

RESEARCH

Open Access



On a logarithmic wave equation with nonlinear dynamical boundary conditions: local existence and blow-up

Nazlı Irkil¹, Khaled Mahdi², Erhan Pişkin³, Mohammad Alnegga⁴ and Salah Boularas^{4*}

*Correspondence:

s.boularas@qu.edu.sa

⁴Department of Mathematics,
College of Sciences and Arts in
ArRass, Qassim University, Buraydah
51452, Saudi Arabia
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

This paper deals with a hyperbolic-type equation with a logarithmic source term and dynamic boundary condition. Given convenient initial data, we obtained the local existence of a weak solution. Local existence results of solutions are obtained using the Faedo-Galerkin method and the Schauder fixed-point theorem. Additionally, under suitable assumptions on initial data, the lower bound time of the blow-up result is investigated.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B44; 35A01

Keywords: Blow-up; Dynamical boundary condition; Existence; Logarithmic nonlinearity; Partial differential equations; Mathematical operators

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the problem of wave equation with logarithmic nonlinearity and dynamic boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } [0, \infty) \times \Gamma_0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} u(x, t) = -|u_t|^{k-2} u_t + |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| & \text{on } [0, \infty) \times \Gamma_1, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1(x) & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$ is a regular, bounded domain with a boundary $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$, $\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset$, where Γ_0 and Γ_1 are measurable over $\partial\Omega$, endowed with the $(n-1)$ dimensional Lebesgue measures $\lambda_{n-1}(\Gamma_0)$ and $\lambda_{n-1}(\Gamma_1)$. Additionally, $\lambda_{n-1}(\Gamma_0)$ and $\lambda_{n-1}(\Gamma_1)$ are assumed to be positive throughout paper. $k \geq 2$ and $p \geq 2$ are positive constants to be chosen later.

Dynamic boundary problems are widely applied in many mathematical models, such hydro logic filtration process, thermoelasticity, diffusion phenomenon, and hydrodynamics [2, 15, 25–27]. A dynamic boundary condition has been introduced by a group of physicists to underline the fact that the kinetics of the process, i.e. the term $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ becomes

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

more visible in some boundary conditions [18, 24]. This type of option is characterized by the interaction of the components of the system with the walls (i.e., within Γ) [7]. Since the paper by Lions [29] has been introduced in 1969, evolution equations with dynamical boundary conditions (first order equations in time) have been studied well. Later, mathematicians and physicists studied it for a long time and achieved creative success; see [3, 6, 9, 17, 19, 20, 22, 28, 31] and references therein.

In [31], the author considered the problem (1) without logarithmic source term for $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}u(x, t) = -|u_t|^{k-2}u_t + |u|^{p-2}u$ boundary condition and proved the local and global existence under suitable condition. When $2 < p < k$, the solutions exist globally for arbitrary initial data. For $k < p$, solutions blow up. Later, Zhang and Hu [36] considered the blow-up of the solution under the condition $E(0) < d$ when the initial data are in the unstable set. In [12], they established blow-up results of the solution for a finite time at a critical energy level or high-energy level for the same problem.

Let us go back and look at a wave equation with logarithmic nonlinearity associated with problem (1). In [8], Cazenave and Haraux considered the following equation for the Cauchy problem

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u = ku \ln |u|. \quad (2)$$

They studied deeply the existence and uniqueness of the solutions using different techniques. As far as is known, this type of problem has been employed in various areas of physics, such as geophysics, nuclear physics, and optics; see in Bialynicki-Birula and Mycielski [4, 5]. Moreover, there are many research points devoted to the given problem in different models of hyperbolic wave equation with logarithmic source term [10, 13, 14, 16, 21, 23, 33]. Ma and Fang [32] considered problem (2) with strong damping term. They proved decay estimates and blow-up result under the null Dirichlet boundary condition.

In [11], Cui and Chai considered the following equation

$$u_{tt} - \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u) = |u|^p u \ln |u|$$

with acoustic boundary condition. They obtained local existence and uniqueness using the semigroup theory. As far as is known, not many works are related to the logarithmic wave equation with a dynamic boundary condition. According to the studies mentioned above, our work aims to expand the result of wave equation with logarithmic nonlinearity and dynamic boundary conditions. The rest of the work is arranged as follows: In Sect. 2 gives notations and lemmas to illustrate our paper path. Sections 3–4 state the local existence result and potential well of (1). In the last part, we established blow-up result for a lower bound time.

2 Preliminaries

First, we denote

$$\|\cdot\| = L^2(\Omega), \quad \|\cdot\|_q = L^q(\Omega), \quad \|\cdot\|_{q,\Gamma_1} = L^q(\Gamma_1), \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty$$

and

$$H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u|_{\Gamma_0} = 0\},$$

($u|_{\Gamma_0}$ is in the trace sense). Let $T > 0$ be a real number and X be a Banach space endowed with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$. $L^p(0, T; X)$ indicates the space of functions h , which are L^p over $(0, T)$ with values in X , which are measurable with $\|h\|_X \in L^p(0, T)$. We set the Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|h\|_{L^p(0, T; X)} = \left(\int_0^T \|h\|_X^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

$L^\infty(0, T; X)$ denotes the space of functions $h : (0, T) \rightarrow X$, which are measurable with $\|h\|_X \in L^\infty(0, T)$. We set the Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|h\|_{L^p(0, T; X)} = \left(\int_0^T \|h\|_X^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We know that if X and Y are Banach spaces such that X is continuous embedding to Y , then $L^p(0, T; X) \hookrightarrow L^p(0, T; Y)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

We define the total energy function as

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{1}{p^2} \|u\|_{p, \Gamma_1}^p - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| \, dx. \quad (3)$$

By the definition of $E(t)$ on $H_{\Gamma_1}^1(\Omega)$, the initial energy can be considered

$$E(0) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{p^2} \|u_0\|_{p, \Gamma_1}^p - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_0|^p \ln |u_0| \, dx. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 1 [1] (*Trace-Sobolev Embedding inequality*). Let $H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Gamma_1)$ for $2 \leq p < \kappa$ hold, where

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}, & \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ \infty, & \text{if } n = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

So that, there is a constant C_p that is the smallest nonnegative number, satisfying

$$\|u\|_{p, \Gamma_1} \leq C_p \|\nabla u_0\|. \quad (5)$$

Proposition 2 Suppose that Lemma 1 holds, we define

$$\alpha^* = \begin{cases} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} - p, & \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ \infty, & \text{if } n = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

for any $\alpha \in [0, \alpha^*)$, then $H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p+\alpha}(\Gamma_1)$ continuously.

Lemma 3 $E(t)$ is a nonincreasing function for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ and

$$E(t) + \int_s^t \|u_\tau(\tau)\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k \, d\tau = E(s) \leq 0. \quad (6)$$

Proof By multiplying equation (1) by u_t and integrating on Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u_{tt} u_t \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u u_t \, dx &= 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{p^2} \|u\|_{p, \Gamma_1}^p - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| \, dx &= -\|u_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By integrating of (7) over (s, t) , we have equality (6). \square

Lemma 4 *Let ϑ be a positive number. Then, the inequality holds*

$$||s|^{p-2} \log |s|| \leq A + s^{p-2+\vartheta}, \quad p > 2 \quad (8)$$

for $A > 0$.

Proof Notice that $\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln |s|}{s^\vartheta} = 0$. Then, there is a positive constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\log |s|}{s^\vartheta} < 1$$

for $\forall |s| > K$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \log |s| &< s^\vartheta \\ |s|^{p-2} \log |s| &< s^{p-2+\vartheta}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\forall |s| > K$. Since $p > 2$, then $||s|^{p-2} \log |s|| \leq A$, for some $A > 0$ and for all $|s| \leq K$.

Thus,

$$||s|^{p-2} \log |s|| \leq A + s^{p-2+\vartheta}, \quad p > 2. \quad \square$$

3 Existence of local solution

We will apply the Faedo-Galerkin technique and the Schauder fixed-point theorem.

Theorem 5 *There exists $T > 0$, such that problem (1) has a unique local weak solution u of (1) on $(0, T) \times \Omega$. Therefore,*

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in C([0, T]; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \\ u_t &\in L^k((0, T) \times \Gamma_1) \end{aligned}$$

and the energy identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 \Big|_s^t + \int_s^t \|u_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k = \int_s^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| u_t \, dx$$

holds for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. Therefore, $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_1\|_{|s|^t}^2, k, p, \Omega, \Gamma_1)$ is decreasing in the first variable.

Now, we will give some existence result and lemma used for the proof of Theorem 5.

To define the function and show that the fixed point exists, we introduce the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt} - \Delta v = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } [0, T] \times \Gamma_0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} v(x, t) = -|v_t|^{k-2} v_t + |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| & \text{on } [0, T] \times \Gamma_1, \\ v(x) = u_0(x), v_t(x) = u_1(x) & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Let the solution v of problem (9) be $v = \zeta(u)$. We can see that v corresponds to u and $\zeta : X_T \rightarrow X_T$.

Lemma 6 *Let $2 \leq p \leq \kappa$ and $\frac{\kappa}{\kappa-p+1} < k$. Assume that $u \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in L^k(\Omega)$ hold. Then, there exists a unique weak solution u of (9) on $(0, T) \times \Omega$. Therefore,*

$$Y_T = \{(v, v_t) \in C([0, T]; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), v_t \in L^k((0, T) \times \Gamma_1)\} \quad (10)$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|(v, v_t)\|_{Y_T}^2 = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[\|v_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|^2 \right] + \|v_t\|_{L^k((0, T) \times \Gamma_1)}^k, \quad (11)$$

and the energy identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \|v_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|^2 \Big|_s^t + \int_s^t \|v_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k = \int_s^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| u_t \, dx, \quad (12)$$

holds for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$.

To see the first step of the proof of Lemma 6, we will use the following proposition. The proposition was proved similar to [35]. We have some results in [35] as follows:

Proposition 7 *Let $2 \leq p \leq \kappa$ and $\frac{\kappa}{\kappa-p+1} < k$. Assume that $u \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in L^k(\Omega)$ hold. Then, there is $T > 0$ and a unique solution v for (9) problem on $(0, T)$ such that, i.e.*

$$u \in L^\infty([0, T]; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega))$$

such that

$$u_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega) \cap L^k((0, T) \times \Gamma_1))$$

and

$$\int_0^T \Omega - u_t \varphi_t + \nabla u \nabla \varphi + \int_0^T \Gamma_1 |u_t|^{k-2} u_t \varphi - \int_0^T \Gamma_1 |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| \varphi = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in C((0, T); H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1((0, T); L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^k((0, T) \times \Gamma_1)$. Then

$$u \in C([0, T]; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)),$$

and the energy identity

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u\right\|_s^2 \Big|_s^t + \int_s^t \|u_t\|_{k,\Gamma_1}^k = \int_s^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| u_t \, dx$$

holds for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. Now, we can state the proof of Lemma 6.

Proof Let $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of linearly independent vectors in $X = \{u \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) : u|_{\Gamma_1} \in L^k(\Gamma_1)\}$ whose finite linear combinations are dense in X . In the event, using the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization method, we can conclude $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ to be orthonormal in $L^2(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Gamma_1)$. Using some technical mathematical result, we can clearly see that $X(u \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \cap L^k(\Gamma_1))$ is dense in $H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$ and in $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, there exist $u_{0m}, u_{1m} \in [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m]$ where w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m are the span of the vectors such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{0m} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\Omega} u_0 w_i \right) w_i \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega), \\ u_{1m} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\Omega} u_1 w_i \right) w_i \rightarrow u_1 \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

According to their multiplicity of

$$\Delta w_i + \lambda_i w_i = 0$$

we denote by $\{\lambda_i\}$ the related eigenvalues to w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m . For all $m \geq 1$, we will seek an approximate solution (m functions γ_{im}) such that

$$v_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i^m(t) w_i \quad (14)$$

satisfying the following Cauchy problem

$$\left\{ (v_{mtt}, w_i) + (\nabla v_m, \nabla w_i) + \int_{\Gamma_1} |v_{mt}|^{k-2} v_{mt} w_i = \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| w_i, \right. \quad (15)$$

where $t \geq 0$. In (15), for the first term, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} v_{mtt}(t) w_i \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \ddot{\gamma}_{jtt}^m(t) w_j \right) w_i \, dx = \ddot{\gamma}_i^m(t) \int_{\Omega} |w_i|^2 \, dx = \ddot{\gamma}_i^m(t). \quad (16)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} -\Delta v_m w_i \, dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \Delta \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j^m(t) w_j \right) w_i \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j^m(t) \Delta w_j \right) w_i \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j^m(t) \lambda_j w_j w_i dx \\
&= \gamma_i^m(t) \lambda_i \int_{\Omega} |w_i|^2 dx \\
&= \gamma_i^m(t) \lambda_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

For the fourth term, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma_1} |v_{mt}(t)|^{k-2} v_{mt}(t) w_i dx &= \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\dot{\gamma}_j^m(t)|^{k-2} \dot{\gamma}_j^m(t) w_j \right) w_i dx \\
&= |\dot{\gamma}_i^m(t)|^{k-2} \dot{\gamma}_i^m(t) \int_{\Omega} |w_i|^2 dx \\
&= |\dot{\gamma}_i^m(t)|^{k-2} \dot{\gamma}_i^m(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Then, we insert (16)–(18) in (15) so that (15) yields the following Cauchy problem for a linear ordinary differential equation for unknown functions $\gamma_i^m(t)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$;

$$\begin{aligned}
\ddot{\gamma}_i^m(t) + \gamma_i^m(t) \lambda_i + \nabla \dot{\gamma}_i^m(t) + |\dot{\gamma}_i^m(t)|^{k-2} \dot{\gamma}_i^m(t) &= G_i(t), \\
\gamma_i^m(0) = \int_{\Omega} u_0 w_i dx, \quad \dot{\gamma}_i^m(0) &= \int_{\Omega} u_1 w_i dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where

$$G_i(t) = \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| w_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \tag{20}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. Then the problem above has a unique local solution $\gamma_i^m \in C^2[0, T]$ for all i , which satisfies a unique v_m defined by (14) and satisfies (15).

Now, taking $w_i = v_{mt}$ in equation (15) and then integrating over $[0, t]$, $0 < t < t_m$ and by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v_{mt}(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v_m(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|v_{m\tau}(\tau)\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k d\tau \\
&= \|v_{1m}(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v_{0m}\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| v_{mt} dx ds
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for each $m \geq 1$.

To estimate the last term on the right-hand side of (21), set $v_m \in H^1(0, t_m; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega))$ and by the trace theorem; $v_m \in H^1(0, t_m; L^k(\Gamma_1))$. Applying the Young and the trace Sobolev inequalities, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| v_{mt} dx ds \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-1} \ln |u| |v_{mt}(s)| dx ds \\
&\leq \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-1} \ln |u|^{\frac{k}{k-1}} dx ds + \int_0^t \|v_{mt}(s)\|_{k, \Gamma_1} ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

since Γ_1 is bounded. To estimate (22), we focus on the first term

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-1} \ln |u| \left| \frac{k}{k-1} \right| dx ds.$$

We define

$$\Gamma_1^- = \{x \in \Omega; |u(x)| < 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_1^+ = \{x \in \Omega; |u(x)| \geq 1\},$$

where $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1^- \cup \Gamma_1^+$. Because of that, $\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1} \ln |u| \left| \frac{k}{k-1} \right| dx ds$ can be recalled as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(s)|^{p-1} \ln |u(s)| \left| \frac{k}{k-1} \right| dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_1^-} |u(s)|^{p-1} \ln |u(s)| \left| \frac{k}{k-1} \right| dx + \int_{\Gamma_1^+} |u(s)|^{p-1} \ln |u(s)| \left| \frac{k}{k-1} \right| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Then, the use of Lemma 4 gives

$$\Gamma_1^- \left| u(s) \right|^{p-1} \ln |u(s)| \left| \frac{k}{k-1} \right| dx \leq [e(p-1)]^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} |\Gamma_1| = C, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\inf_{s \in (0,1)} s^{p-1} \ln s = [e(p-1)]^{-1}.$$

Let

$$\theta = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \cdot \frac{k}{k-1} - p + 1 > 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 3; \text{ each positive } \theta \text{ for } n = 1, 2.$$

By the Sobolev embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}(\Gamma_1)$, recalling $u \in F = C([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma_1^+} |u(s)|^{p-1} \ln |u(s)| \left| \frac{k}{k-1} \right| dx \leq \int_{\Gamma_1^+} \theta^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} \theta^{\frac{k}{k-1}} (|u(s)|^{p-1} \ln |u(s)|)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} dx \\ & \leq \theta^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} \int_{\Gamma_1^+} (|u(s)|^{p-1} \ln |u(s)|)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} dx \\ & \leq \theta^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} \int_{\Gamma_1^+} (|u(s)|^{p-1+\theta})^{\frac{k}{k-1}} dx \\ & \leq \theta^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} \int_{\Gamma_1^+} |u(s)|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} dx \\ & \leq \theta^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} \int_{\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1^- \cup \Gamma_1^+} |u(s)|^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} dx \\ & = \theta^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} \|u\|_{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} \\ & \leq C \|u\|_F^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Case $n = 1, 2$ proof is similar. So that, for taking $t = T$, we conclude that $|u(s)|^{p-1} \ln |u(s)|$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{k}{k-1}}((0, T) \times \Gamma_1)$.

Writing (24), (25) into (22), we conclude that

$$2 \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(s)|^{p-2} u(s) \ln |u(s)| v_{mt}(s) dx ds \leq CT + \int_0^t \|v_{mt}(s)\|_{k, \Gamma_1} ds. \quad (26)$$

Replacing (26) into (21), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_{mt}(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v_m(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|v_{mt}(\tau)\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k d\tau \\ & \leq \|v_{1m}(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v_{0m}\|^2 + CT + \int_0^t \|v_{mt}(s)\|_{k, \Gamma_1} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of m . Since the elementary estimate

$$x^a \leq C_1 + C_2 x \quad \Rightarrow \quad x \leq (1 + C_1 + C_2)^{\frac{1}{a-1}} \quad (28)$$

for $C_1, C_2 \geq 0$ and $a > 1$, (27) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_{mt}(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v_m(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|v_{mt}(\tau)\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k d\tau \\ & \leq C_4 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $C_4 = \|v_{1m}(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v_{0m}\|^2 + CT + (1 + C_1 + C_2)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$. Since

$$\|v_m(t)\| \leq \|v_m(0)\| + T \|v_{mt}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \quad (30)$$

we have that $v_m(t)$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega))$. Consequently, it follows from (29) and (30) that

$$\begin{cases} v_m, & \text{is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)), \\ v_{mt}, & \text{is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^k(0, T; L^k(\Gamma_1)). \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Using a standard procedure of the Aubin-Lions lemma [30, 34], we deduce that

$$\begin{cases} v_m \xrightarrow{z^*} v & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)), \\ v_{mt} \xrightarrow{z^*} \eta_1 & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ v_{mt} \xrightarrow{z} \eta_2 & \text{in } L^k((0, T) \times \Gamma_1), \\ |v_{mt}|^{k-2} v_{mt} \xrightarrow{z} |v_{mt}|^{k-2} v_{mt} & \text{in } L^{\frac{k}{k-1}}((0, T) \times \Gamma_1), \end{cases}$$

where $\eta_1 = v_t$ and $v(0) = v_0$. Now, we suppose that $\eta_2 = v_t$ a.e. in $(0, T) \times \Gamma_1$. It is clear that, since the weak limit of v_{mt} on $(0, T) \times \partial\Omega$ is equal to η_2 on $(0, T) \times \Gamma_1$ and to 0 on $(0, T) \times \Gamma_0$, and since $u = 0$ on $(0, T) \times \Gamma_0$, the assumption is that the weak limit of v_{mt} on $(0, T) \times \partial\Omega$ is the distribution time derivative of v on $(0, T) \times \partial\Omega$. Therefore, up to

subsequence, we can pass to limit in (15) and find a weak solution (9) applying argument similar to that given in [35] (see Proposition 1).

Uniqueness proof is given by contradiction, claiming two distinct solutions exist. Say w and v have the same initial data. Subtracting both two equations and testing result by $w_t - v_t$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w_t - v_t\|^2 + \|\nabla w - \nabla v\|^2 \\ & + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} (|w_\tau|^{k-2} w_\tau - |v_\tau|^{k-2} v_\tau)(w_\tau - v_\tau) d\tau \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

From the following inequality

$$(|f|^{k-2}f - |g|^{k-2}g)(f - g) \geq C|f - g|^k \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \forall f, g \in R, \exists C > 0$$

equation (9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w_t - v_t\|^2 + \|\nabla w - \nabla v\|^2 \\ & + c \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla w_\tau - \nabla v_\tau\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k d\tau \right) \\ & \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies $w - v = 0$. Therefore, (9) satisfies a unique weak solution. \square

Now, we can deal with the proof of Theorem 5.

Proof To obtain the proof, we apply the contraction mapping theorem. For $T > 0$, we denote the convex closed subset of Y_T as

$$X_T = \{(v, v_t) \in Y_T : v(0, x) = u_0(x), v_t(0, x) = u_1(x)\}.$$

We define

$$B_r(X_T) = \{v \in X_T : \|v\|_{X_T}^2 \leq r^2\},$$

where $r^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\|u_1\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2)$. Thanks to Lemma 6, for any $u \in B_r(X_T)$, we can introduce $v = \zeta(u)$, which is the unique solution of (9). We can see that v corresponds to u and $\zeta : X_T \rightarrow X_T$. Our aim is to get that ζ is a contraction map, which implies $\zeta(B_r(X_T)) \subset B_r(X_T)$ for any $T > 0$. Using energy identity for all $t \in (0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\|v_t\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + \int_0^t \|v_\tau(\tau)\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|u_1\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2) \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u(s)|^{p-2} u(s) \ln |u(s)| v_t(s) dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Then by

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| \leq \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p$$

(33) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\|v_t\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + \int_0^t \|v_t(\tau)\|_{k,\Gamma_1}^k d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|u_1\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2) + \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p v_t dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The last term on the right-hand side of inequality (34) can be estimated using the Holder inequality and similar calculations as for (23) and (25),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\|v_t\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + \int_0^t \|v_t(\tau)\|_{k,\Gamma_1}^k d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|u_1\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2) + Cr^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \|v_t\|_{L^k((0,T)\times\Gamma_1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

By taking $t = T$ and using the inequality (28), we have

$$\|v_t\|_{L^k((0,T)\times\Gamma_1)} \leq C_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_0^2 + Cr^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}. \quad (36)$$

Because of the inequality for $X, Y \geq 0$,

$$(X + Y)^a \leq 2^{a-1} (X^a + Y^a), \quad (37)$$

where a is a positive constant, (36) yields that

$$\|v_t\|_{L^k((0,T)\times\Gamma_1)} \leq C_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_0^{\frac{2}{k-1}} + Cr^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T^{\frac{1}{k}} \right). \quad (38)$$

Now, we insert (38) into (35) and obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\|v_t\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} r_0^2 + C_5 r^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_0^{\frac{2}{k-1}} + Cr^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T^{\frac{1}{k}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

So that, we have

$$\|v_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq r_0^2 + C_6 r^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_0^{\frac{2}{k-1}} + Cr^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T^{\frac{1}{k}} \right). \quad (40)$$

Using inequality (37) and (40), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|v\|_2^2 &\leq (\|u_0\|_2 + {}^t_0\|v_t\|_2)^2 \\
 &\leq 2\|u_0\|_2^2 + 2T^2\|v_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\
 &\leq 2r_0^2 + 2T^2\|v_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\
 &\leq 2r_0^2 + 2T^2\left[r_0^2 + C_6 r^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}r_0^{\frac{2}{k-1}} + Cr^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T^{\frac{1}{k}}\right)\right] \\
 &\leq 2(1+T^2)r_0^2 + 2C_6 T^{\frac{3k-1}{k}} r^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}r_0^{\frac{2}{k-1}} + Cr^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T^{\frac{1}{k}}\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Combining (39) and (41), we have

$$\|v_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega))} \leq (3+T^2)r_0^2 + C_7 T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} r^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}} (1+T^2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}r_0^{\frac{2}{k-1}} + Cr^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T^{\frac{1}{k}}\right).$$

By choosing T small enough and r large enough, we derive that $\zeta(u) \in B_r(X_T)$ and $T = T(r_0^2, k, p, \Omega, \Gamma_1)$ is a decreasing with respect to the first variable.

Next, we will verify that ζ is a contraction mapping continuous on $B_r(X_T)$ and ζ is compact in Y_T . Let $u_1, u_2 \in X_{r_0, T}$. We define $v_1 = \zeta(u_1)$, $v_2 = \zeta(u_2)$ with $u_1, u_2 \in B_r(X_T)$, and $z = v_1 - v_2$, then, clearly z is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} z_{tt} - \Delta z = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ z = 0 & \text{on } [0, T] \times \Gamma_0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n} z(x, t) = -|v_{1t}|^{k-2} v_{1t} + |v_{2t}|^{k-2} v_{2t} & \text{on } [0, T] \times \Gamma_1, \\ \quad + |u_1|^{p-2} u_1 \ln |u_1| - |u_2|^{p-2} u_2 \ln |u_2| & \text{on } \Omega, \\ z(0, x) = z_t(0, x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

Since $v_{1t}, v_{2t} \in L^m((0, T) \times \Gamma_1)$, it is clearly that $|v_{1t}|^{k-2} v_{1t}$ and $|v_{2t}|^{k-2} v_{2t}$ belong to $L^{\frac{k}{k-1}}((0, T) \times \Gamma_1)$. Also, the functions $|u_1|^{p-2} u_1 \ln |u_1|$ and $|u_2|^{p-2} u_2 \ln |u_2|$ belong to $L^{\frac{k}{k-1}}((0, T) \times \Gamma_1)$. Then, by using Lemma 6, the energy functional can be written for problem (42) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2}\|z_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla z\|^2 + {}^t_0 \int_{\Gamma_1} (|v_{1t}|^{k-2} v_{1t} - |v_{2t}|^{k-2} v_{2t})(v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \\
 &= \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} (|u_1|^{p-2} u_1 \ln |u_1| - |u_2|^{p-2} u_2 \ln |u_2|)(v_{1t} - v_{2t}) dx ds
 \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$. We denote the basic inequality for $x \geq 2, a_1, a_2 \in R$ such that

$$(|a_1|^{b-2} a_1 - |a_2|^{b-2} a_2)(a_1 - a_2) \geq C^* |a_1 - a_2|^b.$$

For estimating the last integral on the left-hand side of (43), we apply the basic inequality by taking $b = k$ when $k \geq 2$ and $b = \frac{k}{k-1}$ when $1 < k < 2$. So that, (43) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|z_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla z\|^2 + C^* \|z_t\|_{L^k((0,T) \times \Gamma_1)}^k \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} (|u_1|^{p-2} u_1 \ln |u_1| - |u_2|^{p-2} u_2 \ln |u_2|) (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \, dx \, ds \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

for $k \geq 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|z_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla z\|^2 + C^* \| |v_{1t}|^{k-2} v_{1t} - |v_{2t}|^{k-2} v_{2t} \|_{L^{\frac{k}{k-1}}((0,T) \times \Gamma_1)}^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} (|u_1|^{p-2} u_1 \ln |u_1| - |u_2|^{p-2} u_2 \ln |u_2|) (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \, dx \, ds \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

for $1 < k < 2$.

Now, we need to estimate the logarithmic term in (45). If we set

$$G(s) = |s|^{p-2} s \ln |s|,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} G'(s) &= (p-1)|s|^{p-2} \ln |s| + |s|^{p-2} \\ &= (1 + (p-1) \ln |s|) |s|^{p-2}. \end{aligned}$$

From the mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |G(u_1) - G(u_2)| \\ &= |G'(\vartheta u_1 + (1-\vartheta)u_2)(u_1 - u_2)| \\ &\leq [1 + (p-1) \ln |\vartheta u_1 + (1-\vartheta)u_2|] |\vartheta u_1 + (1-\vartheta)u_2|^{p-2} |u_1 - u_2|, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \vartheta < 1$. From Lemma 4, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |G(u_1) - G(u_2)| &\leq |(\vartheta u_1 + (1-\vartheta)u_2)|^{p-2} |u_1 - u_2| + (p-1)A|u_1 - u_2| \\ &\quad + (p-1)|u_1 - u_2| |(\vartheta u_1 + (1-\vartheta)u_2)|^{p-2+\varepsilon} \\ &\leq |u_1 + u_2|^{p-2} |u_1 - u_2| + (p-1)A|u_1 - u_2| \\ &\quad + (p-1)|u_1 - u_2| |u_1 + u_2|^{p-2+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Inserting (47) into (45), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|z_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla z\|^2 + C^* \| |v_{1t}|^{k-2} v_{1t} - |v_{2t}|^{k-2} v_{2t} \|_{L^{\frac{k}{k-1}}((0,T) \times \Gamma_1)}^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(|u_1 + u_2|^{p-2} |u_1 - u_2| + (p-1)A|u_1 - u_2| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (p-1)|u_1 - u_2| |u_1 + u_2|^{p-2+\varepsilon} \right) (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \, dx \, ds. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

We choose $\varkappa_0 \in (p, \varkappa)$ such that

$$\frac{\varkappa}{\varkappa - p + 1} < \frac{\varkappa_0}{\varkappa_0 - p + 1} < k. \quad (48)$$

Using (49), we can define $l \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{\varkappa} + \frac{1}{l} = 1, \quad (49)$$

where $l < \frac{\varkappa_0}{p-2}$.

Using (37) and the Holder inequality, we can write the first term of the integral term of (48) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |(u_1 + u_2)|^{p-2} |u_1 - u_2| (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \\ & \leq 2^{l-1} \int_0^T \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\varkappa_0, \Gamma_1} \left(\|u_1\|_{l(p-2), \Gamma_1}^{(p-2)} + \|u_2\|_{l(p-2), \Gamma_1}^{(p-2)} \right) \|z_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Since $l(p-2) < \varkappa_0$, by the trace Sobolev embedding and definition of r , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |(u_1 + u_2)|^{p-2} |u_1 - u_2| (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \\ & \leq 2^{l-1} \int_0^T \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\varkappa_0, \Gamma_1} \left(\|\nabla u_1\|_2^{(p-2)} + \|\nabla u_2\|_2^{(p-2)} \right) \|z_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1} \\ & \leq 2C_{10} r^{p-2} \int_0^T \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\varkappa_0, \Gamma_1} \|z_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Applying the Holder inequality, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |(u_1 + u_2)|^{p-2} |u_1 - u_2| (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \\ & \leq 2C_{10} r^{p-2} T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^\varkappa(\Gamma_1))} \|z_t\|_{L^k((0, T) \times (\Gamma_1))}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Thanks to (40) and $r_0 \leq r$, (52) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |(u_1 + u_2)|^{p-2} |u_1 - u_2| (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \\ & \leq C_{11} r^{p-2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r^{\frac{2}{k-1}} \right) T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} + \text{Cr}^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T \right] \\ & \quad \times \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^{\varkappa_0}(\Gamma_1))}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

If we choose $\varkappa_1 \in (p, \varkappa)$ such that

$$\frac{\varkappa}{\varkappa - (p + \varepsilon) + 1} < \frac{\varkappa_1}{\varkappa_1 - (p + \varepsilon) + 1} < k. \quad (54)$$

Using (54), we can define $l_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{\varkappa} + \frac{1}{l_1} = 1,$$

where $l_1 < \frac{\varkappa_1}{p-2+\varepsilon}$. Using calculations similar to (50)–(52), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_1 - u_2| |u_1 + u_2|^{p-2+\varepsilon} (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \\ & \leq C_{12} r^{p+\varepsilon-2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r^{\frac{2}{k-1}} \right) T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} + C r^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T \right] \\ & \quad \times \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\varkappa(\Gamma_1))}, \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ constant.

Using the trace Sobolev embedding and the Holder inequality in time and (36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_1 - u_2| (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \\ & \leq \int_0^T \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\varkappa_3, \Gamma_1} \|z_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1} \\ & \leq \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\varkappa(\Gamma_1))} \|z_t\|_{L^k((0,T) \times (\Gamma_1))} \\ & \leq C_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_0^{\frac{2}{k-1}} + C r^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\varkappa_3}(\Gamma_1))}, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where $\varkappa_3 \in (p, \varkappa)$.

By combining (56), (55), and (53), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(|(u_1 + u_2)|^{p-2} (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) + (p-1) A(v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (p-1)(v_{1t} - v_{2t}) |u_1 + u_2|^{p-2+\varepsilon} \right) (v_{1t} - v_{2t}) \, dx \, ds \\ & \leq K \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\varkappa^*}(\Gamma_1))}, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where

$$\varkappa^* = \max\{\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \varkappa_3\}$$

and

$$K = \max \begin{cases} C_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r_0^{\frac{2}{k-1}} + C r^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T^{\frac{1}{k}} \right), \\ C_{12} r^{p+\varepsilon-2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r^{\frac{2}{k-1}} \right) T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} + C r^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T \right], \\ C_{11} r^{p-2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r^{\frac{2}{k-1}} \right) T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} + C r^{\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-2)(k-1)}} T \right]. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, by inserting (57) into (44) and (45), we get the following estimates

$$\|v_{1t} - v_{2t}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq K_1 \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\varkappa^*}(\Gamma_1))}, \quad (58)$$

$$\|\nabla v_1 - \nabla v_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq K_1 \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\varkappa^*}(\Gamma_1))}, \quad (59)$$

and

$$\|v_{1t} - v_{2t}\|_{L^k((0,T) \times \Gamma_1)}^k \leq K_1 \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\varkappa^*}(\Gamma_1))}, \quad (60)$$

where $k \geq 2$, while

$$\left\| |v_{1t}|^{k-2}v_{1t} - |v_{2t}|^{k-2}v_{2t} \right\|_{L^{\frac{k}{k-1}}((0,T) \times \Gamma_1)}^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \leq K_1 \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\infty*}(\Gamma_1))}, \quad (61)$$

where $1 < k < 2$ and $K_1 > 0$ is a constant, which depends on $(p, k, \Omega, \Gamma_1, T, r)$. Thanks to $v_1(0) = v_2(0) = 0$, we conclude that for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_2 \leq \int_0^T \|v_{1t} - v_{2t}\|_2 \leq T \|v_{1t} - v_{2t}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}. \quad (62)$$

Plug (58) into (62) yields that

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq K_1 T^2 \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\infty*}(\Gamma_1))}. \quad (63)$$

Thus, from estimates (58)–(63), we get contractiveness of ζ in $B_r(X_T)$. It follows that $v = \zeta(u)$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y_T . The proof is completed. \square

4 Potential well

In this section, we will demonstrate the global existence of the proofs of solution (1).

We defined some useful functionals total energy function as

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{1}{p^2} \|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_1} u^p \ln |u| \, dx, \quad (64)$$

$$I(u) = \|\nabla u\|^2 - \int_{\Gamma_1} u^p \ln |u| \, dx. \quad (65)$$

Then, combining (64), (65), and definition of $E(u)$ gives

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} I(u) + \frac{1}{p^2} \|u\|_p^p \quad (66)$$

and

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + J(u). \quad (67)$$

The potential well depth is defined as

$$W = \{(u_0, u_1) \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) : J(u) \leq d, I(u) > 0\} \cup \{0\}, \quad (68)$$

and the outer space of the potential well

$$V = \{(u_0, u_1) \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) : J(u) \leq d, I(u) < 0\}. \quad (69)$$

Lemma 8 Let $u_0 \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, $\|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p \neq 0$. Then

- i) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} J(\lambda u) = 0$, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} J(\lambda u) = -\infty$;

ii) There exists $\lambda^* > 0$ satisfying $\frac{d}{d\lambda}J(\lambda^*u) = 0$ such that

$$I(\lambda u) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}J(\lambda u) \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^*, \\ = 0, & \lambda = \lambda^*, \\ < 0, & \lambda < \lambda^* < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof i) Take $J(\lambda u)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(\lambda u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|\lambda \nabla u\|^2 + \frac{1}{p^2} \|\lambda u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Gamma_1} \ln |\lambda u| (\lambda u)^p dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{\lambda^p}{p^2} \|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p - \frac{\lambda^p}{p} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| dx - \frac{\lambda^p}{p} \ln |\lambda| \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of $\|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p$, we see that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} J(\lambda u) = 0$, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} J(\lambda u) = -\infty$.

ii) Now, taking the derivative of $J(\lambda u)$ with respect to λ , we have

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}J(\lambda u) = \lambda \left(\|\nabla u\|^2 - \lambda^{p-2} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| dx - \lambda^{p-2} \ln |\lambda| \|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p \right). \quad (70)$$

Thanks to definition of $J(\lambda u)$, it is clearly from (70) that $\lambda^{-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda}J(\lambda u) = N(\lambda u)$. So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda}N(\lambda u) &= (2-p)\lambda^{p-3} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| dx - \lambda^{p-3} \|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p + (2-p)\lambda^{p-3} \ln |\lambda| \|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p \\ &= \lambda^{p-3} \left((2-p) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| dx - \|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p + (2-p) \ln |\lambda| \|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a unique λ^1 such that $\frac{d}{d\lambda}N(\lambda u)|_{\lambda=\lambda^1} = 0$, by taking

$$\lambda^1 = \exp \left(\frac{\|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p - (2-p) \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| dx}{(2-p) \|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p} \right) > 0$$

such that $\frac{d}{d\lambda}N(\lambda u) > 0$ on $(0, \lambda^1)$ and $\frac{d}{d\lambda}N(\lambda u) < 0$ on (λ^1, ∞) . Because of $N(\lambda u)|_{\lambda=0} = \|\nabla u\|^2 > 0$ and $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} N(\lambda u) = -\infty$, there is one $\lambda^* > 0$ such that $N(\lambda^*u) = 0$, i.e. $\frac{d}{d\lambda}J(\lambda^*u) = 0$.

A simple corollary of the fact that

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}J(\lambda u) = \lambda N(\lambda u)$$

which gives that $\frac{d}{d\lambda}J(\lambda u) > 0$ on $(0, \lambda^*)$ and $\frac{d}{d\lambda}J(\lambda u) < 0$ on (λ^*, ∞) . Thus, we have the desired results such that

$$I(\lambda u) = \lambda g'(\lambda) \begin{cases} > 0, & 0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^*, \\ = 0, & \lambda = \lambda^*, \\ < 0, & \lambda < \lambda^* < \infty. \end{cases}$$

□

Lemma 9 i) *The depth of potential well depth defined by*

$$d = \inf_{u \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, u|_{\Gamma_1} \neq 0} \sup_{\lambda > 0} J(\lambda u). \quad (71)$$

Then d is a positive function such that

$$0 < d = \inf_{u \in N} J(u) \quad (72)$$

where N is the Nehari manifold given by

$$N = \{u \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : I(u) = 0\},$$

and d has a positive lower bound, namely,

$$d \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e\alpha}{C^*} \right)^{\frac{2}{p+\alpha-2}},$$

where C is defined as a positive constant.

Proof i) By (64), thanks to definitions of the Nehari manifold and d , it satisfies $d \geq 0$. So that, our purpose is to prove that there is a positive function such that $J(u) = d$. We define $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset N$ as a minimizing sequence for J . So that, we conclude that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} J(u_i) = d.$$

It is clearly that, $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset N$ a minimizing sequence for J . Now, we suppose that $u_i > 0$ in Ω for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We also obtain that J is coercive on $u \in N$ satisfying $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and is bounded in $H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$. Since $H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p+\alpha}(\Gamma_1)$ is compact embedding, there is a function u and a subsequence of $\{u_{i_n}\}_{i_n=1}^\infty$ of $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i_n} &\rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega), \\ u_{i_n} &\rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p+\alpha}(\Gamma_1), \\ u_{i_n} &\rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $i_n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, we get $u \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω . Moreover, using the dominated convergence theorem, weak lower semicontinuity and definition of $J(u)$, $I(u)$ and N gives

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\leq \liminf_{i_n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_{i_n}) = d, \\ I(u) &\leq \liminf_{i_n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_{i_n}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x^{-\gamma} \ln x \leq \frac{1}{e\gamma}$ for $x, \gamma > 0$ and the trace Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u_{i_n}\|^2 &= \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_{i_n}|^p \ln |u_{i_n}| \\ &\leq \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{e\alpha} |u_{i_n}|^p \ln |u_{i_n}|^\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{e\alpha} \|u_{i_n}\|_{p+\alpha, \Gamma_1}^{p+\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{C^*}{e\alpha} \|\nabla u_{i_n}\|^{p+\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

where C^* is the best Sobolev constant, which means

$$\left(\frac{e\alpha}{C^*}\right)^{\frac{2}{p+\alpha-2}} < \|\nabla u_{i_n}\|^2.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} |u_{i_n}|^p \ln |u_{i_n}| \geq \left(\frac{e\alpha}{C^*}\right)^{\frac{2}{p+\alpha-2}}.$$

Using the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p \ln |u| \geq \left(\frac{e\alpha}{C^*}\right)^{\frac{2}{p+\alpha-2}} > 0$$

which means that $u \neq 0$.

Last, we show that $I(u) = 0$. Indeed, if it is not true, we get $I(u) < 0$. So, thanks to Lemma 8, we have a positive constant $\lambda^* < 1$ implying that $I(\lambda^*u) = 0$. Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}d \leq J(\lambda^*u) &= \frac{(\lambda^*)^2}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{(\lambda^*)^p}{p^2} \|u\|_{p, \Gamma_1}^p \\ &= (\lambda^*)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{(\lambda^*)^{p-2}}{p^2} \|u\|_{p, \Gamma_1}^p \right] \\ &\leq (\lambda^*)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{1}{p^2} \|u\|_{p, \Gamma_1}^p \right] \\ &\leq (\lambda^*)^2 \liminf_{i_n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_{i_n}\|^2 + \frac{1}{p^2} \|u_{i_n}\|_{p, \Gamma_1}^p \right] \\ &\leq (\lambda^*)^2 \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(u_{i_n}) \\ &= (\lambda^*)^2 d < d,\end{aligned}$$

where $d = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e\alpha}{C^*}\right)^{\frac{2}{p+\alpha-2}}$. it is a contradiction. \square

5 Lower bound for blow-up time

In this part, we prove a lower bound for blow-up time of problem (1). First, we give lemma, which will play a role of the proof of Theorem 11.

Lemma 10 Suppose that $(u_0, u_1) \in V$, $2 \leq p < \kappa$. So, we get $(u, u_t) \in V$ for all $t \geq 0$. Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that (u_0, u_1) leaves V at time $t = t_0$, so there is a sequence $\{t_s\}$, $t_s \rightarrow t_0^-$ such that $I(u(t_s)) \leq 0$ and $E(u(t_s)) \leq d$. Thanks to weak lower semicontinuity $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\Gamma_0}^1}$, we obtain

$$I(u(t_0)) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u(t_s)) \leq 0, \quad (73)$$

and

$$E(t_0) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(u(t_s)) \leq d. \quad (74)$$

If we take $(u(t_0), u_t(t_0)) \notin V$, $I(u(t_0)) = 0$ or $E(u(t_0)) > d$. Because of (6), taking $E(t_0) > d$ is impossible, which is a contradiction with inequality (74). By the continuity of function $I(u(t))$ about time, if we take $I(u(t_0)) = 0$, by definition of d , (64) and (3), we arrive at

$$d \geq E(t_0) \geq J(u(t_0)) \geq \inf_{u \in N} J(u) = d.$$

Moreover, we have a contradiction. So, we get $(u, u_t) \in V$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Theorem 11 Assume that $(u_0, u_1) \in V$, $2 \leq p < \kappa$ and $2 < p < 1 + \frac{(2k-2)(n-1)}{k(n-2)}$. Then, the solutions u of problem (1) are bounded at finite time $t = T_1$ with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_1^-} \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 = \infty.$$

Therefore, we give lower bound for T_1 such that

$$T_1 \geq \frac{d\theta}{H(t) + e(p-1)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} |\Gamma_1| + (e\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} (K_2)^{\frac{k}{k-1} (p-1+\alpha)} \theta^{\frac{k}{k-1} (p-1+\alpha)}},$$

where $0 < \alpha < \frac{2n-2}{n-2} - p$, K_2 is the positive Sobolev constant and $H(0) = \|u_1\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2$.

Proof We define a function as

$$H(t) = \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2. \quad (75)$$

By testing equation of problem (1) by $u_t(x, t)$ and using the Green formula, we obtain

$$(u_{tt}, u_t) = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla u, \nabla u_t + \int_{\Gamma_1} [-|u_t|^{k-2} u_t + |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u|] u_t. \quad (76)$$

By differentiating (75) and using (76), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} H'(t) &= 2(u_{tt}, u_t) + 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_t \\ &= -2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u, \nabla u_t + 2 \int_{\Gamma_1} [-|u_t|^{k-2} u_t + |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u|] u_t + 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_t \\ &= -2 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t|^{k-1} u_t + 2 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| u_t. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Since $2 < p < 1 + \frac{(2k-2)(n-1)}{k(n-2)}$, by applying the trace Sobolev embedding theorem where α is a positive constant such that $(p-1+\alpha) < \frac{(2k-2)(n-1)}{k(n-2)}$. Therefore, if we use the Young inequality and Sobolev theorems, (77) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| u_t \\
 & \leq \|u_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k + \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u| \right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \\
 & \leq \|u_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k \int_{\Gamma_1^-} (|u|^{p-2} u \ln |u|)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} + \int_{\Gamma_1^+} (|u|^{p-2} u \ln |u|)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \\
 & \leq \|u_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k + e(p-1)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} |\Gamma_1| + (e\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} \int_{\Gamma_1^+} (|u|^{p-1+\alpha})^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \\
 & \leq \|u_t\|_{k, \Gamma_1}^k + e(p-1)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} |\Gamma_1| + (e\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} (K_2)^{\frac{k}{k-1}(p-1+\alpha)} \|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{k}{k-1}(p-1+\alpha)} \\
 & \leq H(t) + e(p-1)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} |\Gamma_1| + (e\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} (K_2)^{\frac{k}{k-1}(p-1+\alpha)} H(t)^{\frac{k}{k-1}(p-1+\alpha)}, \quad (78)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $|x^y \ln x| \leq \frac{1}{ey}$ for $0 < x < 1$ and $x^{-y} \ln x \leq \frac{1}{ey}$ for $x \geq 1$.

Inserting (78) into (77) gives

$$H'(t) \leq H(t) + e(p-1)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} |\Gamma_1| + (e\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} (K_2)^{\frac{k}{k-1}(p-1+\alpha)} H(t)^{\frac{k}{k-1}(p-1+\alpha)}. \quad (79)$$

Using integration of (79) over t , we conclude

$$\int_{H(0)}^{H(t)} \frac{d\theta}{H(\theta) + e(p-1)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} |\Gamma_1| + (e\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} (K_2)^{\frac{k}{k-1}(p-1+\alpha)} \theta^{\frac{k}{k-1}(p-1+\alpha)}}.$$

It is easy to see that there is a time T_1 such that the solution goes to the infinity with $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_1} H(t) = \infty$. Thus, we have a lower bound for T_1 given by

$$T_1 \geq \frac{d\theta}{H(t) + e(p-1)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} |\Gamma_1| + (e\alpha)^{-\frac{k}{k-1}} (K_2)^{\frac{k}{k-1}(p-1+\alpha)} \theta^{\frac{k}{k-1}(p-1+\alpha)}}.$$

This completed the proof. \square

6 Conclusion

This work proves the existence of the result for a hyperbolic-type equation with logarithmic nonlinearity and dynamical boundary condition. This result is modern for these types of problems, and it can be generalized to many problems in the coming literature.

Funding

There is no applicable fund.

Data availability

There is no data associated to the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

There is no applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

The authors have contributed equally in this manuscript

Author details

¹Mardin Said Nursi Anatolian High School, Mardin, Turkey. ²Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, M'Sila University, Box. 166, Ichebilia, M'Sila, Algeria. ³Department of Mathematics, Dicle University, Diyarbakir, Turkey. ⁴Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences and Arts in ArRass, Qassim University, Buraydah 51452, Saudi Arabia.

Received: 9 November 2023 Accepted: 12 December 2023 Published online: 19 December 2023

References

1. Adams, R.A., Fournier, J.J.F.: Sobolev Spaces. Academic Press, New York (2003)
2. Bejenaru, I., Diaz, J.I., Vrabie, I.I.: An abstract approximate controllability result and applications to elliptic and parabolic systems with dynamic boundary conditions. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2001**, 50 (2001)
3. Beylin, A.B., Pulkina, L.S.: A problem with dynamical boundary condition for a one-dimensional hyperbolic equation. *J. Samara State Tech. Univ., Ser. Phys. Math. Sci.* **24**(3), 407–423 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.14498/vsgtu1775>
4. Bialynicki-Birula, I., Mycielski, J.: Wave equations with logarithmic nonlinearities. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci.* **3**(23), 461–466 (1975)
5. Bialynicki-Birula, I., Mycielski, J.: Nonlinear wave mechanics. *Ann. Phys.* **100**(1–2), 62–93 (1976). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916\(76\)90057-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(76)90057-9)
6. Bociu, L., Lasiecka, I.: Blow-up of weak solutions for the semilinear wave equations with nonlinear boundary and interior sources with dynamic damping. *Appl. Math.* **3**(35), 281304 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.4064/am35-3-3>
7. Calatroni, L., Colli, P.: Global solution to the Allen-Cahn equation with singular potentials and dynamic boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **79**, 12–27 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2012.11.010>
8. Cazenave, T., Haraux, A.: Equations d'évolution avec non linéarité logarithmique. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse* **2**(1), 21–51 (1980)
9. Chen, G., Zhang, J.: Asymptotic behavior for a stochastic wave equation with dynamical boundary conditions. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. B* **17**(5), 1441 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2012.17.1441>
10. Chen, Y., Xu, R.: Global well-posedness of solutions for fourth order dispersive wave equation with nonlinear weak damping, linear strong damping and logarithmic nonlinearity. *Nonlinear Anal.* **192**, 111664 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2019.111664>
11. Cui, J., Chai, S.: Energy decay for a wave equation of variable coefficients with logarithmic nonlinearity source term. *Appl. Anal.* **102**, 1696–1710 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2021.1998463>
12. Dai, X., Yang, C., Huang, S., Yu, T., Zhu, Y.: Finite time blow-up for a wave equation with dynamic boundary condition at critical and high energy levels in control systems. *Electron. Res. Arch.* **28**(1), 91–102 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3934/era.2020006>
13. Di, H., Shang, Y., Song, Z.: Initial boundary value problem for a class of strongly damped semilinear wave equations with logarithmic nonlinearity. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **51**, 102968 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2019.102968>
14. Ding, H., Wang, R., Zhou, J.: Infinite time blow-up of solutions to a class of wave equations with weak and strong damping terms and logarithmic nonlinearity. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **147**(3), 914–934 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1111/sapm.12405>
15. Escher, J.: Quasilinear parabolic systems with dynamical boundary conditions. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **18**(7–8), 1309–1364 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03605309308820976>
16. Ferreira, J., Irkil, N., Raposo, C.A.: Blow up results for a viscoelastic Kirchhoff-type equation with logarithmic nonlinearity and strong damping. *Math. Morav.* **25**(2), 125–141 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.5937/MatMor2102125F>
17. Fiscella, A., Vitillaro, E.: Blow-up for the wave equation with nonlinear source and boundary damping terms. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A, Math.* **145**(4), 759–778 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210515000165>
18. Fischer, H.P., Maass, P., Dieterich, W.: Novel surface modes in spinodal decomposition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**(5), 893–896 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.893>
19. Gerbi, S., Said Houari, B.: Local existence and exponential growth for a semilinear damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **13**(11–12), 1051–1074 (2008)
20. Graber, P.J., Shomberg, J.L.: Attractors for strongly damped wave equations with nonlinear hyperbolic dynamic boundary conditions. *Nonlinearity* **29**(4), 1171–1212 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/29/4/1171>
21. Ha, T.G., Park, S.H.: Blow-up phenomena for a viscoelastic wave equation with strong damping and logarithmic nonlinearity. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, 235 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02694-x>
22. Hintermann, T.: Evolution equations with dynamic boundary conditions. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A, Math.* **113**(1–2), 43–60 (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500023945>
23. Irkil, N.: On the p-Laplacian type equation with logarithmic nonlinearity: existence, decay and blow up. *Filomat* **37**(16), 5485–5507 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2316485I>
24. Kenzler, R., Eurich, F., Maass, P., Rinn, B., Schropp, J., et al.: Phase separation in confined geometries: solving the Cahn-Hilliard equation with generic boundary conditions. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **133**(2–3), 139–157 (2001). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655\(00\)00159-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(00)00159-4)
25. Kim, D.S., Dolgy, D.V., Kim, T., Rim, S.-H.: Identities involving Bernoulli and Euler polynomials arising from Chebyshev polynomials. *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.* **15**(4), 361–370 (2012)
26. Kim, D.S., Kim, T.K.: Normal ordering associated with λ -Whitney numbers of the first kind in λ -shift algebra. *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **30**(3), 310–319 (2023)
27. Kim, T., Kim, D.S., Dolgy, D.V., Kwon, J.: Sums of finite products of Chebyshev polynomials of two different types. *AIMS Math.* **6**(11), 12528–12542 (2021)

28. Kirichek, V.A., Pulkina, L.S.: Problem with dynamic boundary conditions for a hyperbolic equation. *Vestn. Samar. Gos. Univ. Estestvennonauchn. Ser.* **1**, 21–27 (2017). <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=29945519>
29. Lions, J.L.: *Quelques Méthodes de Résolutions des Problèmes aux Limites Non Linéaires*. Dunod, Paris (1969)
30. Lions, J.L.: *Equations Différentielles Operationnelles: Et Problèmes aux Limites*. Springer, Berlin (2013)
31. Liu, W., Zhu, B., Li, G.: Upper and lower bounds for the blow-up time for a viscoelastic wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions. *Quaest. Math.* **43**(8), 9991017 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2019.1595768>
32. Ma, L., Fang, Z.B.: Energy decay estimates and infinite blow-up phenomena for a strongly damped semilinear wave equation with logarithmic nonlinear source. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **41**(7), 2639–2653 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.4766>
33. Piskin, E., Boulaaras, S., Irkil, N.: Qualitative analysis of solutions for the p-Laplacian hyperbolic equation with logarithmic nonlinearity. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **44**(6), 4654–4672 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.7058>
34. Pucci, P., Serrin, J.: Global nonexistence for abstract evolution equations with positive initial energy. *J. Differ. Equ.* **150**(1), 203–214 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1998.3477>
35. Vitillaro, E.: Global existence for the wave equation with nonlinear boundary damping and source terms. *J. Differ. Equ.* **186**(1), 259–298 (2002). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0396\(02\)00023-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0396(02)00023-2)
36. Zhang, H., Hu, Q.: Asymptotic behavior and nonexistence of wave equation with nonlinear boundary condition. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **4**(4), 861–869 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.3934/cpaa.2005.4.861>

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)