

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: On statistical convergence and strong Cesàro convergence by moduli

Fernando León-Saavedra¹, M. del Carmen Listán-García^{2*}, Francisco Javier Pérez Fernández² and María del Pilar Romero de la Rosa¹

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-2252-y>

*Correspondence: mariadelcarmen.listan@uca.es

²Department of Mathematics, University of Cádiz, Puerto Real, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

We correct a logic mistake in our paper “On statistical convergence and strong Cesàro convergence by moduli” (León-Saavedra et al. in *J. Inequal. Appl.* 23:298, 2019).

Keywords: Strong Cesàro convergence; f -density; f -statistical convergence; f -strong Cesàro convergence

1 Introduction

It has come to our attention that there is a logic mistake with the converse of some results in our paper [1]. These converse of these results are not central in the papers, but they could be interested in its own right. The next result correct Proposition 2.9 in [1].

Proposition 1.1

- (a) *If all statistical convergent sequences are f -statistical convergent then f is a compatible modulus function.*
- (b) *If all strong Cesàro convergent sequences are f -strong Cesàro convergent then f is a compatible modulus function.*

Proof Let ε_n be a decreasing sequence converging to 0. Since f is not compatible, there exists $c > 0$ such that, for each k , there exists m_k such that $f(m_k \varepsilon_k) > cf(m_k)$. Moreover, we can select m_k inductively satisfying

$$1 - \varepsilon_{k+1} - \frac{1}{m_{k+1}} > \frac{(1 - \varepsilon_k)m_k}{m_{k+1}}. \tag{1.1}$$

Now we use an standard argument used to construct subsets with prescribed densities. Let us denote $\lfloor x \rfloor$ the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $n_k = \lfloor m_k \varepsilon_k \rfloor + 1$. And extracting a subsequence if it is necessary, we can assume that $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$, $m_1 < m_2 < \dots$. Thus, set $A_k = [m_{k+1} - (n_{k+1} - n_k)] \cap \mathbb{N}$. Condition (1.1) guarantee that $A_k \subset [m_k, m_{k+1}]$.

Let us denote $A = \bigcup_k A_k$, and $x_n = \chi_A(n)$. Let us prove that x_n is statistical convergent to 0, but not f -statistical convergent, a contradiction. Indeed, for any m , there exists k such that $m_k < m \leq m_{k+1}$. Moreover, we can suppose without loss that $m \in A$, that is,

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

$m_{k+1} - n_{k+1} + n_k \leq m$. Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\#\{l \leq m : |x_l| > \varepsilon\}}{m} &\leq \frac{\#\{l \leq m_k : |x_k| > \varepsilon\}}{m_k} + \frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{m_{k+1} - n_{k+1} + n_k} \\ &\leq \frac{n_k}{m_k} + \frac{1}{\frac{m_{k+1}}{n_{k+1}-n_k} - 1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, since $\varepsilon_{k+1} < \frac{n_{k+1}}{m_{k+1}}$

$$\frac{f(\#\{n < m_{k+1} : |x_n| > 1/2\})}{f(m_{k+1})} = \frac{f(n_{k+1})}{f(m_{k+1})} \geq \frac{f(m_{k+1}\varepsilon_{k+1})}{f(m_{k+1})} > c,$$

which yields (a) as promised. The part (b) is same proof. Indeed, for the sequence (x_n) defined in part (a), we have that $\frac{f(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_n|)}{f(n)} = \frac{f(\{(k \leq n |x_k| > \varepsilon\})}{f(n)}$. □

The following result corrects the converse of Theorem 3.4 in [1].

Proposition 1.2 *If all f -strong Cesàro convergent sequences are f -statistically and uniformly bounded then f must be compatible.*

Proof Assume that f is not compatible. Thus, as in the proof in Proposition 1.1 we can construct sequences $(\varepsilon_k), (m_k)$ such that $f(m_k\varepsilon_k) \geq cf(m_k)$ for some $c > 0$. Moreover, we can construct (m_k) inductively, such that the sequence

$$r_k = \frac{m_{k+1}\varepsilon_{k+1} - m_k\varepsilon_k}{m_{k+1} - m_k}$$

is decreasing and converging to 0. Let us consider $x_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{k+1} \chi_{(m_k, m_{k+1}]}(n)$. Since (x_n) is decreasing, (x_n) if f -statistically convergent to 0. On the other hand $f(\sum_{l=1}^{m_k} |x_l|) = f(m_k\varepsilon_k) \geq cf(m_k)$, which gives that (x_n) is not f -strong Cesàro convergent, as we desired. □

The corrections have been indicated in this article and the original article [1] has been corrected.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematic, University of Cádiz, Jerez de la Frontera, Spain. ²Department of Mathematics, University of Cádiz, Puerto Real, Spain.

Published online: 01 September 2023

References

1. León-Saavedra, F., Listán-García, M.C., Pérez Fernández, F.J., Romero de la Rosa, M.P.: On statistical convergence and strong Cesàro convergence by moduli. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **12**, Article ID 298 (2019)

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.