CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: On statistical convergence and strong Cesàro convergence by moduli for double sequences

Fernando León-Saavedra¹, María del Carmen Listán-García^{2*} de and María del Pilar Romero de la Rosa¹

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13660-022-02799-9

*Correspondence: mariadelcarmen.listan@uca.es ²Department of Mathematics, Facultad de Ciencias, University of Cádiz, Puerto Real 11510, Spain Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

We correct a logic mistake in our paper "On statistical convergence and strong Cesàro convergence by moduli for double sequences" (León-Saavedra et al. in J. Inequal. Appl. 2022:62, 2022).

Keywords: Strong Cesàro convergence; *f*-density; *f*-statistical convergence; *f*-strong Cesàro convergence

1 Introduction

It has come to our attention that there is a logic mistake with the converse of some results in our paper [1]. These converse of these results are not central in the papers, but they could be interested in its own right.

Let us denote $\lfloor x \rfloor$ the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The following result correct Theorem 3.5 in [1].

Proposition 1.1

- a) If all statistical convergent double sequences $(x_{i,j})$ are f statistical convergent then f must be compatible.
- b) If all strong Cesàro convergent double sequences (*x*_{*i*,*j*}) are *f*-strong Cesàro convergent then *f* is compatible.

Proof If *f* is not compatible, then there exists c > 0 such that $\limsup_n \frac{f(m)}{f(n)} > c$. Assume that ε_k is a decreasing sequence converging to 0, for each *k* we can construct inductively an increasing sequence m_k satisfying $f(m_k \varepsilon_k) > cf(m_k)$ and

$$\varepsilon_{k+1} - \frac{m_k \varepsilon_k - 1}{m_{k+1}} < \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{m_k}{m_{k+1}}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{m_{k+1}}}\right)^2.$$
(1.1)

Let us define by $n_k = \lfloor m_k \varepsilon_k \rfloor + 1$, and we set $\ell_k = \lfloor \sqrt{m_k} \rfloor$. An easy check using (1.1) yields $(\ell_{k+1} - \ell_k)^2 > n_{k+1} - n_k$.

Let us fix $A_{k+1} \subset [\ell_{k+1} - \lfloor \sqrt{n_{k+1} - n_k} \rfloor - 1] \times [\ell_{k+1} - \lfloor \sqrt{n_{k+1} - n_k} \rfloor - 1]$ a subset of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $\#(A_{k+1}) = n_{k+1} - n_k$. Let us denote $A = \bigcup_k A_k$ and set $x_{i,j} = \chi_A(i,j)$. Let us see

[©] The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



$$\frac{\#\{(i,j): i \le m, j \le n \text{ and } |x_{i,j}| > \varepsilon\}}{mn} \le \frac{n_k}{\ell_k^2} + \frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{[\ell_{k+1} - \lfloor\sqrt{n_{k+1} - n_k}\rfloor - 1]^2}$$
$$\le \frac{n_k}{m_k} + \frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{(\sqrt{m_{k+1}} - \sqrt{n_{k+1} - n_k} - 2)^2}$$
$$\le \frac{n_k}{m_k} + \frac{\frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{m_{k+1}}}{(1 - \sqrt{\frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{m_{k+1}}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{m_{k+1}}})^2}$$

which goes to zero as $r \to \infty$ as desired. On the other hand, if we set

$$A_{\varepsilon}(p,q,m,n) = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : p \le i \le m, q \le j \le n, |x_{ij}| > \varepsilon\},\$$

we shall show that there exists ε such that the limit

$$\lim_{p,q} \lim_{m,n} \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon}(p,q,m,n))}{f(mn)}$$

is not zero. Indeed,

$$\frac{f(\#\{(i,j):i\leq \ell_k,j\leq \ell_k,|x_{i,j}|>1/2\})}{f(\ell_k^2)}\geq \frac{f(n_k)}{f(m_k)}\geq \frac{f(m_k\varepsilon_k)}{f(m_k)}\geq c,$$

which gives that

$$\lim_{m,n} \frac{f(\#A_{1/2}(0,0,m,n))}{f(mn)} \ge c.$$

On the other hand, for each p, q there exists p', q' such that $\ell'_p \le p \le \ell_{p'+1}$ and $\ell'_q \le q \le \ell_{q'+1}$. Set $s = \max\{p', q'\}$. Since $A_{1/2}(0, 0, m, n) \subset A_{1/2}(p, q, m, n) \cup A_{1/2}(s, s, m, n)$, we get that for any $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{m,n} \frac{A(p,q,m,n)}{f(mn)} \ge \lim_{m,n} \frac{f(A_{1/2}(0,0,m,n))}{f(mn)} - \frac{f(n_s)}{f(m,n)} \ge c - \delta$$

which yields part a). Again the part b) is the same proof.

The next result fixed the converse of Theorem 3.7 in [1].

Proposition 1.2 *If all f-strong Cesàro convergent double sequences are f-statistically and bounded then f must be compatible.*

Proof If *f* is not compatible then there exist two sequences (ε_k) , (m_k) satisfying $f(m_k \varepsilon_k) \ge cf(m_k)$ for some c > 0. We set $\ell_k = \lfloor \sqrt{m_k} \rfloor$, we can select m_k inductively, such that the sequence

$$r_{k+1} = \frac{m_{k+1}\varepsilon_{k+1} - m_k\varepsilon_k}{(\ell_{k+1} - \ell_k)^2}$$

is decreasing and converges to zero. Again it is direct to show that $x_{i,j} = \sum_{i,j} r_{k+1} \chi_{(\ell_k, \ell_{k+1}]}(i,j)$ is *f*-statistically convergent to zero, but not *f*-strong Cesàro convergent.

Let us recall that f is a compatible modulus function provided $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_n \frac{f(n\varepsilon)}{f(n)} = 0$. We will say that a modulus function f *is compatible of second order or 2-compatible*, provided $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_n \frac{f(n\varepsilon)}{f(n^2)} = 0$. Clearly, if f is compatible, then f is 2-compatible. The next result correct Theorem 2.6 in [1].

Proposition 1.3 Assume that for any *f*-statistical convergent double sequence $(x_{i,j})$ we have that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{m,n}\frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon}(0,0,m,n))}{f(mn)}=0$$

then f must be 2-compatible.

Proof Indeed, assume that *f* is not compatible. Let ε_n be a decreasing sequence converging to 0. Since *f* is not compatible, there exists c > 0 such that, for each *k*, there exists m_k such that $f(m_k \varepsilon_k) > cf(m_k)$. Moreover, we can select m_k inductively satisfying

$$1 - \varepsilon_{k+1} - \frac{1}{m_{k+1}} > \frac{(1 - \varepsilon_k)m_k}{m_{k+1}}.$$
(1.2)

Now we use an standard argument used to construct subsets with prescribed densities. Set $n_k = \lfloor m_k \varepsilon_k \rfloor + 1$. And extracting a subsequence if it is necessary, we can assume that $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$, $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots$. Thus, set $A_k = [m_{k+1} - (n_{k+1} - n_k)] \cap \mathbb{N}$. Condition (1.2) guarantee that $A_k \subset [m_k, m_{k+1}]$.

Let us denote $A = \bigcup_k A_k$, and $x_n = \chi_A(n)$.

An easy check show that the sequence $x_{1,n} = x_n$ is *f*-statistical convergent to zero, but $\frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon}(0,0,m_k,m_k))}{f(m_{\varepsilon}^2)} \ge c$ which yields the desired result.

It is worthy to find a 2-compatible function that is not compatible, and to improve Proposition 1.3 replacing 2-compatibility by compatibility.

The corrections have been indicated in this article and the original article [1] has been corrected.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Cádiz, Avda. de la Universidad s/n 11405, Jerez de la Frontera, Spain. ²Department of Mathematics, Facultad de Ciencias, University of Cádiz, Puerto Real 11510, Spain.

Published online: 01 September 2023

References

1. León-Saavedra, F., Listán-García, M.C., Romero de la Rosa, M.P.: On statistical convergence and strong Cesàro convergence by moduli for double sequences. J. Inequal. Appl. **2022**, Paper No. 62 (2022). 14, MR4426807

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.