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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper $\mathbf{x}=\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ represents a finite sequence of real numbers belonging to a fixed closed interval $I=[a, b], a<b$, and $\mathbf{p}=\left\{p_{i}\right\}, \sum p_{i}=1$ is a positive weight sequence associated with $\mathbf{x}$.

If $f$ is a convex function on $I$, then the well-known Jensen's inequality [1, 2] asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \sum p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are many important inequalities which are particular cases of Jensen's inequality among which are the weighted $A-G-H$ inequality, Cauchy's inequality, the Ky Fan and Hölder's inequalities.

One can see that the lower bound zero is of global nature since it does not depend on $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}$ but only on $f$ and the interval $I$ whereupon $f$ is convex.

We give in [1] an upper global bound (i.e., depending on $f$ and $I$ only) which happens to be better than already existing ones. Namely, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0 \leq) \sum p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq T_{f}(a, b) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f}(a, b):=\max _{p}[p f(a)+(1-p) f(b)-f(p a+(1-p) b)] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, for a (strictly) positive convex function $f$, Jensen's inequality can also be stated in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{\sum p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to prove that 1 is the best possible global lower bound for Jensen's inequality written in the above form. Our aim in this paper is to find the best possible global upper bound for (1.4). We will show with examples that by following this approach one may consequently obtain converses of some important inequalities.

## 2. Results

Our main result is contained in what follows.
Theorem 2.1. Let $f$ be a (strictly) positive, twice continuously differentiable function on $I:=[a, b]$, $x_{i} \in I$ and $0 \leq p, q \leq 1, p+q=1$. One has that
(i) if $f$ is (strictly) convex function on $I$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{\sum p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right)} \leq \max _{p}\left[\frac{p f(a)+q f(b)}{f(p a+q b)}\right]:=S_{f}(a, b) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) if $f$ is (strictly) concave function on $I$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{f\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right)}{\sum p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)} \leq \max _{p}\left[\frac{f(p a+q b)}{p f(a)+q f(b)}\right]:=S_{f}^{\prime}(a, b) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both estimates are independent of $\mathbf{p}$.
The next assertion shows that $S_{f}(a, b)$ (resp., $\left.S_{f}^{\prime}(a, b)\right)$ exists and is unique.
Theorem 2.2. There is unique $p_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{f}(a, b)=\frac{p_{0} f(a)+\left(1-p_{0}\right) f(b)}{f\left(p_{0} a+\left(1-p_{0}\right) b\right)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of particular importance is the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3. The expression $S_{f}(a, b)$ represents the best possible global upper bound for Jensen's inequality written in the form (1.4).

## 3. Proofs

We will give proofs of the previous assertions related to the first part of Theorem 2.1. Proofs concerning concave functions go along the same lines.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We apply the method already shown in [1]. Namely, since $a \leq x_{i} \leq b$, there is a sequence $t_{i} \in[0,1]$ such that $x_{i}=t_{i} a+\left(1-t_{i}\right) b$.

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right)}=\frac{\sum p_{i} f\left(t_{i} a+\left(1-t_{i}\right) b\right)}{f\left(\sum p_{i}\left(t_{i} a+\left(1-t_{i}\right) b\right)\right)} \leq \frac{f(a) \sum p_{i} t_{i}+f(b)\left(1-\sum p_{i} t_{i}\right)}{f\left(a \sum p_{i} t_{i}+b\left(1-\sum p_{i} t_{i}\right)\right)} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting $\sum p_{i} t_{i}:=p, 1-\sum p_{i} t_{i}:=q ; p, q \in[0,1]$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right)} \leq \frac{p f(a)+q f(b)}{f(p a+q b)} \leq \max _{p}\left[\frac{p f(a)+q f(b)}{f(p a+q b)}\right]:=S_{f}(a, b) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. For fixed $a, b \in I$, denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(p):=\frac{p f(a)+q f(b)}{f(p a+q b)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get $F^{\prime}(p)=g(p) / f^{2}(p a+q b)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(p):=(f(a)-f(b)) f(p a+q b)-(p f(a)+q f(b)) f^{\prime}(p a+q b)(a-b) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{gather*}
g^{\prime}(p)=-(a-b)^{2}(p f(a)+q f(b)) f^{\prime \prime}(p a+q b), \\
g(0)=f(b)\left(f(a)-f(b)-f^{\prime}(b)(a-b)\right), \quad g(1)=-f(a)\left(f(b)-f(a)-f^{\prime}(a)(b-a)\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $f$ is strictly convex on $I$ and $p a+q b \in I$, we conclude that $g(p)$ is monotone decreasing on $[0,1]$ with $g(0)>0, g(1)<0$. Since $g$ is continuous, there exists unique $p_{0} \in$ $(0,1)$ such that $g\left(p_{0}\right)=F^{\prime}\left(p_{0}\right)=0$. Also $F^{\prime \prime}\left(p_{0}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(p_{0}\right) / f^{2}\left(p_{0} a+q_{0} b\right)<0$, showing that $\max _{p} F(p)$ is attained at the point $p_{0}$. The proof is completed.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $R_{f}(a, b)$ be an arbitrary global upper bound. By definition, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right)} \leq R_{f}(a, b) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for arbitrary $\mathbf{p}$ and $x_{i} \in[a, b]$.

In particular, for $\mathbf{x}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}, x_{1}=a, x_{2}=b, p_{1}=p_{0}$ we obtain that $S_{f}(a, b) \leq R_{f}(a, b)$ as required.

## 4. Applications

In the sequel we will give some examples to demonstrate the fruitfulness of the assertions from Theorem 2.1. Since all bounds will be given as a combination of means from the Stolarsky class, here is its definition.

Stolarsky (or extended) two-parametric mean values are defined for positive values of $x, y$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{r, s}(x, y):=\left(\frac{r\left(x^{s}-y^{s}\right)}{s\left(x^{r}-y^{r}\right)}\right)^{1 /(s-r)}, \quad r s(r-s)(x-y) \neq 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E$ means can be continuously extended on the domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(r, s ; x, y) \mid r, s \in \mathbb{R} ; x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the following:

$$
E_{r, s}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{r\left(x^{s}-y^{s}\right)}{s\left(x^{r}-y^{r}\right)}\right)^{1 /(s-r)}, & r s(r-s) \neq 0  \tag{4.3}\\ \exp \left(-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{x^{s} \log x-y^{s} \log y}{x^{s}-y^{s}}\right), & r=s \neq 0 \\ \left(\frac{x^{s}-y^{s}}{s(\log x-\log y)}\right)^{1 / s}, & s \neq 0, r=0 \\ \sqrt{x y}, & r=s=0 \\ x, & x=y>0\end{cases}
$$

and this form is introduced by Stolarsky in [3].
Most of the classical two variable means are special cases of the class $E$. For example, $E_{1,2}=(x+y) / 2$ is the arithmetic mean $A(x, y), E_{0,0}=\sqrt{x} y$ is the geometric mean $G(x, y), E_{0,1}=(x-y) /(\log x-\log y)$ is the logarithmic mean $L(x, y), E_{1,1}=\left(x^{x} / y^{y}\right)^{1 /(x-y)} / e$ is the identric mean $I(x, y)$, and so forth. More generally, the $r$ th power mean $\left(\left(x^{r}+y^{r}\right) / 2\right)^{1 / r}$ is equal to $E_{r, 2 r}$.

Example 4.1. Taking $f(x)=1 / x$, after an easy calculation it follows that $S_{1 / x}(a, b)=(A(a, b) /$ $G(a, b))^{2}$. Therefore we consequently obtain the result.

Proposition 4.2. If $0<a \leq x_{i} \leq b$, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right)\left(\sum \frac{p_{i}}{x_{i}}\right) \leq \frac{(a+b)^{2}}{4 a b} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for an arbitrary weight sequence $\mathbf{p}$.
This is the extended form of Schweitzer inequality.
Example 4.3. For $f(x)=x^{2}$ we get that the maximum of $F(p)$ is attained at the point $p_{0}=$ $b /(a+b)$.

Hence, we have the following.
Proposition 4.4. If $0<a \leq x_{i} \leq b$, then the following means inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{\sum p_{i} x_{i}^{2}}}{\sum p_{i} x_{i}} \leq \frac{A(a, b)}{G(a, b)} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for an arbitrary weight sequence $\mathbf{p}$.
As a special case of the above inequality, that is, by putting $p_{i}=u_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i} u_{i}^{2}, x_{i}=v_{i} / u_{i}$ and noting that $0<u \leq u_{i} \leq U, 0<v \leq v_{i} \leq V$ imply $a=v / U \leq x_{i} \leq V / u=b$, we obtain a converse of the well-known Cauchy's inequality.

Proposition 4.5. If $0<u \leq u_{i} \leq U, 0<v \leq v_{i} \leq V$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{\sum u_{i}^{2} \sum v_{i}^{2}}{\left(\sum u_{i} v_{i}\right)^{2}} \leq\left(\frac{\sqrt{U V / u v}+\sqrt{u v / U V}}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this form the Cauchy's inequality was stated in [2, page 80].
Note that the same result can be obtained from inequality (4.4) by taking $p_{i}=$ $u_{i} v_{i} / \sum_{i} u_{i} v_{i}, x_{i}=u_{i} / v_{i}$.

Example 4.6. Let $f(x)=x^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$. Since in this case $f$ is a concave function, applying the second part of Theorem 2.1, we get the following.

Proposition 4.7. If $0<a \leq x_{i} \leq b$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right)^{\alpha}}{\sum p_{i} x_{i}^{\alpha}} \leq\left(\frac{E_{\alpha, 1}(a, b) E_{1-\alpha, 1}(a, b)}{G^{2}(a, b)}\right)^{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

independently of $\mathbf{p}$.

In the limiting cases we obtain two important converses. Namely, writing (4.7) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{\sum p_{i} x_{i}}{\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}} \leq\left(\frac{E_{\alpha, 1}(a, b) E_{1-\alpha, 1}(a, b)}{G^{2}(a, b)}\right)^{1-\alpha} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, letting $\alpha \rightarrow 0^{+}$, the converse of generalized $A-G$ inequality arises.
Proposition 4.8. If $0<a \leq x_{i} \leq b$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{\sum p_{i} x_{i}}{\prod x_{i}^{p_{i}}} \leq \frac{L(a, b) I(a, b)}{G^{2}(a, b)} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the right-hand side of (4.9) is exactly the Specht ratio (cf. [1]). Analogously, writing (4.7) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq\left(\frac{\left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right)^{\alpha}}{\sum p_{i} x_{i}^{\alpha}}\right)^{1 /(1-\alpha)} \leq\left(\frac{E_{\alpha, 1}(a, b) E_{1-\alpha, 1}(a, b)}{G^{2}(a, b)}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 1^{-}$, one has the following.
Proposition 4.9. If $0<a \leq x_{i} \leq b$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{\sum p_{i} x_{i} \log x_{i}-\sum p_{i} x_{i} \log \left(\sum p_{i} x_{i}\right)}{\sum p_{i} x_{i}} \leq \log \frac{L(a, b) I(a, b)}{G^{2}(a, b)} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, putting in (4.7) $p_{i}=v_{i} / \sum_{i} v_{i}, x_{i}=u_{i} / v_{i}, \alpha=1 / p, 1-\alpha=1 / q$, we obtain the converse of discrete Hölder's inequality.

Proposition 4.10. If $p, q>1,1 / p+1 / q=1 ; 0<a \leq u_{i} / v_{i} \leq b$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{\left(\sum u_{i}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\sum v_{i}\right)^{1 / q}}{\sum u_{i}^{1 / p} v_{i}^{1 / q}} \leq\left(\frac{E_{1 / p, 1}(a, b) E_{1 / q, 1}(a, b)}{G^{2}(a, b)}\right)^{1 / p q} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to compare (4.12) with the converse of Hölder's inequality for integral forms (cf. [4]).
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