Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Journal of Inequalities and Applications
Volume 2009, Article ID 921634, 10 pages
doi:10.1155/2009/921634

Research Article

On k-Quasiclass A Operators

Fugen Gao[1,2] and Xiaochun Fang[1]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China*
[2] *College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Fugen Gao, gaofugen08@126.com

Received 26 June 2009; Revised 6 September 2009; Accepted 10 November 2009

Recommended by Sin-Ei Takahasi

An operator $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ is called k-quasiclass A if $T^{*k}(|T^2| - |T|^2)T^k \geq 0$ for a positive integer k, which is a common generalization of quasiclass A. In this paper, firstly we prove some inequalities of this class of operators; secondly we prove that if T is a k-quasiclass A operator, then T is isoloid and $T - \lambda$ has finite ascent for all complex number λ; at last we consider the tensor product for k-quasiclass A operators.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper let $\mathscr{H}$ be a separable complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $B(\mathscr{H})$ denote the C^*-algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{H}$.

Let $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and let λ_0 be an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$. Here $\sigma(T)$ denotes the spectrum of T. Then there exists a small enough positive number $r > 0$ such that

$$\{\lambda \in C : |\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq r\} \cap \sigma(T) = \{\lambda_0\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Let

$$E = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda - \lambda_0| = r} (\lambda - T)^{-1} d\lambda. \tag{1.2}$$

E is called the Riesz idempotent with respect to λ_0, and it is well known that E satisfies $E^2 = E$, $TE = ET$, $\sigma(T|_{E\mathscr{H}}) = \{\lambda_0\}$, and $\ker((T - \lambda_0)^n) \subset E\mathscr{H}$ for all positive integers n. Stampfli [1] proved that if T is hyponormal (i.e., operators such that $T^*T - TT^* \geq 0$), then

$$E \text{ is self-adjoint and } E\mathscr{H} = \ker(T - \lambda_0) = \ker\big((T - \lambda_0)^*\big). \tag{1.3}$$

After that many authors extended this result to many other classes of operators. Chō and Tanahashi [2] proved that (1.3) holds if T is either p-hyponormal or log-hyponormal. In the case $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, the result was further shown by Tanahashi and Uchiyama [3] to hold for p-quasihyponormal operators, by Tanahashi et al. [4] to hold for (p,k)-quasihyponormal operators and by Uchiyama and Tanahashi [5] and Uchiyama [6] for class A and paranormal operators. Here an operator T is called p-hyponormal for $0 < p \leq 1$ if $(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p \geq 0$, and log-hyponormal if T is invertible and $\log T^*T \geq \log TT^*$. An operator T is called (p,k) -quasihyponormal if $T^{*k}((T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p)T^k \geq 0$, where $0 < p \leq 1$ and k is a positive integer; especially, when $p = 1$, $k = 1$, and $p = k = 1$, T is called k-quasihyponormal, p-quasihyponormal, and quasihyponormal, respectively. And an operator T is called paranormal if $\|Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\|\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathscr{H}$; normaloid if $\|T^n\| = \|T\|^n$ for all positive integers n. p-hyponormal, log-hyponormal, p-quasihyponormal, (p,k)-quasihyponormal, and paranormal operators were introduced by Aluthge [7], Tanahashi [8], S. C. Arora and P. Arora [9], Kim [10], and Furuta [11, 12], respectively.

In order to discuss the relations between paranormal and p-hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators, Furuta et al. [13] introduced a very interesting class of bounded linear Hilbert space operators: class A defined by $|T^2| - |T|^2 \geq 0$, where $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ which is called the absolute value of T and they showed that class A is a subclass of paranormal and contains p-hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators. Class A operators have been studied by many researchers, for example, [5, 14–19].

Recently Jeon and Kim [20] introduced quasiclass A (i.e., $T^*(|T^2| - |T|^2)T \geq 0$) operators as an extension of the notion of class A operators, and they also proved that (1.3) holds for this class of operators when $\lambda_0 \neq 0$. It is interesting to study whether Stampli's result holds for other larger classes of operators.

In [21], Tanahashi et al. considered an extension of quasi-class A operators, similar in spirit to the extension of the notion of p-quasihyponormality to (p,k) -quasihyponormality, and prove that (1.3) holds for this class of operators in the case $\lambda_0 \neq 0$.

Definition 1.1. $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ is called a k-quasiclass A operator for a positive integer k if

$$T^{*k}\left(\left|T^2\right| - |T|^2\right)T^k \geq 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Remark 1.2. In [21], this class of operators is called quasi-class (A, k).

It is clear that the class of quasi-class A operators $\subseteq$ the class of k-quasiclass A operators and

$$\text{the class of } k\text{-quasiclass A operators} \subseteq \text{the class of } (k+1)\text{-quasiclass A operators.} \tag{1.5}$$

We show that the inclusion relation (1.5) is strict, by an example which appeared in [20].

Example 1.3. Given a bounded sequence of positive numbers $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, let T be the unilateral weighted shift operator on l^2 with the canonical orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by $Te_n = \alpha_n e_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$, that is,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ \alpha_0 & 0 & & & \\ & \alpha_1 & 0 & & \\ & & \alpha_2 & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.6}$$

Straightforward calculations show that T is a k-quasiclass A operator if and only if $\alpha_k \leq \alpha_{k+1} \leq \alpha_{k+2} \leq \cdots$. So if $\alpha_{k+1} \leq \alpha_{k+2} \leq \alpha_{k+3} \leq \cdots$ and $\alpha_k > \alpha_{k+1}$, then T is a $(k+1)$-quasiclass A operator, but not a k-quasiclass A operator.

In this paper, firstly we consider some inequalities of k-quasiclass A operators; secondly we prove that if T is a k-quasiclass A operator, then T is isoloid and $T - \lambda$ has finite ascent for all complex number λ; at last we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $T \otimes S$ to be a k-quasiclass A operator when T and S are both non-zero operators.

2. Results

In the following lemma, Tanahashi, Jeon, Kim, and Uchiyama studied the matrix representation of a k-quasiclass A operator with respect to the direct sum of $\overline{\operatorname{ran}(T^k)}$ and its orthogonal complement.

Lemma 2.1 (see [21]). *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a k-quasiclass A operator for a positive integer k and let $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\operatorname{ran}(T^k)} \oplus \ker T^{*k}$ be 2×2 matrix expression. Assume that $\operatorname{ran} T^k$ is not dense, then T_1 is a class A operator on $\overline{\operatorname{ran}(T^k)}$ and $T_3^k = 0$. Furthermore, $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \{0\}$.*

Proof. Consider the matrix representation of T with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\operatorname{ran}(T^k)} \oplus \ker T^{*k}$: $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix}$. Let P be the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\overline{\operatorname{ran}(T^k)}$. Then $T_1 = TP = PTP$. Since T is a k-quasiclass A operator, we have

$$P\left(\left|T^2\right| - |T|^2\right)P \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Then

$$\left|T_1^2\right| = (PT^*PT^*TPTP)^{1/2} = (PT^*T^*TTP)^{1/2} = \left(P\left|T^2\right|^2 P\right)^{1/2} \geq P\left|T^2\right|P \tag{2.2}$$

by Hansen's inequality [22]. On the other hand

$$|T_1|^2 = T_1^*T_1 = PT^*TP = P|T|^2P \leq P\left|T^2\right|P. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence

$$\left|T_1^2\right| \geq |T_1|^2. \tag{2.4}$$

That is, T_1 is a class A operator on $\overline{\mathrm{ran}(T^k)}$.

For any $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathscr{H}$,

$$\left\langle T_3^k x_2, x_2 \right\rangle = \left\langle T^k (I-P)x, (I-P)x \right\rangle = \left\langle (I-P)x, T^{*k}(I-P)x \right\rangle = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

which implies $T_3^k = 0$.

Since $\sigma(T) \cup \mathfrak{G} = \sigma(T_1) \cup \sigma(T_3)$, where $\mathfrak{G}$ is the union of the holes in $\sigma(T)$ which happen to be subset of $\sigma(T_1) \cap \sigma(T_3)$ by [23, Corollary 7], and $\sigma(T_3) = 0$ and $\sigma(T_1) \cap \sigma(T_3)$ has no interior points, we have $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \{0\}$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ be a k-quasiclass A operator for a positive integer k. Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) $\|T^{n+2}x\| \|T^n x\| \geq \|T^{n+1}x\|^2$ *for all $x \in \mathscr{H}$ and all positive integers $n \geq k$.*

(2) *If $T^n = 0$ for some positive integer $n \geq k$, then $T^{k+1} = 0$.*

(3) $\|T^{n+1}\| \leq \|T^n\| r(T)$ *for all positive integers $n \geq k$, where $r(T)$ denotes the spectral radius of T.*

To give a proof of Theorem 2.2, the following famous inequality is needful.

Lemma 2.3 (Hölder-McCarthy's inequality [24]). *Let $A \geq 0$. Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) $\langle A^r x, x\rangle \geq \langle Ax, x\rangle^r \|x\|^{2(1-r)}$ *for $r > 1$ and all $x \in \mathscr{H}$.*

(2) $\langle A^r x, x\rangle \leq \langle Ax, x\rangle^r \|x\|^{2(1-r)}$ *for $r \in [0,1]$ and all $x \in \mathscr{H}$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.2. (1) Since it is clear that k-quasiclass A operators are $(k+1)$-quasiclass A operators, we only need to prove the case $n = k$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T^{*k}|T|^2 T^k x, x\rangle &= \langle T^{*k} T^* T T^k x, x\rangle = \left\|T^{k+1}x\right\|^2, \\
\left\langle T^{*k}\left|T^2\right| T^k x, x\right\rangle &= \left\langle \left|T^2\right| T^k x, T^k x\right\rangle \\
&\leq \left\langle T^* T^* T T T^k x, T^k x\right\rangle^{1/2} \left\|T^k x\right\|^{2(1-1/2)} \\
&= \left\|T^{k+2}x\right\| \left\|T^k x\right\|
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

by Hölder-McCarthy's inequality, we have

$$\left\|T^{k+2}x\right\| \left\|T^k x\right\| \geq \left\|T^{k+1}x\right\|^2 \tag{2.7}$$

for T is a k-quasiclass A operator.

(2) If $n = k, k+1$, it is obvious that $T^{k+1} = 0$. If $T^{k+2} = 0$, then $T^{k+1} = 0$ by (1). The rest of the proof is similar.

(3) We only need to prove the case $n = k$, that is,

$$\left\|T^{k+1}\right\| \leq \left\|T^{k}\right\| r(T). \tag{2.8}$$

If $T^n = 0$ for some $n \geq k$, then $T^{k+1} = 0$ by (2) and in this case $r(T) = \left(r(T^{k+1})\right)^{1/(k+1)} = 0$. Hence (3) is clear. Therefore we may assume $T^n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq k$. Then

$$\frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^{k}\|} \leq \frac{\|T^{k+2}\|}{\|T^{k+1}\|} \leq \frac{\|T^{k+3}\|}{\|T^{k+2}\|} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{\|T^{mk}\|}{\|T^{mk-1}\|} \tag{2.9}$$

by (1), and we have

$$\left(\frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^{k}\|}\right)^{mk-k} \leq \frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^{k}\|} \times \frac{\|T^{k+2}\|}{\|T^{k+1}\|} \times \cdots \times \frac{\|T^{mk}\|}{\|T^{mk-1}\|} = \frac{\|T^{mk}\|}{\|T^{k}\|}. \tag{2.10}$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^{k}\|}\right)^{k-(k/m)} \leq \frac{\|T^{mk}\|^{1/m}}{\|T^{k}\|^{1/m}}. \tag{2.11}$$

By letting $m \to \infty$, we have

$$\left\|T^{k+1}\right\|^{k} \leq \left\|T^{k}\right\|^{k} (r(T))^{k}, \tag{2.12}$$

that is,

$$\left\|T^{k+1}\right\| \leq \left\|T^{k}\right\| r(T). \tag{2.13}$$

□

Lemma 2.4 (see [21]). *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a k-quasiclass A operator for a positive integer k. If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $(T-\lambda)x = 0$ for some $x \in \mathcal{H}$, then $(T-\lambda)^* x = 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that $x \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be a span of $\{x\}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_0$ is an invariant subspace of T and

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}_0^{\perp}. \tag{2.14}$$

Let P be the orthogonal projection of $\mathscr{H}$ onto $\mathscr{M}_0$. It suffices to show that $T_2 = 0$ in (2.14). Since T is a k-quasiclass A operator, and $x = T^k(x/\lambda^k) \in \overline{\operatorname{ran}(T^k)}$, we have

$$P\left(\left|T^2\right| - |T|^2\right)P \geq 0. \tag{2.15}$$

We remark

$$P\left|T^2\right|^2 P = PT^*T^*TTP = PT^*PT^*TPTP = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.16}$$

Then by Hansen's inequality and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left(P\left|T^2\right|^2 P\right)^{1/2} \geq P\left|T^2\right|P \geq P|T|^2 P = PT^*TP = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.17}$$

Hence we may write

$$\left|T^2\right| = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^2 & A \\ A^* & B \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.18}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= P\left|T^2\right|\left|T^2\right|P \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^2 & A \\ A^* & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^2 & A \\ A^* & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^4 + AA^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

This implies $A = 0$ and $|T^2|^2 = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^4 & 0 \\ 0 & B^2 \end{pmatrix}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|T^2\right|^2 &= T^*T^*TT \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\lambda} & 0 \\ T_2^* & T_3^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\lambda} & 0 \\ T_2^* & T_3^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda|^4 & \overline{\lambda}^2(\lambda T_2 + T_2T_3) \\ \lambda^2(\lambda T_2 + T_2T_3)^* & |\lambda T_2 + T_2T_3|^2 + \left|T_3^2\right|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Hence $\lambda T_2 + T_2T_3 = 0$ and $B = |T_3^2|$. Since T is a k-quasiclass A operator, by a simple calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\le T^{*k}\left(\left|T^2\right| - |T|^2\right)T^k \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-1)^{k+1}\overline{\lambda}|\lambda|^{2k}T_2 \\ (-1)^{k+1}\lambda|\lambda|^{2k}T_2^* & (-1)^{k+1}|\lambda|^{2k}|T_2|^2 + T_3^{*k}\left|T_3^2\right|T_3^k - \left|T_3^{k+1}\right|^2 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Recall that $\begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Y^* & Z \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$ if and only if $X, Z \ge 0$ and $Y = X^{1/2}WZ^{1/2}$ for some contraction W. Thus we have $T_2 = 0$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.5 (see [25]). *If T satisfies $\ker(T-\lambda) \subseteq \ker(T-\lambda)^*$ for some complex number λ, then $\ker(T-\lambda) = \ker(T-\lambda)^n$ for any positive integer n.*

Proof. It suffices to show $\ker(T-\lambda) = \ker(T-\lambda)^2$ by induction. We only need to show $\ker(T-\lambda)^2 \subseteq \ker(T-\lambda)$ since $\ker(T-\lambda) \subseteq \ker(T-\lambda)^2$ is clear. In fact, if $(T-\lambda)^2x = 0$, then we have $(T-\lambda)^*(T-\lambda)x = 0$ by hypothesis. So we have $\|(T-\lambda)x\|^2 = \langle (T-\lambda)^*(T-\lambda)x, x\rangle = 0$, that is, $(T-\lambda)x = 0$. Hence $\ker(T-\lambda)^2 \subseteq \ker(T-\lambda)$. □

An operator is said to have finite ascent if $\ker T^n = \ker T^{n+1}$ for some positive integer n.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ be a k-quasiclass A operator for a positive integer k. Then $T-\lambda$ has finite ascent for all complex number λ.*

Proof. We only need to show the case $\lambda = 0$ because the case $\lambda \neq 0$ holds by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5.

In the case $\lambda = 0$, we shall show that $\ker T^{k+1} = \ker T^{k+2}$. It suffices to show that $\ker T^{k+2} \subseteq \ker T^{k+1}$ since $\ker T^{k+1} \subseteq \ker T^{k+2}$ is clear. Now assume that $T^{k+2}x = 0$. We may assume $T^kx \neq 0$ since if $T^kx = 0$, it is obvious that $T^{k+1}x = 0$. By Hölder-McCarthy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \left\|T^{k+2}x\right\| &= \left\langle T^{k+2}x, T^{k+2}x\right\rangle^{1/2} \\
&= \left\langle \left|T^2\right|^2 T^kx, T^kx\right\rangle^{1/2} \\
&\ge \left\langle \left|T^2\right| T^kx, T^kx\right\rangle \left\|T^kx\right\|^{-1} \\
&\ge \left\langle |T|^2 T^kx, T^kx\right\rangle \left\|T^kx\right\|^{-1} \\
&= \left\|T^{k+1}x\right\|^2 \left\|T^kx\right\|^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

So we have $T^{k+1}x = 0$, which implies $\ker T^{k+2} \subseteq \ker T^{k+1}$. Therefore $\ker T^{k+1} = \ker T^{k+2}$. □

In the following lemma, Tanahashi, Jeon, Kim, and Uchiyama extended the result (1.3) to k-quasiclass A operators in the case $\lambda_0 \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.7 (see [21]). *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a k-quasiclass A operator for a positive integer k. Let λ_0 be an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$ and E the Riesz idempotent for λ_0. Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) *If $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, then E is self-adjoint and*

$$E\mathcal{H} = \ker(T - \lambda_0) = \ker\left((T - \lambda_0)^*\right). \tag{2.23}$$

(2) *If $\lambda_0 = 0$, then $E\mathcal{H} = \ker(T^{k+1})$.*

An operator T is said to be isoloid if every isolated point of $\sigma(T)$ is an eigenvalue of T.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a k-quasiclass A operator for a positive integer k. Then T is isoloid.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ be an isolated point. If $\lambda \neq 0$, by (1) of Lemma 2.7, $\ker(T-\lambda) = E\mathcal{H} \neq \{0\}$ for $E \neq 0$. Therefore λ is an eigenvalue of T. If $\lambda = 0$, by (2) of Lemma 2.7, $\ker(T^{k+1}) = E\mathcal{H} \neq \{0\}$ for $E \neq 0$. So we have $\ker(T) \neq \{0\}$. Therefore 0 is an eigenvalue of T. This completes the proof. □

Let $T \otimes S$ denote the tensor product on the product space $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ for nonzero $T, S \in B(\mathcal{H})$. The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for $T \otimes S$ to be a k-quasiclass A operator, which is an extension of [20, Theorem 4.2].

Theorem 2.9. *Let $T, S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be nonzero operators. Then $T \otimes S$ is a k-quasiclass A operator if and only if one of the following assertions holds*

(1) *$T^{k+1} = 0$ or $S^{k+1} = 0$.*

(2) *T and S are k-quasiclass A operators.*

Proof. It is clear that $T \otimes S$ is a k-quasiclass A operator if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
&(T \otimes S)^{*k}\left(\left|(T \otimes S)^2\right| - |T \otimes S|^2\right)(T \otimes S)^k \geq 0 \\
&\Longleftrightarrow T^{*k}\left(\left|T^2\right| - |T|^2\right)T^k \otimes S^{*k}\left|S^2\right|S^k + T^{*k}|T|^2T^k \otimes S^{*k}\left(\left|S^2\right| - |S|^2\right)S^k \geq 0 \\
&\Longleftrightarrow T^{*k}\left|T^2\right|T^k \otimes S^{*k}\left(\left|S^2\right| - |S|^2\right)S^k + T^{*k}\left(\left|T^2\right| - |T|^2\right)T^k \otimes S^{*k}|S|^2S^k \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Therefore the sufficiency is clear.

To prove the necessary, suppose that $T \otimes S$ is a k-quasiclass A operator. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary. Then we have

$$\left\langle T^{*k}\left(\left|T^2\right| - |T|^2\right)T^k x, x\right\rangle\langle S^{*k}\left|S^2\right|S^k y, y\rangle + \left\langle T^{*k}|T|^2T^k x, x\right\rangle\left\langle S^{*k}\left(\left|S^2\right| - |S|^2\right)S^k y, y\right\rangle \geq 0. \tag{2.25}$$

It suffices to prove that if (1) does not hold, then (2) holds. Suppose that $T^{k+1} \neq 0$ and $S^{k+1} \neq 0$. To the contrary, assume that T is not a k-quasiclass A operator, then there exists $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\left\langle T^{*k}\left(\left|T^2\right| - |T|^2\right)T^k x_0, x_0\right\rangle = \alpha < 0, \qquad \left\langle T^{*k}|T|^2 T^k x_0, x_0\right\rangle = \beta > 0. \tag{2.26}$$

From (2.25) we have

$$\alpha\left\langle S^{*k}\left|S^2\right|S^k y, y\right\rangle + \beta\left\langle S^{*k}\left(\left|S^2\right| - |S|^2\right)S^k y, y\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{H}, \tag{2.27}$$

that is,

$$(\alpha+\beta)\left\langle S^{*k}\left|S^2\right|S^k y, y\right\rangle \geq \beta\left\langle S^{*k}|S|^2 S^k y, y\right\rangle \tag{2.28}$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore S is a k-quasiclass A operator. As the proof in Theorem 2.2 (1), we have

$$\left\langle S^{*k}|S|^2 S^k y, y\right\rangle = \left\|S^{k+1}y\right\|^2, \qquad \left\langle S^{*k}\left|S^2\right|S^k y, y\right\rangle \leq \left\|S^{k+2}y\right\|\left\|S^k y\right\|. \tag{2.29}$$

So we have

$$(\alpha+\beta)\left\|S^{k+2}y\right\|\left\|S^k y\right\| \geq \beta\left\|S^{k+1}y\right\|^2 \tag{2.30}$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$ by (2.28). Because S is a k-quasiclass A operator, from Lemma 2.1 we can write $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ 0 & S_3 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\operatorname{ran}(S^k)} \oplus \ker S^{*k}$, where S_1 is a class A operator (hence it is normaloid). By (2.30) we have

$$(\alpha+\beta)\left\|S_1^2\eta\right\|\|\eta\| \geq \beta\|S_1\eta\|^2 \quad \forall \eta \in \overline{\operatorname{ran}(S^k)}. \tag{2.31}$$

So we have

$$(\alpha+\beta)\|S_1\|^2 = (\alpha+\beta)\left\|S_1^2\right\| \geq \beta\|S_1\|^2, \tag{2.32}$$

where equality holds since S_1 is normaloid.

This implies that $S_1 = 0$. Since $S^{k+1}y = S_1 S^k y = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $S^{k+1} = 0$. This contradicts the assumption $S^{k+1} \neq 0$. Hence T must be a k-quasiclass A operator. A similar argument shows that S is also a k-quasiclass A operator. The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their cordial gratitude to the referee for his useful comments and Professor K. Tanahashi and Professor I. H. Jeon for sending them [21]. This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 10771161).

References

[1] J. G. Stampfli, "Hyponormal operators and spectral density," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 117, pp. 469–476, 1965.

[2] M. Chō and K. Tanahashi, "Isolated point of spectrum of p-hyponormal, log-hyponormal operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 379–384, 2002.

[3] K. Tanahashi and A. Uchiyama, "Isolated point of spectrum of p-quasihyponormal operators," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 341, pp. 345–350, 2002.

[4] K. Tanahashi, A. Uchiyama, and M. Chō, "Isolated points of spectrum of (p,k)-quasihyponormal operators," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 382, pp. 221–229, 2004.

[5] A. Uchiyama and K. Tanahashi, "On the Riesz idempotent of class A operators," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 291–298, 2002.

[6] A. Uchiyama, "On the isolated points of the spectrum of paranormal operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 145–151, 2006.

[7] A. Aluthge, "On p-hyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 307–315, 1990.

[8] K. Tanahashi, "On log-hyponormal operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 364–372, 1999.

[9] S. C. Arora and P. Arora, "On p-quasihyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$," *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 25–29, 1993.

[10] I. H. Kim, "On (p,k)-quasihyponormal operators," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 629–638, 2004.

[11] T. Furuta, "On the class of paranormal operators," *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*, vol. 43, pp. 594–598, 1967.

[12] T. Furuta, *Invitation to Linear Operators: From Matrices to Bounded Linear Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Taylor & Francis, London, UK, 2001.

[13] T. Furuta, M. Ito, and T. Yamazaki, "A subclass of paranormal operators including class of log-hyponormal and several related classes," *Scientiae Mathematicae*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 389–403, 1998.

[14] M. Chō, M. Giga, T. Huruya, and T. Yamazaki, "A remark on support of the principal function for class A operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 303–308, 2007.

[15] M. Chō and T. Yamazaki, "An operator transform from class A to the class of hyponormal operators and its application," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 497–508, 2005.

[16] M. Ito, "Several properties on class A including p-hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 569–578, 1999.

[17] M. Ito and T. Yamazaki, "Relations between two inequalities $(B^{r/2}A^pB^{r/2})^{r/(p+r)} \geq B^r$ and $A^p \geq (A^{p/2}B^rA^{p/2})^{p/(p+r)}$ and their applications," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 442–450, 2002.

[18] A. Uchiyama, "Weyl's theorem for class A operators," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 143–150, 2001.

[19] D. Wang and J. I. Lee, "Spectral properties of class A operators," *Trends in Mathematics Information Center for Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 93–98, 2003.

[20] I. H. Jeon and I. H. Kim, "On operators satisfying $T^*|T^2|T \geq T^*|T|^2T$," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 418, no. 2-3, pp. 854–862, 2006.

[21] K. Tanahashi, I. H. Jeon, I. H. Kim, and A. Uchiyama, "Quasinilpotent part of class A or (p,k)-quasihyponormal operators," *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, vol. 187, pp. 199–210, 2008.

[22] F. Hansen, "An operator inequality," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 246, no. 3, pp. 249–250, 1980.

[23] J. K. Han, H. Y. Lee, and W. Y. Lee, "Invertible completions of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 128, no. 1, pp. 119–123, 2000.

[24] C. A. McCarthy, "c_ρ," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 5, pp. 249–271, 1967.

[25] Y. M. Han, J. I. Lee, and D. Wang, "Riesz idempotent and Weyl's theorem for w-hyponormal operators," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 51–60, 2005.