

Research Article

A New Method to Study Analytic Inequalities

Xiao-Ming Zhang and Yu-Ming Chu

Department of Mathematics, Huzhou Teachers College, Huzhou 313000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yu-Ming Chu, chuyuming2005@yahoo.com.cn

Received 16 October 2009; Accepted 24 December 2009

Academic Editor: Kunquan Lan

Copyright © 2010 X.-M. Zhang and Y.-M. Chu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We present a new method to study analytic inequalities involving n variables. Regarding its applications, we proved some well-known inequalities and improved Carleman's inequality.

1. Monotonicity Theorems

Throughout this paper, we denote \mathbb{R} the set of real numbers and \mathbb{R}_+ the set of strictly positive real numbers, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$.

In this section, we present the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$ and $c \in [a, b]$, $f : [a, b]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has continuous partial derivatives and*

$$D_m = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, c) \mid \min_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \{x_k\} \geq c, x_m = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \{x_k\} \neq c \right\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (1.1)$$

If $\partial f(\mathbf{x}) / \partial x_m > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in D_m$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$), then

$$f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, c) \geq f(c, c, \dots, c, c), \quad (1.2)$$

for all $y_m \in [c, b]$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$).

Proof. Without loss of generality, since we assume that $n = 3$ and $y_1 > y_2 > c$.

For $x_1 \in [y_2, y_1]$, we clearly see that $(x_1, y_2, c) \in D_1$, then

$$\left. \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_1} \right|_{x=(x_1, y_2, c)} > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

From the continuity of the partial derivatives of f and

$$\left. \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_1} \right|_{x=(y_2, y_2, c)} > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

we know that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $y_2 - \varepsilon \geq c$ and

$$\left. \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_1} \right|_{x=(x_1, y_2, c)} > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

for any $x_1 \in [y_2 - \varepsilon, y_2]$. Hence, since $f(\cdot, y_2, c) : x_1 \in [y_2 - \varepsilon, y_1] \rightarrow f(x_1, y_2, c)$ is strictly monotone increasing, then we have

$$f(y_1, y_2, c) > f(y_2, y_2, c) > f(y_2 - \varepsilon, y_2, c). \quad (1.6)$$

Next, for $x_2 \in [y_2 - \varepsilon, y_2]$, then $(y_2 - \varepsilon, x_2, c) \in D_2$ and

$$\left. \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_2} \right|_{x=(y_2 - \varepsilon, x_2, c)} > 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Hence, we get

$$f(y_1, y_2, c) > f(y_2, y_2, c) > f(y_2 - \varepsilon, y_2, c) > f(y_2 - \varepsilon, y_2 - \varepsilon, c). \quad (1.8)$$

If $y_2 - \varepsilon = c$, then Theorem 1.1 is true. Otherwise, we repeat the above process and we clearly see that the first and second variables in f are decreasing and no less than c . Let s, t be their limit values, respectively, then $f(y_1, y_2, c) > f(s, t, c)$ and $s, t \geq c$. If $s = c, t = c$, then Theorem 1.1 is also true; otherwise, we repeat the above process again and denote p and q the greatest lower bounds for the first and the second variables, respectively. We clearly see that $p = q = c$; therefore, $f(y_1, y_2, c) > f(c, c, c)$ and Theorem 1.1 is true. \square

Similarly, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$ and $c \in [a, b]$, $f : [a, b]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has continuous partial derivatives and

$$E_m = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, c) \mid \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \{x_k\} \leq c, x_m = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \{x_k\} \neq c \right\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (1.9)$$

If $\partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_m < 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in E_m$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$), then

$$f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, c) \geq f(c, c, \dots, c, c), \quad (1.10)$$

for all $y_m \in [a, c]$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$).

It follows from Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 that we get the following Corollaries 1.3–1.6.

Corollary 1.3. Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, $f : [a, b]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has continuous partial derivatives and

$$D_m = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid a \leq \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\} < x_m = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\} \leq b \right\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1.11)$$

If $\partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_m > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in D_m$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \geq f(x_{\min}, x_{\min}, \dots, x_{\min}), \quad (1.12)$$

for all $x_m \in [a, b]$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, n$) with $x_{\min} = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\}$.

Corollary 1.4. Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, then

$$D_1 = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid a \leq \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\} < x_1 = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\} \leq b \right\}, \quad (1.13)$$

and $f : [a, b]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric with continuous partial derivatives. If $\partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_1 > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in D_1$, then

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \geq f(x_{\min}, x_{\min}, \dots, x_{\min}), \quad (1.14)$$

where $x_{\min} = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\}$. Equality holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$.

Corollary 1.5. Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, $f : [a, b]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has continuous partial derivatives and

$$E_m = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid a \leq x_m = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\} < \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\} \leq b \right\}. \quad (1.15)$$

If $\partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_m < 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in E_m$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \geq f(x_{\max}, x_{\max}, \dots, x_{\max}), \quad (1.16)$$

where $x_{\max} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\}$. Equality holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$.

Corollary 1.6. Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, then

$$E_n = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid a \leq x_n = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\} < \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\} \leq b \right\}, \quad (1.17)$$

and $f : [a, b]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric with continuous partial derivatives. If $\partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_n < 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in E_n$, then

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \geq f(x_{\max}, x_{\max}, \dots, x_{\max}), \quad (1.18)$$

where $x_{\max} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{x_k\}$. Equality holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$.

2. Unifying Proof of Some Well-Known Inequality

In this section, we denote $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $a_{\min} = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{a_k\}$, $a_{\max} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{a_k\}$, and

$$D_m = \{\mathbf{a} \mid a_m = a_{\max} > a_{\min} > 0\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.1)$$

Proposition 2.1 (Power Mean Inequality). If the power mean $M_r(\mathbf{a})$ of order r is defined by $M_r(\mathbf{a}) = ((1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^r)^{1/r}$ for $r \neq 0$ and $M_0(\mathbf{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{1/n}$, then $M_r(\mathbf{a}) \geq M_s(\mathbf{a})$ for $r > s$; equality holds if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$.

Proof. It is well known that $M_r(\mathbf{a})$ is symmetric with respect to a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and $r \mapsto M_r(\mathbf{a})$ is continuous. Without loss of generality, we assume that $r, s \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{a}) &= \frac{1}{r} \ln \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^r}{n} \right) - \frac{1}{s} \ln \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^s}{n} \right), \quad \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{a})}{\partial a_1} &= \frac{a_1^{r-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^r} - \frac{a_1^{s-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^s} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n (a_1^{r-1} a_i^s - a_1^{s-1} a_i^r)}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^r \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^s} = \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n a_1^{s-1} a_i^r [(a_1/a_i)^{r-s} - 1]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^r \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

If $\mathbf{a} \in D_1$, then $\partial f(\mathbf{a})/\partial a_1 > 0$. It follows from Corollary 1.4 that we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &\geq f(a_{\min}, a_{\min}, \dots, a_{\min}), \\ \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^r}{n}\right)^{1/r} &\geq \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^s}{n}\right)^{1/s}, \quad M_r(\mathbf{a}) \geq M_s(\mathbf{a}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Equality holds if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$. \square

Proposition 2.2 (Holder Inequality). *Suppose that $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ($p, q > 1$). If $1/p + 1/q = 1$, then*

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^p\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n y_k^q\right)^{1/q} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and

$$f : \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \longrightarrow \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1/q} - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k^{1/q}, \quad \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n. \quad (2.5)$$

If $\mathbf{a} \in D_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{a})}{\partial a_1} &= \frac{1}{q} b_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1/q-1} - \frac{1}{q} b_1 a_1^{1/q-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{q} b_1 a_1^{-1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{-1/p} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right)^{1/p} a_1^{1/p} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1/p} \right] \\ &> \frac{1}{q} b_1 a_1^{-1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{-1/p} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right)^{1/p} a_1^{1/p} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_1\right)^{1/p} \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly, if $\mathbf{a} \in D_m$ ($m = 2, 3, \dots, n$), then $\partial f(\mathbf{a})/\partial a_m > 0$. From Theorem 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &\geq f(a_{\min}, a_{\min}, \dots, a_{\min}), \\ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1/q} &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, Proposition 2.2 follows from $a_k = y_k^q/x_k^p$ and $b_k = x_k^p$. \square

Proposition 2.3 (Minkowski Inequality). *Suppose that $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. If $p > 1$, then*

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^p\right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n y_k^p\right)^{1/p} \geq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (x_k + y_k)^p\right)^{1/p}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and

$$f : \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \longrightarrow \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1/p} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + 1)^p\right)^{1/p}, \quad \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n. \quad (2.9)$$

If $\mathbf{a} \in D_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{a})}{\partial a_1} &= \frac{1}{p} b_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1/p-1} - \frac{1}{p} b_1 a_1^{1/p-1} (a_1^{1/p} + 1)^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + 1)^p\right)^{1/p-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} b_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1/p-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + 1)^p\right)^{1/p-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + 1)^p\right)^{1-1/p} - (1 + a_1^{-1/p})^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1-1/p} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p} b_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1/p-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + 1)^p\right)^{1/p-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + 1)^p\right)^{1-1/p} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + a_k^{1/p} a_1^{-1/p})^p\right)^{1-1/p} \right] \\ &> \frac{1}{p} b_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1/p-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + 1)^p\right)^{1/p-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + 1)^p\right)^{1-1/p} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + a_1^{1/p} a_1^{-1/p})^p\right)^{1-1/p} \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Similarly, If $\mathbf{a} \in D_m$ ($m = 2, 3, \dots, n$), then $\partial f(\mathbf{a})/\partial a_m > 0$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &\geq f(a_{\min}, a_{\min}, \dots, a_{\min}), \\ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k a_k\right)^{1/p} &\geq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k^{1/p} + 1)^p\right)^{1/p} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, Proposition 2.3 follows from $a_k = y_k^p/x_k^p$ and $b_k = x_k^p$. \square

3. A Brief Proof for Hardy's Inequality

If $a_n \geq 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$) with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p < +\infty$, then the well-known Hardy's inequality (see [1, Theorem 326]) is

$$\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k\right)^p. \quad (3.1)$$

In this section, we establish the following result involving Hardy's inequality.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, and $a_k \geq 0$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 1$). If*

$$B_n = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/p} a_k \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^p - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k a_j\right)^p \\ & \geq B_n \left[\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k-1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(j-1/2)^{1/p}}\right)^p \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Let $b_k = (k - 1/2)^{1/p} a_k$, then inequality (3.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{b_k^p}{k-1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j}{(j-1/2)^{1/p}}\right)^p \\ & \geq B_n \left[\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k-1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(j-1/2)^{1/p}}\right)^p \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and $B_n = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{b_k\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} D_m &= \left\{ \mathbf{b} \mid b_m = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{b_k\} > \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{b_k\} > 0 \right\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ f : \mathbf{b} \in [0, +\infty)^n &\longrightarrow \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{b_k^p}{k-1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j}{(j-1/2)^{1/p}}\right)^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

If $\mathbf{b} \in D_m$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{b})}{\partial b_m} &= p \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \frac{b_m^{p-1}}{m-1/2} - \sum_{k=m}^n \left[\frac{p}{k^p(m-1/2)^{1/p}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j}{(j-1/2)^{1/p}} \right)^{p-1} \right] \\ &> \frac{pb_m^{p-1}}{(m-1/2)^{1/p}} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \frac{1}{(m-1/2)^{1-1/p}} - \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{(j-1/2)^{1/p}} \right)^{p-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Making use of the well-known Hadamard's inequality of convex functions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{b})}{\partial b_m} &> \frac{pb_m^{p-1}}{(m-1/2)^{1/p}} \left[\left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \frac{1}{(m-1/2)^{1-1/p}} - \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^p} \left(\int_{1/2}^{k+1/2} \frac{1}{(x-1/2)^{1/p}} dx \right)^{p-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{pb_m^{p-1}}{(m-1/2)^{1/p}} \left[\left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \frac{1}{(m-1/2)^{1-1/p}} - \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{p-1} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k^{(-2p+1)/p} \right] \\ &> \frac{pb_m^{p-1}}{(m-1/2)^{1/p}} \left[\left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \frac{1}{(m-1/2)^{1-1/p}} - \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{p-1} \int_{m-1/2}^{+\infty} x^{(-2p+1)/p} dx \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Then Theorem 1.1 leads to

$$f(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \geq f(B_n, B_n, \dots, B_n), \quad (3.8)$$

and we clearly see that inequalities (3.4) and (3.3) are true. \square

Corollary 3.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, and $a_k \geq 0$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 1$). If

$$B_n = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ \left(k - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1/p} a_k \right\}, \quad (3.9)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^p - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \right)^p > B_n \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k(2k-1)} \geq B_n \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. From inequality (3.3), we clearly see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{b_k^p}{k-1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j}{(j-1/2)^{1/p}} \right)^p \\
 & > B_n \left[\left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k-1/2} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} \int_{1/2}^{k+1/2} \frac{1}{(x-1/2)^{1/p}} dx \right)^p \right] \\
 & = B_n \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k-1/2} - \frac{1}{k} \right) \\
 & = B_n \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k(2k-1)} \\
 & \geq B_n \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

□

Remark 3.3. If $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then inequality (3.1) follows from inequality (3.10).

4. A Refinement of Carleman’s Inequality

If $a_n \geq 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$) with $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$, then the well-known Carleman’s inequality is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^{1/n} < e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n, \tag{4.1}$$

with the best possible constant factor e (see [2]).

Recently, Yang and Debnath [3] gave a strengthened version of (4.1) as follows:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^{1/n} < e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n+2} \right) a_n. \tag{4.2}$$

Some other strengthened versions of (4.1) were given in [4–9]. In this section, we give a refinement for Carleman’s inequality (see Corollary 4.4).

Lemma 4.1. *If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 1$, then*

$$e \left(1 - \frac{2}{3m+7} \right) \frac{1}{m} > \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k!)^{1/k}}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$e \left(1 - \frac{2}{3m+10} \right) \frac{1}{m+1} > \frac{1}{((m+1)!)^{1/(m+1)}}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Let $\psi(m) = e(1 - 2/(3m+7))(1/m) - \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} (1/k(k!)^{1/k})$, then inequality $\psi(m) > \psi(m+1)$ is equivalent to inequality

$$1 - \frac{2m+2}{3m+7} + \frac{2m}{3m+10} > \frac{m+1}{e(m!)^{1/m}}. \quad (4.5)$$

If $1 \leq m \leq 16$, then simple computation leads to inequality (4.5).
If $m \geq 17$, then it is not difficult to verify that $\sqrt{2\pi m} \geq e^{7/3}$ and

$$\sqrt{2\pi m} \geq e^{(21m^2+71m+70)/(9m^2+39m+50)}. \quad (4.6)$$

If $x > 0$, then $e > (1 + 1/x)^x$; this implies that

$$e > \left(1 + \frac{21m^2 + 71m + 70}{(9m^2 + 39m + 50)m}\right)^{(9m^2+39m+50)m/(21m^2+71m+70)}. \quad (4.7)$$

From inequalities (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\pi m} &> \left(1 + \frac{21m^2 + 71m + 70}{(9m^2 + 39m + 50)m}\right)^m, \\ (2\pi m)^{1/(2m)} &> \frac{(m+1)(3m+7)(3m+10)}{m(9m^2 + 39m + 50)}, \\ \frac{m+5}{3m+7} + \frac{2m}{3m+10} &> \frac{m+1}{m(2\pi m)^{1/(2m)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

From the well-known Stirling Formula $m! = \sqrt{2\pi m}(m/e)^m \exp(\theta_m/12m)$ ($0 < \theta_m < 1$), we get

$$m! > \sqrt{2\pi m} \left(\frac{m}{e}\right)^m. \quad (4.9)$$

Therefore, inequality (4.5) follows from inequalities (4.8) and (4.9).

From the monotonicity of sequence $\{\psi(m)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(m) = 0$, we get $\psi(m) > 0$; therefore, inequality (4.3) is proved.

Meanwhile, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{2\pi(m+1)} &> e^{2/3}, \\
 \sqrt{2\pi(m+1)} &> e^{(2m+2)/(3m+8)}, \\
 \sqrt{2\pi(m+1)} &> \left(1 + \frac{2}{3m+8}\right)^{(3m+8)/2 \cdot (2m+2)/(3m+8)}, \\
 (2\pi(m+1))^{1/(2m+2)} &> \frac{3m+10}{3m+8}, \\
 e\left(1 - \frac{2}{3m+10}\right) \frac{1}{m+1} &> \frac{e}{(m+1)(2\pi(m+1))^{1/(2m+2)}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Therefore, inequality (4.4) follows from inequalities (4.10) and

$$(m+1)! > \sqrt{2\pi(m+1)} \left(\frac{m+1}{e}\right)^{m+1}. \tag{4.11}$$

□

Theorem 4.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, and $a_k > 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$). If $B_n = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{ka_k\}$, then

$$e \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{2}{3k+7}\right) a_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^k a_j\right)^{1/k} \geq B_n \left[e \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{2}{3k+7}\right) \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(k!)^{1/k}} \right]. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. Let $b_k = ka_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_m &= \left\{ \mathbf{b} \mid b_m = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{b_k\} > \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{b_k\} > 0 \right\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
 f : \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n &\longrightarrow e \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{2}{3k+7}\right) \frac{b_k}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j=1}^k b_j\right)^{1/k}, \quad \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Then inequality (4.12) is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$e \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{2}{3k+7}\right) \frac{b_k}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j=1}^k b_j\right)^{1/k} \geq B_n \left[e \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{2}{3k+7}\right) \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(k!)^{1/k}} \right], \tag{4.14}$$

where $B_n = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{b_k\}$.

If $\mathbf{b} \in D_m$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{b})}{\partial b_m} &= e \left(1 - \frac{2}{3m+7} \right) \frac{1}{m} - \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{kb_m} \left(\frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j=1}^k b_j \right)^{1/k} \\ &> e \left(1 - \frac{2}{3m+7} \right) \frac{1}{m} - \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{k(k!)^{1/k}} \\ &> e \left(1 - \frac{2}{3m+7} \right) \frac{1}{m} - \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k!)^{1/k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

From inequality (4.3) and $\partial f(\mathbf{b})/\partial b_m > 0$ together with Theorem 1.1, we clearly see that

$$f(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \geq f(B_n, B_n, \dots, B_n). \quad (4.16)$$

Therefore, inequality (4.14) is proved. \square

Corollary 4.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, and $a_k > 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$). If $B_n = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{ka_k\}$, then

$$e \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{2}{3k+7} \right) a_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^k a_j \right)^{1/k} \geq B_n \left(\frac{4}{5} e - 1 \right). \quad (4.17)$$

Proof. Let $T(m) = e \sum_{k=1}^m (1 - 2/(3k+7))(1/k) - \sum_{k=1}^m (1/(k!)^{1/k})$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then inequality (4.4) implies that $\{T(m)\}_{m=1}^n$ is a strictly increasing sequence. Then from inequality (4.12) we get

$$e \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{2}{3k+7} \right) a_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^k a_j \right)^{1/k} \geq B_n T(n) \geq B_n T(1) = B_n \left(\frac{4}{5} e - 1 \right). \quad (4.18)$$

\square

Let $n \rightarrow +\infty$; thus, we know that Corollary 4.4 is true.

Corollary 4.4. If $a_n \geq 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$) with $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right)^{1/n} \leq e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2}{3n+7} \right) a_n. \quad (4.19)$$

Remark 4.5. Many other applications for Theorem 1.1 appeared in [10].

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the anonymous referees for their very careful reading of the manuscript and fruitful comments and suggestions. This work was partly supported by the

National Nature Science Foundation of China under Grant no. 60850005, the Nature Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province under Grant no. Y607128, the Nature Science Foundation of China Central Radio & TV University under Grant no. GEQ1633, and the Nature Science Foundation of Zhejiang Broadcast & TV University under Grant no. XKT-07G19.

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1952.
- [2] T. Carleman, "Sur les fonctions quasi-analytiques," in *Comptes rendus du Ve Congrès des Mathématiciens, Scandinaves*, pp. 181–196, Helsinki, Finland, 1922.
- [3] B. Yang and L. Debnath, "Some inequalities involving the constant e , and an application to Carleman's inequality," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 223, no. 1, pp. 347–353, 1998.
- [4] H. Alzer, "On Carleman's inequality," *Portugaliae Mathematica*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 331–334, 1993.
- [5] H. Alzer, "A refinement of Carleman's inequality," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 497–499, 1998.
- [6] M. Johansson, L.-E. Persson, and A. Wedestig, "Carleman's inequality-history, proofs and some new generalizations," *JIPAM Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 3, article 53, 19 pages, 2003.
- [7] H. P. Liu and L. Zhu, "New strengthened Carleman's inequality and Hardy's inequality," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2007, Article ID 84104, 7 pages, 2007.
- [8] J. Pečarić and K. B. Stolarsky, "Carleman's inequality: history and new generalizations," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 61, no. 1-2, pp. 49–62, 2001.
- [9] G. I. Sunouchi and N. Takagi, "A generalization of the Carleman's inequality theorem," *Proceedings of the Physico-Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 16, pp. 164–166, 1934.
- [10] X. M. Zhang and Y. M. Chu, *New Discussion to Analytic Inequality*, Harbin Institute of Technology Press, Harbin, China, 2009.