

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Factorization of Hilbert operators

Hadi Roopaei<sup>1\*</sup>

Dedicated to Prof. Maryam Mirzakhani who, in spite of a short lifetime, left a long standing impact on mathematics.

\*Correspondence:

[h.roopaei@gmail.com](mailto:h.roopaei@gmail.com)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada

## Abstract

In this research, we introduce some factorization for Hilbert operators of order  $n$  based on two important classes of Hausdorff operators, Cesàro and gamma. These factorizations lead us to some new inequalities, one is a generalized version of Hilbert's inequality. Moreover, as an application of our factorization, we compute the norm of Hilbert operators on some matrix domains.

**MSC:** 26D15; 40C05; 40G05; 47B37

**Keywords:** Hilbert matrix; Hausdorff matrix; Factorization; Norm

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\omega$  denote the set of all real-valued sequences. Any linear subspace of  $\omega$  is called a sequence space. The Banach space  $\ell_p$  is the set of all real sequences  $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty \in \omega$  such that

$$\|x\|_{\ell_p} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \quad (1 \leq p < \infty).$$

We consider infinite matrices  $\mathfrak{M} = (m_{j,k})$ , where all the indices  $j$  and  $k$  are nonnegative. The matrix domain associated with  $\mathfrak{M}$  is defined as

$$\mathfrak{M}_S = \{x \in \omega : \mathfrak{M}x \in S\}. \quad (1.1)$$

In the special case  $S = \ell_p$ , we use the notation  $\mathfrak{M}_p$  instead of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell_p}$ . It is rather trivial that  $I_p = \ell_p$ , where  $I$  is the infinite identity matrix. This concept has inspired many researchers to seek and define new Banach spaces as the domain of an infinite matrix. See [18, 20, 22] and the textbook [1].

Let  $\Sigma$  be a matrix with nonnegative entries, which maps  $\ell_p$  into itself and satisfies the inequality

$$\|\Sigma x\|_{\ell_p} \leq \rho \|x\|_{\ell_p},$$

© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

for the constant  $\rho$  not depending on  $x$  and for every  $x \in \ell_p$ . The norm of  $\Sigma$  is the smallest possible value of  $\rho$ . The problem of finding the norm and the lower bound of operators on the matrix domains has been investigated in some of the references [7, 8, 10–12, 19, 21].

**Hilbert matrix** For a nonnegative integer  $n$ , the Hilbert matrix of order  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , is defined by

$$[\mathcal{H}_n]_{j,k} = \frac{1}{j+k+n+1}.$$

Evidently, for  $n = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}$  is the well-known Hilbert matrix

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 & 1/3 & \cdots \\ 1/2 & 1/3 & 1/4 & \cdots \\ 1/3 & 1/4 & 1/5 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

which was introduced by David Hilbert in 1894. More examples:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/3 & 1/4 & \cdots \\ 1/3 & 1/4 & 1/5 & \cdots \\ 1/4 & 1/5 & 1/6 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/4 & 1/5 & \cdots \\ 1/4 & 1/5 & 1/6 & \cdots \\ 1/5 & 1/6 & 1/7 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Hilbert matrix is a bounded operator on  $\ell_p$  ([6], Theorem 323) and

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*) = \pi \csc(\pi/p),$$

where  $p^*$  is the conjugate of  $p$  i.e.  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^*} = 1$ .

**Hausdorff matrices** The Hausdorff matrix  $H_\mu$  is one of the most important examples of summability matrices defined by

$$[H_\mu]_{j,k} = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \binom{j}{k} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{j-k} d\mu(\theta) & 0 \leq k \leq j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $[0, 1]$ . While obtaining the  $\ell_p$ -norm of operators is a hard endeavor, for Hausdorff matrices, we luckily have Hardy's formula [5, Theorem 216] which states that this matrix is a bounded operator on  $\ell_p$  if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \theta^{\frac{-1}{p}} d\mu(\theta) < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

In fact,

$$\|H_\mu\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \int_0^1 \theta^{\frac{-1}{p}} d\mu(\theta). \quad (1.2)$$

Hausdorff operators have the interesting norm separating property.

**Theorem 1.1** ([3], Theorem 9) *Let  $p \geq 1$  and  $H_\mu, H_\varphi$ , and  $H_\nu$  be Hausdorff matrices such that  $H_\mu = H_\varphi H_\nu$ . Then  $H_\mu$  is bounded on  $\ell_p$  if and only if both  $H_\varphi$  and  $H_\nu$  are bounded on  $\ell_p$ . Moreover, we have*

$$\|H_\mu\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \|H_\varphi\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} \|H_\nu\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p}.$$

The Hausdorff matrix contains several famous classes of matrices. For positive integer  $n$ , two of these classes are as follows.

**Cesàro matrix** The measure  $d\mu(\theta) = n(1 - \theta)^{n-1} d\theta$  gives the Cesàro matrix of order  $n$ ,  $C_n$ , which is defined by

$$[C_n]_{j,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{\binom{n+j-k-1}{j-k}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} & 0 \leq k \leq j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, according to (1.2),  $C_n$  has the  $\ell_p$ -norm

$$\|C_n\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(1/p^*)}{\Gamma(n+1/p^*)}.$$

Note that  $C_0 = I$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix, and

$$C_1 = C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & \cdots \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

is the classical Cesàro matrix, which has  $\ell_p$ -norm  $\|C\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{p}{p-1}$ . The matrix domain associated with  $C_n$  is defined by

$$C_n(p) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \omega : \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\binom{n+j}{j}} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{n+j-k-1}{j-k} x_k \right|^p < \infty \right\},$$

having the norm

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{C_n(p)} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\binom{n+j}{j}} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{n+j-k-1}{j-k} x_k \right|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

is a Banach space. The author investigated the Cesàro matrix domain in [15, 17].

**Gamma matrix** The measure  $d\mu(\theta) = n\theta^{n-1} d\theta$  gives the gamma matrix of order  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , for which

$$[\mathcal{G}_n]_{j,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{\binom{n+k-1}{k}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} & 0 \leq k \leq j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, by Hardy's formula,  $\mathcal{G}_n$  has the  $\ell_p$ -norm

$$\|\mathcal{G}_n\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{np}{np-1}.$$

The sequence space associated with  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is the set

$$\mathcal{G}_n(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\binom{n+j}{j}} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{n+k-1}{k} x_k \right|^p < \infty \right\},$$

which is called the gamma space of order  $n$ . The space  $\mathcal{G}_n(p)$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{G}_n(p)} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\binom{n+j}{j}} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{n+k-1}{k} x_k \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{G}_1$  is the classical Cesàro matrix  $C$ , and we show the gamma sequence space  $\mathcal{G}_1(p)$  by the notation  $C(p)$ . For more information about the gamma matrix domain, the eager readers can refer to [9, 16].

For finding the norm of a transpose of an operator, we use a helpful theorem also known as Hellinger–Toeplitz theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** ([4], Proposition 7.2) *Suppose that  $1 < p, q < \infty$ . A matrix  $\Sigma$  with nonnegative entries maps  $\ell_p$  into  $\ell_q$  if and only if the transposed matrix  $\Sigma^t$  maps  $\ell_{q^*}$  into  $\ell_{p^*}$ . Then we have*

$$\|\Sigma\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_q} = \|\Sigma^t\|_{\ell_{q^*} \rightarrow \ell_{p^*}}.$$

**Motivation** The infinite Hilbert operator is one of the most complicated operators which is used in cryptography because of its complexity. Recently the author [13, 14] has introduced some factorizations for the infinite Hilbert operator based on Cesàro and gamma operators. Through this study, the author not only has generalized its previous results to the Hilbert operators of any order, but has introduced some factorizations that result in several new inequalities.

## 2 Factorization of the Hilbert operator

Bennett in [2] introduced a factorization of the form  $\mathcal{H} = UC$ , where  $C$  is the Cesàro operator and the matrix  $U$  is defined by

$$[U]_{j,k} = \frac{k+1}{(j+k+1)(j+k+2)}. \quad (2.1)$$

The matrix  $U$  is a bounded operator on  $\ell_p$  and  $\|U\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1+1/p^*)$ , ([2], Proposition 2). In the sequel, we generalize this result for all Hilbert operators, but first we need the following lemma also known as Schur's lemma.

**Schur Lemma** ([6], Theorem 275) *Let  $p > 1$  and  $\Sigma$  be a matrix with nonnegative entries. Suppose that  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R}$  are two positive numbers such that*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [\Sigma]_{j,k} \leq \mathcal{S} \quad \forall k, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\Sigma]_{j,k} \leq \mathcal{R} \quad \forall j.$$

*Then*

$$\|\Sigma\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} \leq \mathcal{R}^{1/p^*} \mathcal{S}^{1/p}.$$

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $n$  and  $m$  be two nonnegative integers. The Hilbert matrix of order  $n$  has a factorization of the form  $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{R}_{n,m} C_m$ , where the matrix  $\mathcal{R}_{n,m}$  has the entries*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{R}_{n,m}]_{j,k} &= \frac{(k+1) \cdots (k+m)}{(j+k+n+1) \cdots (j+k+n+m+1)} \\ &= \binom{m+k}{k} \beta(j+k+n+1, m+1) \end{aligned}$$

*and is a bounded operator on  $\ell_p$  with*

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{n,m}\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{\Gamma(m+1/p^*) \Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(m+1)}.$$

*In particular,*

- (a) *the Hilbert matrix of order  $n$  has a factorization of the form  $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{R}_n C_n$ , where the matrix  $\mathcal{R}_n$  has the entries*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{R}_n]_{j,k} &= \frac{(k+1) \cdots (k+n)}{(j+k+n+1) \cdots (j+k+2n+1)} \\ &= \binom{n+k}{k} \beta(j+k+n+1, n+1) \end{aligned}$$

*and is a bounded operator on  $\ell_p$  with  $\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1/p^*) \Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(n+1)}$ .*

- (b) *the Hilbert matrix has a factorization of the form  $\mathcal{H} = B_n C_n$ , where the matrix  $U_n$  has the entries*

$$[B_n]_{j,k} = \frac{(k+1) \cdots (k+n)}{(j+k+1) \cdots (j+k+n+1)} = \binom{n+k}{k} \beta(j+k+1, n+1)$$

*with  $\ell_p$ -norm  $\|B_n\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1/p^*) \Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(n+1)}$ .*

**Proof** Let  $\Delta_n$  be the backward difference matrix of order  $n$ . That is a lower triangle matrix with the entries

$$[\Delta_n]_{j,k} = (-1)^{(j-k)} \binom{n}{j-k} \quad k \leq j \leq n+k,$$

which is invertible. We use the notation  $\Delta_n^{-1}$  as its inverse which is defined by

$$[\Delta_n^{-1}]_{j,k} = \binom{n+j-k-1}{j-k} \quad j \geq k.$$

Note that for  $n = 0$ , the backward difference matrix  $\Delta_0 = I$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix. It can be easily seen that the Cesàro matrix of order  $n$  can be represented by the backward difference operator of the form

$$[C_n]_{j,k} = \frac{\binom{n+j-k-1}{j-k}}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = \frac{[\Delta_n^{-1}]_{j,k}}{\binom{n+j}{j}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{H}_n \Delta_0 = \mathcal{H}_n = \frac{1}{j+k+n+1} = \beta(j+k+n+1, 1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_n \Delta_1 = \frac{1}{(j+k+n+1)(j+k+n+2)} = \beta(j+k+n+1, 2).$$

By induction, we can prove that

$$\mathcal{H}_n \Delta_m = \beta(j+k+n+1, m+1).$$

Now, by a simple calculation, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{n,m} C_m &= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \binom{m+i}{i} \beta(j+i+n+1, m+1) \frac{\binom{m+i-k-1}{i-k}}{\binom{m+i}{i}} \\ &= (\mathcal{H}_n \Delta_m) \Delta_m^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_n. \end{aligned}$$

For computing the  $\ell_p$ -norm of  $\mathcal{R}_{n,m}$ , we show first

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{0,m}\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{\Gamma(m+1/p^*)\Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(m+1)}. \quad (2.2)$$

For convenience, let  $\mathcal{R}_{0,m} = \mathcal{R}_m$ . We introduce a family of matrices,  $\mathcal{R}_m(s)$ ,  $0 < s \leq 1$ , given by

$$[\mathcal{R}_m(s)]_{j,k} = \binom{j+k}{k} s^j (1-s)^{m+k}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\mathcal{R}_m(s)]_{j,k} &= s^j (1-s)^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{j+1+k-1}{k} (1-s)^k \\ &= s^j (1-s)^m [1 - (1-s)]^{-(j+1)} \\ &= \frac{(1-s)^m}{s} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [\mathcal{R}_m(s)]_{j,k} = (1-s)^{m+k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+1+j-1}{j} s^j = (1-s)^{m-1},$$

the row sums and the column sums are  $\frac{(1-s)^m}{s}$  and  $(1-s)^{m-1}$ , respectively. Thus Schur's lemma results in

$$\|\mathcal{R}_m(s)\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} \leq (1-s)^{m-1/p} s^{-1/p^*}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 [\mathcal{R}_m(s)]_{j,k} ds &= \binom{j+k}{k} \int_0^1 s^j (1-s)^{m+k} ds \\ &= \binom{j+k}{k} \beta(j+1, m+k+1) \\ &= \binom{m+k}{k} \beta(j+k+1, m+1) = \mathcal{R}_m. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_m\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} &= \left\| \int_0^1 \mathcal{R}_m(s) ds \right\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} \leq \int_0^1 \|\mathcal{R}_m(s)\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (1-s)^{m-1/p} s^{-1/p^*} ds = \beta(m+1/p^*, 1/p) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(m+1/p^*)\Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(m+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The other side of the above inequality will result from the factorization  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R}_{0,m} C_m = \mathcal{R}_m C_m$ . Now, suppose that

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{n,m}\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = f(n, m),$$

where  $f$  is a nonnegative function. As a result of equality (2.2), we conclude that

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{n,m}\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{\Gamma(m+1/p^*)\Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(m+1)} g(n),$$

where  $g(n)$  is a function of  $n$ . Now, let  $m = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}_{n,0} = \mathcal{H}_n$  is the Hilbert operator of order  $n$ , hence  $g(n) = 1$  and

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{n,m}\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{\Gamma(m+1/p^*)\Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(m+1)}.$$

So we have the desired result.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** *The Hilbert operator of order  $n$  admits a factorization of the form  $\mathcal{H}_n = U_n C$ , where  $C$  is the classical Cesàro matrix and  $U_n$  is defined by*

$$[U_n]_{j,k} = \frac{k+1}{(j+k+n+1)(j+k+n+2)},$$

and has the  $\ell_p$ -norm

$$\|U_n\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1+1/p^*) = \pi/p^* \csc(\pi/p).$$

In particular, Hilbert operator has the factorization  $\mathcal{H} = UC$ , where  $\|U\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \Gamma(1/p) \times \Gamma(1 + 1/p^*)$ .

**Corollary 2.3** *The following inequalities hold:*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_n x\|_{\ell_p} \leq \frac{\Gamma(m + 1/p^*)\Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(m + 1)} \|C_m x\|_{\ell_p}.$$

In particular,

$$\|\mathcal{H}x\|_{\ell_p} \leq \frac{\Gamma(n + 1/p^*)\Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(n + 1)} \|C_n x\|_{\ell_p}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_n x\|_{\ell_p} \leq \Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1 + 1/p^*) \|Cx\|_{\ell_p}.$$

More explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{j+k+n+1} \right|^p &\leq \left[ \frac{\Gamma(m + 1/p^*)\Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(m + 1)} \right]^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k} x_k}{\binom{m+j}{j}} \right|^p, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{j+k+1} \right|^p &\leq \left[ \frac{\Gamma(n + 1/p^*)\Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(n + 1)} \right]^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{\binom{n+j-k-1}{j-k} x_k}{\binom{n+j}{j}} \right|^p, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{j+k+n+1} \right|^p \leq \left[ \frac{\pi}{p^*} \csc(\pi/p) \right]^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{x_k}{j+1} \right|^p.$$

**Corollary 2.4** *The Hilbert operator of order  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , is a bounded operator from  $C_m(p)$  into  $\ell_p$  and*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_n\|_{C_m(p) \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{\Gamma(m + 1/p^*)\Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(m + 1)}.$$

In particular, the Hilbert operator  $\mathcal{H}$  is a bounded operator from  $C(p)$  into  $\ell_p$  and  $\|\mathcal{H}\|_{C(p) \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{\pi}{p^*} \csc(\pi/p)$ .

*Proof* Since the map  $C_m(p) \rightarrow \ell_p, x \rightarrow C_m x$  is an isomorphism between these two spaces, according to Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_n\|_{C_m(p) \rightarrow \ell_p} &= \sup_{x \in C_m(p)} \frac{\|\mathcal{H}_n x\|_{\ell_p}}{\|x\|_{C_m(p)}} = \sup_{C_m x \in \ell_p} \frac{\|\mathcal{H}_n x\|_{\ell_p}}{\|C_m x\|_{\ell_p}} \\ &= \sup_{C_m x \in \ell_p} \frac{\|\mathcal{R}_{n,m} C_m x\|_{\ell_p}}{\|C_m x\|_{\ell_p}} = \sup_{y \in \ell_p} \frac{\|\mathcal{R}_{n,m} y\|_{\ell_p}}{\|y\|_{\ell_p}} \\ &= \|\mathcal{R}_{n,m}\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \frac{\Gamma(m + 1/p^*)\Gamma(1/p)}{\Gamma(m + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

□



**Theorem 2.5** *The Hilbert operator has a factorization of the form  $\mathcal{H} = U'C^t$ , where  $U'$  is a bounded operator that has the  $\ell_p$ -norm*

$$\|U'\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \Gamma(1 + 1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*).$$

*Proof* By a simple calculation,  $U'$  is an operator with the matrix representation

$$U' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 1/2 & 1/6 & 1/12 & \cdots \\ 1/3 & 1/6 & 1/10 & \cdots \\ 1/4 & 3/20 & 1/10 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

or  $U' = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ U^t \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$  and  $U^t$  is the transpose of the matrix  $U$  defined in relation (2.1). Obviously,  $U'$  has the  $\ell_p$ -norm same as  $U^t$ . Hence

$$\|U'\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \|U^t\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \|U\|_{\ell_{p^*} \rightarrow \ell_{p^*}} = \Gamma(1 + 1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*). \quad \square$$

As a result of the above theorem, we have the following inequality.

**Corollary 2.6** *The following statement holds:*

$$\|\mathcal{H}x\|_{\ell_p} \leq \frac{\pi}{p} \csc(\pi/p) \|C^t x\|_{\ell_p}.$$

*More explicitly,*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{j+k+1} \right|^p \leq \left[ \frac{\pi}{p} \csc(\pi/p) \right]^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{k+1} \right|^p.$$

**Theorem 2.7** *For  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , a Hilbert operator of order  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , has a factorization of the form  $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{S}_{n,m} \mathcal{G}_m$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_{n,m}$  is defined by*

$$[\mathcal{S}_{n,m}]_{j,k} = \frac{(1 - 1/m)(j + n + 1) + (k + 1)}{(j + k + n + 1)(j + k + n + 2)}$$

*and is a bounded operator on  $\ell_p$  with*

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{n,m}\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = (1 - 1/mp)\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*).$$

*Proof* At first we prove the factorization. Let  $\alpha = (k + 1)(k + 2) \dots (k + m - 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{n,m} \mathcal{G}_m &= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{[(1 - 1/m)(j + n + 1) + (i + 1)]}{(j + i + n + 1)(j + i + n + 2)} \frac{\binom{m+k-1}{k}}{\binom{m+i}{i}} \\ &= \binom{m+k-1}{k} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{[(m-1)(j + n + 1) + m(i + 1)]}{(j + i + n + 1)(j + i + n + 2)} \frac{i!(m-1)!}{(m+i)!} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{(m-1)(j+n+1) + m(i+1)}{(i+1) \cdots (i+m)(j+i+n+1)(j+i+n+2)} \\
&= \alpha \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{(i+m)(j+i+n+2) - (i+1)(j+i+n+1)}{(i+1) \cdots (i+m)(j+i+n+1)(j+i+n+2)} \\
&= \alpha \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{(i+1) \cdots (i+m-1)(j+i+n+1)} - \frac{1}{(i+2) \cdots (i+m)(j+i+n+2)} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{j+k+n+1} = \mathcal{H}_n.
\end{aligned}$$

For obtaining the norm of  $\mathcal{S}_{n,m}$ , consider that  $\mathcal{S}_{n,m} = (1 - 1/m)U_n^t + U_n$ , where the matrix  $U_n$  defined in the Corollary 2.2. Hence by applying the Hellinger–Toeplitz theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{S}_{n,m}\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} &\leq (1 - 1/m)\|U_n^t\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} + \|U_n\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} \\
&= (1 - 1/m)\Gamma(1/p^*)\Gamma(1 + 1/p) + \Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1 + 1/p^*) \\
&= (1 - 1/mp)\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8** *The Hilbert matrix has a factorization of the form  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{S}_n \mathcal{G}_n$ , where the matrix  $\mathcal{S}_n$  has the entries*

$$[\mathcal{S}_n]_{j,k} = \frac{(1 - 1/n)(j+1) + (k+1)}{(j+k+1)(j+k+2)}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{S}_n\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = (1 - 1/np)\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*).$$

In particular, the Hilbert matrix has Bennett's factorization  $\mathcal{H} = UC$ , where the matrix  $U$  is a bounded operator and  $\|U\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = \Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1 + 1/p^*)$ .

**Corollary 2.9** *The following inequalities hold:*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_n x\|_{\ell_p} \leq (1 - 1/mp)\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*)\|\mathcal{G}_m x\|_{\ell_p}.$$

In particular,

$$\|\mathcal{H}x\|_{\ell_p} \leq (1 - 1/np)\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*)\|\mathcal{G}_n x\|_{\ell_p}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{H}_n x\|_{\ell_p} \leq \Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1 + 1/p^*)\|Cx\|_{\ell_p}.$$

More explicitly,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{j+k+n+1} \right|^p \leq [(1 - 1/mp)\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*)]^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{\binom{m+k-1}{k} x_k}{\binom{m+j}{j}} \right|^p,$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{j+k+1} \right|^p \leq [(1-1/np)\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*)]^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{\binom{n+k-1}{k} x_k}{\binom{n+j}{j}} \right|^p,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{j+k+n+1} \right|^p \leq [\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1+1/p^*)]^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{x_k}{j+1} \right|^p.$$

**Corollary 2.10** *The Hilbert operator of order  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , is a bounded operator from  $\mathcal{G}_m(p)$  into  $\ell_p$  and*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_n\|_{\mathcal{G}_m(p) \rightarrow \ell_p} = (1-1/mp)\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*).$$

*In particular, the Hilbert operator  $\mathcal{H}$  is a bounded operator from  $C(p)$  into  $\ell_p$  and  $\|\mathcal{H}\|_{C(p) \rightarrow \ell_p} = \Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1+1/p^*)$ .*

*Proof* The map  $\mathcal{G}_m(p) \rightarrow \ell_p, x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_m x$  is an isomorphism between these two spaces, hence according to Theorem 2.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_n\|_{\mathcal{G}_m(p) \rightarrow \ell_p} &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{G}_m(p)} \frac{\|\mathcal{H}_n x\|_{\ell_p}}{\|x\|_{\mathcal{G}_m(p)}} = \sup_{\mathcal{G}_m x \in \ell_p} \frac{\|\mathcal{H}_n x\|_{\ell_p}}{\|\mathcal{G}_m x\|_{\ell_p}} \\ &= \sup_{\mathcal{G}_m x \in \ell_p} \frac{\|\mathcal{S}_{n,m} \mathcal{G}_m x\|_{\ell_p}}{\|\mathcal{G}_m x\|_{\ell_p}} = \sup_{y \in \ell_p} \frac{\|\mathcal{S}_{n,m} y\|_{\ell_p}}{\|y\|_{\ell_p}} \\ &= \|\mathcal{S}_{n,m}\|_{\ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p} = (1-1/mp)\Gamma(1/p)\Gamma(1/p^*). \end{aligned}$$

□

#### Acknowledgements

This manuscript has the only one author and there is no funding for this research.

#### Funding

There is no funding for this research.

#### Availability of data and materials

No data have been used in this study.

#### Declarations

##### Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

##### Author's contributions

This manuscript has the only one author and nobody has collaborated in writing that. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 27 December 2021 Accepted: 19 May 2022 Published online: 10 September 2022

#### References

1. Başar, F.: Summability Theory and Its Applications Bentham Science Publishers, e-books, Monograph, İstanbul–2012
2. Bennett, G.: Lower bounds for matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **82**, 81–98 (1986)
3. Bennett, G.: Lower bounds for matrices II. *Can. J. Math.* **44**, 54–74 (1992)
4. Bennett, G.: Factorizing the classical inequalities. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **576** (1996)
5. Hardy, G.H.: *Divergent Series*. Oxford University Press, London (1973)
6. Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., Polya, G.: *Inequalities*, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001)

7. İlkan, M.: Norms and lower bounds of some matrix operators on Fibonacci weighted difference sequence space. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **42**(16), 5143–5153 (2019)
8. Jameson, G.J.O., Lashkaripour, R.: Lower bounds of operators on weighted  $\ell_p$  spaces and Lorentz sequence spaces. *Glasg. Math. J.* **42**, 211–223 (2000)
9. Kara, M.I., Roopaei, H.: A weighted mean Hausdorff type operator and its summability matrix domain. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2022**, 27 (2022)
10. Roopaei, H.: Norm of Hilbert operator on sequence spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2020**, 117 (2020)
11. Roopaei, H.: A study on Copson operator and its associated sequence space. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2020**, 120 (2020)
12. Roopaei, H.: Bounds of operators on the Hilbert sequence space. *Concr. Oper.* **7**, 155–165 (2020)
13. Roopaei, H.: Factorization of the Hilbert matrix based on Cesàro and gamma matrices. *Results Math.* **75**(1), 3 (2020)
14. Roopaei, H.: Factorization of Cesàro operator and related inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2021**, 177 (2021)
15. Roopaei, H., Başar, F.: On the spaces of Cesàro absolutely  $p$ -summable, null and convergent sequences. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **44**(5), 3670–3685 (2021)
16. Roopaei, H., Başar, F.: On the gamma spaces including the spaces of absolutely  $p$ -summable, null, convergent and bounded sequences. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **43**(6), 723–754 (2022)
17. Roopaei, H., Foroutannia, D., İlkan, M., Kara, E.E.: Cesàro spaces and norm of operators on these matrix domains. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **17**, 121 (2020)
18. Yaying, T., Hazarika, B., Kara, M.I., Mursaleen, M.: Poisson like matrix operator and its application in  $p$ -summable space. *Math. Slovaca* **71**(5), 1189–1210 (2021)
19. Yaying, T., Hazarika, B., Mohiuddine, S.A., Mursaleen, M.: Estimation of upper bounds of certain matrix operators on binomial weighted sequence spaces. *Adv. Oper. Theory* **5**, 1376–1389 (2020)
20. Yaying, T., Hazarika, B., Mursaleen, M.: On sequence space derived by the domain of  $q$ -Cesàro matrix in  $\ell_p$  space and the associated operator ideal. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **493**(1), 124453 (2021)
21. Yaying, T., Hazarika, B., Mursaleen, M.: Norm of matrix operator on Orlicz-binomial spaces and related operator ideal. *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* **23**, 341–353 (2021)
22. Yaying, T., Hazarika, B., Tripathy, B.C., Mursaleen, M.: The spectrum of second order quantum difference operator. *Symmetry* **14**(3), 557 (2022)

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)