

Research Article

Fractional Calculus and p -Valently Starlike Functions

Osman Altıntaş¹ and Öznur Özkan²

¹ Department of Mathematics Education, Başkent University, Bağlıca, TR-06530, Ankara, Turkey

² Department of Statistics and Computer Sciences, Başkent University, Bağlıca, TR 06530, Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to Öznur Özkan, oznur@baskent.edu.tr

Received 18 November 2008; Accepted 28 February 2009

Recommended by Alberto Cabada

In this investigation, the authors prove coefficient bounds, distortion inequalities for fractional calculus of a family of multivalent functions with negative coefficients, which is defined by means of a certain nonhomogenous Cauchy-Euler differential equation.

Copyright © 2009 O. Altıntaş and Ö. Özkan. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and Definitions

Let $\mathcal{T}_n(p)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0; n, p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are *analytic* and *multivalent* in the unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$.

The fractional calculus are defined as follows (e.g., [1, 2]).

Definition 1.1. The fractional integral of order δ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\delta} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{1-\delta}} d\xi \quad (\delta > 0), \quad (1.2)$$

where $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{\delta-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\xi)$ to be real when $z-\xi > 0$.

Definition 1.2. The fractional derivative of order δ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{D}_z^\delta f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^\delta} d\xi \quad (0 \leq \delta < 1), \quad (1.3)$$

where $f(z)$ is constrained and multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{-\delta}$ is removed as in Definition 1.1.

Definition 1.3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 1.1, the fractional derivative of order $(n+\delta)$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{D}_z^{n+\delta} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \mathfrak{D}_z^\delta f(z) \quad (0 \leq \delta < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}). \quad (1.4)$$

$(a)_v$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial), since

$$(1)_n = n! \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad (1.5)$$

defined (for $a, v \in \mathbb{C}$ and in terms of the Gamma function) by

$$(a)_v := \frac{\Gamma(a+v)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1, & (v=0, a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \\ a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1), & (v=n \in \mathbb{N}; a \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

The earlier investigations by Goodman [3, 4] and Ruscheweyh [5], we define the (n, p, ε) -neighborhood of a function $f \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)$ by

$$\mathcal{N}_{n,p}^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{D}_z^\delta f, \mathfrak{D}_z^\delta g) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{T}_n(p) : g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k+1-\delta)_\delta k |a_k - b_k| \leq \varepsilon \right\}, \quad (1.7)$$

so that, obviously,

$$\mathcal{N}_{n,p}^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{D}_z^\delta h, \mathfrak{D}_z^\delta g) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{T}_n(p) : g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k+1-\delta)_\delta k |b_k| \leq \varepsilon \right\}, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$h(z) := z^p. \quad (1.9)$$

The class $S_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{T}_n(p)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy

$$\Re e \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p, p \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{1.10}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(z) = \lambda z \mathfrak{D}_z^{1+\delta} f(z) + (1 - \lambda) \mathfrak{D}_z^\delta f(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1). \tag{1.11}$$

We note that the class $S_{1,1}^0(\lambda, \alpha)$ was investigated by Altıntaş [6] and the class $S_{n,p}^0(\lambda, \alpha)$ was studied by Altıntaş et al. [7, 8].

We denote by

$$S_{n,p}^0(0, \alpha) = S_n^*(p, \alpha), \quad S_{n,p}^0(1, \alpha) = C_n(p, \alpha) \tag{1.12}$$

the classes of p -valently starlike functions of order α in \mathbb{U} ($0 \leq \alpha < p$) and p -valently convex functions of order α in \mathbb{U} ($0 \leq \alpha < p$), respectively [see, [2, 9]].

Finally $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$ denote the subclass of the general class $\mathcal{T}_n(p)$ consisting of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)$ satisfying the following nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equation:

$$z^2 \mathfrak{D}_z^{2+\delta} \omega + 2(1 + \mu)z \mathfrak{D}_z^{1+\delta} \omega + \mu(1 + \mu) \mathfrak{D}_z^\delta \omega = (p - \delta + \mu)(p - \delta + \mu + 1) \mathfrak{D}_z^\delta g, \tag{1.13}$$

where $\omega = f(z), f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_n(p), g = g(z) \in S_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mu > \delta - p$.

The main object of the present paper is to give coefficients bounds and distortion inequalities for functions in the classes $S_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$.

2. Coefficient Bounds and Distortion Inequalities

We begin by proving the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)$ be defined by (1.1). Then $f(z)$ is in the class $S_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k + 1 - \delta)_\delta (k - \alpha - \delta) [1 + \lambda(k - 1 - \delta)] a_k \leq (p + 1 - \delta)_\delta (p - \alpha - \delta) [1 + \lambda(p - 1 - \delta)] \tag{2.1}$$

$$(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; 0 \leq \alpha < p - \delta; 0 \leq \delta < 1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{(p + 1 - \delta)_\delta (p - \alpha - \delta) [1 + \lambda(p - 1 - \delta)]}{(n + p + 1 - \delta)_\delta (n + p - \alpha - \delta) [1 + \lambda(n + p - 1 - \delta)]} z^{n+p}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)$ and $\mathcal{F}(z)$ be defined by (1.11). Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$. Then, in conjunction with (1.10) and (1.11) yields

$$\Re e \frac{(p+1-\delta)_\delta(p-\delta)[1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)]z^{p-\delta} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k+1-\delta)_\delta(k-\delta)[1+\lambda(k-1-\delta)]a_k z^{k-\delta}}{(p+1-\delta)_\delta[1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)]z^{p-\delta} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k+1-\delta)_\delta[1+\lambda(k-1-\delta)]a_k z^{k-\delta}} > \alpha. \quad (2.3)$$

By letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ along the real axis, we arrive easily at the inequality in (2.1). \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k+1-\delta)_\delta a_k \leq \frac{(p+1-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)]}{(n+p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(n+p-1-\delta)]}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k+1-\delta)_\delta k a_k \leq \frac{(p+1-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)](n+p)}{(n+p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(n+p-1-\delta)]}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. By using Lemma 2.1, we find from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (n+p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(n+p-1-\delta)] \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k+1-\delta)_\delta a_k \\ & \leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k+1-\delta)_\delta(k-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(k-1-\delta)]a_k \\ & \leq (p+1-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

which immediately yields the first assertion (2.4) of Lemma 2.2. \square

For the proof of second assertion, by appealing to (2.1), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & [1+\lambda(n+p-\delta-1)] \left\{ \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k+1-\delta)_\delta k a_k - (\alpha+\delta) \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k+1-\delta)_\delta a_k \right\} \\ & \leq (p+1-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

by using (2.4) in (2.7), we can easily get the assertion (2.5) of Lemma 2.2.

The distortion inequalities for functions in the class $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$ are given by Theorem 2.3 below.

Theorem 2.3. Let a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)$ be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$. Then

$$|f(z)| \leq |z|^p + \frac{(p+1-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)(p-\delta+\mu)(p-\delta+\mu+1)}{(n+p+1-\delta)_\delta(n+p-\alpha-\delta)(n+p-\delta+\mu)} \frac{1+\lambda(p-\delta-1)}{1+\lambda(n+p-\delta-1)} |z|^{n+p}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$|f(z)| \geq |z|^p - \frac{(p+1-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)(p-\delta+\mu)(p-\delta+\mu+1)}{(n+p+1-\delta)_\delta(n+p-\alpha-\delta)(n+p-\delta+\mu)} \frac{1+\lambda(p-\delta-1)}{1+\lambda(n+p-\delta-1)} |z|^{n+p}. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Suppose that a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)$ is given by (1.1) and also let the function $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$ occurring in the nonhomogenous differential equation (1.13) be given as in the Definitions (1.2) or (1.3) with of course

$$b_k \geq 0 \quad (k = n+p, n+p+1, \dots). \quad (2.10)$$

Then we easily see from (1.13) that

$$a_k = \frac{(p-\delta+\mu)(p-\delta+\mu+1)}{(k-\delta+\mu)(k-\delta+\mu+1)} b_k \quad (k = n+p, n+p+1, \dots). \quad (2.11)$$

So that

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(p-\delta+\mu)(p-\delta+\mu+1)}{(k-\delta+\mu)(k-\delta+\mu+1)} b_k z^k, \quad (2.12)$$

$$|f(z)| \leq |z|^p + |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(p-\delta+\mu)(p-\delta+\mu+1)}{(k-\delta+\mu)(k-\delta+\mu+1)} b_k. \quad (2.13)$$

Since $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$, the first assertion (2.4) of Lemma 2.2 yields the following inequality:

$$|b_k| \leq \frac{(p+1-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(p-\delta-1)]}{(n+p+1-\delta)_\delta(n+p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(n+p-\delta-1)]}. \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.13) and (2.14) we have

$$|f(z)| \leq |z|^p + |z|^{n+p} \frac{(p+1-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(p-\delta-1)]}{(n+p+1-\delta)_\delta(n+p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(n+p-\delta-1)]} \cdot \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-\delta+\mu)(k-\delta+\mu+1)}, \quad (2.15)$$

and also note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-\delta+\mu)(k-\delta+\mu+1)} &= \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k-\delta+\mu} - \frac{1}{k-\delta+\mu+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+p-\delta+\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-n-p, -n-p-1, \dots\}$. The assertion (2.8) of Theorem 2.3 follows at once from (2.15). The assertion (2.9) of Theorem 2.3 can be proven by similarly applying (2.12), (2.14), and (2.15), and also (2.16). \square

By setting $\delta := 0$ in Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following Corollary 2.4.

Corollary 2.4 (See Altıntaş et al. [8, Theorem 1]). *If the functions f and g satisfy the nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equation (1.13), then*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq |z|^p + \frac{(p-\alpha)(p+\mu)(p+\mu+1)[1+\lambda(p-1)]}{(n+p-\alpha)(n+p+\mu)[1+\lambda(n+p-1)]} |z|^{n+p}, \\ |f(z)| &\geq |z|^p - \frac{(p-\alpha)(p+\mu)(p+\mu+1)[1+\lambda(p-1)]}{(n+p-\alpha)(n+p+\mu)[1+\lambda(n+p-1)]} |z|^{n+p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

By letting $\delta := 0$, $\lambda := 0$ and $\delta := 0$, $\lambda := 1$ in Theorem 2.3. We arrive at Corollaries 2.5 and 2.6 (see, [8]).

Corollary 2.5. *If the functions f and g satisfy the nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equation (1.13) with $g \in \mathcal{S}_n^*(p, \alpha)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq |z|^p + \frac{(p-\alpha)(p+\mu)(p+\mu+1)}{(n+p-\alpha)(n+p+\mu)} |z|^{n+p}, \\ |f(z)| &\geq |z|^p - \frac{(p-\alpha)(p+\mu)(p+\mu+1)}{(n+p-\alpha)(n+p+\mu)} |z|^{n+p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Corollary 2.6. *If the functions f and g satisfy the nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equation (1.13) with $g \in \mathcal{C}_n(p, \alpha)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq |z|^p + \frac{p(p-\alpha)(p+\mu)(p+\mu+1)}{(n+p-\alpha)(n+p+\mu)(n+p)} |z|^{n+p}, \\ |f(z)| &\geq |z|^p - \frac{p(p-\alpha)(p+\mu)(p+\mu+1)}{(n+p-\alpha)(n+p+\mu)(n+p)} |z|^{n+p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

3. Neighborhoods for the Classes $\mathcal{S}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$

In this section, we determine inclusion relations for the classes $\mathcal{S}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$ concerning the (n, p, ε) -neighborhoods is defined by (1.7) and (1.8).

Theorem 3.1. Let a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)$ be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$. Then

$$\mathcal{S}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha) \subset \mathcal{N}_{n,p}^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{D}_z^\delta h, \mathfrak{D}_z^\delta f), \tag{3.1}$$

where $h(z)$ is given by (1.9) and the parameter ε is the given by

$$\varepsilon := \frac{(n+p)(p-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)]}{(n+p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(n+p-1-\delta)]}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Assertion (3.1) would follow easily from the definition of $\mathcal{N}_{n,p}^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{D}_z^\delta h, \mathfrak{D}_z^\delta f)$, which is given by (1.8) with $g(z)$ replaced by $f(z)$ and the second assertion (2.5) of Lemma 2.2. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)$ be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$. Then

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha, \mu) \subset \mathcal{N}_{n,p}^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{D}_z^\delta g, \mathfrak{D}_z^\delta f), \tag{3.3}$$

where $g(z)$ is given by (1.13) and the parameter ε is the given by

$$\varepsilon := \frac{(n+p)(p-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)][n+(p-\delta+\mu)(p-\delta+\mu+2)]}{(n+p-\alpha-\delta)(n+p-\delta+\mu)[1+\lambda(n+p-1-\delta)]}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$. Then, upon substituting from (2.11) into the following coefficient inequality:

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^\infty (k-\delta)_\delta k |b_k - a_k| \leq \sum_{k=n+p}^\infty (k-\delta)_\delta k b_k + \sum_{k=n+p}^\infty (k-\delta)_\delta k a_k, \tag{3.5}$$

where $a_k \geq 0$ and $b_k \geq 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n+p}^\infty (k-\delta)_\delta k |b_k - a_k| &\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^\infty (k-\delta)_\delta k b_k \\ &+ \sum_{k=n+p}^\infty \frac{(p-\delta+\mu)(p-\delta+\mu+1)}{(k-\delta+\mu)(k-\delta+\mu+1)} (k-\delta)_\delta k b_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Since $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{n,p}^\delta(\lambda, \alpha)$, the second assertion (2.5) of Lemma 2.2 yields that

$$(k-\delta)_\delta k b_k \leq \frac{(n+p)(p-\delta)_\delta(p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)]}{(n+p-\alpha-\delta)[1+\lambda(n+p-1-\delta)]} \quad (k = n+p, n+p+1, \dots). \tag{3.7}$$

Finally, by making use of (2.5) as well as (3.7) on the right-hand side of (3.6), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k-\delta)_{\delta} k |b_k - a_k| \\ & \leq \frac{(n+p)(p-\delta)_{\delta} (p-\alpha-\delta) [1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)]}{(n+p-\alpha-\delta) [1+\lambda(n+p-1-\delta)]} \left(1 + \frac{(p-\delta+\mu)(p-\delta+\mu+1)}{(k-\delta+\mu)(k-\delta+\mu+1)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

which, by virtue of the identity (2.16), immediately yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k-\delta)_{\delta} k |b_k - a_k| \\ & \leq \frac{(n+p)(p-\delta)_{\delta} (p-\alpha-\delta) [1+\lambda(p-1-\delta)]}{(n+p-\alpha-\delta) [1+\lambda(n+p-1-\delta)]} \cdot \frac{[n+(p-\delta+\mu)(p-\delta+\mu+2)]}{(n+p-\delta+\mu)} =: \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Thus, by definition (1.7) with $g(z)$ interchanged by $f(z)$, $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{n,p}^{\varepsilon}(\mathfrak{D}_z^{\delta} g, \mathfrak{D}_z^{\delta} f)$. This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

By setting $\delta = 0$ in Theorem 3.2, we receive the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *If the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)$ is in the class $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}^0(\lambda, \alpha, \mu)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,p}^0(\lambda, \alpha, \mu) \subset \mathcal{N}_{n,p}^{\varepsilon}(g, f), \quad (3.10)$$

where $g(z)$ is given by (1.13) and the parameter ε is the given by

$$\varepsilon := \frac{(n+p)(p-\alpha) [1+\lambda(p-1)] [n+(p+\mu)(p+\mu+2)]}{(n+p-\alpha)(n+p+\mu) [1+\lambda(n+p-1)]}. \quad (3.11)$$

Acknowledgment

This present investigation was supported by Başkent University (Ankara, TURKEY).

References

- [1] S. Owa, "On the distortion theorems—I," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 53–59, 1978.
- [2] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Eds., *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*, Ellis Horwood Series: Mathematics and Its Applications, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1989.
- [3] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions. Vol. I*, Mariner, Tampa, Fla, USA, 1983.
- [4] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions. Vol. II*, Mariner, Tampa, Fla, USA, 1983.
- [5] S. Ruscheweyh, "Neighborhoods of univalent functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 81, no. 4, pp. 521–527, 1981.
- [6] O. Altıntaş, "On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 489–495, 1991.
- [7] O. Altıntaş, H. Irmak, and H. M. Srivastava, "Fractional calculus and certain starlike functions with negative coefficients," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 9–15, 1995.

- [8] O. Altıntaş, Ö. Özkan, and H. M. Srivastava, "Neighborhoods of a certain family of multivalent functions with negative coefficients," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 47, no. 10-11, pp. 1667–1672, 2004.
- [9] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, vol. 259 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1983.