Research Article

A Note on Essential Components and Essential Weakly Efficient Solutions for Multiobjective Optimization Problems

Qun Luo

Department of Mathematics, Zhaoqing University, Zhaoqing, Guangdong 526061, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Qun Luo, liluoqun@yahoo.com.cn

Received 19 June 2009; Revised 21 September 2009; Accepted 24 November 2009

Recommended by Paolo Ricci

The concept of essential component of weakly efficient solution set is introduced first. Then we obtain some sufficient conditions for the existence of an essential component or an essential weakly efficient solution in the weakly efficient solution sets for multiobjective optimization problems.

Copyright © 2009 Qun Luo. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In [1–7] and the references therein, the authors have studied the existence and the stability of (vector-valued, set-valued, semi-infinite vector) optimization and multiobjective optimization problems, while the author in [2] shows that most of the weakly efficient solution sets of multiobjective optimization problems (in the sense of Baire category) are stable. By the fact that there are still quite a few weakly efficient solution sets of multiobjective optimization problems which are not stable, in this paper, we discusses the stability of solution set for multiobjective optimization problems from the perspective of essential components.

2. Definitions and Lemmas

Let *X* be a nonempty compact subset of a Banach space *E*, $R = (-\infty, +\infty)$

$$f: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$$
, where $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$, $f_i: X \to \mathbb{R} \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$ (2.1)

Consider the following multiobjective optimization problem:

$$\min f(x), \quad \text{s.t. } x \in X. \tag{VMP}$$

Definition 2.1. (1) A point $x^* \in X$ is called a weakly efficient solution to (VMP), if there is no $x \in X$ such that

$$f_i(x) < f_i(x^*), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (2.2)

(2) A point $x^* \in X$ is called an efficient solution to (VMP), if there is no $x \in X$ ($x \neq x^*$) such that

$$f_i(x) \le f_i(x^*), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (2.3)

WE(f, X) or WE(f) is used to denote the weakly efficient solution set of (VMP), and E(f, X) or E(f) is used to denote the efficient solution set of (VMP).

Clearly, $E(f, X) \in WE(f, X)$, but the reverse containment may not hold.

Example 2.2 (see [8]). Let X = [0, 2], define

$$f_{i}(x) = x, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\},$$

$$f_{n}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \le x < 1, \\ 2 - x, & 1 \le x \le 2. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

Then $E(f, X) = \{0\} \cup (1, 2], WE(f, X) = [0, 2].$

It is easy to see that n = 1, and (VMP) is just a scalar optimization problem, in this case, we still denote by WE(f, X) or WE(f) the set of optimal solution.

Remark 2.3. Let $f = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, one has $WE(f_i, X) \subset WE(f, X)$.

Denote

 $Y = \{ f \mid f : X \to \mathbb{R}^n \text{ continuous}, X \text{ be a nonempty compact subset of a Banach space} \}.$ (2.5)

For any $f = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_n), g = (g_1, g_2, ..., g_n) \in Y$, define

$$\rho(f,g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{x \in X} |f_i(x) - g_i(x)|.$$
(2.6)

Clearly, (Y, ρ) is a complete metric space.

For any $f = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) \in Y$, by [2], it has been shown that $WE(f, X) \subset X$ is a nonempty compact subset, and the following Lemma 2.4 is due to [2].

Journal of Inequalities and Applications

Lemma 2.4. The mapping $WE: Y \rightarrow 2^X$ is upper semicontinuous with nonempty compact values.

For any $f \in Y$, the component of a point $x \in WE(f, X)$ is the union of all connected subsets of WE(f, X) which contain the point x. From [9, page 356], one knows that components are connected closed subsets of WE(f, X) and thus they are also compact as WE(f, X) is compact. It is easy to see that the components of two distinct points of WE(f, X) either coincide or are disjoint, so that all components constitute a decomposition of WE(f, X) into connected pairwise disjoint compact subsets, that is,

$$WE(f,X) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_{\alpha}(f), \qquad (2.7)$$

where Λ is an index set, for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $C_{\alpha}(f)$ is a nonempty connected compact and for any α , $\beta \in \Lambda$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$), $C_{\alpha}(f) \cap C_{\beta}(f) = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.5. For $f \in Y$, $C_{\alpha}(f)$ is called an essential component of WE(f, X) if, for any open set O containing $C_{\alpha}(f)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $g \in Y$ with $\rho(f, g) < \delta$, WE(g, X) $\cap O \neq \emptyset$.

The following Definition 2.6 is from [2].

Definition 2.6. For $f \in Y$, $x \in WE(f, X)$ is said to be an essential weakly efficient solution to (VMP) if, for any open neighborhood N(x) of x in X, there exists an open neighborhood O(f) at f in Y such that $WE(g, X) \cap N(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $g \in O(f)$.

Remark 2.7. For $f \in Y$, if $x \in WE(f, X)$ is an essential weakly efficient solution to (VMP), then the component which contains the point x is an essential component.

Remark 2.8. For $f \in Y$, maybe, there is no essential component in WE(f, X), and no essential weakly efficient solution in WE(f, X).

Example 2.9. Let X = [0, 6], define

$$f_{1}(x) = f_{2}(x) = \dots = f_{n}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x, & x \in [0, 1], \\ 0, & x \in (1, 2], \\ x - 2, & x \in (2, 3], \\ 4 - x, & x \in (2, 3], \\ 0, & x \in (4, 5], \\ x - 5, & x \in (5, 6]. \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

Then, $WE(f, X) = [1, 2] \cup [4, 5]$, and for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $WE(f, X) = WE(f_i, X)$. By [3, Theorem 2.3], $WE(f, X) = WE(f_i, X)$ has no essential component.

Example 2.10. Let X = [0, 2], define

$$f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \dots = f_n(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in [0,1], \\ 2-x, & x \in (1,2]. \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

Then, $WE(f, X) = \{0\} \cup \{2\}$, and for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $WE(f, X) = WE(f_i, X)$. By [3, Theorem 3.2], $WE(f, X) = WE(f_i, X)$ contains no essential weakly efficient solution.

Definition 2.11. Let X be a nonempty convex subset of a Banach space, and $f_i : X \rightarrow R$, the function f_i is said to be strongly quasiconvex on X, if

$$f_i(tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2) < \max\{f_i(x_1), f_i(x_2)\}$$
(2.10)

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $x_1 \neq x_2$, $t \in (0, 1)$.

3. Essential Component and Essential Weakly Efficient Solution

Theorem 3.1. For $f \in Y$, $x^* \in WE(f, X)$, if there is $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that $WE(f_i, X) = \{x^*\}$, then x^* is an essential weakly efficient solution of (VMP). Hence, the component that contains the point x^* is an essential component.

Proof. Suppose that $f \in Y$, $x^* \in WE(f)$, and there exists $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that $WE(f_i, X) = \{x^*\}$. For any open neighborhood $N(x^*)$ of x^* in X, there is an open neighborhood $M(x^*)$ of x^* in X such that $\overline{M(x^*)} \subset N(x^*)$, where $\overline{M(x^*)}$ denotes the closure of $M(x^*)$.

Since $f_i(x^*) = \min_{x \in X} f_i(x)$, and $WE(f_i, X) = \{x^*\}$, then

$$\inf_{x \in X \setminus \overline{M(x^*)}} f_i(x) - f_i(x^*) > 0.$$

$$(3.1)$$

Take $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in X \setminus \overline{M(x^*)}} f_i(x) - \delta > f_i(x^*) + \delta.$$
(3.2)

Then for any $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in Y$ with $\rho(f, g) < \delta$, one has

$$\left|f_{i}(x) - g_{i}(x)\right| < \delta, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

$$(3.3)$$

Then

$$f_i(x) - \delta < g_i(x) < f_i(x) + \delta, \quad \forall x \in X,$$
(3.4)

Journal of Inequalities and Applications

by (3.2) and (3.4), one has

$$\underbrace{\min_{x \in \overline{M(x^*)}} g_i(x) \leq \min_{x \in \overline{M(x^*)}} f_i(x) + \delta = f_i(x^*) + \delta,$$

$$f_i(x^*) + \delta < \inf_{x \in X \setminus \overline{M(x^*)}} f_i(x) - \delta \leq \inf_{x \in X \setminus \overline{M(x^*)}} g_i(x),$$
(3.5)

therefore

$$\min_{x \in \overline{M(x^*)}} g_i(x) < \inf_{x \in X \setminus \overline{M(x^*)}} g_i(x).$$
(3.6)

Then, $WE(g_i, X) \cap M(x^*) \neq \emptyset$, and hence $WE(g_i, X) \cap N(x^*) \neq \emptyset$, which implies $WE(g, X) \cap N(x^*) \neq \emptyset$. By Definition 2.6, x^* is an essential weakly efficient solution to (VMP). Hence, the component that contains the point x^* is an essential component.

Corollary 3.2 (see [2]). When n = 1, for $f \in Y$, if $WE(f) = \{x\}$ is a singleton, then x is an essential optimum solution.

Lemma 3.3. Let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of a Banach space, the function $f_i : X \to R$ continuous and strongly quasiconvex, then WE(f_i, X) is a singleton.

Proof. Suppose $x_1, x_2 \in WE(f_i, X)$, and $x_1 \neq x_2$. By Definition 2.11,

$$f_i(tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2) < \max\{f_i(x_1), f_i(x_2)\} = \min_{x \in X} f_i(x), \quad t \in (0, 1),$$
(3.7)

which is a contradiction, then $WE(f_i, X)$ is a singleton.

By Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, we have the following Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.4. Let X be a nonempty compact convex subset of a Banach space, for $f = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_n) \in Y$, if there exists $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that f_i is strongly quasiconvex, then WE(f, X) has an essential weakly efficient solution, consequently, WE(f, X) has an essential component.

Theorem 3.5. For $f \in Y$, if WE(f, X) has only one component C(f), then C(f) is an essential component.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, the mapping WE : $Y \to 2^X$ is upper semicontinuous at $f \in Y$, hence, for any open set O with $O \supset WE(f, X) = C(f)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $g \in Y$ with $\rho(f,g) < \delta$, one has $WE(g,X) \subset O$, and hence, $WE(g,X) \cap O \neq \emptyset$. By Definition 2.5, WE(f,X) = C(f) is an essential component.

Remark 3.6. When n = 1, by [3], the optimum solution set WE(f, X) = WE(f₁, X) (where f = f₁) has an essential component if and only if WE(f, X) = WE(f₁, X) is connected. But, when $n \ge 2$, it is not true.

Example 3.7. Let X = [0,3], define

$$f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \dots = f_{n-1}(x) = x, \quad 0 \le x \le 3,$$

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1+x, & x \in [0,1], \\ 3-x, & x \in (1,3]. \end{cases}$$
(3.8)

WE(f, X) = {0} \cup [2,3] is disconnected; however, $x^* = 0 \in WE(f, X)$ is an essential weakly efficient solution, $C_1(f) = \{0\}$ is an essential component, and $C_2(f) = [2,3]$ is an essential component.

Example 3.8. Let X = [0, 1], define

$$f_{1}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \\ 1 - x, & x \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right], \\ f_{2}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x, & x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \\ x, & x \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]. \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

Then WE(f, X) = [0,1], WE(f_1, X) = {0,1}, WE(f_2, X) = {1/2}. By Theorem 3.1, $x_1 = 1/2$ is an essential weakly efficient solution. But, $x_2 = 0$ and $x_3 = 1$ are not essential weakly efficient solutions. In fact, for $x_2 = 0$, take N(0, 1/4) = [0, 1/4), $\overline{N(0, 1/4)} = [0, 1/4]$, for all $\varepsilon : 0 < \varepsilon < 1$, take $g = (g_1, g_2)$ as the following:

$$g_{1}(x) = \begin{cases} x + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} - x\right), & x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right], \\ f_{1}(x), & x \in \left(\frac{1}{4}, 1\right], \\ g_{2}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{2}(x), & x \in \left[0, \frac{3}{4}\right], \\ x - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(x - \frac{3}{4}\right), & x \in \left(\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]. \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

Then WE(g, X) $\neq \emptyset$, and

$$\rho(f,g) = \sup_{x \in X} |f_1(x) - g_1(x)| + \sup_{x \in X} |f_2(x) - g_2(x)| < \varepsilon.$$
(3.11)

Journal of Inequalities and Applications

But WE(g, X) \cap $N(0, 1/4) = \emptyset$. In fact, for all $t \in N(0, 1/4)$, if t = 0, $g_1(0) = \varepsilon/8$, $g_2(0) = 1$, take $x^* = 1 \in X$, we have

$$g_1(x^*) = 0 < g_1(0), \qquad g_2(x^*) = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{8} < g_2(0).$$
 (3.12)

If $t \in (0, 1/4)$, $g_1(t) = t + (\varepsilon/2)(1/4 - t)$, $g_2(t) = 1 - t$, take $x^* = 1 - t \in X$, we have

$$g_1(x^*) = 1 - x^* = t < g_1(t),$$

$$g_2(x^*) = x^* - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(x^* - \frac{3}{4} \right) = 1 - t - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} - t \right) < g_2(t).$$
(3.13)

Thus $WE(g, X) \cap N(0, 1/4) = \emptyset$; therefore, $x_2 = 0$ is not an essential weakly efficient solution. Similarly, $x_3 = 1$ is not an essential weakly efficient solution.

4. Conclusions

When n = 1, by [3], for $f \in Y$, WE(f) has an essential component if and only if WE(f) is connected, and WE(f) has an essential optimum solution if and only if WE(f) is a singleton.

When $n \ge 2$, we obtain some sufficient conditions for the existence of an essential component or an essential weakly efficient solution in WE(f, X). Example 3.7 shows that WE(f, X) disconnected, but WE(f, X) has an essential component and WE(f, X) is not a singleton, but WE(f, X) has an essential weakly efficient solution. Example 3.8 shows that, if WE(f_i , X) is not a singleton, for some i, then for any $x \in WE(f_i, X)$, x is not an essential weakly efficient solution.

Acknowledgments

The author expresses her sincerely thanks to anonymous referees for their comments and suggestions leading to the present version of this paper. This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (9251064101000015), China.

References

- P. L. Yu, Multiple-Criteria Decision Making: Concepts, Techniques, and Extensions, vol. 30 of Mathematical Concepts and Methods in Science and Engineering, Plenum Press, New York, NY, USA, 1985.
- [2] J. Yu, "Essential weak efficient solution in multiobjective optimization problems," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 166, no. 1, pp. 230–235, 1992.
- [3] Q. Luo, "Essential component and essential optimum solution of optimization problems," Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 102, no. 2, pp. 433–438, 1999.
- [4] E. Miglierina and E. Molho, "Scalarization and stability in vector optimization," Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 114, no. 3, pp. 657–670, 2002.
- [5] X. X. Huang, "Stability in vector-valued and set-valued optimization," Mathematical Methods of Operations Research, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 185–193, 2000.
- [6] S. W. Xiang and W. S. Yin, "Stability results for efficient solutions of vector optimization problems," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 134, no. 3, pp. 385–398, 2007.
- [7] T. D. Chuong, N. Q. Huy, and J. C. Yao, "Stability of semi-infinite vector optimization problems under functional perturbations," *Journal of Global Optimization*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 583–595, 2009.

- [8] A. R. Warburton, "Quasiconcave vector maximization: connectedness of the sets of Pareto-optimal and weak Pareto-optimal alternatives," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 537–557, 1983.
- [9] R. Engelking, General Topology, vol. 6 of Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, Heldermann, Berlin, Germany, 2nd edition, 1989.