(2021) 2021:152

RESEARCH

Open Access



Consistency properties for the wavelet estimator in nonparametric regression model with dependent errors

Qihui He^{1*} and Mingming Chen¹

*Correspondence: hqh_sjl921231@163.com ¹Department of Foundational Teaching, Anhui Academy of Governance, Hefei, 230059, China

Abstract

In this paper, we establish the *p*th mean consistency, complete consistency, and the rate of complete consistency for the wavelet estimator in a nonparametric regression model with *m*-extended negatively dependent random errors. We show that the best rates can be nearly $O(n^{-1/3})$ under some general conditions. The results obtained in the paper markedly improve and extend some corresponding ones to a much more general setting.

MSC: 62G05

Keywords: Mean consistency; Complete consistency; Rate of consistency; Nonparametric regression model; *m*-extended negative dependence

1 Introduction

Consider the nonparametric regression model

$$Y_{ni} = g(t_{ni}) + \varepsilon_{ni}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1, \tag{1}$$

where the regression function g is an unknown Borel-measurable function defined on [0,1], $\{t_{ni}\}$ are nonrandom design points such that $0 \le t_{n1} \le \cdots \le t_{nn} \le 1$, and $\{\varepsilon_{ni}\}$ are random errors.

It is known that nonparametric regression model (1) has many applications in practical fields such as communications and control systems, classification, econometrics, and so on. Thus model (1) has been widely investigated by many scholars. For some classical estimations in the independent case, we refer the readers to Watson [1], Nadaraya [2], Priestley and Chao [3], Gasser and Müller [4], and Georgiev [5]. Due to its significant applications, this nonparametric regression model has also been investigated in various dependent cases. For example, Yang and Wang [6] investigated the strong consistency of the P-C estimator in (1) with negatively associated (NA) samples; Yang [7] studied the rate of asymptotic normality for the weighted estimator with NA samples; Liang and Jing [8] established the mean consistency, strong consistency, complete consistency, and asymptotic normality for the weighted estimator with NA samples; Wang et al. [9] investigated

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



the complete consistency of the weighted estimator in (1) with extended negatively dependent (END) errors; Chen et al. [10] obtained the mean consistency, strong consistency, and complete consistency of the weighted estimator in model (1) with martingale difference errors.

Compared to these smooth methods, the wavelet method has the advantage of estimating nonsmooth functions. Therefore, in this paper, we concentrate on the wavelet estimation of unknown function *g* in model (1). Firstly, we recall two necessary concepts.

Definition 1.1 A scale function φ is *q*-regular ($q \in \mathbb{Z}$) if for any $l \leq q$ and integer *k*, we have $|\frac{d^l \varphi}{dx^l}| \leq C_k (1 + |x|)^{-k}$, where C_k is a generic constant depending only on *k*.

Definition 1.2 A function space H^{ν} ($\nu \in \mathbb{R}$) is called the Sobolev space of order ν if for all $h \in H^{\nu}$, we have $\int |\hat{h}(\omega)|^2 (1 + \omega^2)^{\nu} d\omega < \infty$, where \hat{h} is the Fourier transform of h.

Define the wavelet kernel

$$E_k(t,s) = 2^k E_0(2^k t, 2^k s),$$

where $E_0(t,s) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(t-j)\phi(s-j)$, k = k(n) > 0 is an integer depending only on *n*, and ϕ is the scaling function in the Schwartz space.

Antoniadis et al. [11] proposed the following wavelet estimator of *g*:

$$g_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{ni} \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds,$$
(2)

where $A_{n1}, A_{n2}, ..., A_{nn}$ is a partition of the interval [0, 1] with $t_{ni} \in A_{ni}, A_{ni} = [s_{n(i-1)}, s_{ni})$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, and $A_{nn} = [s_{n(n-1)}, s_{nn}]$.

It is well known that the wavelet method is a powerful tool in many fields such as applied mathematics, physics, computer science, signal and information processing, image processing, and so on. Therefore, since Antoniadis et al. [11] introduced this method to nonparametric regression model, many results were established. For example, Xue [12] investigated the rates of strong convergence for the wavelet estimator under completed and censored data; Sun and Chai [13] established the weak consistency, strong consistency, and the convergence rate for the wavelet estimator under stationary α -mixing samples; Li et al. [14] obtained the weak consistency and the rate of uniformly asymptotic normality for wavelet estimator with associated samples; Liang [15] established the asymptotic normality for wavelet estimator in heteroscedastic model with α -mixing samples; Li et al. [16] obtained the Berry-Esseen bounds for wavelet estimator in a regression model with linear process errors generated by φ -mixing sequences; Tang et al. [17] studied the asymptotic normality for wavelet estimator with asymptotically negatively associated random errors; Ding et al. [18] investigated the mean consistency, complete consistency, and the rate of complete consistency for wavelet estimator with END random errors; Ding et al. [19] established the Berry–Esseen bound of wavelet estimators in nonparametric regression model with asymptotically negatively associated errors; Ding and Chen [20] studied the asymptotic normality of the wavelet estimators in heteroscedastic semiparametric regression model with φ -mixing errors, and so on.

In this work, we further study the consistency properties of wavelet estimator (2) under a more general dependence structure. Now we recall some concepts of dependent random variables.

Definition 1.3 A finite collection of random variables $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ is said to be END if there exists a constant M > 0 such that

$$P(X_1 > x_1, X_2 > x_2, \dots, X_n > x_n) \le M \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > x_i)$$

and

$$P(X_1 \le x_1, X_2 \le x_2, \dots, X_n \le x_n) \le M \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \le x_i)$$

for all real numbers $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$. An infinite sequence $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ is said to be END if its every finite subcollection is END.

An array $\{X_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ of random variables is said to be rowwise END if for every $n \ge 1$, $\{X_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n\}$ is END.

The concept of END random variables was introduced by Liu [21]. It shows that the END structure can reflect not only negative dependence structures, but also some positive ones. It has been proved that the END structure contains NA, negatively superadditive dependence (NSD), and negatively orthant dependence (NOD), the concepts of which were introduced by Joag-Dev and Proschan [22], Hu [23], and Lehmann [24], respectively. Therefore there is an increasing attention to this dependence structure, and many results were successfully established since this concept was raised. For more detail, we refer the readers to Liu [25], Shen [26], Wang and Wang [27], Wu and Guan [28], Shen et al. [29], Yang et al. [30], and Wu et al. [31], among others.

Wang et al. [32] introduced the following concept of *m*-extended negatively dependent (*m*-END) random variables.

Definition 1.4 Let $m \ge 1$ be a fixed integer. A sequence $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ of random variables is said to be *m*-END if for any $n \ge 2$ and any $i_1, i_2, ..., i_n$ such that $|i_k - i_j| \ge m$ for all $1 \le k \ne j \le n$, we have that $X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, ..., X_{i_n}$ are END.

The concept of *m*-END random variables is a natural extension of END random variables. It degenerates to END if we take m = 1. Hence *m*-END is a more general structure, and it is of interest to investigate this dependence structure. There are already some papers investigating *m*-END random variables. For example, Xu et al. [33] studied the mean consistency of the weighted estimator in a nonparametric regression model based on *m*-END random errors; Wang et al. [34] obtained the complete and complete moment convergence for partial sums of *m*-END random variables and gave their applications to the EV regression models.

We will use the following concept of stochastic domination.

Definition 1.5 A sequence $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ of random variables is said to be stochastically dominated by a random variable *X* if there exists a positive constant *C* such that

$$P(|X_n| > x) \le CP(|X| > x)$$

for all $x \ge 0$ and $n \ge 1$.

An array $\{X_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ of rowwise random variables is said to be stochastically dominated by a random variable *X* if there exists a positive constant *C* such that

$$P(|X_{ni}| > x) \le CP(|X| > x)$$

for all $x \ge 0$ and $1 \le i \le n$, $n \ge 1$.

In this paper, we further investigate the consistency properties of estimator (2) in the nonparametric regression model (1) based on *m*-END random errors. We establish the *p*th mean consistency, complete consistency, and the rate of complete consistency under some general conditions. These results improve and extend the corresponding ones of Li et al. [14] and Ding et al. [18]. Moreover, the method used here is different from those of Li et al. [14] and Ding et al. [18].

In this paper, the symbols $C, c_1, c_2, ...$ represent generic positive constants whose values may vary in different places. Denote $x^+ = \max\{0, x\}$ and $x^- = \max\{0, -x\}$. By I(A) we denote the indicator function of an event A.

The paper is organized as follows. The main results are stated in Sect. 2. Some important lemmas are presented in Sect. 3. The proofs of the main results are provided in Sect. 4.

2 Main results

The following assumptions are needed in the main results.

- (*H*₁) $g \in H^{\nu}$ for $\nu > 1/2$, and g satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order $\gamma > 0$.
- (*H*₁)' $g \in H^{\nu}$ for $\nu \geq 3/2$, and g satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order $\gamma > 0$.
- (*H*₂) ϕ is regular of order $q \ge \nu$, satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order 1, and $|\hat{\phi}(x) 1| = O(x)$ as $x \to 0$, where $\hat{\phi}$ is the Fourier transform of ϕ .
- (*H*₃) $\max_{1 \le i \le n} |s_{ni} s_{n(i-1)}| = O(1/n).$

Remark 2.1 These assumptions are general conditions for wavelet estimation. They are widely used in the literature, for example, in [12-18].

We now present our main results. The first one is the mean consistency of order p for estimator (2).

Theorem 2.1 Suppose $(H_1)-(H_3)$ hold. Assume that $\{\varepsilon_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ is an array of zero mean m-END random variables with $\sup_{1\le i\le n,n\ge 1} E|\varepsilon_{ni}|^p < \infty$ for some p > 1. If $2^k \to \infty$ and $2^k/n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then for any $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$g_n(t) \xrightarrow{L_p} g(t), \quad n \to \infty.$$

Remark 2.2 Li et al. [14] obtained the weak consistency for (2) with NA random errors. They required $2^k = O(n^{1/3})$ and $\sup_{1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1} E|\varepsilon_{ni}|^p < \infty$ for some p > 3/2. Ding et al. [18] extended the result of Li et al. [14] to the *p*th mean consistency with END random errors under the moment condition $\sup_{1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1} E|\varepsilon_{ni}|^p < \infty$ for some $p \ge 2$. It is obvious that Theorem 2.1 markedly relaxes the choice of 2^k and weakens the moment condition. Hence Theorem 2.1 improves and extends the results of Ding et al. [18] and Li et al. [14] to *m*-END random errors.

The next theorem is about the complete consistency of the wavelet estimator based on m-END random errors.

Theorem 2.2 Suppose $(H_1)-(H_3)$ hold. Assume that $2^k \to \infty$ and $2^k/n = O(n^{-\alpha})$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\{\varepsilon_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ is an array of zero mean *m*-END random variables stochastically dominated by a random variable ε with $E|\varepsilon|^{1+1/\alpha} < \infty$. Then for any $t \in [0, 1]$,

 $g_n(t) \rightarrow g(t)$ completely.

Remark 2.3 Ding et al. [18] obtained the complete consistency of wavelet estimator with END random errors, in which the conditions $2^k = O(n^{1/3})$ and $E|\varepsilon|^4 < \infty$ are required. Note that even if we choose $2^k = O(n^{1/3})$ in Theorem 2.2, the moment condition is only required to be $E|\varepsilon|^{5/2} < \infty$. Therefore Theorem 2.2 improves and extends the corresponding result of Ding et al. [18] markedly from END random errors to *m*-END random errors.

We also provide the rate of complete consistency for wavelet estimator.

Theorem 2.3 Suppose $(H_1)'$, (H_2) , and (H_3) hold. Assume that $2^k \to \infty$ and $2^k/n = O(n^{-\alpha})$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\{\varepsilon_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ is an array of zero mean m-END random variables stochastically dominated by a random variable ε with $E|\varepsilon|^{2+2/\alpha} < \infty$. Then for any $0 < r < \alpha$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\left|g_n(t) - g(t)\right| = O\left(\sqrt{k}/2^k + n^{-\gamma}\right) + o\left(n^{-r/2}\right) \quad completely.$$

By Theorem 2.3 we can obtain the following accurate rate of complete consistency for the wavelet estimator.

Corollary 2.1 Suppose (H₁)', (H₂), and (H₃) hold with $\gamma \ge 2/3$. Assume that $c_1 n^{1/3} \le 2^k \le c_2 n^{1/3}$ and $\{\varepsilon_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ is an array of zero mean m-END random variables stochastically dominated by a random variable ε with $E|\varepsilon|^5 < \infty$. Then for any 0 < r < 2/3 and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$|g_n(t) - g(t)| = o(n^{-r/2})$$
 completely.

Remark 2.4 In Corollary 2.1, if $r \approx 3/2$, then the rate of complete consistency can approximate to $O(n^{-1/3})$. Ding et al. [18] also obtained the rate of complete consistency for wavelet estimator, where $E|\varepsilon|^6 < \infty$ is required. Observe that Corollary 2.1 only requires $E|\varepsilon|^5 < \infty$, and the best rate can approximate nearly $O(n^{-1/3})$. Therefore Corollary 2.1 improves and extends the corresponding result of Ding et al. [18].

3 Some important lemmas

In this section, we state some lemmas, which will be used in proving our main results. The first one is a basic property of *m*-END random variables, which can be seen in Wang et al. [32].

Lemma 3.1 Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of *m*-END random variables. If $\{f_n, n \ge 1\}$ are nondecreasing (or nonincreasing) functions, then $\{f_n(X_n), n \ge 1\}$ is still a sequence of *m*-END random variables.

The following lemma is about the Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund-type inequality and Rosenthal-type inequality for *m*-END random variables proved by Xu et al. [33].

Lemma 3.2 Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of *m*-END random variables with $EX_n = 0$ and $E|X_n|^p < \infty$ for all $n \ge 1$ and some $p \ge 1$. Then

$$E\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right|^{p} \le c_{m,p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_{i}|^{p}, \quad 1 \le p < 2,$$

and

$$E\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right|^{p} \leq d_{m,p}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_{i}|^{p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{i}^{2}\right)^{p/2}\right\}, \quad p \geq 2,$$

where $c_{m,p}$ and $d_{m,p}$ depend only on m and p.

The following lemma is due to Antoniadis et al. [11].

Lemma 3.3 Suppose that $(H_1)-(H_3)$ hold. Then

$$Eg_n(t) - g(t) = O(n^{-\gamma}) + O(\tau_k),$$

where

$$\tau_k = \begin{cases} (1/2^k)^{\nu - 1/2} & \text{if } 1/2 < \nu < 3/2, \\ \sqrt{k}/2^k & \text{if } \nu = 3/2, \\ 1/2^k & \text{if } \nu > 3/2. \end{cases}$$

The next lemma is due to Li et al. [14].

Lemma 3.4 Under Assumptions $(H_1)-(H_3)$, we have (i) $|\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds| = O(\frac{2^k}{n}), i = 1, ..., n;$ (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^n |\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds| \le C.$

The following lemma is proved by Definition 1.5 and integration by parts; see Wu [35] or Shen et al. [36] for detailed proofs.

Lemma 3.5 Let $\{X_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of random variables stochastically dominated by a random variable X. For any $\alpha > 0$ and b > 0, we have:

$$E|X_{ni}|^{\alpha}I(|X_{ni}| \leq b) \leq C_1[E|X|^{\alpha}I(|X| \leq b) + b^{\alpha}P(|X| > b)],$$

$$E|X_{ni}|^{\alpha}I(|X_{ni}|>b) \leq C_2E|X|^{\alpha}I(|X|>b),$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants. Particularly, $E|X_{ni}|^{\alpha} \leq CE|X|^{\alpha}$.

4 Proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem **2.1** By (1) and (2) it follows that for any $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$\left|g_n(t) - g(t)\right| \le \left|Eg_n(t) - g(t)\right| + \left|\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \,\varepsilon_{ni}\right|. \tag{3}$$

Since $\gamma > 0$ and $2^k \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, by Lemma 3.3 it follows that

$$|Eg_n(t) - g(t)| \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (4)

Hence we only need to prove

$$E\left|\sum_{i=1}^n\int_{A_{ni}}E_k(t,s)\,ds\,\varepsilon_{ni}\right|^p\to 0,\quad n\to\infty.$$

We will assume without loss of generality that $\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds > 0$ since $\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds = (\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds)^+ - (\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds)^-$. Thus $\{\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds \varepsilon_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n\}$ are still *m*-END by Lemma 3.1. Hence, if 1 , then by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 we obtain that

$$\begin{split} E\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_{A_{ni}}E_{k}(t,s)\,ds\,\varepsilon_{ni}\right|^{p} &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\int_{A_{ni}}E_{k}(t,s)\,ds\right|^{p}E|\varepsilon_{ni}|^{p}\\ &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\int_{A_{ni}}E_{k}(t,s)\,ds\right|\cdot\left(\frac{2^{k}}{n}\right)^{p-1}\sup_{1\leq i\leq n,n\geq 1}E|\varepsilon_{ni}|^{p}\\ &\leq C\left(\frac{2^{k}}{n}\right)^{p-1}\to 0, \quad n\to\infty. \end{split}$$

If p > 2, then from $\sup_{1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1} E|\varepsilon_{ni}|^p < \infty$ noticing by the Jensen inequality that $\sup_{1 \le i < n, n > 1} E\varepsilon_{ni}^2 < \infty$, we also obtain by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 that

$$\begin{split} E\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_{A_{ni}}E_{k}(t,s)\,ds\,\varepsilon_{ni}\right|^{p} &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\int_{A_{ni}}E_{k}(t,s)\,ds\right|^{p}E|\varepsilon_{ni}|^{p}\\ &+C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\int_{A_{ni}}E_{k}(t,s)\,ds\right|^{2}E\varepsilon_{ni}^{2}\right)^{p/2}\\ &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\int_{A_{ni}}E_{k}(t,s)\,ds\right|\cdot\left(\frac{2^{k}}{n}\right)^{p-1}\sup_{1\leq i\leq n,n\geq 1}E|\varepsilon_{ni}|^{p}\\ &+C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\int_{A_{ni}}E_{k}(t,s)\,ds\right|\cdot\frac{2^{k}}{n}\cdot\sup_{1\leq i\leq n,n\geq 1}E\varepsilon_{ni}^{2}\right)^{p/2}\\ &\leq C\left(\frac{2^{k}}{n}\right)^{p-1}+C\left(\frac{2^{k}}{n}\right)^{p/2}\to 0, \quad n\to\infty. \end{split}$$

The proof is finished.

Proof of Theorem 2.2 By (3) and (4) it follows that to complete the proof, we only need to show that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni}\right| > \epsilon\right) < \infty.$$
(5)

In view of Lemma 3.4(i) and $2^k/n = O(n^{-\alpha})$, we may assume without loss of generality that $0 < \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \le n^{-\alpha}$. For fixed $1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1$, define

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ni} &= -I\left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} < -1\right) + \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} I\left(\left|\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni}\right| \le 1\right) \\ &+ I\left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} > 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Y_{ni} = \left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} + 1\right) I\left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} < -1\right) \\ + \left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} - 1\right) I\left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} > 1\right).$$

By Lemma 3.1 it follows that $\{X_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n\}$ are still *m*-END. We can easily check that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni}\right| > \epsilon\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \left\{\left|\int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni}\right| > 1\right\}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ni}\right| > \epsilon\right)$$

$$\doteq I_{1} + I_{2}.$$

From $0 < \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \le n^{-\alpha}$ and Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 it follows that

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P\Big(\left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} \right| > 1 \Big) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right| E|\varepsilon_{ni}|I\big(|\varepsilon_{ni}| > n^{\alpha}\big) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right| E|\varepsilon|I\big(|\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha}\big) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} E|\varepsilon|I\big(j < |\varepsilon|^{1/\alpha} \leq j+1\big) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jE|\varepsilon|I\big(j < |\varepsilon|^{1/\alpha} \leq j+1\big) \leq CE|\varepsilon|^{1+1/\alpha} < \infty. \end{split}$$

To prove that $I_2 < \infty$, we first show that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{ni} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Indeed, from $E\varepsilon_{ni} = 0$, $0 < \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds \le n^{-\alpha}$, and Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 it follows that

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{ni} \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} EY_{ni} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right| \cdot E|\varepsilon_{ni}| I\left(\left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} \right| > 1 \right) \\ &\leq CE|\varepsilon| I\left(|\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha} \right) \leq Cn^{-1} E|\varepsilon|^{1+1/\alpha} I\left(|\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha} \right) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty, \end{split}$$

which implies that $|\sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{ni}| < \epsilon/2$ for all *n* large enough. Hence by the Markov, *C_r*, and Jensen inequalities and by Lemma 3.2 we obtain that for $q > 2/\alpha$,

$$I_{2} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{ni} - EX_{ni}) \right| > \epsilon/2 \right)$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{ni} - EX_{ni}) \right|^{q}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_{ni} - EX_{ni}|^{q} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_{ni} - EX_{ni}|^{2} \right)^{q/2}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_{ni}|^{q} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{ni}^{2} \right)^{q/2}$$

$$\stackrel{=}{=} I_{3} + I_{4}.$$

By the C_r inequality, Definition 1.5, and Lemma 3.5 it follows that

$$\begin{split} I_{3} &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} \right|^{q} I \left(\left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} \right| \leq 1 \right) \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P \left(\left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} \right| > 1 \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{q} E |\varepsilon_{ni}|^{q} I \left(|\varepsilon_{ni}| \leq \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1} \right) \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P \left(|\varepsilon_{ni}| > \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1} \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{q} E |\varepsilon|^{q} I \left(|\varepsilon| \leq \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1} \right) \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P \left(|\varepsilon| > \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1} \right) \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P \left(|\varepsilon| > \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1} \right) \\ &= I_{31} + I_{32}. \end{split}$$

Similarly to the proof of $I_1 < \infty$, we easily obtain

$$I_{32} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right| E|\varepsilon| I(|\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha}) < \infty.$$

For I_{31} , we easily check that

$$\begin{split} I_{31} &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^q E|\varepsilon|^q I\left(|\varepsilon| \le \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1}, |\varepsilon| \le n^{\alpha} \right) \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^q E|\varepsilon|^q I\left(|\varepsilon| \le \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1}, |\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha} \right) \\ &\le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^q E|\varepsilon|^q I\left(|\varepsilon| \le n^{\alpha} \right) \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right| E|\varepsilon| I\left(|\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha} \right) \\ &\doteq I_{311} + I_{312}. \end{split}$$

Similarly to $I_{32} < \infty$, we have $I_{312} < \infty$. Now we turn to prove $I_{311} < \infty$. Indeed, noting that $q > 2/\alpha > 1 + 1/\alpha$, by Lemma 3.4 we obtain that

$$\begin{split} I_{311} &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^{q-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right| E|\varepsilon|^q I(|\varepsilon| \leq n^{\alpha}) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\alpha(q-1)} E|\varepsilon|^q I(|\varepsilon| \leq n^{\alpha}) \\ &= C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E|\varepsilon|^q I(j-1 < |\varepsilon|^{1/\alpha} \leq j) \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} n^{-\alpha(q-1)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{1-\alpha(q-1)} E|\varepsilon|^q I(j-1 < |\varepsilon|^{1/\alpha} \leq j) \\ &\leq C E|\varepsilon|^{1+1/\alpha} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Therefore we have proved $I_3 < \infty$. Finally, we will show that $I_4 < \infty$. Observing that $|X_{ni}| \le |\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds \varepsilon_{ni}|$ and $E|\varepsilon|^{1+1/\alpha} < \infty$ implies $E\varepsilon^2 < \infty$, by Lemmas 3.4–3.5 and $q > 2/\alpha$ we obtain that

$$I_{4} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{2} \cdot E|\varepsilon_{ni}|^{2} \right)^{q/2}$$
$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right| \cdot E|\varepsilon|^{2} \right)^{q/2}$$
$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\alpha q/2} < \infty.$$

The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 Note that by $(H_1)'$ and Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\left|g_n(t) - Eg_n(t)\right| = O\left(\sqrt{k}/2^k + n^{-\gamma}\right).$$

Hence, in view of (3), we only need to prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni}\right| > \epsilon \, n^{-r/2}\right) < \infty.$$
(6)

We also assume without loss of generality that $0 < \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds \le n^{-\alpha}$. Define for each $1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1$,

$$Z_{ni} = -n^{-\alpha/2} I\left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} < -n^{-\alpha/2}\right)$$
$$+ \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} I\left(\left|\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni}\right| \le n^{-\alpha/2}\right)$$
$$+ n^{-\alpha/2} I\left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} > n^{-\alpha/2}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U_{ni} &= \left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} + n^{-\alpha/2} \right) I \left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} < -n^{-\alpha/2} \right) \\ &+ \left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} - n^{-\alpha/2} \right) I \left(\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} > n^{-\alpha/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{Z_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n\}$ are still *m*-END by Lemma 3.1. We can also decompose

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni}\right| > \epsilon \, n^{-r/2}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \left\{\left|\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni}\right| > n^{-\alpha/2}\right\}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{ni}\right| > \epsilon \, n^{-r/2}\right)$$

$$\doteq J_1 + J_2.$$

Similarly to the proof of $I_1 < \infty$, by $0 < \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds \le n^{-\alpha}$, Lemmas 3.4–3.5, and $E|\varepsilon|^{2+2/\alpha} < \infty$ we have that

$$J_{1} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(\left|\int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) ds \varepsilon_{ni}\right| > n^{-\alpha/2}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) ds\right| E|\varepsilon_{ni}|I(|\varepsilon_{ni}| > n^{\alpha/2})$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) ds\right| E|\varepsilon|I(|\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha/2})$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E|\varepsilon|I(j < |\varepsilon|^{2/\alpha} \le j+1) \sum_{n=1}^{j} n^{\alpha/2}$$
$$\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{1+\alpha/2} E|\varepsilon|I(j < |\varepsilon|^{2/\alpha} \le j+1) \le CE|\varepsilon|^{2+2/\alpha} < \infty.$$

By $E\varepsilon_{ni} = 0$, $0 < \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \le n^{-\alpha}$, and Lemmas 3.4–3.5 we have that

$$\begin{split} n^{r/2} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} EZ_{ni} \right| &= n^{r/2} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} EU_{ni} \right| \\ &\leq n^{r/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right| \cdot E|\varepsilon_{ni}| I\left(\left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} \right| > n^{-\alpha/2} \right) \\ &\leq C n^{r/2} E|\varepsilon| I\left(|\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha/2} \right) \leq C n^{(r-\alpha)/2} E|\varepsilon|^2 I\left(|\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha/2} \right) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty. \end{split}$$

Hence by the Markov, C_r , and Jensen inequalities and by Lemma 3.2 we obtain that for $q > \max\{2 + 2/\alpha, 2/(\alpha - r)\},\$

$$J_{2} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_{ni} - EZ_{ni}) \right| > \epsilon n^{-r/2}/2 \right)$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{rq/2} E \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_{ni} - EZ_{ni}) \right|^{q}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{rq/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |Z_{ni}|^{q} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{rq/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} EZ_{ni}^{2} \right)^{q/2}$$

$$\stackrel{=}{=} J_{3} + J_{4}.$$

By the C_r inequality and Lemma 3.5 we have that

$$J_{3} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{rq/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} \right|^{q} I \left(\left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} \right| \leq n^{-\alpha/2} \right)$$

+ $C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(r-\alpha)q/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P \left(\left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \, \varepsilon_{ni} \right| > n^{-\alpha/2} \right)$
 $\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{rq/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{q} E |\varepsilon|^{q} I \left(|\varepsilon| \leq \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1} \cdot n^{-\alpha/2} \right)$
+ $C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P \left(|\varepsilon| > \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1} \cdot n^{-\alpha/2} \right)$
 $\doteq J_{31} + J_{32}.$

Similarly to $J_1 < \infty$, we have

$$J_{32} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right| E|\varepsilon| I(|\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha/2}) < \infty.$$

We can also easily obtain by $r < \alpha$ that

$$\begin{split} J_{31} &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n^{rq/2} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^q E|\varepsilon|^q I\left(|\varepsilon| \le \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1} \cdot n^{-\alpha/2}, |\varepsilon| \le n^{\alpha/2} \right) \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{rq/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^q \\ &\times E|\varepsilon|^q I\left(|\varepsilon| \le \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^{-1} \cdot n^{-\alpha/2}, |\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha/2} \right) \\ &\le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha q/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^q E|\varepsilon|^q I(|\varepsilon| \le n^{\alpha/2}) \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right| E|\varepsilon|I(|\varepsilon| > n^{\alpha/2}) \\ &= J_{311} + J_{312}. \end{split}$$

Similarly to $J_{32} < \infty$, we also have $J_{312} < \infty$. For J_{311} , noting that $q > 2 + 2/\alpha$, by Lemma 3.4 we obtain that

$$\begin{split} J_{311} &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha q/2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right|^{q-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) \, ds \right| E|\varepsilon|^q I(|\varepsilon| \leq n^{\alpha/2}) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha q/2 - \alpha(q-1)} E|\varepsilon|^q I(|\varepsilon| \leq n^{\alpha/2}) \\ &= C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E|\varepsilon|^q I(j-1 < |\varepsilon|^{2/\alpha} \leq j) \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} n^{\alpha - \alpha q/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{\alpha - \alpha q/2 + 1} E|\varepsilon|^q I(j-1 < |\varepsilon|^{2/\alpha} \leq j) \\ &\leq C E|\varepsilon|^{2+2/\alpha} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Hence we have shown that $J_3 < \infty$, and it remains to prove that $J_4 < \infty$. Observing that $|Z_{ni}| \le |\int_{A_{ni}} E_k(t,s) ds \varepsilon_{ni}|$ and $E\varepsilon^2 < \infty$, by Lemmas 3.4–3.5 and $q > 2/(\alpha - r)$ we have that

$$J_{4} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{rq/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right|^{2} \cdot E|\varepsilon_{ni}|^{2} \right)^{q/2}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{rq/2} \left(n^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{A_{ni}} E_{k}(t,s) \, ds \right| \cdot E|\varepsilon|^{2} \right)^{q/2}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(\alpha-r)q/2} < \infty.$$

The proof is complete.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees and the editor for their many valuable comments and suggestions.

Funding

The paper is supported by the Key research topics of Chinese local Party schools (2019dfdxkt051), Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (190808MA20), and the Outstanding talent cultivation subsidy project of Anhui Provincial Education Department (gxgnfx2019163).

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this paper as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 1 August 2020 Accepted: 31 March 2021 Published online: 03 September 2021

References

- 1. Watson, G.S.: Smooth regression analysis. Sankhyā, Ser. A 26, 359–372 (1964)
- Nadaraya, E.A.: Remarks on non-parametric estimates for density functions and regression curves. Theory Probab. Appl. 15, 134–136 (1970)
- 3. Priestley, M.B., Chao, M.T.: Non-parametric function fitting. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 34, 385–392 (1972)
- 4. Gasser, T., Müller, H.G.: Smoothing Techniques for Curve Estimation pp. 23–68. Springer, Heidelberg (1979)
- Georgiev, A.A.: Local properties of function fitting estimates with applications to system identification. In: Grossmann, W., et al. (eds.) Mathematical Statistics and Applications, Volume B, Proceedings 4th Pannonian Symposium on Physical Constructions (Note: 1997)
- Mathematical Statistics, 4–10 September 1983, Bad Tatzmannsdorf, Austria, pp. 141–151. Reidel, Dordrecht (1985)
 Yang, S.C., Wang, Y.B.: Strong consistency of regression function estimator for negatively associated samples. Acta Math. Appl. Sin. 22(4), 522–530 (1999)
- Yang, S.C.: Uniformly asymptotic normality of the regression weighted estimator for negatively associated samples. Stat. Probab. Lett. 62(2), 101–110 (2003)
- Liang, H.Y., Jing, B.Y.: Asymptotic properties for estimates of nonparametric regression models based on negatively associated sequences. J. Multivar. Anal. 95(2), 227–245 (2005)
- Wang, X.J., Zheng, L.L., Xu, C., Hu, S.H.: Complete consistency for the estimator of nonparametric regression models based on extended negatively dependent errors. Statistics 49(2), 396–407 (2015)
- Chen, Z.Y., Wang, H.B., Wang, X.J.: The consistency for the estimator of nonparametric regression model based on martingale difference errors. Stat. Pap. 57(2), 451–469 (2016)
- 11. Antoniadis, A., Gregoire, G., Mckeague, I.W.: Wavelet methods for cure estimation. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 89, 1340–1353 (1994)
- 12. Xue, L.G.: Strong uniform convergence rates of the wavelet estimator of regression function under completed and censored data. Acta Math. Appl. Sin. 25(3), 430–438 (2002) (in Chinese)
- 13. Sun, Y., Chai, G.X.: Nonparametric wavelet estimation of a fixed designed regression function. Acta Math. Sci. 24A(5), 597–606 (2004) (in Chinese)
- 14. Li, Y.M., Yang, S.C., Zhou, Y.: Consistency and uniformly asymptotic normality of wavelet estimator in regression model with associated samples. Stat. Probab. Lett. **78**, 2947–2956 (2008)
- Liang, H.Y.: Asymptotic normality of wavelet estimator in heteroscedastic model with α-mixing errors. J. Syst. Sci. Complex. 24, 725–737 (2011)
- 16. Li, Y.M., Wei, D.C., Xing, G.D.: Berry–Esseen bounds for wavelet estimator in a regression model with linear process errors. Stat. Probab. Lett. 81, 103–110 (2011)
- 17. Tang, X.F., Xi, M.M., Wu, Y., Wang, X.J.: Asymptotic normality of a wavelet estimator for asymptotically negatively associated errors. Stat. Probab. Lett. **140**, 191–201 (2018)
- Ding, L.W., Chen, P., Li, Y.M.: Consistency for wavelet estimator in nonparametric regression model with extended negatively dependent samples. Stat. Pap. 61, 2331–2349 (2020)
- Ding, L.W., Yao, S.W., Chen, P.: On Berry–Esseen bound of wavelet estimators in nonparametric regression model under asymptotically negatively associated assumptions. Commun. Stat., Simul. Comput. (2019, in press). https://doi.org/10.1080/03610918.2019.1659966
- Ding, L.W., Chen, P.: Statistical estimation for heteroscedastic semiparametric regression model with random errors. J. Nonparametr. Stat. 32(4), 940–969 (2020)
- 21. Liu, L: Precise large deviations for dependent random variables with heavy tails. Stat. Probab. Lett. **79**, 1290–1298 (2009)
- 22. Joag-Dev, K., Proschan, F.: Negative association of random variables with applications. Ann. Stat. 11, 286–295 (1983)
- Hu, T.Z.: Negatively superadditive dependence of random variables with applications. Chinese J. Appl. Probab. Statist. 16, 133–144 (2000)
- 24. Lehmann, E.L.: Some concepts of dependence. Ann. Math. Stat. 37, 1137–1153 (1966)
- Liu, L: Necessary and sufficient conditions for moderate deviations of dependent random variables with heavy tails. Sci. China Math. 53(6), 1421–1434 (2010)

- 26. Shen, A.T.: Probability inequalities for END sequence and their applications. J. Inequal. Appl. 2011, 98 (2011)
- 27. Wang, S.J., Wang, X.J.: Precise large deviations for random sums of END real-valued random variables with consistent variation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 402(2), 660–667 (2013)
- Wu, Y.F., Guan, M.: Convergence properties of the partial sums for sequences of END random variables. J. Korean Math. Soc. 49(6), 1097–1110 (2012)
- 29. Shen, A.T., Yao, M., Xiao, B.Q.: Equivalent conditions of complete convergence and complete moment convergence for END random variables. Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. B **39**(1), 83–96 (2018)
- Yang, W.Z., Xu, H.Y., Chen, L., Hu, S.H.: Complete consistency of estimators for regression models based on extended negatively dependent errors. Stat. Pap. 59(2), 449–465 (2018)
- Wu, Y., Wang, X.J., Hu, T.C., Volodin, A.: Complete f-moment convergence for extended negatively dependent random variables. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. 113, 333–351 (2019)
- Wang, X.J., Wu, Y., Hu, S.H.: Exponential probability inequality for *m*-END random variables and its applications. Metrika 79(2), 127–147 (2016)
- Xu, W.F., Wu, Y., Zhang, R., Jiang, H.L., Wang, X.J.: The mean consistency of the weighted estimator in the fixed design regression models based on *m*-END errors. J. Math. Inegual. 12(3), 765–775 (2018)
- Wang, Z.J., Wu, Y., Wang, M.G., Wang, X.J.: Complete and complete moment convergence with applications to the EV regression models. Statistics 53(2), 261–282 (2019)
- 35. Wu, Q.Y.: Probability Limit Theory for Mixed Sequence. Science Press of China, Beijing (2006)
- Shen, A.T., Zhang, Y., Volodin, A.: Applications of the Rosenthal-type inequality for negatively superadditive dependent random variables. Metrika 78(3), 295–311 (2015)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com