

RESEARCH

Open Access



# On solvability of a two-dimensional symmetric nonlinear system of difference equations

Stevo Stević<sup>1,2\*</sup> , Bratislav Iričanin<sup>3,4</sup>, Witold Kosmala<sup>5</sup> and Zdeněk Šmarda<sup>6</sup>

\*Correspondence:  
sscite1@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Mathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences, Knez Mihailova 36/III, 11000 Beograd, Serbia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan, Republic of China  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

We show that the system of difference equations

$$x_{n+k} = \frac{x_{n+l}y_n - ef}{x_{n+l} + y_n - e - f}, \quad y_{n+k} = \frac{y_{n+l}x_n - ef}{y_{n+l} + x_n - e - f}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $l < k$ ,  $e, f \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $x_j, y_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = \overline{0, k-1}$ , is theoretically solvable and present some cases of the system when the general solutions can be found in a closed form.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 39A20; 39A45

**Keywords:** Symmetric system of difference equations; Solvable system; Solution in closed form

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the set of integers,  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers, and  $\mathbb{C}$  be the set of complex numbers. Throughout the paper we also employ the notation  $j = \overline{r, s}$  instead of  $r \leq j \leq s$  in the case when  $r, s, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $r$  and  $s$  satisfy the condition  $r \leq s$ .

The problem of solvability of difference equations is quite old. Book [15] contains the majority of the solvability results up to 1800 (see also [11, 16]). Many results up to the end of the nineteenth century can be found in [8, 20, 40]. In some later books such as [10, 12, 21, 24] we can mostly find some old solvability methods and see how the theory of difference equations continued to develop during the first half of the twentieth century. Although some solvable nonlinear difference equations were known at the end of the eighteenth century and in the beginning of the nineteenth century [15–19], there has not been a considerable progress in the direction since that time.

It seems that the majority of solvable nonlinear difference equations are connected, in this or that way, with the solvability of some linear ones (see, for instance, [2–4, 13, 16, 36, 42–44, 46–54] as well as some of the references quoted therein). The linear difference equations, especially the ones with constant coefficients, are also useful in estimating so-

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

lutions to some nonlinear difference equations (see, e.g., [5–7, 41]). Some of the solvable equations stem from the methods in numerical mathematics [9, 49], whereas some are connected with the trigonometric functions [8, 16, 18, 19, 37, 52]. The impossibility of finding closed-form formulas for solutions to nonlinear difference equations motivates some authors to find their invariants instead [26, 28, 29, 33, 38, 39, 45], from which some information on the long-term behavior of their solutions can be obtained. However, generally speaking, unlike the linear difference equations, the majority of solvable nonlinear difference equations are obtained and studied in some *ad hoc* ways without forming some unifying theories.

On the other hand, because of this, it is always of some interest, not only to the experts in the area of difference equations but also to a wide audience, to find a new class of solvable equations in this or that way and present a method for finding its general solution.

Since the mid of the 1990s there have been some investigations of concrete nonlinear systems of difference equations, some of which are symmetric or closely related to the symmetric ones (see, for instance, [25, 27, 30–32, 34, 35, 38, 39] and the literature quoted therein). The investigations have motivated us to investigate the problem of solvability of such type of systems of difference equations (see, for example, [42–44, 46–48, 50, 51, 54] and the related references therein).

The solvability of the difference equation

$$z_{n+k} = \frac{z_{n+l}z_n - ef}{z_{n+l} + z_n - e - f}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{1}$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{N}_0, l < k, e, f \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), and  $z_j \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ),  $j = \overline{0, k-1}$ , was recently studied in [53].

Our motivation for considering equation (1) stemmed, among other things, from some investigations of the so-called hyperbolic-cotangent class of difference equations

$$z_n = \frac{z_{n-k}z_{n-l} + f}{z_{n-k} + z_{n-l}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2}$$

where  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}, f \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and  $z_{-j} \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ),  $j = \overline{0, \max\{k, l\}}$  (see, for instance, [37, 52]).

Motivated by it, we started investigating solvability of some two-dimensional systems of difference equations that are obtained from equation (2) in some natural ways (see [43, 44, 46–48, 53]).

Bearing in mind the above-mentioned studies of concrete nonlinear systems of difference equations, it is also a natural problem to investigate the solvability of the systems obtained from equation (1). In [50] we dealt with the problem by studying a system of nonlinear difference equations related to equation (1). There we presented several interesting ideas connected with some classes of difference equations and systems of difference equations and employed some of them in the study of the system.

All the above-mentioned motivates us to study solvability of the following symmetrization of equation (1):

$$x_{n+k} = \frac{x_{n+l}y_n - ef}{x_{n+l} + y_n - e - f}, \quad y_{n+k} = \frac{y_{n+l}x_n - ef}{y_{n+l} + x_n - e - f}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{3}$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{N}_0, l < k, e, f \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $x_j, y_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = \overline{0, k-1}$ .

Our aim is to show that the system in (3) is theoretically solvable for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$  satisfying the condition  $l < k$ . Beside this, we also present several cases of the system of difference equations when the general solution can be found in a closed form, extending and complementing some results in the literature (see, for instance, [50, 53]).

### 2 Solvability of system (3) in a theoretical sense

This section considers the solvability of system (3) in a theoretical sense. Namely, we find a connection of the system with a homogeneous linear difference equation with constant coefficients, as well as with a product-type difference equation with integer powers, which both are theoretically solvable. Recall that the basic result on solvability of homogeneous linear difference equations (see, e.g., [10, 16, 23]) says that these equations are solvable in a closed form if we know the roots of the associated characteristic polynomials, which is, as is well known, not always the case [1]. However, the form of the general solution of the linear equation is known, so we can speak about its theoretical solvability.

Before we start with our analysis, we quote a useful auxiliary result, which can be found, for example, in [43] (see also [54]).

**Lemma 1** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $(x_n)_{n \geq l-m}$  be the solution to*

$$x_n = a_1 x_{n-1} + a_2 x_{n-2} + \dots + a_m x_{n-m} \tag{4}$$

for  $n \geq l$  such that

$$x_{j-m} = 0, \quad j = \overline{l, l+m-2}, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{l-1} = 1,$$

where  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, m}$ ,  $a_m \neq 0$ .

Suppose that  $r_k, k = \overline{1, m}$ , are the zeros of the polynomial

$$q_m(r) = r^m - a_1 r^{m-1} - a_2 r^{m-2} - \dots - a_m,$$

such that  $r_i \neq r_j$  when  $i \neq j$ .

Then

$$x_n = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{r_k^{n+m-l}}{q'_m(r_k)}$$

for  $n \geq l - m$ .

*Remark 1* Note that the Fibonacci sequence (see, e.g., [14, 22, 55]) is a solution of a special case of equation (4) satisfying the above initial conditions. Recall that it satisfies the second order linear difference equation

$$x_n = x_{n-1} + x_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 2, \tag{5}$$

with the initial values

$$x_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 = 1.$$

Note that these initial conditions produce the same solution  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to equation (5) as the initial conditions  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_2 = 1$ , that is, when the domain of indices is  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Now we conduct an analysis of the solvability of system (3) in a theoretical way. We would like to say that from now on we will ignore not well-defined solutions to the system. The following result is the main one in this direction.

**Theorem 1** *Suppose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $l < k$ , and  $e, f \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then system (3) is theoretically solvable.*

*Proof* If  $e = f$ , then we have

$$x_{n+k} - e = \frac{(x_{n+l} - e)(y_n - e)}{x_{n+l} + y_n - 2e}, \tag{6}$$

$$y_{n+k} - e = \frac{(y_{n+l} - e)(x_n - e)}{y_{n+l} + x_n - 2e} \tag{7}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Let

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{x_n - e}, \quad \nu_n = \frac{1}{y_n - e} \tag{8}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Then from (6), (7), and (8) it follows that

$$\mu_{n+k} = \mu_{n+l} + \nu_n, \quad \nu_{n+k} = \nu_{n+l} + \mu_n \tag{9}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

It is not difficult to see that the relations in (9) imply that  $\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$  are two solutions to the equation

$$\omega_{n+2k} - 2\omega_{n+k+l} + \omega_{n+2l} - \omega_n = 0 \tag{10}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

According to the basic results in the theory of linear difference equations with constant coefficients, we get the theoretical solvability of equation (10) and consequently of (9), which together with (8) implies the theoretical solvability of system (3) in this case.

If  $e \neq f$ , then we have

$$x_{n+k} - f = \frac{(x_{n+l} - f)(y_n - f)}{x_{n+l} + y_n - e - f},$$

$$x_{n+k} - e = \frac{(x_{n+l} - e)(y_n - e)}{x_{n+l} + y_n - e - f},$$

$$y_{n+k} - f = \frac{(y_{n+l} - f)(x_n - f)}{y_{n+l} + x_n - e - f},$$

$$y_{n+k} - e = \frac{(y_{n+l} - e)(x_n - e)}{y_{n+l} + x_n - e - f}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and consequently

$$\frac{x_{n+k} - f}{x_{n+k} - e} = \frac{(x_{n+l} - f)(y_n - f)}{(x_{n+l} - e)(y_n - e)}$$

and

$$\frac{y_{n+k} - f}{y_{n+k} - e} = \frac{(y_{n+l} - f)(x_n - f)}{(y_{n+l} - e)(x_n - e)}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Let

$$\mu_n = \frac{x_n - f}{x_n - e}, \quad \nu_n = \frac{y_n - f}{y_n - e} \tag{11}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Then we have

$$\mu_{n+k} = \mu_{n+l}\nu_n, \quad \nu_{n+k} = \nu_{n+l}\mu_n \tag{12}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Since

$$\mu_n = \frac{\nu_{n+k}}{\nu_{n+l}},$$

then from (12) we get

$$\nu_{n+2k} = \nu_{n+k+l}^2 \nu_{n+2l}^{-1} \nu_n \tag{13}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Due to the symmetry of (12), we also have

$$\mu_{n+2k} = \mu_{n+k+l}^2 \mu_{n+2l}^{-1} \mu_n \tag{14}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Since the product-type difference equation

$$\omega_{n+2k} = \omega_{n+k+l}^2 \omega_{n+2l}^{-1} \omega_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{15}$$

is with integer exponents, it is theoretically solvable, from which together with the following consequences of (11)

$$x_n = \frac{e\mu_n - f}{\mu_n - 1}, \quad y_n = \frac{e\nu_n - f}{\nu_n - 1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{16}$$

the theoretical solvability of system (3) in this case follows. □

### 3 Some special cases of system (3)

This section deals with the practical solvability of system (3). A natural problem is to find special cases of system (3) for which it is possible to find some closed-form formulas for their general solutions.

As we have already mentioned, such problems are frequently connected to the roots of some specific polynomials, which is also the case with system (3). Therefore, to do this, note that the characteristic polynomial associated with (10) is

$$q_{2k}(r) = r^{2k} - 2r^{k+l} + r^{2l} - 1.$$

We have

$$q_{2k}(r) = (r^k - r^l)^2 - 1 = (r^k - r^l - 1)(r^k - r^l + 1). \tag{17}$$

Hence, equation (10) is solvable in a closed form if we can find the roots of the polynomials

$$r^k - r^l - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r^k - r^l + 1.$$

This can be certainly done when  $k \leq 4$ .

Thus, if we assume that  $k \leq 4$ , due to the assumption  $0 \leq l < k$ , we see that one of the cases must hold: 1°  $k = 1, l = 0$ ; 2°  $k = 2, l = 0$ ; 3°  $k = 2, l = 1$ ; 4°  $k = 3, l = 0$ ; 5°  $k = 3, l = 1$ ; 6°  $k = 3, l = 2$ ; 7°  $k = 4, l = 0$ ; 8°  $k = 4, l = 1$ ; 9°  $k = 4, l = 2$ ; 10°  $k = 4, l = 3$ .

We will consider some of the cases in detail and leave the other ones to the reader as some exercises.

The case  $k = 1$  and  $l = 0$  is known [50], because of which we give only a sketch of the proof of the following theorem on solvability.

**Theorem 2** *Suppose  $k = 1, l = 0, e, f \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following statements hold:*

(a) *If  $e = f$ , then the general solution to system (3) is*

$$x_n = e + \frac{(x_0 - e)(y_0 - e)}{2^{n-1}(x_0 + y_0 - 2e)}, \tag{18}$$

$$y_n = e + \frac{(x_0 - e)(y_0 - e)}{2^{n-1}(x_0 + y_0 - 2e)} \tag{19}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b) *If  $e \neq f$ , then the general solution to system (3) is*

$$x_n = \frac{e \left( \frac{(x_0-f)(y_0-f)}{(x_0-e)(y_0-e)} \right)^{2^{n-1}} - f}{\left( \frac{(x_0-f)(y_0-f)}{(x_0-e)(y_0-e)} \right)^{2^{n-1}} - 1}, \tag{20}$$

$$y_n = \frac{e \left( \frac{(x_0-f)(y_0-f)}{(x_0-e)(y_0-e)} \right)^{2^{n-1}} - f}{\left( \frac{(x_0-f)(y_0-f)}{(x_0-e)(y_0-e)} \right)^{2^{n-1}} - 1} \tag{21}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof* Note that  $x_n = y_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which implies  $\mu_n = \nu_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The relations in (9) become

$$\mu_{n+1} = \mu_n + \nu_n, \quad \nu_{n+1} = \nu_n + \mu_n$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , so that  $\mu_{n+1} = 2\mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and consequently

$$\mu_n = 2^{n-1}\mu_1 = \nu_n$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From this and by employing (8) we get formulas (18) and (19) when  $e = f$ .

If  $e \neq f$ , then (14) implies

$$\mu_{n+2} = \mu_{n+1}^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

so that  $\mu_n = \mu_1^{2^{n-1}} = \nu_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which together with (16) formulas (20) and (21) follow. □

**Corollary 1** *Suppose  $e, f \in \mathbb{C}, l = 0, k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . Then system (3) is solvable in a closed form.*

*Proof* System (3) in this case becomes

$$x_{n+k} = \frac{x_n y_n - ef}{x_n + y_n - e - f}, \quad y_{n+k} = \frac{y_n x_n - ef}{y_n + x_n - e - f} \tag{22}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Now note that (22) is a system with interlacing indices (for the terminology see, for instance, [51]).

Let

$$x_m^{(j)} = x_{mk+j}, \quad y_m^{(j)} = y_{mk+j}$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $j = \overline{0, k-1}$ .

Then  $(x_m^{(j)}, y_m^{(j)})_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}, j = \overline{0, k-1}$ , are  $k$  solutions to the system

$$x_{m+1} = \frac{x_m y_m - ef}{x_m + y_m - e - f}, \quad y_{m+1} = \frac{y_m x_m - ef}{y_m + x_m - e - f}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

which, in fact, is system (3) in the case  $k = 1$  and  $l = 0$ .

Employing Theorem 2, we have that in the case  $e = f$  the general solution to the system is given by

$$x_m^{(j)} = e + \frac{(x_0^{(j)} - e)(y_0^{(j)} - e)}{2^{m-1}(x_0^{(j)} + y_0^{(j)} - 2e)},$$

$$y_m^{(j)} = e + \frac{(x_0^{(j)} - e)(y_0^{(j)} - e)}{2^{m-1}(x_0^{(j)} + y_0^{(j)} - 2e)}$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}, j = \overline{0, k - 1}$ , whereas in the case  $e \neq f$  the general solution to the system is given by

$$x_m^{(j)} = \frac{e \left( \frac{(x_0^{(j)} - f)(y_0^{(j)} - f)}{(x_0^{(j)} - e)(y_0^{(j)} - e)} \right)^{2^{m-1}} - f}{\left( \frac{(x_0^{(j)} - f)(y_0^{(j)} - f)}{(x_0^{(j)} - e)(y_0^{(j)} - e)} \right)^{2^{m-1}} - 1},$$

$$y_m^{(j)} = \frac{e \left( \frac{(x_0^{(j)} - f)(y_0^{(j)} - f)}{(x_0^{(j)} - e)(y_0^{(j)} - e)} \right)^{2^{m-1}} - f}{\left( \frac{(x_0^{(j)} - f)(y_0^{(j)} - f)}{(x_0^{(j)} - e)(y_0^{(j)} - e)} \right)^{2^{m-1}} - 1}$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}, j = \overline{0, k - 1}$ , that is, in the case  $e = f$  we have

$$x_{mk+j} = e + \frac{(x_j - e)(y_j - e)}{2^{m-1}(x_j + y_j - 2e)},$$

$$y_{mk+j} = e + \frac{(x_j - e)(y_j - e)}{2^{m-1}(x_j + y_j - 2e)}$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}, j = \overline{0, k - 1}$ , whereas in the case  $e \neq f$  the general solution to the system is given by

$$x_{mk+j} = \frac{e \left( \frac{(x_j - f)(y_j - f)}{(x_j - e)(y_j - e)} \right)^{2^{m-1}} - f}{\left( \frac{(x_j - f)(y_j - f)}{(x_j - e)(y_j - e)} \right)^{2^{m-1}} - 1},$$

$$y_{mk+j} = \frac{e \left( \frac{(x_j - f)(y_j - f)}{(x_j - e)(y_j - e)} \right)^{2^{m-1}} - f}{\left( \frac{(x_j - f)(y_j - f)}{(x_j - e)(y_j - e)} \right)^{2^{m-1}} - 1}$$

finishing the proof of the corollary. □

The case  $k = 2, l = 1$  considers the following result. This is the main example in this paper concerning the practical solvability of a special case of system (3). Namely, we prove in detail that for system (3) in this case its general solution in a closed form in all possible cases (the two cases  $e = f$  and  $e \neq f$  are considered separately) can be found.

**Theorem 3** *Suppose  $k = 2, l = 1, e, f \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then system (3) is solvable in a closed form.*

*Proof* Case  $e \neq f$ . Relations (13) and (14) imply that  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  and  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  are two solutions to the equation

$$\omega_{n+4} = \omega_{n+3}^2 \omega_{n+2}^{-1} \omega_n \tag{23}$$



for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with the following initial values:

$$\mu_0, \quad \mu_1, \quad \mu_2 = \mu_1 \nu_0, \quad \mu_3 = \mu_1 \nu_0 \nu_1, \tag{24}$$

$$\nu_0, \quad \nu_1, \quad \nu_2 = \nu_1 \mu_0, \quad \nu_3 = \nu_1 \mu_0 \mu_1, \tag{25}$$

respectively.

Let

$$a_1 := 2, \quad b_1 := -1, \quad c_1 := 0, \quad d_1 := 1. \tag{26}$$

Then we have

$$\omega_n = \omega_{n-1}^{a_1} \omega_{n-2}^{b_1} \omega_{n-3}^{c_1} \omega_{n-4}^{d_1} \tag{27}$$

for  $n \geq 4$ .

Using (23), where  $n$  is replaced by  $n - 5$  in (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_n &= (\omega_{n-2}^2 \omega_{n-3}^{-1} \omega_{n-5})^{a_1} \omega_{n-2}^{b_1} \omega_{n-3}^{c_1} \omega_{n-4}^{d_1} \\ &= \omega_{n-2}^{2a_1+b_1} \omega_{n-3}^{-a_1+c_1} \omega_{n-4}^{d_1} \omega_{n-5}^{a_1} \\ &= \omega_{n-2}^{a_2} \omega_{n-3}^{b_2} \omega_{n-4}^{c_2} \omega_{n-5}^{d_2} \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

for  $n \geq 5$ , where

$$a_2 = 2a_1 + b_1, \quad b_2 = -a_1 + c_1, \quad c_2 = d_1, \quad d_2 = a_1.$$

Assume that

$$\omega_n = \omega_{n-k}^{a_k} \omega_{n-k-1}^{b_k} \omega_{n-k-2}^{c_k} \omega_{n-k-3}^{d_k} \tag{29}$$

for  $n \geq k + 3$  and

$$a_k = 2a_{k-1} + b_{k-1}, \quad b_k = -a_{k-1} + c_{k-1}, \quad c_k = d_{k-1}, \quad d_k = a_{k-1} \tag{30}$$

for  $k \geq 2$ .

Using (23), where the index  $n$  is replaced by  $n - k - 4$ , in (29), and the method of mathematical induction, it is not difficult to see that assumptions (29) and (30) are correct.

Take  $k = n - 3$  in (29). Then (30) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_n &= \omega_3^{a_{n-3}} \omega_2^{b_{n-3}} \omega_1^{c_{n-3}} \omega_0^{d_{n-3}} \\ &= \omega_3^{a_{n-3}} \omega_2^{a_{n-2}-2a_{n-3}} \omega_1^{a_{n-5}} \omega_0^{a_{n-4}} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for  $n \geq 6$ .

Using (30) we obtain

$$a_k = 2a_{k-1} - a_{k-2} + a_{k-4} \tag{32}$$

for  $k \geq 5$ , whereas the initial values are

$$a_1 = 2, \quad a_2 = 3, \quad a_3 = 4, \quad a_4 = 6. \tag{33}$$

The characteristic polynomial associated with (32) is

$$q_4(\lambda) = \lambda^4 - 2\lambda^3 + \lambda^2 - 1, \tag{34}$$

and its roots are

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{3,4} = \frac{1 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{2} \tag{35}$$

(they are the roots of the polynomials  $\lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$  and  $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1$ , respectively).

From (32) we have

$$a_{k-4} = a_k - 2a_{k-1} + a_{k-2}, \tag{36}$$

from which together with (33)  $a_k$  for  $k \leq 0$  are calculated. From (33), (36), and some simple calculations, we get

$$a_{-3} = a_{-2} = a_{-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_0 = 1. \tag{37}$$

From (37) we see that the solution of equation (32) satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1. Hence,

$$a_n = \frac{\lambda_1^{n+3}}{q'_4(\lambda_1)} + \frac{\lambda_2^{n+3}}{q'_4(\lambda_2)} + \frac{\lambda_3^{n+3}}{q'_4(\lambda_3)} + \frac{\lambda_4^{n+3}}{q'_4(\lambda_4)} \tag{38}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Further, we have

$$q'_4(\lambda) = 4\lambda^3 - 6\lambda^2 + 2\lambda = 2\lambda(\lambda - 1)(2\lambda - 1),$$

from which along with some simple calculations we obtain the relations

$$q'_4(\lambda_1) = 2 \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} \right) \sqrt{5} = 2\sqrt{5}, \tag{39}$$

$$q'_4(\lambda_2) = 2 \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2} \right) \sqrt{5} = -2\sqrt{5}, \tag{40}$$

$$q'_4(\lambda_3) = 2 \frac{1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( \frac{i\sqrt{3} - 1}{2} \right) i\sqrt{3} = -2i\sqrt{3}, \tag{41}$$

$$q'_4(\lambda_4) = 2 \frac{1 - i\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( \frac{i\sqrt{3} + 1}{2} \right) i\sqrt{3} = 2i\sqrt{3}. \tag{42}$$

Employing (39)–(42) in (38) it follows that

$$a_n = \frac{\lambda_1^{n+3} - \lambda_2^{n+3}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{n+3} - \lambda_4^{n+3}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \tag{43}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From this it easily follows that formula (31) holds not only for  $n \geq 6$  but also for  $n = \overline{0, 5}$ .

From (24) and (31) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &= \mu_3^{a_{n-3}} \mu_2^{a_{n-2}-2a_{n-3}} \mu_1^{a_{n-5}} \mu_0^{a_{n-4}} \\ &= (\mu_1 \nu_0 \nu_1)^{a_{n-3}} (\mu_1 \nu_0)^{a_{n-2}-2a_{n-3}} \mu_1^{a_{n-5}} \mu_0^{a_{n-4}} \\ &= \mu_0^{a_{n-4}} \mu_1^{a_{n-2}-a_{n-3}+a_{n-5}} \nu_0^{a_{n-2}-a_{n-3}} \nu_1^{a_{n-3}} \\ &= \mu_0^{a_{n-4}} \mu_1^{a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}} \nu_0^{a_{n-2}-a_{n-3}} \nu_1^{a_{n-3}} \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , whereas from (25) and (31) and because of the symmetry of the system we have

$$\nu_n = \mu_0^{a_{n-2}-a_{n-3}} \mu_1^{a_{n-3}} \nu_0^{a_{n-4}} \nu_1^{a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}} \tag{45}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} a_n - a_{n-1} &= \frac{(\lambda_1 - 1)\lambda_1^{n+2} - (\lambda_2 - 1)\lambda_2^{n+2}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{(\lambda_3 - 1)\lambda_3^{n+2} - (\lambda_4 - 1)\lambda_4^{n+2}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \\ &= \frac{-\lambda_2\lambda_1^{n+2} + \lambda_1\lambda_2^{n+2}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_4\lambda_3^{n+2} - \lambda_3\lambda_4^{n+2}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1^{n+1} - \lambda_2^{n+1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{n+1} - \lambda_4^{n+1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Using (43) and (46) in (44) and (45) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &= \mu_0 \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \mu_1 \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}} \\ &\times \nu_0 \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \nu_1 \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}} \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_n &= \nu_0 \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \nu_1 \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}} \\ &\times \mu_0 \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \mu_1 \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}} \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

From (47) and (48) and (11) with  $n = 0, 1$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &= \begin{pmatrix} x_0 - f \\ x_0 - e \end{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - f \\ x_1 - e \end{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} y_0 - f \\ y_0 - e \end{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 - f \\ y_1 - e \end{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}} \end{aligned} \tag{49} \\ \nu_n &= \begin{pmatrix} x_0 - f \\ x_0 - e \end{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - f \\ x_1 - e \end{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{y_0 - f}{y_0 - e} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1} - \lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1} - \lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left( \frac{y_1 - f}{y_1 - e} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^n - \lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \tag{50}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Employing (49) and (50) in (16) we got the following closed-form formulas for the general solutions to system (3) in this case:

$$x_n = \frac{e^{\left(\frac{x_0-f}{x_0-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}}-\frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}}} \left(\frac{x_1-f}{x_1-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}}+\frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{y_0-f}{y_0-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}}+\frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{y_1-f}{y_1-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}}-\frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}} - f}{\left(\frac{x_0-f}{x_0-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}}-\frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{x_1-f}{x_1-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}}+\frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{y_0-f}{y_0-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}}+\frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{y_1-f}{y_1-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}}-\frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}} - 1}$$

$$y_n = \frac{e^{\left(\frac{y_0-f}{y_0-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}}-\frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{y_1-f}{y_1-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}}+\frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{x_0-f}{x_0-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}}+\frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{x_1-f}{x_1-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}}-\frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}} - f}{\left(\frac{y_0-f}{y_0-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}}-\frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{y_1-f}{y_1-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}}+\frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{x_0-f}{x_0-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}}+\frac{\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}} \left(\frac{x_1-f}{x_1-e}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}}-\frac{\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}} - 1}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Case  $e = f$ . From the proof of Theorem 1 we see that in this case system (9) becomes

$$\mu_{n+2} = \mu_{n+1} + \nu_n, \quad \nu_{n+2} = \nu_{n+1} + \mu_n \tag{51}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Hence, the sequences  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  and  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfy the following linear difference equation:

$$\omega_{n+4} - 2\omega_{n+3} + \omega_{n+2} - \omega_n = 0 \tag{52}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

The general solution to equation (52) is

$$\omega_n = c_1 \lambda_1^n + c_2 \lambda_2^n + c_3 \lambda_3^n + c_4 \lambda_4^n \tag{53}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $c_j, j = \overline{1, 4}$ , are some arbitrary constants, whereas  $\lambda_j, j = \overline{1, 4}$ , are given in (35).

From (51) we have

$$\mu_2 = \mu_1 + \nu_0, \quad \mu_3 = \mu_1 + \nu_1 + \nu_0, \tag{54}$$

$$\nu_2 = \nu_1 + \mu_0, \quad \nu_3 = \mu_1 + \nu_1 + \mu_0. \tag{55}$$

From (53) and (54) a closed-form formula for the solution  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  can be obtained, whereas from (53) and (55) a closed-form formula for the solution  $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  can be obtained.

The formulas can be obtained similar to the case  $e \neq f$ . Namely, we can iterate the relation

$$\omega_n = 2\omega_{n-1} - \omega_{n-2} + \omega_{n-4}$$

$$= a_1 \omega_{n-1} + b_1 \omega_{n-2} + c_1 \omega_{n-3} + d_1 \omega_{n-4}$$

and show that

$$\omega_n = a_k \omega_{n-k} + b_k \omega_{n-k-1} + c_k \omega_{n-k-2} + d_k \omega_{n-k-3} \tag{56}$$

for  $n \geq k + 3$ , where the sequences  $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and  $(d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfy the relations in (26) and (30). Hence, the sequence  $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is given by formula (43).

Taking  $k = n - 3$ , we get

$$\omega_n = a_{n-3} \omega_3 + (a_{n-2} - 2a_{n-3}) \omega_2 + a_{n-5} \omega_1 + a_{n-4} \omega_0 \tag{57}$$

for  $n \geq 6$ .

From (54) and (57) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &= a_{n-3}(\mu_1 + \nu_0 + \nu_1) + (a_{n-2} - 2a_{n-3})(\mu_1 + \nu_0) + a_{n-5} \mu_1 + a_{n-4} \mu_0 \\ &= a_{n-4} \mu_0 + (a_{n-2} - a_{n-3} + a_{n-5}) \mu_1 + (a_{n-2} - a_{n-3}) \nu_0 + a_{n-3} \nu_1 \\ &= a_{n-4} \mu_0 + (a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}) \mu_1 + (a_{n-2} - a_{n-3}) \nu_0 + a_{n-3} \nu_1 \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Due to the symmetry we have

$$\nu_n = a_{n-4} \nu_0 + (a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}) \nu_1 + (a_{n-2} - a_{n-3}) \mu_0 + a_{n-3} \mu_1 \tag{59}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Using relation (8) with  $n = 0, 1$  and formula (43) in (58), and finally relation (59), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &= \frac{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1} - \lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1} - \lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}}{x_0 - e} + \frac{\frac{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^n - \lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}}{x_1 - e} \\ &+ \frac{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1} - \lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1} - \lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}}{y_0 - e} + \frac{\frac{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^n - \lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}}{y_1 - e}, \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_n &= \frac{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1} - \lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1} - \lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}}{y_0 - e} + \frac{\frac{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^n - \lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}}{y_1 - e} \\ &+ \frac{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1} - \lambda_2^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{n-1} - \lambda_4^{n-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}}}{x_0 - e} + \frac{\frac{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^n - \lambda_4^n}{2i\sqrt{3}}}{x_1 - e} \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

From (8) we have

$$x_n = \frac{e\mu_n + 1}{\mu_n}, \quad y_n = \frac{f\nu_n + 1}{\nu_n} \tag{62}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

From the relations in (62) together with the formulas in (60) and (61) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_n &= \frac{e\left(\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}-\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n+\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2\sqrt{5}+2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}+\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n-\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}}\right) + 1}{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}-\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n+\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2\sqrt{5}+2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}+\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n-\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}}}, \\
 y_n &= \frac{e\left(\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}-\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n+\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2\sqrt{5}+2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}+\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n-\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}}\right) + 1}{\frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}-\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n+\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2\sqrt{5}+2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{n-1}-\lambda_2^{n-1}+\lambda_3^{n-1}-\lambda_4^{n-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_1^n-\lambda_2^n-\lambda_3^n-\lambda_4^n}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

These formulas are closed-form formulas for the general solution to system (3) under the condition  $e = f$ . □

**Corollary 2** Assume  $e, f \in \mathbb{C}, k = 2s, l = s$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then system (3) is solvable in a closed form.

*Proof* Since  $k = 2s$  and  $l = s$  for an  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , system (3) becomes

$$x_{n+2s} = \frac{x_{n+s}y_n - ef}{x_{n+s} + y_n - e - f}, \quad y_{n+2s} = \frac{y_{n+s}x_n - ef}{y_{n+s} + x_n - e - f} \tag{63}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Now note that system (63) is a system of difference equations with interlacing indices.

Let  $x_m^{(j)} = x_{ms+j}, y_m^{(j)} = y_{ms+j}$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $j = \overline{0, s-1}$ .

Then  $(x_m^{(j)}, y_m^{(j)})_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}, j = \overline{0, s-1}$ , are  $s$  solutions to the system

$$x_{m+2} = \frac{x_{m+1}y_m - ef}{x_{m+1} + y_m - e - f}, \quad y_{m+2} = \frac{y_{m+1}x_m - ef}{y_{m+1} + x_m - e - f} \tag{64}$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Now note that system (64) is nothing but system (3) in the case  $k = 2$  and  $l = 1$ .

Employing Theorem 3, if  $e \neq f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_m^{(j)} &= \frac{e\left(\frac{x_0^{(j)}-f}{x_0^{(j)}-e} \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1}-\lambda_2^{m-1}-\lambda_3^{m-1}-\lambda_4^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^m-\lambda_2^m+\lambda_3^m-\lambda_4^m}{2\sqrt{5}+2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_0^{(j)}-f}{x_0^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1}-\lambda_2^{m-1}+\lambda_3^{m-1}-\lambda_4^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^m-\lambda_2^m-\lambda_3^m-\lambda_4^m}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e}\right)}{\frac{x_0^{(j)}-f}{x_0^{(j)}-e} \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1}-\lambda_2^{m-1}-\lambda_3^{m-1}-\lambda_4^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^m-\lambda_2^m+\lambda_3^m-\lambda_4^m}{2\sqrt{5}+2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_0^{(j)}-f}{x_0^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1}-\lambda_2^{m-1}+\lambda_3^{m-1}-\lambda_4^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^m-\lambda_2^m-\lambda_3^m-\lambda_4^m}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e} - 1}, \\
 y_m^{(j)} &= \frac{e\left(\frac{x_0^{(j)}-f}{x_0^{(j)}-e} \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1}-\lambda_2^{m-1}-\lambda_3^{m-1}-\lambda_4^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^m-\lambda_2^m+\lambda_3^m-\lambda_4^m}{2\sqrt{5}+2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_0^{(j)}-f}{x_0^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1}-\lambda_2^{m-1}+\lambda_3^{m-1}-\lambda_4^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^m-\lambda_2^m-\lambda_3^m-\lambda_4^m}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e}\right)}{\frac{x_0^{(j)}-f}{x_0^{(j)}-e} \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1}-\lambda_2^{m-1}-\lambda_3^{m-1}-\lambda_4^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^m-\lambda_2^m+\lambda_3^m-\lambda_4^m}{2\sqrt{5}+2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_0^{(j)}-f}{x_0^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1}-\lambda_2^{m-1}+\lambda_3^{m-1}-\lambda_4^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e} + \frac{\lambda_1^m-\lambda_2^m-\lambda_3^m-\lambda_4^m}{2\sqrt{5}-2i\sqrt{3}} \frac{x_1^{(j)}-f}{x_1^{(j)}-e} - 1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $j = \overline{0, s-1}$ , whereas if  $e = f$ , we get

$$x_m^{(j)} = \frac{e \left( \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} \right) + 1}{\frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}}},$$

$$y_m^{(j)} = \frac{e \left( \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} \right) + 1}{\frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}}}$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $j = \overline{0, s-1}$ , that is, if  $e \neq f$ , we have

$$x_{ms+j} = \frac{e \left( \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} \right) - f}{\left( \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} \right) - 1},$$

$$y_{ms+j} = \frac{e \left( \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} \right) - f}{\left( \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} \right) - 1}$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $j = \overline{0, s-1}$ , whereas if  $e = f$ , we get

$$x_{ms+j} = \frac{e \left( \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} \right) + 1}{\frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}}},$$

$$y_{ms+j} = \frac{e \left( \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} \right) + 1}{\frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^{m-1} - \lambda_2^{m-1}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\lambda_3^{m-1} - \lambda_4^{m-1}}{2i\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\lambda_1^m - \lambda_2^m}{2\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\lambda_3^m - \lambda_4^m}{2i\sqrt{3}}}$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $j = \overline{0, s-1}$ . □

**Remark 2** The other cases, that is, the cases  $5^\circ$ ,  $6^\circ$ ,  $8^\circ$ , and  $10^\circ$ , are dealt with in a similar fashion. The only difference is that there are more technical details than in the above considered cases. We leave the details to the interested reader as some exercises.

**Remark 3** Note that the above analyses and proofs show that the solvability of system (3) is also closely connected to the solvability of linear difference equations, as it was the case in many previous investigations in the area [2, 3, 16, 36, 37, 42–44, 46–54].

**Acknowledgements**

Bratislav Iričanin was partially supported by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development, and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia under contract number 451-03-65/2024-03/200103, and was also partially supported by the Science

Fund of the Republic of Serbia, #GRANT No 7632, Project "Mathematical Methods in Image Processing under Uncertainty-MaMIPU". The work of Zdeněk Šmarda was supported by the project FEKT-S-23-8179 of the Brno University of Technology.

#### Author contributions

SS initiated the investigation, proposed some preliminary ideas, and conducted some detailed investigations. BI, WK and ZŠ analyzed the proposed ideas, made some calculations, and gave some ideas and comments. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

Brno University of Technology, project FEKT-S-23-8179.

#### Data Availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

## Declarations

#### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Mathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences, Knez Mihailova 36/III, 11000 Beograd, Serbia. <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan, Republic of China. <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Bulevar Kralja Aleksandra 73, 11120 Beograd, Serbia. <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Mechanical and Civil Engineering in Kraljevo, University of Kragujevac, 36000 Kraljevo, Serbia. <sup>5</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Appalachian State University, Boone, NC 28608, USA. <sup>6</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Communication, Brno University of Technology, Technická 3058/10, CZ - 616 00 Brno, Czech Republic.

Received: 17 March 2024 Accepted: 7 August 2024 Published online: 27 August 2024

## References

1. Abel, N.H.: Mémoire sur les équations algébriques, ou l'on démontre l'impossibilité de la résolution de l'équation générale du cinquième degré (1824) Oeuvres Complètes de Niels Henrik Abel, I. Sylow, L. and Lie, S., Grondahl & Son, 28-33 (1881). (In French)
2. Adamović, D.: Solution to problem 194. *Mat. Vesn.* **23**, 236–242 (1971)
3. Bajo, I., Liz, E.: Global behaviour of a second-order nonlinear difference equation. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **17**(10), 1471–1486 (2011)
4. Bashmakov, M.I., Bekker, B.M., Gol'hovoi, V.M.: *Zadachi po Matematike. Algebra i Analiz. Nauka, Moskva* (1982). (In Russian)
5. Berenhaut, K., Stević, S.: The behaviour of the positive solutions of the difference equation  $x_n = A + (x_{n-2}/x_{n-1})^p$ . *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **12**(9), 909–918 (2006)
6. Berg, L.: On the asymptotics of nonlinear difference equations. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **21**(4), 1061–1074 (2002)
7. Berg, L., Stević, S.: On the asymptotics of the difference equation  $y_n(1 + y_{n-1} \cdots y_{n-k+1}) = y_{n-k}$ . *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **17**(4), 577–586 (2011)
8. Boole, G.: *A Treatise on the Calculus of Finite Differences*, 3rd edn. Macmillan, London (1880)
9. Demidovich, B.P., Maron, I.A.: *Computational Mathematics*. Mir Publishers, Moscow (1973)
10. Fort, T.: *Finite Differences and Difference Equations in the Real Domain*. Oxford Univ. Press, London (1948)
11. Herschel, J.F.W.: *Collection of Examples of the Applications of the Calculus of Finite Differences*. J. Smith, Cambridge (1820)
12. Jordan, C.: *Calculus of Finite Differences*. Chelsea Publishing Company, New York (1965)
13. Karakostas, G.: The forbidden set, solvability and stability of a circular system of complex Riccati type difference equations. *AIMS Math.* **8**(11), 28033–28050 (2023)
14. Krechmar, V.A.: *A Problem Book in Algebra*. Mir Publishers, Moscow (1974)
15. Lacroix, S.F.: *Traité des Différences et des Séries*. J. B. M. Duprat, Paris (1800). (In French)
16. Lacroix, S.F.: *An Elementary Treatise on the Differential and Integral Calculus with an Appendix and Notes by J. Herschel*. Smith, Cambridge (1816)
17. Lagrange, J.-L.: *Oeuvres*, t. II. Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1868). (In French)
18. Laplace, P.S.: Sur le calcul des fonctions génératrices. *J. Éc. Polytech.* **VIII**(15), 229–265 (1810). (In French)
19. Laplace, P.S.: Recherches sur l'intégration des équations différentielles aux différences finies et sur leur usage dans la théorie des hasards. *Mémoires de l'Académie Royale des Sciences de Paris* 1773, t. VII, (1776) (Laplace OEuvres, VIII, 69–197, 1891). (In French)
20. Markoff, A.A.: *Differenzenrechnung*. Teubner, Leipzig (1896). (In German)
21. Milne-Thomson, L.M.: *The Calculus of Finite Differences*. Macmillan, London (1933)
22. Mitrinović, D.S., Adamović, D.D.: *Nizovi i Redovi/Sequences and Series*. Naučna Knjiga, Beograd (1980). (In Serbian)
23. Mitrinović, D.S., Kečkić, J.D.: *Metodi Izračunavanja Konačnih Zbrojeva/Methods for Calculating Finite Sums*. Naučna Knjiga, Beograd (1984). (In Serbian)
24. Nörlund, N.E.: *Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung*. Springer, Berlin (1924). (In German)
25. Papaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J.: On a system of two nonlinear difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **219**(2), 415–426 (1998)
26. Papaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J.: On the behavior of the solutions of a system of two nonlinear difference equations. *Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **5**(2), 47–59 (1998)



27. Papaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J.: On a system of two nonlinear difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **230**, 211–222 (1999)
28. Papaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J.: Invariants for systems of two nonlinear difference equations. *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.* **7**, 181–196 (1999)
29. Papaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J.: Invariants and oscillation for systems of two nonlinear difference equations. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **46**, 967–978 (2001)
30. Papaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J.: Oscillation and asymptotic stability of two systems of difference equations of rational form. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **7**, 601–617 (2001)
31. Papaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J.: On the system of two difference equations  $x_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^k A_i/y_{n-i}^{p_i}$ ,  $y_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^k B_i/x_{n-i}^{q_i}$ . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **273**(2), 294–309 (2002)
32. Papaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J.: On the dynamics of two exponential type systems of difference equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **64**(7), 2326–2334 (2012)
33. Papaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J., Stefanidou, G.: On a  $k$ -order system of Lyness-type difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2007**, 31272 (2007)
34. Papaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J., Stefanidou, G.: Two modifications of the Beverton–Holt equation. *Int. J. Difference Equ.* **4**(1), 115–136 (2009)
35. Papaschinopoulos, G., Stefanidou, G.: Trichotomy of a system of two difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **289**, 216–230 (2004)
36. Papaschinopoulos, G., Stefanidou, G.: Asymptotic behavior of the solutions of a class of rational difference equations. *Int. J. Difference Equ.* **5**(2), 233–249 (2010)
37. Rhouma, M.H.: The Fibonacci sequence modulo  $\pi$ , chaos and some rational recursive equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **310**, 506–517 (2005)
38. Schinas, C.: Invariants for difference equations and systems of difference equations of rational form. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **216**, 164–179 (1997)
39. Schinas, C.: Invariants for some difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **212**, 281–291 (1997)
40. Seliwanoff, D.: *Lehrbuch der Differenzenrechnung*. Druck und Verlag Von B.G. Teubne, Leipzig (1904). (in German)
41. Stević, S.: On the recursive sequence  $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n + (x_{n-1}/x_n)$  II. *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst.* **10a**(6), 911–916 (2003)
42. Stević, S.: On the system of difference equations  $x_n = c_n y_{n-3}/(a_n + b_n y_{n-1} x_{n-2} y_{n-3})$ ,  $y_n = \gamma_n x_{n-3}/(\alpha_n + \beta_n x_{n-1} y_{n-2} x_{n-3})$ . *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**, 4755–4764 (2013)
43. Stević, S.: Sixteen practically solvable systems of difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 467 (2019)
44. Stević, S.: Solvability of a general class of two-dimensional hyperbolic-cotangent-type systems of difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 294 (2019)
45. Stević, S.: Solving a class of non-autonomous difference equations by generalized invariants. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **42**, 6315–6338 (2019)
46. Stević, S.: New class of practically solvable systems of difference equations of hyperbolic-cotangent-type. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2020**, 89 (2020)
47. Stević, S.: General solutions to subclasses of a two-dimensional class of systems of difference equations. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2021**, 12 (2021)
48. Stević, S.: New classes of hyperbolic-cotangent-type systems of difference equations solvable in closed form. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **44**, 3646–3669 (2021)
49. Stević, S.: On a class of solvable difference equations generalizing an iteration process for calculating reciprocals. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, 205 (2021)
50. Stević, S.: Application of equilibrium points in solving difference equations and a new class of solvable nonlinear systems of difference equations. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **23**(1), 1–17 (2022)
51. Stević, S., Diblík, J., Iričanin, B., Šmarda, Z.: On some solvable difference equations and systems of difference equations. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, 541761 (2012)
52. Stević, S., Iričanin, B., Kosmala, W.: More on a hyperbolic-cotangent class of difference equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **42**, 2974–2992 (2019)
53. Stević, S., Iričanin, B., Kosmala, W., Šmarda, Z.: Note on theoretical and practical solvability of a class of discrete equations generalizing the hyperbolic-cotangent class. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2021**, 184 (2021)
54. Stević, S., Iričanin, B., Šmarda, Z.: On a product-type system of difference equations of second order solvable in closed form. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2015**, 327 (2015)
55. Vorobiev, N.N.: *Fibonacci Numbers*. Birkhäuser, Basel (2002)

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.