

Research Article

A New Subclass of Analytic Functions Defined by Generalized Ruscheweyh Differential Operator

Serap Bulut

Civil Aviation College, Kocaeli University, Arslanbey Campus, 41285 İzmit-Kocaeli, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to Serap Bulut, serap.bulut@kocaeli.edu.tr

Received 1 July 2008; Accepted 3 September 2008

Recommended by Narendra Kumar Govil

We investigate a new subclass of analytic functions in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} which is defined by generalized Ruscheweyh differential operator. Coefficient inequalities, extreme points, and the integral means inequalities for the fractional derivatives of order $p + \eta$ ($0 \leq p \leq n$, $0 \leq \eta < 1$) of functions belonging to this subclass are obtained.

Copyright © 2008 Serap Bulut. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{N} &:= \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \\ \mathbb{N}_0 &:= \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{R}_{-1} &:= \{u \in \mathbb{R} : u > -1\}, \\ \mathbb{R}_{-1}^0 &:= \mathbb{R}_{-1} \setminus \{0\}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of all functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,\tag{1.2}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

For $f_j \in \mathcal{A}$ given by

$$f_j(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \quad (j = 1, 2),\tag{1.3}$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f_1 * f_2$ of f_1 and f_2 is defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n. \quad (1.4)$$

Using the convolution (1.4), Shaqsi and Darus [1] introduced the generalization of the Ruscheweyh derivative as follows.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, and $u \in \mathbb{R}_{-1}$, we consider

$$R_{\lambda}^u f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{u+1}} * R_{\lambda} f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.5)$$

where $R_{\lambda} f(z) = (1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the form (1.2), then we obtain the power series expansion of the form

$$R_{\lambda}^u f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\lambda] C(u, n) a_n z^n, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$C(u, n) = \frac{(1+u)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.7)$$

and where $(a)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol (or shifted factorial) defined (in terms of the Gamma function) by

$$(a)_n := \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \\ a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathbb{C}. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

In the case $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$R_{\lambda}^m f(z) = \frac{z(z^{m-1} f(z))^{(m)}}{m!}, \quad (1.9)$$

and for $\lambda = 0$, we obtain u th Ruscheweyh derivative introduced in [2], $R_0^m = R^m$.

Using the generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator R_{λ}^u , we define the following classes.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}(u, v; \alpha)$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{R_{\lambda}^u f(z)}{R_{\lambda}^v f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (1.10)$$

for some $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $u \in \mathbb{R}_{-1}^0$, $v \in \mathbb{R}_{-1}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, and all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

In this paper, basic properties of the class $\mathcal{S}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$ are studied, such as coefficient bounds, extreme points, and integral means inequalities for the fractional derivative.

2. Coefficient inequalities

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $u \in \mathbb{R}_{-1}^0$, $v \in \mathbb{R}_{-1}$, and $\lambda \geq 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n(u, v, \alpha) |a_n| \leq 2(1 - \alpha), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_n(u, v, \alpha) := [1 + (n - 1)\lambda] \{|C(u, n) - (1 + \alpha)C(v, n)| + C(u, n) + (1 - \alpha)C(v, n)\}, \quad (2.2)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$.

Proof. Let (2.1) be true for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $u \in \mathbb{R}_{-1}^0$, $v \in \mathbb{R}_{-1}$, and $\lambda \geq 0$. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, define the function F by

$$F(z) := \frac{R_\lambda^u f(z)}{R_\lambda^v f(z)} - \alpha. \quad (2.3)$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{F(z) - 1}{F(z) + 1} \right| < 1 \quad (2.4)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{F(z) - 1}{F(z) + 1} \right| &= \left| \frac{R_\lambda^u f(z) - (1 + \alpha)R_\lambda^v f(z)}{R_\lambda^u f(z) + (1 - \alpha)R_\lambda^v f(z)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\alpha - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n - 1)\lambda] [C(u, n) - (1 + \alpha)C(v, n)] a_n z^{n-1}}{(2 - \alpha) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n - 1)\lambda] [C(u, n) + (1 - \alpha)C(v, n)] a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n - 1)\lambda] |C(u, n) - (1 + \alpha)C(v, n)| |a_n| |z|^{n-1}}{(2 - \alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n - 1)\lambda] [C(u, n) + (1 - \alpha)C(v, n)] |a_n| |z|^{n-1}} \\ &< \frac{\alpha + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n - 1)\lambda] |C(u, n) - (1 + \alpha)C(v, n)| |a_n|}{(2 - \alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n - 1)\lambda] [C(u, n) + (1 - \alpha)C(v, n)] |a_n|} \\ &< 1 \quad (\text{by (2.1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore, $f \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$. □

Theorem 2.2. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$, then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{[1+(n-1)\lambda]|C(u, n) - C(v, n)|} \sum_{u=1}^{n-1} [1+(n-u-1)\lambda]C(v, n-u)|a_{n-u}| \quad (2.6)$$

for $n \geq 2$, with $a_1 = 1$.

Proof. Define the function

$$G(z) := \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{R_\lambda^u f(z)}{R_\lambda^v f(z)} - \alpha \right) := 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_n z^n. \quad (2.7)$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}\{G(z)\} > 0$, we get

$$|\hat{a}_n| \leq 2 \quad (2.8)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

From the definition of $G(z)$, we obtain

$$\frac{R_\lambda^u f(z) - \alpha R_\lambda^v f(z)}{1-\alpha} = R^v f(z) \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_n z^n \right]. \quad (2.9)$$

So, by (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} z + \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\alpha} [C(u, 2) - \alpha C(v, 2)] a_2 z^2 + \frac{1+2\lambda}{1-\alpha} [C(u, 3) - \alpha C(v, 3)] a_3 z^3 + \dots \\ = z + \hat{a}_1 z^2 + \hat{a}_2 z^3 + \hat{a}_3 z^4 + \dots \\ + (1+\lambda)C(v, 2) a_2 z^2 + (1+\lambda)C(v, 2) a_2 \hat{a}_1 z^3 + (1+\lambda)C(v, 2) a_2 \hat{a}_2 z^4 + \dots \\ + (1+2\lambda)C(v, 3) a_3 z^3 + (1+2\lambda)C(v, 3) a_3 \hat{a}_1 z^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} z + \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\alpha} [C(u, 2) - C(v, 2)] a_2 z^2 + \frac{1+2\lambda}{1-\alpha} [C(u, 3) - C(v, 3)] a_3 z^3 + \dots \\ = z + \hat{a}_1 z^2 + [(1+\lambda)C(v, 2) a_2 \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2] z^3 \\ + [(1+2\lambda)C(v, 3) a_3 \hat{a}_1 + (1+\lambda)C(v, 2) a_2 \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_3] z^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 + (n-1)\lambda}{1-\alpha} [C(u, j) - C(v, j)] a_n z^n \\ = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{u=1}^{n-1} [1 + (n-u-1)\lambda] C(v, n-u) a_{n-u} \hat{a}_u \right) z^n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

When we consider the coefficients of z^n of both series in the above equality, we have

$$a_n = \frac{1-\alpha}{[1 + (n-1)\lambda][C(u, n) - C(v, n)]} \sum_{u=1}^{n-1} [1 + (n-u-1)\lambda] C(v, n-u) a_{n-u} \hat{a}_u. \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n| &\leq \frac{1-\alpha}{[1 + (n-1)\lambda]|C(u, n) - C(v, n)|} \sum_{u=1}^{n-1} [1 + (n-u-1)\lambda] C(v, n-u) |a_{n-u}| |\hat{a}_u| \\ &\leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{[1 + (n-1)\lambda]|C(u, n) - C(v, n)|} \sum_{u=1}^{n-1} [1 + (n-u-1)\lambda] C(v, n-u) |a_{n-u}|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

since $|\hat{a}_u| \leq 2$, ($u = 1, 2, \dots$). □

3. Extreme points

Definition 3.1. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$ which consists of function

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0) \quad (3.1)$$

whose coefficients satisfy inequality (2.1).

Theorem 3.2. Let $f_1(z) = z$ and

$$f_k(z) = z + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} z^k \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)$ is given by (2.2).

Then $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k f_k(z), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\delta_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k = 1$.

Proof. Assume that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k f_k(z). \quad (3.4)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \delta_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k f_k(z) \\ &= \delta_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k \left(z + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} z^k \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k \right) z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} z^k \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} z^k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} \mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha) = 2(1-\alpha) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k = 2(1-\alpha)(1-\delta_1) \leq 2(1-\alpha). \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, we have $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$. Since

$$a_k \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots), \quad (3.7)$$

we can set

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_k &:= \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)}{2(1-\alpha)} a_k \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots), \\ \delta_1 &:= 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \\
 &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k \right) z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} z^k \\
 &= \delta_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k \left(z + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} z^k \right) \\
 &= \delta_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k f_k(z) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k f_k(z).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.3. *The extreme points of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda}(u, v; \alpha)$ are given by*

$$f_1(z) = z, \quad f_k(z) = z + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} z^k \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots), \tag{3.10}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)$ is given by (2.2).

4. The main integral means inequalities for the fractional derivative

We discuss the integral means inequalities for functions $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda}(u, v; \alpha)$.

The following definitions of fractional derivatives by Owa [3] (also by Srivastava and Owa [4]) will be required in our investigation.

Definition 4.1. The fractional derivative of order η is defined, for a function f , by

$$D_z^{\eta} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\eta)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{\eta}} d\xi \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1), \tag{4.1}$$

where the function f is analytic in a simply connected region of the complex z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{-\eta}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\xi)$ to be real when $z-\xi > 0$.

Definition 4.2. Under the hypothesis of Definition 4.1, the fractional derivative of order $p + \eta$ is defined, for a function f , by

$$D_z^{p+\eta} f(z) = \frac{d^p}{dz^p} D_z^{\eta} f(z), \tag{4.2}$$

where $0 \leq \eta < 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

It readily follows from (4.1) in Definition 4.1 that

$$D_z^\eta z^k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+1-\eta)} z^{k-\eta} \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1, k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (4.3)$$

We will also need the concept of subordination between analytic functions and a subordination theorem of Littlewood [5] in our investigation.

Definition 4.3. Given two functions f and g , which are analytic in \mathbb{U} , the function f is said to be subordinate to g in \mathbb{U} if there exists a function w analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$w(0) = 0, \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (4.4)$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (4.5)$$

We denote this subordination by

$$f(z) < g(z). \quad (4.6)$$

Lemma 4.4. *If the functions f and g are analytic in \mathbb{U} with*

$$f(z) < g(z), \quad (4.7)$$

then, for $\mu > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$),

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |g(z)|^\mu d\theta. \quad (4.8)$$

Our main theorem is contained in the following.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$ and suppose that*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-p)_{p+1} a_n \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(3-\eta-p)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)\Gamma(k+1-\eta-p)\Gamma(2-p)} \quad (4.9)$$

for $0 \leq p \leq n$, $k \geq p$, $0 \leq \eta < 1$, where $(n-p)_{p+1}$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(n-p)_{p+1} = (n-p)(n-p+1) \cdots n. \quad (4.10)$$

Also let the function f_k be defined by

$$f_k(z) = z + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} z^k \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots). \quad (4.11)$$

If there exists an analytic function w defined by

$$(w(z))^{k-1} := \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)\Gamma(k+1-\eta-p)}{2(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-p)_{p+1} \Psi(n) a_n z^{n-1} \quad (4.12)$$

with

$$\Psi(n) = \frac{\Gamma(n-p)}{\Gamma(n+1-\eta-p)}, \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1, n = 2, 3, \dots), \quad (4.13)$$

then, for $\mu > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$),

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |D_z^{p+\eta} f(z)|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |D_z^{p+\eta} f_k(z)|^\mu d\theta, \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1). \quad (4.14)$$

Proof. By means of (4.3) and Definition 4.2, we find from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} D_z^{p+\eta} f(z) &= \frac{z^{1-\eta-p}}{\Gamma(2-\eta-p)} \left[1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta-p)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\eta-p)} a_n z^{n-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{z^{1-\eta-p}}{\Gamma(2-\eta-p)} \left[1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma(2-\eta-p) (n-p)_{p+1} \Psi(n) a_n z^{n-1} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\Psi(n) = \frac{\Gamma(n-p)}{\Gamma(n+1-\eta-p)}, \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1, n = 2, 3, \dots). \quad (4.16)$$

Since Ψ is a decreasing function of n , we get

$$0 < \Psi(n) \leq \Psi(2) = \frac{\Gamma(2-p)}{\Gamma(3-\eta-p)}. \quad (4.17)$$

Similarly, from (4.11), (4.3), and Definition 4.2, we have

$$D_z^{p+\eta} f_k(z) = \frac{z^{1-\eta-p}}{\Gamma(2-\eta-p)} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta-p)\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+1-\eta-p)} z^{k-1} \right]. \quad (4.18)$$

For $\mu > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$), we want to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma(2-\eta-p)(n-p)_{p+1} \Psi(n) a_n z^{n-1} \right|^{\mu} d\theta \\ & \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta-p)\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+1-\eta-p)} z^{k-1} \right|^{\mu} d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

So, by applying Lemma 4.4, it is enough to show that

$$1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma(2-\eta-p)(n-p)_{p+1} \Psi(n) a_n z^{n-1} < 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta-p)\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+1-\eta-p)} z^{k-1}. \quad (4.20)$$

If the above subordination holds true, then we have an analytic function w with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that

$$1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma(2-\eta-p)(n-p)_{p+1} \Psi(n) a_n z^{n-1} = 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta-p)\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+1-\eta-p)} (w(z))^{k-1}. \quad (4.21)$$

By the condition of the theorem, we define the function w by

$$(w(z))^{k-1} = \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)\Gamma(k+1-\eta-p)}{2(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-p)_{p+1} \Psi(n) a_n z^{n-1}, \quad (4.22)$$

which readily yields $w(0) = 0$. For such a function w , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z)|^{k-1} & \leq \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)\Gamma(k+1-\eta-p)}{2(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-p)_{p+1} \Psi(n) a_n |z|^{n-1} \\ & \leq |z| \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)\Gamma(k+1-\eta-p)}{2(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)} \Psi(2) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-p)_{p+1} a_n \\ & = |z| \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)\Gamma(k+1-\eta-p)}{2(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)} \frac{\Gamma(2-p)}{\Gamma(3-\eta-p)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-p)_{p+1} a_n \\ & \leq |z| < 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

by means of the hypothesis of the theorem.

Thus the theorem is proved. \square

As a special case $p = 0$, we have the following result from Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.6. Let $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$ and suppose that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(3-\eta)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)\Gamma(k+1-\eta)} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots). \quad (4.24)$$

If there exists an analytic function w defined by

$$(w(z))^{k-1} = \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)\Gamma(k+1-\eta)}{2(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \Psi(n) a_n z^{n-1} \quad (4.25)$$

with

$$\Psi(n) = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n+1-\eta)}, \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1, n = 2, 3, \dots), \quad (4.26)$$

then, for $\mu > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$),

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |D_z^\eta f(z)|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |D_z^\eta f_k(z)|^\mu d\theta, \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1). \quad (4.27)$$

Letting $p = 1$ in Theorem 4.5, we have the following.

Corollary 4.7. Let $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda(u, v; \alpha)$ and suppose that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_n \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2-\eta)}{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)\Gamma(k-\eta)} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots). \quad (4.28)$$

If there exists an analytic function w defined by

$$(w(z))^{k-1} = \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(u, v, \alpha)\Gamma(k-\eta)}{2(1-\alpha)\Gamma(k+1)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \Psi(n) a_n z^{n-1} \quad (4.29)$$

with

$$\Psi(n) = \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n-\eta)}, \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1, n = 2, 3, \dots), \quad (4.30)$$

then, for $\mu > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$),

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |D_z^{1+\eta} f(z)|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |D_z^{1+\eta} f_k(z)|^\mu d\theta, \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1). \quad (4.31)$$

References

- [1] K. A. Shaqsi and M. Darus, "On univalent functions with respect to K -symmetric points given by a generalised Ruscheweyh derivatives operator," submitted.
- [2] S. Ruscheweyh, "New criteria for univalent functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 109–115, 1975.
- [3] S. Owa, "On the distortion theorems. I," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 53–59, 1978.
- [4] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Eds., *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*, Ellis Horwood Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK; John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1989.
- [5] J. E. Littlewood, "On inequalities in the theory of functions," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 481–519, 1925.