

RESEARCH

Open Access



A kind of bivariate Bernoulli-type multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator with higher approximation order

Ruifeng Wu^{1,2,3*}

*Correspondence:

wurufeng@jlufe.edu.cn

¹School of Applied Mathematics, Jilin University of Finance and Economics, Changchun 130117, P.R. China

²GongQing Institute Of Science And Technology, Jiujiang 332020, P.R. China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, a kind of bivariate Bernoulli-type multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator is studied by combining the known multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator with the generalized Taylor polynomial as the expansion in the bivariate Bernoulli polynomials. Some error bounds and convergence rates of the combined operators are studied. A selection of numerical examples is presented to compare the performances of the obtained scheme. Furthermore, our method can be applied to time-dependent differential equations. Its advantage is that the algorithm is very simple and easy to implement.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A05; 65D05; 65D15

Keywords: Bivariate Bernoulli polynomials; Multiquadric quasi-interpolation; Convergence rates; The generalized Taylor polynomial

1 Introduction

Although the interpolation method is a classical approximation method in numerical mathematics, the interpolation matrix quickly becomes ill-conditioned with the number of interpolation nodes increases. To overcome this problem, quasi-interpolation method was confirmed that it does not solve linear algebraic equations and can achieve the desired convergence order. For a set of nodes $\Xi = \{\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, real functional values $\{f(\mathbf{q}_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ and quasi-interpolation basis function $\Psi(\mathbf{q})$, the quasi-interpolation $(Qf)(\mathbf{q})$ takes the following standard form by linear combination

$$(Qf)(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^n f(\mathbf{q}_j)\Psi(\mathbf{q}), \quad \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For the nodes of the uniform grid with spacing h , Rabut [1] constructed the following quasi-interpolation operator based on the theory of principal shift-invariant spaces (see, e.g., [2])

$$(Qf)(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(jh)\Psi\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{h} - j\right), \quad (1)$$

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

where the basis function Ψ of the quasi-interpolation is considered as a compactly supported or rapidly decaying function. In [3], by using a simple generator Ψ_0 and recursively defines generators $\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_{m-1}$ with corresponding quasi-interpolants defined as (1) reproducing polynomials of degrees $3, 5, \dots, 2m - 1$ respectively, Bozzini et al. introduced a procedure in spaces of m -harmonic splines in \mathbb{R}^d . In [4, 5], the Strang-Fix condition is regarded as a sufficient and necessary condition for polynomial reproduction and convergence order of quasi-interpolation. By using the Strang-Fix condition for Ψ , several scholars, for example, Buhmann et al. [6], Dyn & Ron [7], Wu & Liu [8], and Wu & Xiong [9] constructed quasi-interpolants on the scattered data, which reproduce polynomials. We will discuss the bivariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator, which can reproduce higher degree polynomials in this paper.

Interpolation method based on radial basis function is an important tool in practical application. In 1971, Hardy [10] studied the multiquadrics as a kind of radial basis function. A review by Franke [11] suggested that the multiquadric interpolation is one of the best methods among some 29 interpolation methods in respect of accuracy, efficiency, and easy implementation. Micchelli [12] proved the existence of the solution of the associated multiquadric interpolation problem in 1986. Buhmann [13] investigated the accuracy of quasi-interpolation for infinite regular grid data in 1988.

In 1992, through shifts of the multiquadric basis function of first degree on finite scattered data, Beast and Powell [14] first studied a univariate quasi-interpolant. Wu and Schaback [15] introduced a modified univariate multiquadric quasi-interpolant reproducing polynomials of degree no more than 1. Recently, many works have been researched on this subject, see for example [16–22].

In 2005, by using the dimension-splitting technology, Ling [23] extended the univariate quasi-interpolant to bidimensional case. Feng et al. [24] and Wu et al. [25] improved the approximation order of the bivariate quasi-interpolant. In 2018, Feng et al. [26] constructed the quasi-interpolation scheme for arbitrary dimensional scattered data approximation. In 2019, to overcome numerical solution of high-dimensional shockwave equations, Zhang et al. [27] proposed the bivariate dimension-splitting multiquadric quasi-interpolant. In 2022, Li et al. [28] introduced the bivariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation (MQQI) on the gridded data for solving 2D sine-Gordon equations. Recently, some scholars have made progress in the interesting application [29–32]. Numerical examples verify the effectiveness and high accuracy of the method. However, the convergence orders of their bivariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation operators are low.

To increase the accuracy of the multiquadric quasi-interpolation method on the interesting applications, our paper is to present a kind of bivariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator with higher accuracy for gridded data. In the paper, we combine the multiquadric quasi-interpolant (see, e.g., [27]), with the generalized Taylor polynomial as the expansion in the bivariate Bernoulli polynomials.

The rest sections of this paper are organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we recall the generalized Taylor polynomial of degree (m, n) and give new results on the error of approximation that will be used later in the paper. In Sect. 3, we apply previous results to derive a kind of bivariate Bernoulli-type multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator, and get their convergence rates. In Sect. 4, we give some numerical tests to investigate that the constructed operators are able to compare the approximation capacity of our new operators, providing high accuracy. Finally, conclusions and future work are arranged in Sect. 5.

2 The generalized Taylor polynomial of degree (m, n)

The generalized Taylor polynomial is an expansion in Bernoulli polynomial of degree (m, n) . We retrospect some results from [33] and [34]. Univariate Bernoulli polynomials are defined by means of the following relations [35]

$$\begin{cases} B_0(x) = 1, \\ B'_n(x) = nB_{n-1}(x), \quad n > 1, \\ \int_0^1 B_n(x) dx = 0, \quad n \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

For a given function $f \in C^m[a, b]$, $m \geq 1$, the following univariate Bernoulli-type interpolation formula is given by [34]:

$$f(x) = B_m[f; a, b; h](x) + R_m[f; a, b; h](x),$$

with

$$B_m[f; a, b](x) = f(a) + \sum_{i=1}^m S_i \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \frac{h^{i-1}}{i!} \Delta_i f^{(i-1)}(a), \tag{3}$$

where $h = b - a$,

$$S_i \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) = B_i \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) - B_i, \tag{4}$$

$$\Delta_i f^{(i-1)}(a) = f^{(i-1)}(b) - f^{(i-1)}(a), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \tag{5}$$

$B_i = B_i(0)$ denotes the Bernoulli numbers, and $R_m[f; a, b; h]$ denotes the remainder term.

Suppose that $I = [a, b] \times [c, d]$ is a rectangular domain in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Let us denote by $C^{(m,n)}(I)$ the space of functions $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with continuous partial derivatives

$$f^{(i,j)}(x, y) = \frac{\partial^{(i+j)}}{\partial x^i \partial y^j} f(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in I,$$

for all (i, j) , $i = 0, 1, \dots, m, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

We denote $h = b - a, k = d - c$, and from the operators [34] it follows:

$$\Delta_{(h,0)} f(x, y) = f(x + h, y) - f(x, y),$$

$$\Delta_{(0,k)} f(x, y) = f(x, y + k) - f(x, y),$$

$$\Delta_{(h,k)} f(x, y) = \Delta_{(h,0)} \Delta_{(0,k)} f(x, y)$$

$$= \Delta_{(0,k)} \Delta_{(h,0)} f(x, y)$$

$$= f(x, y) - f(x + h, k) + f(x + h, y + k) - f(x, y + k).$$

For a given function $f \in C^{(m,n)}(I)$, the polynomial approximation term $B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y)$ is the Bernoulli-type polynomial of degree (m, n) with variables x, y , obtained by

the following formula [34]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y) \\
 &= f(a, c) + \sum_{i=1}^m \Delta_{(h,0)} f^{(i-1,0)}(a, c) \frac{h^{i-1}}{i!} S_i \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_{(0,k)} f^{(0,j-1)}(a, c) \frac{k^{j-1}}{j!} S_j \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_{(h,k)} f^{(i-1,j-1)}(a, c) \frac{h^{i-1} k^{j-1}}{i! j!} S_i \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) S_j \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $S_k, k > 1$ are given in (4).

As in the one-dimensional case [36], we also call the polynomial approximant $B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y)$ the generalized Taylor polynomial of degree (m, n) . It can be derived from a nice property of this operator: its limit when $h \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow 0$ is the well-known Taylor polynomial of degree (m, n) of f about point (a, c) [37]:

$$\lim_{h,k \rightarrow 0} B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y) = T_{m,n}[f; (a, c)](x, y),$$

where

$$T_{m,n}[f; (a, c)](x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(x-a)^i (y-c)^j}{i! j!} f^{(i,j)}(a, c).$$

Moreover, the polynomial $B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y)$ satisfies the following interpolation conditions [34]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](a, c) = f(a, c), \\
 & \Delta_{(h,0)} B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k]^{(i,0)}(a, c) = \Delta_{(h,0)} f^{(i,0)}(a, c), \quad 0 \leq i \leq m-1, \\
 & \Delta_{(0,k)} B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k]^{(0,j)}(a, c) = \Delta_{(0,k)} f^{(0,j)}(a, c), \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
 & \Delta_{(h,k)} B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k]^{(i,j)}(a, c) \\
 & \quad = \Delta_{(h,k)} f^{(i,j)}(a, c), \quad 0 \leq i \leq m-1, 0 \leq j \leq n-1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Theorem 1 (See, [34]) *The degree of exactness of the operator $B_{m,n}[\cdot]$ is (m, n) , i.e., for each bivariate polynomial $p \in \mathbb{P}^{(m,n)}$.*

According to [34], for a given function $f \in \mathcal{C}^{(m,n)}(I), I = [a, b] \times [c, d] (a < b, c < d)$, we have the bivariate Bernoulli interpolation formula:

$$f(x, y) = B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y) + R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y), \tag{8}$$

where $R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y)$ is the remainder term.

Note that the generalized Taylor polynomial $B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y)$ can be extended in a natural way to the whole real plane. To study bounds for the remainder $R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h,$

$k](x, y)$ of the formula (8) even in points outside the rectangular domain $[a, b] \times [c, d]$, we take the operator: $f \rightarrow B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k]$ as acting on the space $C^{(m,n)}([\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}])$ with $\bar{a} < a, b < \bar{b}, \bar{c} < c,$ and $d < \bar{d}$. Let us set

$$I_u = \begin{cases} [\bar{a}, a], & u = 1, \\ [a, b], & u = 2, \\ [b, \bar{b}], & u = 3 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad I_v = \begin{cases} [\bar{c}, c], & v = 1, \\ [c, d], & v = 2, \\ [d, \bar{d}], & v = 3 \end{cases}$$

be the subinterval in x and y directions and define the subinterval

$$I_1^x = \begin{cases} [x, b], & x \in [\bar{a}, a], \\ [a, b], & x \in [a, b], \\ [a, x], & x \in [b, \bar{b}] \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2^y = \begin{cases} [y, d], & y \in [\bar{c}, c], \\ [c, d], & y \in [c, d], \\ [c, y], & y \in [d, \bar{d}]. \end{cases}$$

By applying the Peano’s theorem for bidimensional case (see, [38]), we have the following form of the remainder (8).

Theorem 2 *Let $f \in C^{(m,n)}([\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}])$ and $(x, y) \in [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]$. Then for the remainder*

$$R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y) = f(x, y) - B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y). \tag{9}$$

Let $I_u \times I_v$ be a fixed rectangular partition of $[\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]$ and suppose that $(x, y) \in I_u \times I_v,$ $u, v = 1, 2, 3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y) \\ &= \sum_{j < n} \int_{I_1^x} f^{(m,j)}(s, c) K_{m,j}(x, y, s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < m} \int_{I_2^y} f^{(i,n)}(a, t) K_{i,n}(x, y, t) dt \\ &\quad + \int \int_{I_1^x \times I_2^y} f^{(m,n)}(x, y, s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt; \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $K_{m,0}(x, y, s)K_{m,j}(x, y, s), K_{0,n}(x, y, t), K_{i,n}(x, y, t),$ and $K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t)$ are the Peano’s kernels.

Proof On the one hand, in the polynomial approximation term (6) there are evaluations of derivatives of f up to the order $(m - 1, n - 1)$ in points of $[\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]$; on the other hand, the exactness of the polynomial approximant (6) on the space $\mathbb{P}^{(m,n)}$ supposes the exactness of the operator on the subspace $\mathbb{P}^{(m-1,n-1)}$. By using the Peano’s kernel theorem, we have the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y) \\ &= \sum_{j < n} \int_{\bar{a}}^{\bar{b}} f^{m,j}(s, c) K_{m,j}(x, y, s) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{i < m} \int_{\bar{c}}^{\bar{d}} f^{i,n}(a, t) K_{i,n}(x, y, t) dt + \int_{\bar{a}}^{\bar{b}} \int_{\bar{c}}^{\bar{d}} f^{m,n}(x, y, s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt,$$

where the above kernel functions are obtained by the linear functional $f \rightarrow R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y)$ to $\frac{(x-s)_+^{m-1} (y-c)^j}{(m-1)! j!}$, $\frac{(x-a)^i (y-t)_+^{n-1}}{i! (n-1)!}$, and $\frac{(x-s)_+^{m-1} (y-t)_+^{n-1}}{(m-1)! (n-1)!}$ considered as a function of x, y and $(\cdot)_+^k$ denotes the positive part of the k th power of the argument, i.e., $z_+^k = \max\{z^k, 0\}$. If $x \in I_u \times I_v, u, v = 1$, i.e., $x \in [\bar{a}, a], y \in [\bar{c}, c]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y) \\ &= \sum_{j < n} \left(\int_{\bar{a}}^x f^{(m,j)}(s, c) K_{m,j}(x, y, s) ds + \int_x^a f^{(m,j)}(s, c) K_{m,j}(x, y, s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_a^b f^{(m,j)}(s, c) K_{m,j}(x, y, s) ds + \int_b^{\bar{b}} f^{(m,j)}(s, c) K_{m,j}(x, y, s) ds \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < m} \left(\int_{\bar{c}}^y f^{(i,n)}(a, t) K_{i,n}(x, y, t) dt + \int_y^c f^{(i,n)}(a, t) K_{i,n}(x, y, t) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_c^d f^{(i,n)}(a, t) K_{i,n}(x, y, t) dt + \int_d^{\bar{d}} f^{(i,n)}(a, t) K_{i,n}(x, y, t) dt \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{\bar{a}}^x \int_{\bar{c}}^y f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt + \int_{\bar{a}}^x \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt \right. \\ &\quad + \int_{\bar{a}}^x \int_c^d f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt + \int_{\bar{a}}^x \int_d^{\bar{d}} f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt \\ &\quad + \int_x^a \int_{\bar{c}}^y f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt + \int_x^a \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt \\ &\quad + \int_x^a \int_c^d f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt + \int_x^a \int_d^{\bar{d}} f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \int_{\bar{c}}^y f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt + \int_a^b \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \int_c^d f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt + \int_a^b \int_d^{\bar{d}} f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt \\ &\quad + \int_b^{\bar{b}} \int_{\bar{c}}^y f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt + \int_b^{\bar{b}} \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt \\ &\quad \left. + \int_b^{\bar{b}} \int_c^d f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt + \int_b^{\bar{b}} \int_d^{\bar{d}} f^{(m,n)}(s, t) K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $\bar{a} < s < x$ or $\bar{c} < t < y$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &K_{m,0}(x, y, s) \\ &= \frac{(x-s)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{(a-s)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} + \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{1}{(m-p)!} ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) \frac{h^{p-1}}{p!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_{m,j}(x, y, s) \\
 &= \frac{(x-s)^{m-1} (y-c)^j}{(m-1)! j!} - \left(\sum_{q=1}^j \frac{(a-s)^{m-1} k^j}{(m-1)!(j-q+1)! q!} S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{1}{(m-p)!(j-p+1)!} ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) \\
 &\quad \times \left. \frac{h^{p-1} k^j}{p! q!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_{0,n}(x, y, t) \\
 &= \frac{(y-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
 &\quad - \left(\frac{(c-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{1}{(n-q)!} ((d-t)^{n-q} - (c-t)^{n-q}) \frac{k^{q-1}}{q!} S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \right) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_{i,n}(x, y, t) \\
 &= \frac{(x-a)^i (y-t)^{n-1}}{i! (n-1)!} - \left(\sum_{p=1}^i \frac{(c-t)^{n-1} h^i}{(n-1)!(i-p+1)! p!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^i \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{1}{(n-q)!(i-q+1)!} ((d-t)^{n-q} - (c-t)^{n-q}) \\
 &\quad \times \left. \frac{h^i k^{q-1}}{p! q!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \right) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) \\
 &= \frac{(x-s)^{m-1} (y-t)^{n-1}}{(m-1)! (n-1)!} - \left(\frac{(a-s)^{m-1} (c-t)^{n-1}}{(m-1)! (n-1)!} \right. \\
 &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{(c-t)^{n-1}}{(m-p)!(n-1)!} ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) \frac{h^{p-1}}{p!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{(a-s)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!(n-q)!} ((d-t)^{n-q} - (c-t)^{n-q}) \frac{k^{q-1}}{q!} S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{1}{(m-p)!(n-q)!} ((a-s)^{m-p} (c-t)^{n-q} - (b-s)^{m-p} (c-t)^{n-q} \\
 &\quad + (b-s)^{m-p} (d-t)^{n-q} - (a-s)^{m-p} (d-t)^{n-q}) \frac{h^{p-1} k^{q-1}}{p! q!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left. S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \right) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

because $\frac{(x-s)^i (y-t)^j}{i! j!}$ is considered as a polynomial in x, y of degree (i, j) , $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ such that these must coincide with their generalized Taylor expansion (6). By definition of the positive part, these kernels are also zero in the interval $b < x < \bar{b}$ or

$d < y < \bar{d}$, so we give a proof of the first case (10) of Theorem 2. The remaining expressions of Theorem 2 can be proved by the analogous manners. \square

Let us set

$$d[a, b](x) = \begin{cases} b - x, & x < a, \\ b - a, & a \leq x \leq b, \\ x - a, & b < x \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d[c, d](y) = \begin{cases} d - y, & y < c, \\ d - c, & c \leq y \leq d, \\ y - c, & d < y, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

i.e., $d[a, b](x)(d[c, d](y))$ is distance of $x(y)$ from the interval $[a, b]([c, d])$, plus $b - a(d - c)$; we set also

$$d^m[a, b](x) = (d[a, b](x))^m, \quad d^n[c, d](y) = (d[c, d](y))^n.$$

By virtue of previous theorem, we obtain the desired bounds as follows.

Theorem 3 *Let $f \in C^{(m,n)}([\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}])$ and $(x, y) \in [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]$. Then for the remainder (9) suppose that $(x, y) \in I_u \times I_v$, $u, v = 1, 2, 3$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & |R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y)| \\ & \leq F(m, n) (C_{m,n}^{y,d[c,d](y)} \cdot d^m[a, b](x) \\ & \quad + C_{m,n}^{x,d[a,b](x)} \cdot d^n[c, d](y) + C_{m,n} \cdot d^m[a, b](x) \cdot d^n[c, d](y)); \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where

$$F(m, n) = \max_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq n-1}} \{F_{m,j}, F_{i,n}, F_{m,n}\}, \quad F_{i,j} = \sup_{(x,y) \in [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]} |f^{(i,j)}(x, y)|, \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m,n}^{y,z} &= \frac{1}{m!} \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{m}{p} \binom{p}{l_1} |B_{p-l_1}| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{l_2=1}^q \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{m}{p} |B_{p-l_1}| \right) |B_{q-l_2}| \binom{j+1}{q} \frac{z^j}{(j+1)!} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m,n}^{x,z} &= \frac{1}{n!} \left(1 + \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{n}{q} \binom{q}{l_2} |B_{q-l_2}| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{p=1}^i \sum_{l_1=1}^p \left(1 + \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{n}{q} |B_{q-l_2}| \right) |B_{p-l_1}| \binom{i+1}{p} \frac{z^i}{(i+1)!} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m,n} &= \frac{1}{m!n!} \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{m}{p} \binom{p}{l_1} |B_{p-l_1}| + \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{n}{q} \binom{q}{l_2} |B_{q-l_2}| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_1=1}^p \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{m}{p} \binom{n}{q} \binom{p}{l_1} \binom{q}{l_2} |B_{p-l_1}| |B_{q-l_2}| \right). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Proof Let $x \in I_k$, $k = 1$, i.e., $x \in [\bar{a}, a]$, $y \in [\bar{c}, c]$, then we have from the first case (10) of Theorem 2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y) \\
 &= \left(\int_x^a f^{(m,0)}(s, c)K_{m,0}(x, y, s) ds + \int_a^b f^{(m,0)}(s, c)K_{m,0}(x, y, s) ds \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\int_x^a f^{(m,j)}(s, c)K_{m,j}(x, y, s) ds + \int_a^b f^{(m,j)}(s, c)K_{m,j}(x, y, s) ds \right) \\
 &\quad + \left(\int_y^c f^{(0,n)}(a, t)K_{0,n}(x, y, t) dt + \int_c^d f^{(0,n)}(a, t)K_{0,n}(x, y, t) dt \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\int_y^c f^{(i,n)}(a, t)K_{i,n}(x, y, t) dt + \int_c^d f^{(i,n)}(a, t)K_{i,n}(x, y, t) dt \right) \\
 &\quad + \int_x^a \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s, t)K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt + \int_x^a \int_c^d f^{(m,n)}(s, t)K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt \\
 &\quad + \int_a^b \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s, t)K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt + \int_a^b \int_c^d f^{(m,n)}(s, t)K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) ds dt \\
 &:= G_1 + G_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (G_3^j + G_4^j) + G_5 + G_6 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (G_7^i + G_8^i) + G_9 + G_{10} + G_{11} + G_{12}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $x < s < a$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_{m,0}(x, y, s) \\
 &= -\frac{(a-s)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} - \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{h^{p-1}}{(m-p)!p!} ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right), \\
 &K_{m,j}(x, y, s) \\
 &= -\sum_{q=1}^j \frac{(a-s)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!(j-q+1)!} \frac{k^j}{q!} S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{h^{p-1} k^j}{(m-p)!p!(j-q+1)!q!} \\
 &\quad \times ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_x^a f^{(m,0)}(s, c)K_{m,0}(x, y, s) ds \\
 &:= G_1 \\
 &= -\frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_x^a f^{(m,0)}(s, c)(a-s)^{m-1} ds - \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{1}{(m-p)!p!} h^{p-1} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \int_x^a f^{(m,0)}(s,c)((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) ds, \\
 & \int_x^a f^{(m,j)}(s,c)K_{m,j}(x,y,s) ds \\
 & := G_3^j \\
 & = - \sum_{q=1}^j \frac{k^j}{(m-1)!(j-q+1)!q!} S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) \int_x^a f^{(m,j)}(s,c)(a-s)^{m-1} ds \\
 & \quad - \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{1}{(m-p)!(j-q+1)!p!q!} h^{p-1} k^j S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) \\
 & \quad \times \int_x^a f^{(m,j)}(s,c)((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) ds, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the integrands are of type $h(s)f^{(m,j)}(s,c)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ with a $h(s)$ that does not change sign in $[x, a]$. By using the first mean value theorem for integrals [39], we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1 & = -\frac{1}{(m-1)!} f^{(m,0)}(\xi_{m,0}^{(x,a)}, c) \int_x^a (a-s)^{m-1} ds \\
 & \quad - \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{h^{p-1}}{(m-p)!p!} S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) f^{(m,0)}(\xi_{m,0,p}^{(x,a)}, c) \int_x^a ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) ds, \\
 G_3^j & = - \sum_{q=1}^j \frac{k^j}{(m-1)!(j-q+1)!q!} S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) f^{(m,j)}(\xi_{m,j}^{(x,a)}, c) \int_x^a (a-s)^{m-1} ds \\
 & \quad - \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{h^{p-1} k^j}{(m-p)!(j-q+1)!p!q!} S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) f^{(m,j)}(\xi_{m,j,p}^{(x,a)}, c) \\
 & \quad \times \int_x^a ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) ds, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1
 \end{aligned}$$

for some $\xi_{m,j}^{(x,a)}, \xi_{m,j,p}^{(x,a)} \in [\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, m$, so that we have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1 & = -f^{(m,0)}(\xi_{m,0}^{(x,a)}, c) \frac{(a-x)^m}{m!} - \frac{h^m}{m!} \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{m!}{(m-p+1)!p!} S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) \\
 & \quad \times f^{(m,0)}(\xi_{m,0,p}^{(x,a)}, c) \left(-1 + \sum_{u=0}^{m-p} \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^{m-p-u} \left(\frac{a-x}{h}\right)^u\right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_3^j & = -\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{q=1}^j \frac{(j+1)!}{(j-q+1)!q!(j+1)!} k^j S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) f^{(m,j)}(\xi_{m,j}^{(x,a)}, c) (a-x)^m \\
 & \quad - \frac{h^m}{m!} \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{m!(j+1)!}{(m-p+1)!(j-q+1)!p!q!(j+1)!} k^j S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\times f^{(m,j)}(\xi_{m,j,p}^{(x,a)}, c) \left(-1 + \sum_{u=0}^{m-p} \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^{m-p-u} \left(\frac{a-x}{h}\right)^u \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

If $a < s < b$, then

$$K_{m,0}(x, y, s) = - \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{h^{p-1}}{(m-p)!p!} (b-s)^{m-p} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right),$$

$$K_{m,j}(x, y, s) = - \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{h^{p-1}k^j}{(m-p)!p!(j-q+1)!q!} (b-s)^{m-p} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right)$$

$$\times S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

so that

$$\int_a^b f^{(m,0)}(s, c) K_{m,0}(x, y, s) ds$$

$$:= G_2$$

$$= - \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{h^{p-1}}{(m-p)!p!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \int_a^b f^{(m,0)}(s, c) (b-s)^{m-p} ds,$$

$$\int_a^b f^{(m,j)}(s, c) K_{m,j}(x, y, s) ds$$

$$:= G_4^j$$

$$= - \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{h^{p-1}k^j}{(m-p)!p!(j-q+1)!q!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right)$$

$$\times S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \int_a^b f^{(m,j)}(s, c) (b-s)^{m-p} ds, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and by using the first mean value theorem for integrals, we have after some calculations

$$G_2 = - \frac{h^m}{m!} \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{m!}{(m-p+1)!p!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) f^{(m,0)}(\xi_{m,0}^{(a,b)}, c), \tag{19}$$

and

$$G_4^j = - \frac{h^m}{m!} \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{m!(j+1)!}{(m-p+1)!(j-q+1)!p!q!} \frac{k^j}{(j+1)!}$$

$$\times S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) f^{(m,j)}(\xi_{m,j,p}^{(a,b)}, c), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \tag{20}$$

for some $\xi_{m,j}^{(a,b)}, \xi_{m,j,p}^{(a,b)} \in [\bar{a}, \bar{b}], j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, p = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Similarly, as the discussion of G_1, G_3^j, G_2, G_4^j , we have for some $\eta_{i,n}^{(y,c)}, \eta_{i,n,q}^{(y,c)}, \eta_{i,n}^{(c,d)}, \eta_{i,n,q}^{(c,d)} \in [\bar{c}, \bar{d}], i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, q = 1, 2, \dots, n$, respectively:

$$\int_y^c f^{(0,n)}(a, t) K_{0,n}(x, y, t) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &:= G_5 \\
 &= -f^{(0,n)}(a, \eta_{0,n}^{(y,c)}) \frac{(c-y)^n}{n!} - \frac{k^n}{n!} \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n!}{(n-q+1)!q!} S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \\
 &\quad \times f^{(0,n)}(a, \eta_{0,n,q}^{(y,c)}) \left(-1 + \sum_{v=0}^{n-q} \left(\frac{d-y}{k} \right)^{n-q-v} \left(\frac{c-y}{k} \right)^v \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_y^c f^{(i,n)}(a, t) K_{i,n}(x, y, t) dt \\
 &:= G_7^i \\
 &= -\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{p=1}^i \frac{(i+1)!}{(i-p+1)!p!} \frac{h^i}{(i+1)!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) f^{(i,n)}(a, \eta_{i,n}^{(y,c)}) (c-y)^n \\
 &\quad - \frac{k^n}{n!} \sum_{p=1}^i \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n!(i+1)!}{(n-q+1)!(i-p+1)!p!q!} \frac{h^i}{(i+1)!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \\
 &\quad \times f^{(i,n)}(a, \eta_{i,n,q}^{(y,c)}) \left(-1 + \sum_{v=0}^{n-q} \left(\frac{d-y}{k} \right)^{n-q-v} \left(\frac{c-y}{k} \right)^v \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_c^d f^{(0,n)}(a, t) K_{0,n}(x, y, t) dt \\
 &:= G_6 \\
 &= -\frac{k^n}{n!} \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n!}{(n-q+1)!q!} S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) f^{(0,n)}(a, \eta_{0,n}^{(c,d)}),
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_c^d f^{(i,n)}(a, t) K_{i,n}(x, y, t) dt \\
 &:= G_8^i \\
 &= -\frac{k^n}{n!} \sum_{p=1}^i \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n!(i+1)!}{(n-q+1)!(i-p+1)!p!q!} \frac{h^i}{(i+1)!} S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \\
 &\quad \times f^{(i,n)}(a, \eta_{i,n,q}^{(c,d)}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

If $x < s < a, y < t < c$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_{m,n}(x, y, s, t) \\
 &= -\frac{(a-s)^{m-1} (c-t)^{n-1}}{(m-1)! (n-1)!} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{(c-t)^{n-1} h^{p-1}}{(m-p)!p!(n-1)!} ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{(a-s)^{m-1} k^{q-1}}{(m-1)!(n-q)!q!} ((d-t)^{n-q} - (c-t)^{n-q}) S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{h^{p-1}k^{q-1}}{(m-p)!p!(n-q)!q!} ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p})((d-t)^{n-q} - (c-t)^{n-q}) \\
 & \times S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_x^a \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s,t) K_{m,n}(x,y,s,t) ds dt \\
 & := G_9 \\
 & = - \frac{1}{(m-1)!(n-1)!} \int_x^a \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s,t) (a-s)^{m-1} (c-t)^{n-1} ds dt \\
 & - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{h^{p-1}}{(m-p)!p!} S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) \int_x^a \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s,t) (c-t)^{n-1} \\
 & \times ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) ds dt \\
 & - \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{k^{q-1}}{(n-q)!q!} S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) \int_x^a \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s,t) (a-s)^{m-1} \\
 & \times ((d-t)^{n-q} - (c-t)^{n-q}) ds dt \\
 & - \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{h^{p-1}k^{q-1}}{(m-p)!(n-q)!p!q!} S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) \\
 & \times \int_x^a \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s,t) ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p})((d-t)^{n-q} - (c-t)^{n-q}) ds dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the integrands are of type $g(s)h(t)f^{(m,n)}(s,t)$, with a $g(s)h(t)$ that does not change sign in $[x,a] \times [y,c]$. By applying the first mean value theorem for integrals [39], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_9 & = - \frac{1}{(m-1)!(n-1)!} f^{(m,n)}(\xi^{(a,c)}, \eta^{(a,c)}) \int_x^a \int_y^c (a-s)^{m-1} (c-t)^{n-1} ds dt \\
 & - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{h^{p-1}}{(m-p)!p!} S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{m,p}^{(a,c)}, \eta_{m,p}^{(a,c)}) \\
 & \times \int_x^a \int_y^c (c-t)^{n-1} ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p}) ds dt \\
 & - \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{k^{q-1}}{(n-q)!q!} S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{n,q}^{(c,a)}, \eta_{n,q}^{(c,a)}) \\
 & \times \int_x^a \int_y^c (a-s)^{m-1} ((d-t)^{n-q} - (c-t)^{n-q}) ds dt \\
 & - \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{h^{p-1}k^{q-1}}{(m-p)!(n-q)!p!q!} S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,c)}, \eta_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,c)}) \\
 & \times \int_x^a \int_y^c ((b-s)^{m-p} - (a-s)^{m-p})((d-t)^{n-q} - (c-t)^{n-q}) ds dt
 \end{aligned}$$

for some points $(\xi^{(a,c)}, \eta^{(a,c)}), (\xi_{m,p}^{(a,c)}, \eta_{m,p}^{(a,c)}), (\xi_{n,q}^{(c,a)}, \eta_{n,q}^{(c,a)}), (\xi_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,c)}, \eta_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,c)}) \in [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}], p = 1, 2, \dots, m, q = 1, 2, \dots, n$, so that we have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_9 = & -f^{(m,n)}(\xi^{(a,c)}, \eta^{(a,c)}) \frac{(a-x)^m (c-y)^n}{m! n!} \\
 & - \frac{1}{n!m!} h^m \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{m!}{(m-p+1)!p!} S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{m,p}^{(a,c)}, \eta_{m,p}^{(a,c)}) \\
 & \times \left(-1 + \sum_{u=0}^{m-p} \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^{m-p-u} \left(\frac{a-x}{h}\right)^u\right) (c-y)^n \\
 & - \frac{1}{m!n!} k^n \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n!}{(n-q+1)!q!} S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{n,q}^{(c,a)}, \eta_{n,q}^{(c,a)}) \\
 & \times \left(-1 + \sum_{v=0}^{n-q} \left(\frac{d-y}{k}\right)^{n-q-v} \left(\frac{c-y}{k}\right)^v\right) (a-x)^m \\
 & - \frac{1}{m!n!} h^m k^n \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{m!n! S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right)}{(m-p+1)!(n-q+1)!p!q!} f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,c)}, \eta_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,c)}) \\
 & \times \left(-1 + \sum_{u=0}^{m-p} \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^{m-p-u} \left(\frac{a-x}{h}\right)^u\right) \left(-1 + \sum_{v=0}^{n-q} \left(\frac{d-y}{k}\right)^{n-q-v} \left(\frac{c-y}{k}\right)^v\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Similarly, as the discussion of G_9 , we have also for some $(\xi_{n,q}^{(a,d)}, \eta_{n,q}^{(a,d)}), (\xi_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,d)}, \eta_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,d)}), (\xi_{m,p}^{(b,c)}, \eta_{m,p}^{(b,c)}), (\xi_{m,n,p,q}^{(b,c)}, \eta_{m,n,p,q}^{(b,c)}), (\xi_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,d)}, \eta_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,d)}), (\xi_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,d)}, \eta_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,d)}) \in [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}], p = 1, 2, \dots, m, q = 1, 2, \dots, n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_x^a \int_c^d f^{(m,n)}(s,t) K_{m,n}(x,y,s,t) ds dt \\
 & := G_{10} \\
 & = -\frac{k^n}{m!n!} \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n!}{(n-q+1)!q!} S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{n,q}^{(a,d)}, \eta_{n,q}^{(a,d)}) (x-a)^m \\
 & \quad - \frac{h^m k^n}{m!n!} \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{m!n! S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right)}{(m-p+1)!(n-q+1)!p!q!} f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,d)}, \eta_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,d)}) \\
 & \quad \times \left(-1 + \sum_{u=0}^{m-p} \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^{m-p-u} \left(\frac{a-x}{h}\right)^u\right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_a^b \int_y^c f^{(m,n)}(s,t) K_{m,n}(x,y,s,t) ds dt \\
 & := G_{11} \\
 & = -\frac{h^m}{m!n!} \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{m! S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right)}{(m-p+1)!p!} f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{m,p}^{(b,c)}, \eta_{m,p}^{(b,c)}) (c-y)^n \\
 & \quad - \frac{h^m k^n}{m!n!} \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{m!n! S_p\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) S_q\left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right)}{(m-p+1)!(n-q+1)!p!q!} f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{m,n,p,q}^{(b,c)}, \eta_{m,n,p,q}^{(b,c)})
 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$\times \left(-1 + \sum_{v=0}^{n-q} \left(\frac{d-y}{k} \right)^{n-q-v} \left(\frac{c-y}{k} \right)^v \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \int_c^d f^{(m,n)}(s,t) K_{m,n}(x,y,s,t) \, ds \, dt \\ & := G_{12} \\ & = -\frac{h^m k^n}{m!n!} \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{m!n! S_p(\frac{x-a}{h}) S_q(\frac{y-c}{k})}{(m-p+1)!(n-q+1)!p!q!} f^{(m,n)}(\xi_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,d)}, \eta_{m,n,p,q}^{(a,d)}). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We finally obtain the following desired estimation for the error in terms of the above expression:

$$\begin{aligned} & |R_{m,n}[f; a, b, c, d; h, k](x, y)| \\ & \leq (|G_1| + |G_2|) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (|G_3^j| + |G_4^j|) + (|G_5| + |G_6|) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (|G_7^i| + |G_8^i|) \\ & \quad + (|G_9| + |G_{10}|) + (|G_{11}| + |G_{12}|). \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the bound (12), we apply the well-known identities

$$\begin{aligned} S_p(x) &= B_p(x) - B_p = \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{p}{l_1} B_{p-l_1} x^{l_1}, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, \\ S_q(y) &= B_q(y) - B_q = \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{q}{l_2} B_{q-l_2} y^{l_2}, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

For the term $(|G_1| + |G_2|)$, we have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned} & |G_1| + |G_2| \\ & \leq \frac{F(m,n)}{m!} \left((a-x)^m + h^m \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{m}{p} \binom{p}{l_1} |B_{p-l_1}| \left(\frac{b-x}{h} \right)^{m-(p-l_1)} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{F(m,n)}{m!} h^m \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{m}{p} \binom{p}{l_1} |B_{p-l_1}| \right) \left(\frac{b-x}{h} \right)^m. \end{aligned}$$

For the term $(|G_3^j| + |G_4^j|)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, we have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned} & |G_3^j| + |G_4^j| \\ & \leq \frac{F(m,n)}{m!} \left(\sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{j+1}{q} \frac{k^j}{(j+1)!} |B_{q-l_2}| (a-x)^m \left(\frac{d-y}{k} \right)^{l_2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + h^m \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{m}{p} \binom{j+1}{q} \frac{k^j}{(j+1)!} |B_{p-l_1}| |B_{q-l_2}| \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^{m-(p-l_1)} \left(\frac{d-y}{k}\right)^{l_2} \\ & \leq \frac{F(m,n)}{m!} h^m k^j \left(\sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{j+1}{q} \frac{1}{(j+1)!} |B_{q-l_2}| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{m}{p} \binom{j+1}{q} \frac{1}{(j+1)!} |B_{p-l_1}| |B_{q-l_2}| \right) \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^m \left(\frac{d-y}{k}\right)^j. \end{aligned}$$

For the term $(|G_5| + |G_6|)$, we have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned} & |G_5| + |G_6| \\ & \leq \frac{F(m,n)}{n!} \left((c-y)^n + k^n \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{n}{q} \binom{q}{l_2} |B_{q-l_2}| \left(\frac{d-y}{k}\right)^{n-(q-l_2)} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{F(m,n)}{n!} k^n \left(1 + \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{n}{q} \binom{q}{l_2} |B_{q-l_2}| \right) \left(\frac{d-y}{k}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

For the term $(|G_7^i| + |G_8^i|)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, we have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned} & |G_7^i| + |G_8^i| \\ & \leq \frac{F(m,n)}{n!} \left(\sum_{p=1}^i \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{i+1}{p} \frac{h^i}{(i+1)!} |B_{p-l_1}| (c-y)^n \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^{l_1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + k^n \sum_{p=1}^i \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{n}{q} \binom{i+1}{p} \frac{h^i}{(i+1)!} |B_{q-l_2}| |B_{p-l_1}| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\frac{d-y}{k}\right)^{n-(q-l_2)} \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^{l_1} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{F(m,n)}{n!} h^i k^n \left(\sum_{p=1}^i \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{i+1}{p} \frac{1}{(i+1)!} |B_{p-l_1}| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{p=1}^i \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{n}{q} \binom{i+1}{p} \frac{1}{(i+1)!} |B_{q-l_2}| |B_{p-l_1}| \right) \left(\frac{d-y}{k}\right)^n \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^i. \end{aligned}$$

For the term $(|G_9| + |G_{10}|)$, we have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned} & |G_9| + |G_{10}| \\ & \leq \frac{F(m,n)}{m!n!} (a-x)^m (c-y)^n + \frac{F(m,n)}{m!n!} h^m \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{m!}{(m-p+1)!p!} \left| S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) \right| \\ & \quad \times \left(-1 + \sum_{u=0}^{m-p} \left(\frac{b-x}{h}\right)^{m-p-u} \left(\frac{a-x}{h}\right)^u \right) (c-y)^n \\ & \quad + \frac{F(m,n)}{m!n!} k^n \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n!}{(n-q)!q!} \left| S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k}\right) \right| \left(\frac{d-y}{k}\right)^{n-q} (a-x)^m \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{F(m, n)}{m!n!} h^m k^n \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{m!n!}{(m-p+1)!p!(n-q)!q!} \left| S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \right| \left| S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \right| \\
 & \times \left(-1 + \sum_{u=0}^{m-p} \left(\frac{b-x}{h} \right)^{m-p-u} \left(\frac{a-x}{h} \right)^u \right) \left(\frac{d-y}{k} \right)^{n-q}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the term $(|G_{11}| + |G_{12}|)$, we have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
 |G_{11}| + |G_{12}| & \leq \frac{F(m, n)}{m!n!} h^m \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{m!}{(m-p+1)!p!} \left| S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \right| (c-y)^n \\
 & + \frac{F(m, n)}{m!n!} h^m k^n \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{m!n!}{(m-p+1)!p!(n-q)!q!} \\
 & \times \left| S_p \left(\frac{x-a}{h} \right) \right| \left| S_q \left(\frac{y-c}{k} \right) \right| \left(\frac{d-y}{k} \right)^{n-q}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By combining the estimates of $|G_9| + |G_{10}|$, $|G_{11}| + |G_{12}|$, we obtain after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |G_9| + |G_{10}| + |G_{11}| + |G_{12}| \\
 & \leq \frac{F(m, n)}{m!n!} \left((a-x)^m (c-y)^n + h^m \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{m}{p} \binom{p}{l_1} |B_{p-l_1}| \left(\frac{b-x}{h} \right)^{m-(p-l_1)} \right. \\
 & \quad \times (c-y)^n + k^n \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{n}{q} \binom{q}{l_2} |B_{q-l_2}| \left(\frac{d-y}{k} \right)^{n-(q-l_2)} (a-x)^m \\
 & \quad \left. + h^m k^n \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_1=1}^p \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{m}{p} \binom{n}{q} \binom{p}{l_1} \binom{q}{l_2} |B_{p-l_1}| |B_{q-l_2}| \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \left(\frac{b-x}{h} \right)^{m-(p-l_1)} \left(\frac{d-y}{k} \right)^{n-(q-l_2)} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{F(m, n)}{m!n!} h^m k^n \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{m}{p} \binom{p}{l_1} |B_{p-l_1}| + \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{n}{q} \binom{q}{l_2} |B_{q-l_2}| \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_1=1}^p \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{m}{p} \binom{n}{q} \binom{p}{l_1} \binom{q}{l_2} |B_{p-l_1}| |B_{q-l_2}| \right) \left(\frac{b-x}{h} \right)^m \left(\frac{d-y}{k} \right)^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

By combining the estimates of $|G_1| + |G_2|$, $|G_3^j| + |G_4^j|$, $|G_5| + |G_6|$, and $(|G_9| + |G_{10}| + |G_{11}| + |G_{12}|)$, we finish the proof in the first case of (12) in Theorem 3. The other expressions of the bounds may be proved in an analogous manner. \square

Since the degree of exactness of the operator $B_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k]$ is equal to (m, n) , we can obtain the following desired bounds in an analogous manner.

Theorem 4 *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{(m+1, n+1)}([\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}])$ and $(x, y) \in [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \times [\bar{c}, \bar{d}]$. For the remainder (9) suppose that $(x, y) \in I_u \times I_v$, $u, v = 1, 2, 3$. Then*

$$|R_{m,n}[f; a, b; c, d; h, k](x, y)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq F(m + 1, n + 1)(C_{m+1,n+1}^{y,d[c,d](y)} \cdot d^{m+1}[a, b](x) \\ &\quad + C_{m+1,n+1}^{x,d[a,b](x)} \cdot d^n[c, d](y) + C_{m+1,n+1} \cdot d^{m+1}[a, b](x) \cdot d^{n+1}[c, d](y)); \end{aligned}$$

where $F(m, n)$, $C_{m,n}^{y,z}$, $C_{m,n}^{x,z}$, $C_{m,n}$ are defined in (13), (14), (15), (16), respectively.

3 The bivariate Bernoulli-type multiquadric quasi-interpolation operators

3.1 A kind of bivariate Bernoulli-type multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator with higher approximation order

In this section, we introduce a well-known univariate quasi-interpolation operator. On this basis, we develop the bivariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation.

Given data $\{x_j, f_j\}$, $f_j = f(x_j)$, the univariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator \mathcal{L}_B is constructed by Beatson and Powell in [14] as follows:

$$(\mathcal{L}_B f)(x) = f(x_0)\psi_0(x) + \sum_{l=1}^{N_1-1} f(x_l)\psi_l(x) + f(x_{N_1})\psi_{N_1}(x), \quad x \in I, \tag{30}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(x) &= \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 \int_{-\infty}^{x_0} \frac{1}{[(x-t)^2 + c_1^2]^{3/2}} dt + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \frac{(x_1-t)/(x_1-x_0)}{[(x-t)^2 + c_1^2]^{3/2}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\phi_1(x) - \phi_0(x)}{2(x_1 - x_0)}, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{N_1}(x) &= \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 \int_{x_{N_1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[(x-t)^2 + c_1^2]^{3/2}} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 \int_{x_{N_1-1}}^{x_{N_1}} \frac{(t-x_{N_1-1})/(x_{N_1}-x_{N_1-1})}{[(x-t)^2 + c_1^2]^{3/2}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\phi_{N_1}(x) - \phi_{N_1-1}(x)}{2(x_{N_1} - x_{N_1-1})}, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_l(x) &= \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 \int_{x_{l-1}}^{x_{l+1}} \frac{B_l(t)}{[(x-t)^2 + c_1^2]^{3/2}} dt \\ &= \frac{\phi_{l+1}(x) - \phi_l(x)}{2(x_{l+1} - x_l)} - \frac{\phi_l(x) - \phi_{l-1}(x)}{2(x_l - x_{l-1})} \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

for $l = 1, 2, \dots, N_1 - 1$, where $\{B_l(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the hat function that has the nodes $\{x_{l-1}, x_l, x_{l+1}\}$, that is identically zero outside the interval $x_{l-1} \leq t \leq x_{l+1}$, and that satisfies the normalization condition $B_l(x_l) = 1$. $\phi_l(x) = \sqrt{(x-x_l)^2 + c_1^2}$ is named multiquadric function, c_1 is shape parameter. The operator \mathcal{L}_B reproduces constants.

Zhang [27] extended the univariate quasi-interpolation $\mathcal{Q}f(x) = \sum_i f_i \psi_i(x)$ to bivariate $(\mathcal{Q}f)(x, y) = \sum_i \sum_j f_{ij} \psi_i(x) \psi_j(y)$ using the dimension-splitting multiquadric basis function technique. However, it only obtain lower accuracy.

In this paper, based on the above dimension-splitting idea, we give a kind of bivariate Bernoulli-type quasi-interpolation operator for the multiquadric basis function for grid-

ded data with higher approximation order as follows:

$$(\mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}f)(x, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_l(x)\psi_r(y)B_{m,n}[f; x_l, x_{l+1}; y_r, y_{r+1}; h_l, k_r], \tag{34}$$

where $\{\psi_l(x)\}_{l=0}^{N_1}$ are given above, and $\{\psi_r(y)\}_{r=0}^{N_2}$ are represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_r(y) &= \sqrt{(y - y_r)^2 + c_2^2}, \\ \psi_0(y) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\phi_1(y) - \phi_0(y)}{2(y_1 - y_0)}, \\ \psi_r(y) &= \frac{\phi_{r+1}(y) - \phi_r(y)}{2(y_{r+1} - y_r)} - \frac{\phi_r(y) - \phi_{r-1}(y)}{2(y_r - y_{r-1})}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, N_2 - 1, \\ \psi_{N_2}(y) &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\phi_{N_2}(x) - \phi_{N_2-1}(x)}{2(x_{N_2} - x_{N_2-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

c_2 is a small constant. The polynomials $(B_{m,n}^{l,r}f)(x, y) := B_{m,n}[f; x_l, x_{l+1}; y_r, y_{r+1}; h_l, k_r]$, $l = 0, 1, \dots, N_1$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, N_2$, denote the bivariate Bernoulli operator in the rectangle with opposite vertices (x_l, y_r) , (x_{l+1}, y_{r+1}) and they are given by (6), having $h_l = x_{l+1} - x_l$, $k_r = y_{r+1} - y_r$, $l = 0, 1, \dots, N_1$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, N_2$, considering a fictive node $(x_{N_1+1}, y_{N_2+1}) = (x_{N_1-1}, y_{N_2-1})$.

The quasi-interpolation operator $\mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}$ has the following polynomial reproduction property.

Theorem 5 *The operator $\mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}$ has the degree of exactness (m, n) .*

Proof The argument $\mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}p = p$ follows from the well-known property

$$\sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_l(x)\psi_r(y) = 1 \tag{35}$$

and

$$(B_{m,n}^{l,r}e_{p,q})(x, y) = e_{p,q}(x, y) \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, \dots, N_1, r = 0, 1, \dots, N_2,$$

where $e_{p,q}(x, y) \in \mathbb{P}^{(p,q)}$, with $0 \leq p \leq m, 0 \leq q \leq n$. □

3.2 The convergence rates of the operators

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded rectangle domain, which contains the point set $\{(x_l, y_r), l = 0, 1, \dots, N_1, r = 0, 1, \dots, N_2\}$. Let $x_0 < \dots < x_{N_1}, y_0 < \dots < y_{N_2}$. To study the convergence rates of the quasi-interpolation operator $\mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}$, we use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\rho_1}(x) &= [x - \rho_1, x + \rho_1], \quad \rho_1 > 0, \\ I_{\rho_2}(y) &= [y - \rho_2, y + \rho_2], \quad \rho_2 > 0, \\ \delta_1 &= \inf\{\rho_1 > 0 : \forall x \in [x_0, x_{N_1}], I_{\rho_1}(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}, \\ \delta_2 &= \inf\{\rho_2 > 0 : \forall y \in [y_0, y_{N_2}], I_{\rho_2}(y) \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \max\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}, \\ M_x &= \max_{x \in [x_0, x_{N_1}]} \#(I_{\delta_1} \cap X), \\ M_y &= \max_{y \in [y_0, y_{N_2}]} \#(I_{\delta_2} \cap Y), \\ M &= \max\{M_x, M_y\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N_1}\}$, $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{N_2}\}$ and $\#(\cdot)$ denotes the cardinality function. Thus, $2\delta_1 = \max_{1 \leq l \leq N_1} |x_l - x_{l-1}|$, $2\delta_2 = \max_{1 \leq r \leq N_2} |y_r - y_{r-1}|$, and $M_x(M_y)$ defines the maximum number of points of $X(Y)$ contained in interval $I_{\delta_1}(x)$ ($I_{\delta_2}(y)$).

Theorem 6 *Assume that c_1 and c_2 satisfy*

$$c_1 \leq D_1 \delta_1^{r_1}, \quad c_2 \leq D_2 \delta_2^{r_2},$$

where D_1, D_2 are positive constants, and r_1, r_2 are positive integers. If $f \in C^{(m,n)}(\Omega)$, then

$$\|L_{B_{m,n}} f - f\| \leq CM^2 F(m, n) \mathcal{E}_{m,n,r_1,r_2}(\delta), \tag{36}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the sup norm in Ω ,

$$\mathcal{E}_{m,n,r_1,r_2}(\delta) = \begin{cases} \delta |\ln \delta|, & m = 1, r_1 = 1 \text{ or } n = 1, r_2 = 1, \\ \delta, & m = 1, n = 1, r_1 > 1, r_2 > 1, \\ \delta^m, & m > 1, n > 1, m \leq 2r_1 - 1, n \leq 2r_2 - 1, m \leq n, \\ \delta^m, & m > 1, n > 1, m \leq 2r_1 - 1, n > 2r_2 - 1, m \leq 2r_2 - 1, \\ \delta^n, & m > 1, n > 1, m \leq 2r_1 - 1, n \leq 2r_2 - 1, m > n, \\ \delta^n, & m > 1, n > 1, m > 2r_1 - 1, n \leq 2r_2 - 1, n \leq 2r_1 - 1, \\ \delta^{2r_1-1}, & m > 1, n > 1, m > 2r_1 - 1, n \leq 2r_2 - 1, n > 2r_1 - 1, \\ \delta^{2r_1-1}, & m > 1, n > 1, m > 2r_1 - 1, n > 2r_2 - 1, r_1 \leq r_2, \\ \delta^{2r_2-1}, & m > 1, n > 1, m \leq 2r_1 - 1, n > 2r_2 - 1, m > 2r_2 - 1, \\ \delta^{2r_2-1}, & m > 1, n > 1, m > 2r_1 - 1, n > 2r_2 - 1, r_1 > r_2, \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

and C is a positive constant independent of x, y and X, Y .

Proof In relations (12), (14), and (15), we set also

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m,n}^{y,z} &= C_0(m) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} C_j(m) z^j, \\ C_0(m) &= \frac{1}{m!} \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{m}{p} \binom{p}{l_1} |B_{p-l_1}| \right), \\ C_j(m) &= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{l_2=1}^q \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{l_1=1}^p \binom{m}{p} |B_{p-l_1}| \right) |B_{q-l_2}| \binom{j+1}{q} \frac{1}{(j+1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{m,n}^{x,z} &= C_0(n) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} C_i(n)z^i, \\
 C_0(n) &= \frac{1}{n!} \left(1 + \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{n}{q} \binom{q}{l_2} |B_{q-l_2}| \right), \\
 C_i(n) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{p=1}^i \sum_{l_1=1}^p \left(1 + \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{l_2=1}^q \binom{n}{q} |B_{q-l_2}| \right) |B_{p-l_1}| \binom{i+1}{p} \frac{1}{(i+1)!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (12), the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|(\mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| \\
 &\leq \left| \sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_l(x)\psi_r(y)B_{m,n}[f; x_l, x_{l+1}; y_r, y_{r+1}; h_l, k_r] - f(x, y) \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_l(x)\psi_r(y) |B_{m,n}[f; x_l, x_{l+1}; y_r, y_{r+1}; h_l, k_r] - f(x, y)| \\
 &\leq F(m, n) \left(C_0(m)S_{r_1,m}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} C_j(m)S_{r_1,r_2,m,j}(x, y) + C_0(n)S_{r_2,n}(y) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} C_i(n)S_{r_1,r_2,i,n}(x, y) + C(m, n)S_{r_1,r_2,m,n}(x, y) \right) \\
 &\leq \bar{C}(m, n)F(m, n)E_{r_1,r_2,m,n}(x, y),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{r_1,m}(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \psi_l(x)d^m[x_l, x_{l+1}](x), & S_{r_2,n}(y) &= \sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_r(y)d^n[y_r, y_{r+1}](y), \\
 S_{r_1,r_2,m,j}(x, y) &= \sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_l(x)\psi_r(y)d^m[x_l, x_{l+1}](x)d^j[y_r, y_{r+1}](y), & j &= 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\
 S_{r_1,r_2,i,n}(x, y) &= \sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_l(x)\psi_r(y)d^i[x_l, x_{l+1}](x)d^n[y_r, y_{r+1}](y), & i &= 1, 2, \dots, m-1, \\
 S_{r_1,r_2,m,n}(x, y) &= \sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_l(x)\psi_r(y)d^m[x_l, x_{l+1}](x)d^n[y_r, y_{r+1}](y), \\
 E_{r_1,r_2,m,n}(x, y) &= S_{r_1,m}(x) + S_{r_2,n}(y) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} S_{r_1,r_2,m,j}(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} S_{r_1,r_2,i,n}(x, y) + S_{r_1,r_2,m,n}(x, y), \\
 \bar{C}(m, n) &= \max\{C_0(m), C_1(m), \dots, C_n(m), C_0(n), C_1(n), \dots, C_m(n), C(m, n)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$n_1 = \left\lceil \frac{x_{N_1} - x_0}{2\delta_1} \right\rceil + 1, \quad Q_{\delta_1}(u - \rho_1, u + \rho_1), \quad u \in [x_0, x_{N_1}], \rho_1 > 0,$$

$$n_2 = \left\lceil \frac{y_{N_2} - y_0}{2\delta_2} \right\rceil + 1, \quad Q_{\delta_2}(u - \rho_2, u + \rho_2), \quad u \in [y_0, y_{N_2}], \rho_2 > 0,$$

and

$$T_{j_1}^x = Q_{\delta_1}(x - 2j_1\delta_1) \cup Q_{\delta_1}(x + 2j_1\delta_1), \quad j_1 = 0, 1, \dots, n_1,$$

$$T_{j_2}^y = Q_{\delta_2}(y - 2j_2\delta_2) \cup Q_{\delta_2}(y + 2j_2\delta_2), \quad j_2 = 0, 1, \dots, n_2,$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the integer part of the argument. Therefore, for each $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, N_1\}$ ($r \in \{0, 1, \dots, N_2\}$) there exists a unique $j_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_1\}$ ($j_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_2\}$) such that $x_l \in T_{j_1}^x$ ($y_r \in T_{j_2}^y$).

When $j_1 \geq 2$ ($j_2 \geq 2$), the following inequalities hold:

$$(2j_1 - 1)\delta_1 \leq |x - x_l| \leq (2j_1 + 1)\delta_1,$$

$$(2(j_1 - 1) - 1)\delta_1 \leq |x - \xi_l| \leq (2(j_1 + 1) + 1)\delta_1, \quad \text{for } \xi_l \in [x_{l-1}, x_{l+1}],$$

$$(2j_2 - 1)\delta_2 \leq |y - y_r| \leq (2j_2 + 1)\delta_2,$$

$$(2(j_2 - 1) - 1)\delta_2 \leq |y - \eta_r| \leq (2(j_2 + 1) + 1)\delta_2, \quad \text{for } \eta_r \in [y_{r-1}, y_{r+1}],$$

and by (11)

$$d[x_l, x_{l+1}] \leq (2(j_1 + 1) + 1)\delta_1,$$

$$d[y_r, y_{r+1}] \leq (2(j_2 + 1) + 1)\delta_2.$$

It follows from the definition of $M_x(M_y)$ that

$$1 \leq (T_0^x \cap X) \leq M_x, \quad 1 \leq (T_{j_1}^x \cap X) \leq 2M_x, \quad j_1 = 1, 2, \dots, n_1,$$

$$1 \leq (T_0^y \cap Y) \leq M_y, \quad 1 \leq (T_{j_2}^y \cap Y) \leq 2M_y, \quad j_2 = 1, 2, \dots, n_2.$$

When $x_0 \in T_{j_1}^x$, ($j_1 \geq 2$), we have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(x) &\leq \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 \int_{-\infty}^{x_0} \frac{1}{|x-t|^3} dt + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 \frac{1}{[(x-\tau_0)^2 + c_1^2]^{3/2}} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \frac{x_1-t}{x_1-x_0} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}c_1^2|x-x_0|^{-2} + \frac{1}{4}c_1^2(x_1-x_0)|x-\tau_0|^{-3} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}c_1^2[(2j_1-1)^{-2}\delta_1^{-2} + 2(2j_1-3)^{-3}\delta_1^{-2}] \\ &\leq c_1^2\delta_1^{-2}(2j_1-3)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_0 \in [x_0, x_1]$. When $x_{N_1} \in T_{j_1}^x$, ($j_1 \geq 2$), we get in an analogous manner

$$\psi_{N_1}(x) \leq c_1^2\delta_1^{-2}(2j_1-3)^{-2}.$$

When $x_l(l = 1, \dots, N_1 - 1) \in T_{j_1}^x$, ($j_1 \geq 2$), we also get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_l(x) &\leq \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 \frac{1}{[(x - \tau_l)^2 + c_1^2]^{3/2}} \int_{x_{l-1}}^{x_{l+1}} B_l(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}c_1^2(x_{l+1} - x_{l-1})|x - \tau_l|^{-3} \\ &\leq c_1^2\delta_1^{-2}(2j_1 - 3)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_i \in [x_{l-1}, x_{l+1}]$. Similarly, when $j_2 \geq 2$, we obtain

$$\psi_r(y) \leq c_2^2\delta_2^{-2}(2j_2 - 3)^{-2}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, N_2.$$

Then, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{r_1,m}(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \psi_l(x)d^m[x_l, x_{l+1}](x) \\ &\leq \sum_{x_l \in T_0^x, T_1^x} \psi_l(x)d^m[x_l, x_{l+1}](x) + \sum_{j_1=2}^{n_1} \sum_{x_l \in T_{j_1}^x} \psi_l(x)d^m[x_l, x_{l+1}](x) \\ &\leq M_x(3\delta_1)^m + 2M_x(5\delta_1)^m + 2M_x \sum_{j_1=2}^{n_1} c_1^2\delta_1^{-2}(2j_1 - 3)^{-2}((2j_1 + 3)\delta_1)^m \\ &\leq 2M_x(5\delta_1)^m + 2M_x(5\delta_1)^m + 2M_x c_1^2\delta_1^{-2} \sum_{j_1=2}^{n_1} (2j_1 - 3)^{-2}(2j_1 + 3)^m \\ &\leq 2M_x 5^m \left(2\delta_1^m + D_1^2\delta_1^{2r_1+m-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{m-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{r_2,n}(x) &= \sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_r(y)d^n[y_r, y_{r+1}](y) \\ &\leq 2M_y 5^n \left(2\delta_2^n + D_2^2\delta_2^{2r_2+n-2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} j_2^{n-2} \right), \\ S_{r_1,r_2,m,j}(x, y) &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \psi_l(x)d^m[x_l, x_{l+1}](x) \right) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_r(y)d^j[y_r, y_{r+1}](y) \right) \\ &\leq 4M_x M_y 5^m 5^j \\ &\quad \times \left(2\delta_1^m + D_1^2\delta_1^{2r_1+m-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{m-2} \right) \left(2\delta_2^j + D_2^2\delta_2^{2r_2+j-2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} j_2^{j-2} \right), \\ S_{r_1,r_2,i,n}(x, y) &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \psi_l(x)d^i[x_l, x_{l+1}](x) \right) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_r(y)d^n[y_r, y_{r+1}](y) \right) \\ &\leq 4M_x M_y 5^i 5^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left(2\delta_1^i + D_1^2 \delta_1^{2r_1+i-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{i-2} \right) \left(2\delta_2^n + D_2^2 \delta_2^{2r_2+n-2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} j_2^{n-2} \right), \\
 S_{r_1, r_2, m, n}(x, y) &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{N_1} \psi_l(x) d^m[x_l, x_{l+1}](x) \right) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{N_2} \psi_r(y) d^n[y_r, y_{r+1}](y) \right) \\
 &\leq 4M_x M_y 5^m 5^n \\
 &\quad \times \left(2\delta_1^m + D_1^2 \delta_1^{2r_1+m-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{m-2} \right) \left(2\delta_2^n + D_2^2 \delta_2^{2r_2+n-2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} j_2^{n-2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{r_1, r_2, m, n}(x, y) &= S_{r_1, m}(x) + S_{r_2, n}(y) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} S_{r_1, r_2, m, j}(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} S_{r_1, r_2, i, n}(x, y) + S_{r_1, r_2, m, n}(x, y) \\
 &\leq 4M^2 5^m 5^n \left(2\delta_1^m + D_1^2 \delta_1^{2r_1+m-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{m-2} \right) \\
 &\quad + 4M^2 5^m 5^n \left(2\delta_2^n + D_2^2 \delta_2^{2r_2+n-2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} j_2^{n-2} \right) + 4M^2 5^m 5^n \\
 &\quad \times \left(2\delta_1^m + D_1^2 \delta_1^{2r_1+m-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{m-2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(2\delta_2^j + D_2^2 \delta_2^{2r_2+j-2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} j_2^{j-2} \right) \\
 &\quad + 4M^2 5^m 5^n \\
 &\quad \times \left(2\delta_2^n + D_2^2 \delta_2^{2r_2+n-2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} j_2^{n-2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(2\delta_1^i + D_1^2 \delta_1^{2r_1+i-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{i-2} \right) \\
 &\quad + 4M^2 5^m 5^n \\
 &\quad \times \left(2\delta_1^m + D_1^2 \delta_1^{2r_1+m-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{m-2} \right) \left(2\delta_2^n + D_2^2 \delta_2^{2r_2+n-2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} j_2^{n-2} \right) \\
 &:= 4M^2 5^m 5^n (P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5).
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 1 ($m = 1$)

If $r_1 = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\delta_1^m + D_1^2 \delta_1^{2r_1+m-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{m-2} &:= P_1 \\
 &= \mathcal{O}(\delta_1 |\ln \delta_1|) \\
 &\leq \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|), \\
 \left(2\delta_1^m + D_1^2 \delta_1^{2r_1+m-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{m-2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(2\delta_2^j + D_2^2 \delta_2^{2r_2+j-2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} j_2^{j-2} \right) &
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &:= P_3 \\
 &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\delta_1 |\ln \delta_1|) \mathcal{O}(\delta_2 |\ln \delta_2|), & r_2 = 1, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta_1 |\ln \delta_1|) \mathcal{O}(\delta_2), & r_2 > 1, \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|) \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|), & r_2 = 1, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|) \mathcal{O}(\delta), & r_2 > 1, \end{cases} \\
 &\left(2\delta_2^n + D_2^2 \delta_2^{2r_2+n-2} \sum_{j_2=1}^{n_2} j_2^{n-2} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(2\delta_1^i + D_1^2 \delta_1^{2r_1+i-2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} j_1^{i-2} \right) \right) \\
 &:= P_2 + P_4 + P_5 \\
 &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\delta_2 |\ln \delta_2|) \mathcal{O}(\delta_1 |\ln \delta_1|), & n = 1, r_2 = 1, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta_2)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1 |\ln \delta_1|)), & n = 1, r_2 > 1, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta_2^n)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1 |\ln \delta_1|)), & n > 1, n \leq 2r_2 - 1, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta_2^{2r_2-1})(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1 |\ln \delta_1|)), & n > 1, n > 2r_2 - 1, \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|)), & n = 1, r_2 = 1, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|)), & n = 1, r_2 > 1, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta^n)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|)), & n > 1, n \leq 2r_2 - 1, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_2-1})(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|)), & n > 1, n > 2r_2 - 1. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|).$$

Case 2 ($n = 1$)

For $r_2 = 1$. Similarly, as the discussion of Case 1 ($m = 1$), we have also

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|).$$

Case 3 ($m = 1, n = 1$)

If $r_1 > 1, r_2 > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1 &= \mathcal{O}(\delta_1) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta); & P_3 &= \mathcal{O}(\delta_1) \mathcal{O}(\delta_2) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^2); \\
 P_2 + P_4 + P_5 &= \mathcal{O}(\delta_2)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1 |\ln \delta_1|)) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\ln \delta|)).
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta).$$

Case 4 ($m > 1, n > 1$)

If $m \leq 2r_1 - 1, n \leq 2r_2 - 1, m \geq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1 &= \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^m) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^m); & P_3 &= \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^m) \mathcal{O}(\delta_2) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^m) \mathcal{O}(\delta), \\
 P_2 + P_4 + P_5 &= \mathcal{O}(\delta_2^n)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1)) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^n)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $m \leq 2r_1 - 1, n > 2r_2 - 1, m \leq 2r_2 - 1$, then

$$P_1 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^m) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^m); \quad P_3 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^m)\mathcal{O}(\delta_2) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^m)\mathcal{O}(\delta),$$

$$P_2 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_2^{2r_2-1})(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1)) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_2-1})(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)).$$

So,

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^m).$$

If $m \leq 2r_1 - 1, n \leq 2r_2 - 1, m > n$, then

$$P_1 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^m) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^m); \quad P_3 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^m)\mathcal{O}(\delta_2) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^m)\mathcal{O}(\delta),$$

$$P_2 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_2^n)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1)) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^n)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)).$$

So,

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^n).$$

If $m > 2r_1 - 1, n \leq 2r_2 - 1, n \leq 2r_1 - 1$, then

$$P_1 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^{2r_1-1}) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_1-1}); \quad P_3 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^{2r_1-1})\mathcal{O}(\delta_2) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_1-1})\mathcal{O}(\delta),$$

$$P_2 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_2^n)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1)) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^n)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)).$$

So,

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^n).$$

If $m > 2r_1 - 1, n \leq 2r_2 - 1, n > 2r_1 - 1$, then

$$P_1 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^{2r_1-1}) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_1-1}); \quad P_3 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^{2r_1-1})\mathcal{O}(\delta_2) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_1-1})\mathcal{O}(\delta),$$

$$P_2 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_2^n)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1)) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^n)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)).$$

So,

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_1-1}).$$

If $m > 2r_1 - 1, n > 2r_2 - 1, r_1 \leq r_2$, then

$$P_1 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^{2r_1-1}) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_1-1}); \quad P_3 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^{2r_1-1})\mathcal{O}(\delta_2) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_1-1})\mathcal{O}(\delta),$$

$$P_2 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_2^{2r_2-1})(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1)) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_2-1})(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)).$$

So,

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_1-1}).$$

If $m \leq 2r_1 - 1, n > 2r_2 - 1, m > 2r_2 - 1$, then

$$P_1 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^m) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^m); \quad P_3 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^m)\mathcal{O}(\delta_2) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^m)\mathcal{O}(\delta),$$

$$P_2 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_2^{2r_2-1})(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1)) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_2-1})(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)).$$

So,

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_2-1}).$$

If $m > 2r_1 - 1, n > 2r_2 - 1, r_1 > r_2$, then

$$P_1 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^{2r_1-1}) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_1-1}); \quad P_3 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_1^{2r_1-1})\mathcal{O}(\delta_2) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_1-1})\mathcal{O}(\delta),$$

$$P_2 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta_2^{2r_2-1})(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta_1)) \leq \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_2-1})(1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)).$$

So,

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_5 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2r_2-1}). \quad \square$$

By using Theorem 6, we can obtain the following theorem in an analogous manner.

Theorem 7 Assume that c_1 and c_2 satisfy

$$c_1 \leq D_1\delta_1^{r_1}, \quad c_2 \leq D_2\delta_2^{r_2},$$

where D_1, D_2 are positive constants, and r_1, r_2 are positive integers. If $f \in C^{(m+1, n+1)}(\Omega)$, then

$$\|L_{B_{m,n}}f - f\| \leq C'M^2F(m+1, n+1)\mathcal{E}'_{m+1, n+1, r_1, r_2}(\delta), \tag{38}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}'_{m+1, n+1, r_1, r_2}(\delta) = \begin{cases} \delta^{m+1}, & m+1 \leq 2r_1 - 1, n+1 \leq 2r_2 - 1, m \leq n, \\ \delta^{m+1}, & m+1 \leq 2r_1 - 1, n+1 \leq 2r_2 - 1, m+1 \leq 2r_2 - 1, \\ \delta^{n+1}, & m+1 \leq 2r_1 - 1, n+1 \leq 2r_2 - 1, m > n, \\ \delta^{n+1}, & m+1 > 2r_1 - 1, n+1 \leq 2r_2 - 1, n+1 \leq 2r_1 - 1, \\ \delta^{2r_1-1}, & m+1 > 2r_1 - 1, n+1 \leq 2r_2 - 1, n+1 > 2r_1 - 1, \\ \delta^{2r_1-1}, & m+1 > 2r_1 - 1, n+1 > 2r_2 - 1, r_1 \leq r_2, \\ \delta^{2r_2-1}, & m+1 \leq 2r_1 - 1, n+1 > 2r_2 - 1, m+1 > 2r_2 - 1, \\ \delta^{2r_2-1}, & m+1 > 2r_1 - 1, n+1 > 2r_2 - 1, r_1 > r_2, \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

C' is a positive constant independent of x, y and X, Y .

Remark 1 To apply the newly constructed bivariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation operators to the interesting applications, the use of the Lagrange interpolation polynomials can avoid the use of the derivatives.

4 Numerical examples

To test the bivariate Bernoulli-type multiquadric quasi-interpolation operators, we consider the following test functions(see, e.g., [40]) on the computational domain $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$:

$$\text{Gentle } f_1(x, y) = \frac{\exp[-\frac{81}{16}((x - 0.5)^2 + (y - 0.5)^2)]}{3}, \tag{40}$$

$$\text{Sphere } f_2(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{64 - 81((x - 0.5)^2 + (y - 0.5)^2)}}{9} - 0.5, \tag{41}$$

$$\text{Saddle } f_3(x, y) = \frac{1.25 + \cos(5.4y)}{6 + 6(3x - 1)^2}. \tag{42}$$

For each function $f_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, we will compare the numerical results of our new operators $\mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}$ with other methods suitable for bivariate Bernoulli-type Shepard operators $S_{B_{m,n}}$ [41], bivariate Bernoulli-type thin-plate spline operators $Q_{B_{m,n}}$ [42] and the operators Q (see, [27] and [28]) on a finite field with $c_1 = (2\delta_1)^{r_1}$ and $c_2 = (2\delta_2)^{r_2}$. The operator $S_{B_{m,n}}$ is defined as follows

$$(S_{B_{m,n}}f)(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^N A_{\mu,i}(x, y) B_{m,n}^i[f; x_i, x_{i+1}; y_i, y_{i+1}; h_i, k_i],$$

where

$$A_{\mu,i}(x, y) = \frac{(\sqrt{(x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2})^{-\mu}}{\sum_{k=0}^N (\sqrt{(x - x_k)^2 + (y - y_k)^2})^{-\mu}},$$

with the parameter $\mu > 0$, see [41] for details.

We consider also the combined thin-plate spline operators of Bernoulli type, and dimension-splitting multiquadric bivariate operator, denoted by $Q_{B_{m,n}}$, and Q , introduced in [42], and [27, 28], respectively. They are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_{B_{m,n}}f)(x, y) &= \frac{1}{16\pi} \sum_{i=0}^N \psi\left(\frac{x - x_i}{h}, \frac{y - y_i}{h}\right) B_{m,n}^i[f; x_i, x_{i+1}; y_i, y_{i+1}; h_i, k_i], \quad h \in (0, 1), \\ (Qf)(x, y) &= \sum_i \sum_j f_{ij} \psi_i(x) \psi_j(y). \end{aligned}$$

We use uniform grids of 121 nodes for the operators $Q_{B_{m,n}}, S_{B_{m,n}}, \mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}, Q$ with a width $2\delta_1 = 2\delta_2 = 0.1$ as $(m, n) = (2, 2), (3, 3), \mu = 4, h = \frac{1}{10}, r_1 = 3$ and $r_2 = 3$. In order to estimate the errors as accurately as possible, we compute the approximating functions at the points $(\frac{i}{21}, \frac{j}{21}), (i = 1, 2, \dots, 20; j = 1, 2, \dots, 20)$. Tables 1-2 display mean and max errors for the different approximation operators above. From Table 1, one can observe that the error for the bivariate Bernoulli-type operator with multiquadrics $\mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}$ is lower than that for the bivariate Shepard-Bernoulli operator $S_{B_{m,n}}$, thin-plate spline operators $Q_{B_{m,n}}$ of Bernoulli type and dimension-splitting multiquadric bivariate operators Q as $(m, n) = (2, 2)$. Table 2 shows that the smallest error is for the bivariate Bernoulli-type operator with multiquadrics $\mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}$ as $(m, n) = (3, 3)$, too. We remark good approximation properties of the pro-

Table 1 The maximum and mean approximation error of the operators on $(m, n) = (2, 2)$

	f_1		f_2		f_3	
	ϵ_{mean}	ϵ_{max}	ϵ_{mean}	ϵ_{max}	ϵ_{mean}	ϵ_{max}
$\mathcal{L}_{B_{2,2}}f$	0.000436	0.001481	0.000109	0.000648	0.000624	0.004172
$S_{B_{2,2}}f$ [41]	0.000499	0.002384	0.000150	0.000737	0.000694	0.005629
$Q_{B_{2,2}}f$ [42]	0.001299	0.004533	0.001702	0.005598	0.001650	0.007147
Qf [27]	0.001757	0.006318	0.002535	0.007270	0.001990	0.012885
Qf [28]	0.001757	0.006319	0.002537	0.006278	0.001990	0.012884

Table 2 The maximum and mean approximation error of the operators on $(m, n) = (3, 3)$

	f_1		f_2		f_3	
	ϵ_{mean}	ϵ_{max}	ϵ_{mean}	ϵ_{max}	ϵ_{mean}	ϵ_{max}
$\mathcal{L}_{B_{3,3}}f$	0.000055	0.000361	0.000024	0.000102	0.000100	0.001169
$S_{B_{3,3}}f$ [41]	0.000099	0.000378	0.000028	0.000219	0.000155	0.001645
$Q_{B_{3,3}}f$ [42]	0.000821	0.002419	0.001440	0.007019	0.000907	0.010815
Qf [27]	0.001757	0.006318	0.002535	0.007270	0.001990	0.012885
Qf [28]	0.001757	0.006319	0.002537	0.006278	0.001990	0.012884

posed multiquadric quasi-interpolation operators $\mathcal{L}_{B_{m,n}}$ when compared with the other methods.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, a kind of bivariate Bernoulli-type multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator is constructed by combining the known multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator with the generalized Taylor polynomial as the expansion in the bivariate Bernoulli polynomials. A result on the convergence rates of the new operators is given. Numerical tests show that our method provides higher accuracy. Furthermore, the associated algorithm is easily implemented.

In our future work, we plan to apply it to solve partial differential equations, and good results may be obtained. Moreover, we could construct stochastic quasi-interpolation with Bernoulli polynomials.

Funding

This research was financially supported by the Science and Technology Research Projects of the Education Office of Jilin Province (Grant no. JJKH20220150KJ) and the Open Project of Key Laboratory of Symbolic Computing and Knowledge Engineering of Ministry of Education(Jilin University) (Grant no. 93K172019K13), and the School Level Projection of Jilin University of Finance and Economics (Grant no. 2021B24).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

Ruifeng Wu wrote the main manuscript text and prepared all experiments. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Applied Mathematics, Jilin University of Finance and Economics, Changchun 130117, P.R. China. ²GongQing Institute Of Science And Technology, Jiujiang 332020, P.R. China. ³Key Laboratory of Symbolic Computation and Knowledge Engineering of Ministry of Education, Jilin University, Changchun 130117, P.R. China.

References

1. Rabut, C.: An introduction to Schoenberg's approximation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **24**, 149–175 (1992)
2. De Boor, C., Ron, A.: Fourier analysis of the approximation power of principal shift-invariant spaces. *Constr. Approx.* **8**, 427–462 (1992)
3. Bozzini, M., Dyn, N., Rossini, M.: Construction of generators quasi-interpolation operators of high approximation orders in spaces of polyharmonic splines. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **236**, 557–564 (2011)
4. Strang, G., Fix, G.: A Fourier analysis of the finite-element variational method. In: Geymonat, G. (ed.) *Constructive Aspects of Functional Analysis*, pp. 793–840. C.I.M.E., Rome (1993)
5. Jia, R.Q.: A new version of the strang-fix conditions. *J. Approx. Theory* **74**, 221–225 (1993)
6. Buhmann, M.D., Dyn, N., Levin, D.: On quasi-interpolation by radial basis functions with scattered data. *Constr. Approx.* **11**, 239–254 (1995)
7. Dyn, N., Ron, A.: Radial basis function approximation: from gridded centers to scattered centers. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **71**, 76–108 (1995)
8. Wu, Z.M., Liu, J.P.: Generalized strang-fix condition for scattered data quasi-interpolation. *Adv. Comput. Math.* **23**, 201–214 (2005)
9. Wu, Z.M., Xiong, Z.C.: Multivariate quasi-interpolation in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with radial basis functions for scattered data. *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **87**, 583–590 (2010)
10. Hardy, R.L.: Multiquadric equations of topography and other irregular surfaces. *J. Geophys. Res.* **6**, 1905–1915 (1971)
11. Franke, R.: Scattered data interpolation: tests of some methods. *Math. Comput.* **38**, 181–200 (1982)
12. Micchelli, C.A.: Interpolation of scattered data: distance matrices and conditionally positive definite functions. *Constr. Approx.* **2**, 11–22 (1982)
13. Buhmann, M.D.: Multivariate interpolation with radial basis functions. Report DAMTP NA8, University of Cambridge (1988)
14. Beatson, R.K., Powell, M.J.D.: Univariate multiquadric approximation: quasi-interpolation to scattered data. *Constr. Approx.* **8**, 275–288 (1992)
15. Wu, Z.M., Schaback, R.: Shape preserving properties and convergence of univariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **10**, 441–446 (1994)
16. Wang, R.H., Xu, M., Fang, Q.: A kind of improved univariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation operators. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 451–456 (2010)
17. Feng, R.Z., Zhou, X.: A kind of multiquadric quasi-interpolation operator satisfying any degree polynomial reproduction property to scattered data. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **235**, 1502–1514 (2011)
18. Wang, R.H., Xu, M.: A kind of Bernoulli-type quasi-interpolation operator with univariate multiquadrics. *Comput. Appl. Math.* **29**, 47–60 (2010)
19. Wu, H.Y., Duan, Y.: Multi-quadric quasi-interpolation method coupled with FDM for the Degasperis-Procesi equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **274**, 83–92 (2016)
20. Zhang, S.L., Yang, H.Q., Yang, Y.: A multiquadric quasi-interpolations method for CEV option pricing model. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **347**, 1–11 (2019)
21. Wu, R.F., Li, H.L., Wu, T.R.: Univariate Lidstone-type multiquadric quasi-interpolants. *Comput. Appl. Math.* **39**, 141–160 (2020)
22. Wu, R.F.: Abel-goncharov type multiquadric quasi-interpolation operators with higher approximation order. *J. Math.* **2021**, Article ID 8874668 (2021)
23. Ling, L.: Multivariate quasi-interpolation schemes for dimension-splitting multiquadric. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **161**, 195–209 (2005)
24. Feng, R.Z., Zhou, X.: A multivariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation with quadric reproduction. *J. Comput. Math.* **30**, 311–323 (2012)
25. Wu, R.F., Wu, T.R., Li, H.L.: A family of multivariate multiquadric quasi-interpolation operators with higher degree polynomial reproduction. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **274**, 88–108 (2015)
26. Feng, R.Z., Peng, S.: Quasi-interpolation scheme for arbitrary dimensional scattered data approximation based on natural neighbors and RBF interpolation. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **329**, 95–105 (2018)
27. Zhang, S.G., Zhu, C.G., Gao, Q.J.: Numerical solution of high-dimensional shockwave equations by bivariate multi-quadric quasi-interpolation. *Mathematics* **7**, 734 (2019)
28. Li, S.S., Duan, Y., Li, L.B.: On the meshless quasi-interpolation methods for solving 2D sine-Gordon equations. *Comput. Appl. Math.* **41**, 348 (2022)
29. Moghaddam, B.P., Dabiri, A., Mostaghim, Z.S., Moniri, Z.: Numerical solution of fractional dynamical systems with impulsive effects. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. C* **34**, 2350013 (2023)
30. Mokhtary, P., Moghaddam, B.P., Lopes, A.M., Tenreiro Machado, J.A.: A computational approach for the non-smooth solution of non-linear weakly singular Volterra integral equation with proportional delay. *Numer. Algorithms* **83**, 987–1006 (2020)
31. Moghaddam, B.P., Mostaghim, Z.S., Pantelous, A.A., Tenreiro Machado, J.A.: An integro quadratic spline-based scheme for solving nonlinear fractional stochastic differential equations with constant time delay. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **92**, 105475 (2021)
32. Moniri, Z., Moghaddam, B.P., Roudbaraki, M.Z.: An efficient and robust numerical solver for impulsive control of fractional chaotic systems. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2023**, Article ID 9077924 (2023)
33. Costabile, F.A.: Expansions of real functions in Bernoulli polynomials and applications. *Proc. Semin. Math. Univ. Bari* **273**, 13 (1999)
34. Costabile, F.A., Dell'Accio, F.: Expansion over a rectangle of real functions in Bernoulli polynomials and applications. *BIT Numer. Math.* **41**, 451–464 (2001)
35. Jordan, R.: *Calculus of Finite Differences*. Chelsea, New York (1960)
36. Caira, R., Dell'Accio, F.: Shepard-Bernoulli operators. *Math. Comput.* **76**, 299–321 (2007)
37. Stancu, D.D.: The remainder of certain linear approximation formulas in two variables. *J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math., Ser. B Numer. Anal.* **1**, 137–163 (1964)
38. Sard, A.: *Linear Approximation*. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1963)

39. Davis, P.J.: *Interpolation and Approximation*. Dover, New York (1975)
40. Renka, R.J., Cline, A.K.: A triangle-based C^1 interpolation method. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **14**, 223–237 (1984)
41. Cătinăș, T.: The bivariate shepard operator of Bernoulli type. *Calcolo* **44**, 189–202 (2007)
42. Cătinăș, T.: Bivariate quasi-interpolation operator of Bernoulli type. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **10**, 2027–2030 (2013)

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
