) of Definition 2.3 follow easily from (d1) and (d2) of Definition 1.3. Therefore, one has (2.14).

## 3. Proofs of Theorems 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7

For convenience, in the rest of this paper, we put as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho>-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \rho^{*}:=2 \rho+\frac{1}{2}, \quad p_{n}(x):=p_{n, \rho}(x), \quad P_{n}(t):=P_{n, \rho^{*}}(t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x_{k n}=x_{k, n, \rho}, t_{k n}=t_{k, n, \rho^{*}}$. Then, we know that $\rho^{*}>-1 / 2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x)=P_{2 n}(t), \quad x=t^{2}, \quad x_{k n}=t_{k, 2 n}^{2}, \quad t_{k, 2 n}>0, k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we introduce some useful notations.
(a) The Mhaskar-Rahmanov-Saff numbers $a_{v}$ and $a_{u}^{*}$ are defined as the positive roots of the following equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} a_{v} t R^{\prime}\left(a_{v} t\right)\{t(1-t)\}^{-1 / 2} d t, & v>0 \\
u=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} a_{u}^{*} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}^{*} t\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} d t, \quad u>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{array}
$$

(b) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n}=\left\{n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right\}^{-2 / 3}, \quad \eta_{n}^{*}=\left\{n T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right)\right\}^{-2 / 3} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, one has the following.

Lemma 3.1 (see $[1,(2.5),(2.7),(2.9)])$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=a_{2 n}^{*}, \quad \eta_{n}=4^{2 / 3} \eta_{2 n}^{*}, \quad T\left(a_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Theorem 1.6, we need some lemmas as follows.
Lemma 3.2 (see [21, Theorem 2.2, Lemma 3.7]). For the minimum positive zero $t_{[n / 2], n}([n / 2]$ is the largest integer $n / 2$ ), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{[n / 2], n} \sim a_{n}^{*} n^{-1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the maximum zero $x_{1 n}$, one has for large enough $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{t_{1 n}}{a_{n}^{*}} \sim \eta_{n}^{*}, \quad \eta_{n}^{*}=\left(n T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right)\right)^{-2 / 3} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for some constant $0<\varepsilon \leqslant 2$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right) \leqslant C n^{2-\varepsilon} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3 (see [6, Theorem 2.5]). Let $W \in \mathscr{F}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ and $r=1,2, \ldots$. Then, uniformly for $1 \leqslant$ $k \leqslant n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{P_{n, \rho}^{(r)}\left(t_{k, \rho, n}\right)}{P_{n, \rho}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, \rho, n}\right)}\right| \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{2 n}^{*}-t_{k, \rho, n}^{2}}}\right)^{r-1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.4 (see [5, Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 3.7 (3.20)]). Let $\rho^{*}>-1 / 2$ and $W(x)=$ $\exp (-Q(x)) \in \tilde{\mathscr{f}}_{v}, v \geqslant 2$. Assume that $1+2 \rho^{*}-\delta^{*} \geqslant 0$ for $\rho^{*}<0$ and if $T^{*}(t)$ is bounded, then assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{*} \leqslant C n^{1 /\left(1+v-\delta^{*}\right)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqslant \delta^{*}<1$ is defined in (2.8). If $t_{k n} \neq 0$, then one has for $j=1,2, \ldots, v$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}^{(j)}\left(t_{k n}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{a_{2 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right)}{a_{n}^{*}}\right)^{j-1}\left|P_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k n}\right)\right| \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in particular, if $j$ is even, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}^{(j)}\left(t_{k n}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right)}{a_{n}^{*}}+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(t_{k n}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{\left|t_{k n}\right|}\right)\left(\frac{n}{a_{2 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right)}{a_{n}^{*}}\right)^{j-2}\left|P_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k n}\right)\right| . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.5. Let $W(t) \in \mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}+\right)$. Then, from [19, Theorem 1.6] we know that when $T^{*}(t)$ is unbounded, for any $\eta>0$, there exists $C(\eta)>0$ such that for $t \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t}^{*} \leqslant C(\eta) t^{\eta} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, since $T(x)=T^{*}(t) / 2$, we know that
(i) $T(x)$ is bounded $\Leftrightarrow T^{*}(t)$ is bounded,
(ii) $T(x)$ is unbounded $\Rightarrow a_{n} \leqslant C n^{\eta}$ for any $\eta>0$,
(iii) $T\left(a_{n}\right) \leqslant C n^{2-\varepsilon}$ for some constant $0<\varepsilon \leqslant 2$.

Lemma 3.6. For $j=1,2,3, \ldots$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{(j)}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{j}(-1)^{j-i} c_{j, i} i_{2 n}^{(i)}(t) t^{-2 j+i}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{j, i}>0$ satisfy that for $k=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k+1,1}=\frac{2 k-1}{2} c_{k, 1}, \quad c_{k+1, k+1}=\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}, \quad c_{1,1}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k+1, i}=\frac{c_{k, i-1}+(2 k-i) c_{k, i}}{2} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is easily proved, using the mathematical induction on $j$.
Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Lemmas 3.3, 3.6 and (3.2), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|p_{n}^{(j)}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| & \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left|P_{2 n}^{(i)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 j+i}\right| \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(\frac{2 n}{\sqrt{a_{4 n}^{*}{ }^{2}-t_{k, 2 n}^{2}}}\right)^{i-1}\left|P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 j+i}\right| . \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Since by Lemma 3.2

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(\frac{2 n}{\sqrt{a_{4 n}^{* 2}-t_{k, 2 n}^{2}}}\right)^{i-1}\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{i-1}\right| & \leqslant C\left\{1+\left(\frac{2 n}{\sqrt{a_{4 n}^{* 2}-t_{k, 2 n}^{2}}}\right)^{j-1}\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{j-1}\right|\right\} \\
& \leqslant C\left\{\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-j+1}\right|+\left(\frac{2 n}{\sqrt{a_{4 n}^{* 2}-t_{k, 2 n}^{2}}}\right)^{j-1}\right\}\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{j-1}\right|  \tag{3.18}\\
& \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{4 n}^{* 2}-t_{k, 2 n}^{2}}}\right)^{j-1}\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{j-1}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

one has from Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|p_{n}^{(j)}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| & \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{4 n}^{*}{ }^{2}-t_{k, 2 n}^{2}}}\right)^{j-1}\left|P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-j}\right|  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{2 n}-x_{k, n}}}\right)^{j-1} x_{k, n}^{-(j-1) / 2}\left|p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right)\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Since $w(x) \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{v}$, we know that $W(t) \in \tilde{\mathscr{F}}_{v}$ and we know that $\delta^{*}=\delta$ by Theorem 2.5 and from (3.1), (3.2), and Lemma 3.1 that
(i) $\rho>-1 / 2 \Rightarrow \rho^{*}>-1 / 2$,
(ii) $1+2 \rho-\delta / 2 \geqslant 0$ for $\rho<-1 / 4 \Rightarrow 1+2 \rho^{*}-\delta^{*} \geqslant 0$ for $\rho^{*}<0$,
(iii) $a_{n} \leqslant C n^{2 /(1+\nu-\delta)} \Rightarrow a_{n}^{*} \leqslant C n^{1 /\left(1+\nu-\delta^{*}\right)}$.

Then, using Remark 3.5, we can apply Lemma 3.4 to $p_{n}(x)=P_{2 n, p^{*}}(t), x=t^{2}$. In a similar way to the proof of Theorem 1.5, one has from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.1

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|p_{n}^{(j)}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| & \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left|P_{2 n}^{(i)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 j+i}\right| \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(\frac{n}{a_{4 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{i-1}\left|P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 j+i}\right|  \tag{3.20}\\
& \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{a_{4 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{j-1}\left|P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-j}\right| \\
& \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{2 n}}-\sqrt{x_{k, n}}}+\frac{T\left(a_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{j-1} x_{k, n}^{-(j-1) / 2}\left|p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Let $j$ be even. Then, one has from Lemma 3.4 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i: \mathrm{iven}}\left|P_{2 n}^{(i)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 j+i}\right| \leqslant & C\left(\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}\right)\left|P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 j+2}\right| \\
& \times \sum_{i: \text { even }}\left(\frac{n}{a_{4 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{i-2}\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{i-2}\right| . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since by Lemma 3.2 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\text {i:even }}\left(\frac{n}{a_{4 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{i-2}\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{i-2}\right| \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{a_{4 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{j-2}\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{j-2}\right|, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i: \text { even }}\left|P_{2 n}^{(i)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 j+i}\right| \leqslant & \left.C\left(\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}\right)\left|P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right| t_{k, 2 n}^{-j} \right\rvert\, \\
& \times\left(\frac{n}{a_{4 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{j-2}, \\
\sum_{i: \text { odd }}^{j-1}\left|P_{2 n}^{(i)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 j+i}\right| \leqslant & C\left(\frac{n}{a_{4 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{j-2}\left|P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-j-1}\right| \\
\leqslant & C\left(\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{n}{a_{4 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{j-2}\left|P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-j}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, when $j$ is even, one has by Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|p_{n}^{(j)}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| \leqslant & C\left(\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{\left|Q^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|}{\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{1}{t_{k, 2 n}^{2}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{n}{a_{4 n}^{*}-\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right|}+\frac{T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{j-2}\left|P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)\right|\left|t_{k, 2 n}^{-j+1}\right|  \tag{3.24}\\
\leqslant & C\left(\frac{T\left(a_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{a_{n} x_{k, n}}}+R^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right)+\frac{1}{x_{k, n}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{2 n}}-\sqrt{x_{k, n}}}+\frac{T\left(a_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{j-2} x_{k, n}^{-(j-2) / 2}\left|p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right)\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we will prove Theorem 1.7. To prove it, we need two lemmas as follows.

Lemma 3.7 ([5, Theorem 3.3]). Let $W(x)=\exp (-Q(x)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{v}, v \geqslant 2$. Let $(1 / \varepsilon)\left(a_{n}^{*} / n\right) \leqslant\left|t_{k n}\right| \leqslant$ $\varepsilon a_{n}^{*}, 0<\varepsilon<1 / 2$, and $s=1,2, \ldots,(v-1) / 2$. Then, under the same conditions as the assumptions of Lemma 3.4, there exist $\beta(n, k), 0<D_{1} \leqslant \beta(n, k) \leqslant D_{2}$ for absolute constants $D_{1}, D_{2}$ such that the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{(2 s+1)}\left(t_{k n}\right)=(-1)^{s} \beta_{n}^{s}(n, k)\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}^{*}}\right)^{2 s}\left(1+\tilde{\rho}_{2 s+1}\left(\varepsilon, t_{k n}, n\right)\right) P_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k n}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|\tilde{\rho}_{2 s+1}\left(\varepsilon, t_{k n}, n\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
From Lemma 3.3, we easily have the following.

Lemma 3.8. Let $W \in \mathscr{F}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ and $j=1,2, \ldots$. Then, uniformly for $\left|t_{k n}\right| \leqslant a_{n}^{*} / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}^{(j)}\left(t_{k n}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}^{*}}\right)^{j-1}\left|P_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k n}\right)\right| . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. By Lemmas 3.4, 3.6 and Theorem 2.4, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n}^{(2 s+1)}\left(x_{k n}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{2 s+1}(-1)^{2 s+1-i} c_{2 s+1, i} P_{2 n}^{(i)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-2(2 s+1)+i} \\
& =\sum_{p=0}^{s} c_{2 s+1,2 p+1} P_{2 n}^{(2 p+1)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-4 s+2 p-1}-\sum_{p=1}^{s} c_{2 s+1,2 p} P_{2 n}^{(2 p)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-4 s+2 p-2}  \tag{3.27}\\
& =: \sum_{\text {odd }}-\sum_{\text {even }} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}} \leqslant x_{k, n} \leqslant \varepsilon a_{n} \Longrightarrow \frac{2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{2 n} \leqslant\left|t_{k, 2 n}\right| \leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon} a_{2 n}^{*}, \quad 0<\sqrt{\varepsilon}<\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the same reason as the proof of Theorem 1.6, we can apply Lemma 3.7 to $P_{2 n}^{(2 p+1)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)$.

Then, using Lemmas 3.7 and 3.6, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\text {odd }}= & \sum_{p=0}^{s} c_{2 s+1,2 p+1}(-1)^{p} \beta_{2 n}^{p}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{2 n}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{2 p}\left(1+\tilde{\rho}_{2 p+1}\right) P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-4 s+2 p-1} \\
= & (-1)^{s} \beta_{2 n}^{s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{2 s}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\tilde{\rho}_{2 s+1}}{2}\right) P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 s-1} \\
& +\sum_{p=0}^{s-1} c_{2 s+1,2 p+1}(-1)^{p} \beta_{2 n}^{p}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{2 n}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{2 p}\left(1+\tilde{\rho}_{2 p+1}\right) P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-4 s+2 p-1}  \tag{3.29}\\
= & (-1)^{s} \beta_{2 n}^{s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{2 s}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\tilde{\rho}_{2 s+1}}{2}\right) P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 s-1} \\
& +(-1)^{s} \beta_{2 n}^{s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{2 s} P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 s-1} \\
& \times \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} c_{2 s+1,2 p+1}(-1)^{p-s} 2^{2 s} \beta_{2 n}^{p-s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{2 n}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{2(p-s)}\left(1+\tilde{\rho}_{2 p+1}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{2(p-s)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\tilde{\rho}_{2 p+1}:=\tilde{\rho}_{2 p+1}\left(\varepsilon, t_{k, 2 n}, 2 n\right), p=0,1, \ldots, s$. Since from (3.28) we see that for $0 \leqslant p \leqslant s-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{2 n} \frac{1}{t_{k, 2 n}}\right)^{2(s-p)} \leqslant\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2}\right)^{2(s-p)} \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{s-p} \varepsilon, \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{p=0}^{s-1} c_{2 s+1,2 p+1}(-1)^{p-s} 2^{2 s} \beta_{2 n}^{p-s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{2 n} \frac{1}{t_{k, 2 n}}\right)^{2(s-p)}\left(1+\tilde{\rho}_{2 p+1}\right)\right| \longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. If we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n, 1}^{\prime}\left(s ; x_{k n}\right):=\tilde{\rho}_{2 s+1}+\sum_{p=0}^{s-1} c_{2 s+1,2 p+1}(-1)^{p-s} 2^{2 s+1} \beta_{2 n}^{p-s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{2 n}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{2(p-s)}\left(1+\tilde{\rho}_{2 p+1}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{2(p-s)}, \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

then one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\text {odd }} & =(-1)^{s} \beta_{2 n}^{s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{2 s}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\xi_{n, 1}^{\prime}\left(s ; x_{k, n}\right)}{2}\right) P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 s-1}  \tag{3.33}\\
& =(-1)^{s} \beta_{2 n}^{s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{2 s}\left(1+\xi_{n, 1}^{\prime}\left(s ; x_{k, n}\right)\right) p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right) x_{k, n^{\prime}}^{-s}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left|\xi_{n, 1}^{\prime}\left(s ; x_{k n}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\text {even }}= & \sum_{p=1}^{s} c_{2 s+1,2 p} \frac{P_{2 n}^{(2 p)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)}{P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)} P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-4 s+2 p-2} \\
= & \sum_{p=1}^{s} 2 c_{2 s+1,2 p} \frac{P_{2 n}^{(2 p)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)}{P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)} p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right) t_{k, 2 n}^{-4 s+2 p-1} \\
= & (-1)^{s} \beta_{2 n}^{s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{2 s} p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right) x_{k, n}^{-s}  \tag{3.34}\\
& \times \sum_{p=1}^{s} 2 c_{2 s+1,2 p}(-1)^{s} \beta_{2 n}^{-s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{n}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{-2 s} \frac{P_{2 n}^{(2 p)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)}{P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)} t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 s+2 p-1} \\
:= & \xi_{n, 2}^{\prime}\left(s ; x_{k, n}\right)(-1)^{s} \beta_{2 n}^{s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{n}}}\right)^{2 s} p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right) x_{k, n}^{-s} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, one has from Lemma 3.8 and (3.28) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\xi_{n, 2}^{\prime}\left(s ; x_{k, n}\right)\right| & =\left|\sum_{p=1}^{s} 2 c_{2 s+1,2 p}(-1)^{s} \beta_{2 n}^{-s}(2 n, k)\left(\frac{n}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{-2 s} \frac{P_{2 n}^{(2 p)}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)}{P_{2 n}^{\prime}\left(t_{k, 2 n}\right)} t_{k, 2 n}^{-2 s+2 p-1}\right|  \tag{3.35}\\
& \leqslant C \sum_{p=1}^{s}\left(\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{n} \frac{1}{t_{k, 2 n}}\right)^{2 s-2 p+1} \leqslant C \sqrt{\varepsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, if we let $\rho_{s}\left(\varepsilon, x_{k n}, n\right):=\xi_{n, 1}^{\prime}\left(s ; x_{k, n}\right)-\xi_{n, 2}^{\prime}\left(s ; x_{k, n}\right)$, then the result is proved.

## 4. Proofs of Theorems 1.8, 1.9, and 1.10

Lemma 4.1. Let $W(t) \in \mathscr{F}\left(C^{2}\right)$, and let $0<p<\infty$ and $\rho^{*}>-1 / 2$. Then, one has for $n \geqslant 1$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|P_{n, p^{*}}(t) W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} & \sim\left\|P_{n, \rho^{*}}(t) W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{n}^{*} / 2 \leqslant|t| \leqslant 2 a_{n}^{*}\right)} \\
& \sim a_{n}^{* 1 / p-1 / 2} \begin{cases}1, & p<4 \\
\left\{\log \left(1+n T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right)\right)\right\}^{1 / 4}, & p=4 \\
\left\{n T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2 / 3(1 / 4-1 / p)}, & p>4\end{cases} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. In [21, theorem 2.6] we showed that

$$
\left\|P_{n, \rho^{*}}(t) W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \sim a_{n}^{* 1 / p-1 / 2} \begin{cases}1, & p<4  \tag{4.2}\\ \left\{\log \left(1+n T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right)\right)\right\}^{1 / 4}, & p=4 \\ \left\{n T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2 / 3(1 / 4-1 / p)}, & p>4 .\end{cases}
$$

But, seeing our proof of [21, Theorem 2.6] carefully, we can easily prove the first equivalence.

Lemma 4.2 (see [21, Theorem 2.4]). Let $W(t) \in \mathscr{F}\left(C^{2}\right), 0<p \leqslant \infty$ and $L \geqslant 0$. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, given $r>1$, there exists a positive constant $C_{2}$ such that one has for any polynomial $P \in p_{n}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(P W_{\beta}\right)(t)\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{r n}^{*} \leqslant|t|\right)} \leqslant \exp \left(-C_{2} n^{\alpha}\right)\left\|\left(P W_{\beta}\right)(t)\right\|_{L_{p}\left(L\left(a_{n}^{*} / n\right) \leqslant|t| \leqslant a_{n}^{*}\left(1-L \eta_{n}\right)\right)} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.8. From Theorem 2.4 and Lemmas 4.2 and 4.1, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Theta_{n}^{1 / 4}(x) p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left\|\left(\frac{t^{2}}{a_{2 n}^{* 2}}\right)^{1 / 4} P_{2 n, p^{*}}(t) W(t)\left(t^{2}+\frac{a_{2 n}^{* 2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}|t|^{1 / p}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{a_{2 n}^{*}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|P_{2 n, p^{*}}(t) W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}|t|^{1 / p}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|t| \leqslant 2 a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}  \tag{4.4}\\
& \quad \leqslant C a_{2 n}^{* 1 / p-1 / 2}\left\|P_{2 n, p^{*}}(t) W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \sim a_{2 n}^{* 2 / p-1} \begin{cases}1, & p<4, \\
\left\{\log \left(1+n T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)\right)\right\}^{1 / 4}, & p=4, \\
\left\{n T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2 / 3(1 / 4-1 / p),} & p>4 .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, one has by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Theta_{n}^{1 / 4}(x) p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \\
& \quad \geq\left\|\Theta_{n}^{1 / 4}\left(t^{2}\right) P_{2 n, \rho^{*}}(t) W(t)\left(t^{2}+\frac{a_{2 n}^{* 2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}|t|^{1 / p}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{2^{*} n} / 2 \leqslant|t| \leqslant 2 a_{2 n}^{*}\right)} \\
& \quad \sim a_{2 n}^{* 1 / p-1 / 2}\left\|P_{2 n, \rho^{*}}(t) W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{2^{*} n} / 2 \leqslant|t| \leqslant 2 a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \quad \sim a_{2 n}^{* 2 / p-1} \begin{cases}1, & p<4, \\
\left\{\log \left(1+n T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)\right)\right\}^{1 / 4}, & p=4, \\
\left\{n T^{*}\left(a_{2 n}^{*}\right)\right\}^{2 / 3(1 / 4-1 / p)}, & p>4 .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, using Lemma 3.1, one has the result.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\rho>-1 / 2$, and let $w(x) \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$. Then, uniformly for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, one has the following:
(a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in I}\left|p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\right|\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\left|\left(x+a_{n} n^{-2}\right)\left(a_{n}-x\right)\right|^{1 / 4} \sim 1 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) for $j \leqslant n-1$ and $x \in\left[x_{j+1, n}, x_{j n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n, \rho}(x)\right| w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho} \sim \min \left\{\left|x-x_{j n}\right|,\left|x-x_{j+1, n}\right|\right\} \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{j n}\left(a_{n}-x_{j n}\right)\right]^{-1 / 4} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n} \sim \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{u}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{x+a_{u} u^{-2}}\left(a_{2 u}-x\right)}{u \sqrt{a_{u}-x+a_{u} \eta_{u}}}, & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant a_{u}  \tag{4.9}\\ \varphi_{u}\left(a_{u}\right), & a_{u}<x\end{cases}
$$

Proof. (a) It is from [1, Theorem 1.2]. (b) It is from [2, Theorem 1.3]. (c) It is from [2, Theorem 1.4].

Proof of Theorem 1.9. By Theorem 1.8, one has for $0<p \leqslant 4$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} & \geqslant C\left\|\Theta_{n}^{1 / 4}(x) p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \\
& \sim a_{n}^{1 / p-1 / 2} \begin{cases}1, & p<4, \\
\left\{\log \left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)\right\}^{1 / 4}, & p=4 .\end{cases} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

For $p>4$, we know by (4.7) and (4.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{x_{n n}}^{a_{n} / 3}\left|p_{n, p}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \quad \geqslant C \sum_{x_{n n} \leqslant x_{j n} \leqslant a_{n} / 3} \int_{x_{j+1, n}}^{x_{j n}}\left|x-x_{j+1, n}\right|^{p} d x \varphi_{n}^{-p}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[x_{j n}\left(a_{n}-x_{j n}\right)\right]^{-p / 4} \\
& \quad \sim a_{n}^{-p / 4} \sum_{x_{n n} \leqslant x_{j n} \leqslant a_{n} / 3} \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) x_{j n}^{-p / 4}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \quad \sim a_{n}^{-p / 4} \int_{a_{n} n^{-2}}^{a_{n} / 3} t^{-p / 4} d t \\
& \quad \sim a_{n}^{-p / 2+1} n^{2(p / 4-1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for $p>4$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{n, p}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \geqslant C a_{n}^{-1 / 2+1 / p} n^{2(1 / 4-1 / p)} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, one has

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \geqslant C a_{n}{ }^{1 / p-1 / 2} \begin{cases}1, & p<4  \tag{4.13}\\ \left\{\log \left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)\right\}^{1 / 4}, & p=4 \\ n^{2(1 / 4-1 / p)}, & p>4\end{cases}
$$

On the other hand, one has from Theorem 1.8 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(x \geq a_{n} / 3\right)} & \sim\left\|\Theta_{n}^{1 / 4}(x) p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(x \geq a_{n} / 3\right)} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\Theta_{n}^{1 / 4}(x) p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)}  \tag{4.14}\\
& \sim a_{n}^{1 / p-1 / 2} \begin{cases}1, & p<4 \\
\left\{\log \left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)\right\}^{1 / 4}, & p=4 \\
\left\{n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right\}^{2 / 3(1 / 4-1 / p)}, & p>4\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

and by (4.6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(x \leqslant a_{n} / 3\right)} & \leqslant C a_{n}^{-1 / 4}\left(\int_{0}^{a_{n} / 3}\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{-p / 4} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \sim a_{n}^{1 / p-1 / 2} \begin{cases}1, & p<4 \\
\left\{\log a_{n}\right\}^{1 / 4}, & p=4 \\
n^{2(1 / 4-1 / p)}, & p>4\end{cases} \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, one has

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \sim a_{n}^{1 / p-1 / 2} \begin{cases}1, & p<4  \tag{4.16}\\ \left\{\log \left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)\right\}^{1 / 4}, & p=4 \\ n^{2(1 / 4-1 / p)}, & p>4\end{cases}
$$

From Remark 3.5(iii), we see that $n T\left(a_{n}\right)<C n^{3}$. So, consequently, one has the result.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}^{*}(t)=\max \left\{\eta_{n}^{*}, 1-\frac{|t|}{a_{n}^{*}}\right\}, \quad \eta_{n}^{*}=\left\{n T^{*}\left(a_{n}^{*}\right)\right\}^{-2 / 3} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we obtain by Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(x) \sim \Phi_{2 n}^{*}(t), \quad x=t^{2} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.4 (see [21, Theorem 2.7]). Let $W_{\rho^{*}} \in \mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}\right)$ and $\rho^{*}>-1 / 2$. For $0 \leqslant s \leqslant r$ and $n \geqslant 1$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\Phi_{n}^{*}(t)^{(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}\left|P_{n, p^{*}}(t) W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right|^{s}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \sim\left\|\Phi_{n}^{*}(t)^{(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}\left|P_{n, \rho^{*}}(t) W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right|^{s}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{n}^{*} / 2 \leqslant|t| \leqslant 2 a_{n}^{*}\right)}  \tag{4.19}\\
& \sim \begin{cases}a_{n}^{* 1 / p-r / 2} \log n, & s=r, 4 \leqslant p r<\infty, \\
a_{n}^{* 1 / p-s / 2}, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.10. By Theorem 2.4, we can transform $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$to $P_{2 n, \rho^{*}}(t)$ on $\mathbb{R}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Theta_{n}^{r / 4}(x) \Phi_{n}^{(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(x)\left|p_{n} w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right|^{s}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \\
& \quad=\left\|\Theta_{n}^{r / 4}\left(t^{2}\right) \Phi_{2 n}^{*(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(t)\left|P_{2 n} W(t)\left(t^{2}+\frac{a_{2 n}^{* 2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right|^{s}(2|t|)^{1 / p}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} . \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 4.4 and noting (3.1), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\left.\left.\Theta_{n}^{r / 4}\left(t^{2}\right) \Phi_{2 n}^{*(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(t)\right|_{P_{2 n} W(t)}\left(t^{2}+\frac{a_{2 n}^{* 2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right|^{s}(2|t|)^{1 / p}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \geqslant\left\|\Theta_{n}^{r / 4}\left(t^{2}\right) \Phi_{2 n}^{*(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(t)\left|P_{2 n} W(t)\left(t^{2}+\frac{a_{2 n}^{* 2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right|^{s}(2|t|)^{1 / p}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{2 n}^{*} / 2 \leqslant|t| \leqslant 2 a_{2 n}^{*}\right)} \\
& \geqslant C\left\|\left.\left.\Phi_{2 n}^{*(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(t)\right|_{2 n} W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{n^{2}}\right)^{p^{*}}\right|^{s}|t|^{1 / p-s / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{2 n}^{*} / 2 \leqslant|t| \leqslant 2 a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}  \tag{4.21}\\
& \sim a_{2 n}^{* 1 / p-s / 2}\left\|\Phi_{2 n}^{*(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(t)\left|P_{2 n} W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right|^{s}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{2 n}^{*} / 2 \leqslant|t| \leqslant 2 a_{2 n}^{*}\right)} \\
& \sim \begin{cases}a_{2 n}^{* 2 / p-r} \log n, & \text { if } s=r, 4 \leqslant p r<\infty, \\
a_{2 n}^{* 2 / p-s}, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.2, we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Theta_{n}^{r / 4}(x) \Phi_{2 n}^{*(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(t)\left|P_{2 n}(t) W(t)\left(t^{2}+\frac{a_{2 n}^{* 2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right|^{s}(2 t)^{1 / p}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{2 n}^{*} \leqslant|t|\right)} \leqslant C \eta_{2 n}^{(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}} e^{-c n} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ is a constant. Therefore, using Lemma 4.4 and noting (3.1) and the definition of $\Theta_{n}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\Theta_{n}^{r / 4}\left(t^{2}\right) \Phi_{2 n}^{*(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(t)\left|P_{2 n} W(t)\left(t^{2}+\frac{a_{2 n}^{* 2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right|^{s}(2|t|)^{1 / p}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \leqslant C a_{2 n}^{*-r / 2}\left\|\Phi_{2 n}^{*(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(t)\left|P_{2 n} W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right|^{s}|t|^{1 / p+r / 2-s / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|t| \leqslant 2 a_{2 n}^{*}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C a_{2 n}^{* 1 / p-s / 2}\left\|\Phi_{2 n}^{*(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(t)\left|P_{2 n} W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right|^{s}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|t| \leqslant 2 a_{2 n}^{*}\right)}  \tag{4.23}\\
& \leqslant C a_{2 n}^{* 1 / p-s / 2}\left\|\Phi_{2 n}^{*(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(t)\left|P_{2 n} W(t)\left(|t|+\frac{a_{2 n}^{*}}{n}\right)^{\rho^{*}}\right|^{s}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \sim \begin{cases}a_{2 n}^{* 2 / p-r} \log n, \quad \text { if } s=r, 4 \leqslant p r<\infty, \\
a_{2 n}^{* 2 / p-s}, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Theta_{n}^{r / 4}(x) \Phi_{n}^{(r / 4-1 / p)^{+}}(x)\left|p_{n} w(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right|^{s}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \\
& \sim \begin{cases}a_{2 n}^{* 2 / p-r} \log n, & \text { if } s=r, 4 \leqslant p r<\infty, \\
a_{2 n}^{* 2 / p-s}, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
& \sim \begin{cases}a_{n}^{1 / p-r / 2} \log n, & \text { if } s=r, 4 \leqslant p r<\infty \\
a_{n}^{1 / p-s / 2}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$
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